

# HWRS 505: Vadose Zone Hydrology

Lecture 17



10/22/2024

Today: 2D Transient unsaturated flow  
Reading: Jury & Horton, Chapter 4

# Another HYDRUS-1D exercise (will be in HW4)

HWRS 505  
Bo Guo  
Fall 2024

**Question:** Is the “unit gradient” a reasonable assumption during drainage?




Time Information

Time Units	Time Discretization	
<input type="radio"/> Seconds	Initial Time [day]:	0
<input type="radio"/> Minutes	Final Time [day]:	100
<input type="radio"/> Hours	Initial Time Step [day]:	1e-007
<input checked="" type="radio"/> Days	Minimum Time Step [day]:	1e-007
<input type="radio"/> Years	Maximum Time Step [day]:	0.01
		OK
		Cancel
		Previous ...
		Next ...
		Help

Note: “unit gradient” means flow is only driven by gravity


$$q = -K \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} + 1 \right) = -K$$

# Infiltration from a Point Source




**Horizontal flow:** driven by capillary pressure



**Vertical flow:** driven by capillary pressure and gravity



**Question:** How will the wetted “bulb” be different for sand and clay?




- Capillary pressure driven flow is stronger in clay

$S_w$  $h$ 



# Delayed Drainage

1-D delayed drainage modeling for Borden aquifer parameters




There is a time scale involved for unsaturated water to respond to change in water table

# Pumping Tests: Unconfined Aquifers



# Pumping Tests: Unconfined Aquifers



# Preferential flow


---

**Preferential flow:** Significantly greater than average downward movement of water through part of the soil during an infiltration or drainage event.


**Three types of preferential flow:** Macropore flow, funnel flow, and unstable flow.



# Macropore flow



**Figure 2.** Vertical flow patterns of Brilliant Blue FCF and one-dimensional profiles of dye coverage after sprinkling application of 40-mm colored water in four different soils. These examples represent “wet” initial conditions. The solid bar at Obermumpf and Wülflingen indicates the maximum depth of excavation.




**Figure 5.** Maximum depth of percolation of Brilliant Blue FCF in the different soils. The sites are ranked according to the percolation depth of the “wet” plot. Big stones or erratic boulders hindered deeper excavation at the Wülflingen, Obermumpf, and Affoltern sites. However, it was expected that the dye had moved deeper than the maximum excavation depth at these sites, as indicated by the arrows.



Flury et al., 1994

- 4 cm of water infiltration
- Channeling at various degrees observed for structured soils (moderate to extreme)
- For a uniform water content  $\theta = 0.25$ . Penetration should be 16 cm for 4 cm of water infiltration

# Funnel flow




Zeng & Guo, 2021



- Both sand and loam act as a barrier for water flow in loamy sand, but the mechanisms are different.
- Sand acting as a barrier generates preferential flow on the side (the so-called “funnel flow”; Kung (1990)). See Chapter 4 of Jury & Horton.



# Unstable flow



- Unstable flow is distinct from other forms of preferential flow. It is a flow phenomenon whose extreme flow location is not a consequence of permeability variations in the porous medium.