

Tutorial #2 Solutions

Guo Yuanxin

February 2020

1. Show that the limit of the function

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^2$$

as z tends zero does not exist.

The following solution is natural when considering the isomorphism between \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R}^2 .

Solution 1. Consider $z = x + i \cdot 0$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x + i \cdot 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{x + i \cdot 0}{x + i \cdot 0}\right)^2 = 1.$$

Consider $z = y + i \cdot y$, then

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(y + i \cdot y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{y + i \cdot y}{y - i \cdot y}\right)^2 = -1.$$

When approaching 0 from different paths, the limit of the function along the path varies. Hence the limit does not exist. \square

Recall the following theorem:

Theorem 1. If $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$, $w_0 = u_0 + iv_0$, then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = w_0$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} u(x, y) = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (x_0,y_0)} v(x, y) = v_0.$$

We have the second solution:

Solution 2. For $z = x + iy$, the function $f(z)$ can be written as

$$f(z) = f(x, y) = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} + i \cdot \frac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Denote $\frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}$ by $u(x, y)$ and $\frac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2}$ by $v(x, y)$. We know that the limit of $f(z)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ exists if and only if the limits of $u(x, y)$ and $v(x, y)$ both exist as $x, y \rightarrow 0$. Clearly this is not the case.

\square

Exercise 1. Finish the proof by arguing

$$\lim_{x,y \rightarrow 0} v(x,y)$$

does not exist.

2. Verify the Cauchy–Riemann equations for $f(z) = z^3$.

We note that this function is extremely easy to represent using polar form, giving us our first solution:

Solution 1. Let $z = re^{i\theta}$, and the function can be written as

$$f(z) = f(re^{i\theta}) = r^3 \cdot e^{i3\theta} = r^3 \cdot \cos(3\theta) + i \cdot r^3 \sin(3\theta).$$

Denote $r^3 \cdot \cos(3\theta)$ by $u(r, \theta)$ and $r^3 \cdot \sin(3\theta)$ by $v(r, \theta)$. Taking partial derivatives with respect to r, θ respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_r &= 3r^2 \cos(3\theta), & v_r &= 3r^2 \sin(3\theta). \\ u_\theta &= -3r^3 \sin(3\theta), & v_\theta &= 3r^3 \cos(3\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Compare the terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_r &= 3r^2 \cos(3\theta) = \frac{1}{r} v_\theta, \\ \frac{1}{r} u_\theta &= -3r^2 \sin(3\theta) = -v_r, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the *C-R equations* in polar form. \square

Exercise 2. Is this verification complete? If not, what is missing?

Remark. Since the C-R equations in polar form only applies to the region $r > 0$, it still remains to show they hold at $z = 0$.

Exercise 3. Verify the C-R equations in the standard form.

3. Determine where $f(z) = xy^2 + ix^2y$ is differentiable.

Satisfying Cauchy-Riemann equations is necessary for a function to be differentiable at a point, and it suffices if the function satisfies Cauchy-Riemann equations alongside with the partial derivatives being continuous at that point. However, note that these are not *if and only if* conditions.

Solution. Let $u(x, y) = xy^2$, $v(x, y) = x^2y$. Taking partial derivatives with respect to x, y , we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= y^2, & v_x &= 2xy. \\ u_y &= 2xy, & v_y &= x^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the partial derivatives are continuous for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. To satisfy the C-R equations, we require:

$$x^2 = y^2, \quad 2xy = -2xy,$$

which reduces to $x = y = 0$. Hence we conclude that the function is only differentiable at $x = y = 0$, i.e. $z = 0$. \square

4. Let

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{z}^2}{z} & \text{when } z \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{when } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Show that if $z = 0$, then

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\Delta z)}{\Delta z} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } \Delta z = \Delta x, \\ -1 & \text{when } \Delta z = \Delta y + i\Delta y. \end{cases}$$

Thus $f(z)$ is not differentiable at $z = 0$. Verify that the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold at $z = 0$. Hence C-R equations hold true is only accessory but not sufficient condition for $f(z)$ to be differentiable at a point.

Solution. We first verify the non-differentiability of $f(z)$ at $z = 0$. When $\Delta z = \Delta x$,

$$\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\Delta x)}{\Delta x} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{(\Delta x)^2} = 1,$$

When $\Delta z = \Delta y + i\Delta y$,

$$\lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\Delta y + i\Delta y)}{\Delta y + i\Delta y} = \lim_{\Delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\Delta y - i\Delta y)^2}{(\Delta y + i\Delta y)^2} = -1.$$

Thus the limit of $\frac{f(z)}{z}$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ does not exist, implying that $f(x)$ is not differentiable at $z = 0$.

Now we check the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

$$f(z) = f(x, y) = \frac{x^3 - 3xy^2}{x^2 + y^2} + i \cdot \frac{-3x^2y + y^3}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Let $\frac{x^3 - 3xy^2}{x^2 + y^2}$ be $u(x, y)$ and $\frac{-3x^2y + y^3}{x^2 + y^2}$ be $v(x, y)$. Taking the partial derivatives at $z = 0$, i.e. $x = y = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_x|_{(0,0)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^2}{x^2} = 1, & v_x|_{(0,0)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{x^3} = 0, \\ u_y|_{(0,0)} &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{0}{y^3} = 0, & v_y|_{(0,0)} &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{y^2}{y^2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the C-R equations hold at $z = 0$. \square

Exercise 4. Let

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} |z|^2 \sin\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right) & \text{when } z \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{when } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Verify that $f(z)$ is differentiable at $z = 0$. Verify that the C-R equations hold at $z = 0$. Show that the partial derivatives, however, are discontinuous at $z = 0$. Reach to the conclusion that satisfying C-R equations and having continuous partial derivatives are only *sufficient* but not *necessary* conditions for $f(z)$ to be differentiable at a point.

Remark. This is very analogous to the example $f(x) = x^2 \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ that we have seen in calculus.

5. Suppose that $f(z_0) = g(z_0) = 0$ and that $f'(z_0)$ and $g'(z_0)$ exist, where $g'(z_0) \neq 0$. Show that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{f'(z_0)}{g'(z_0)}.$$

In calculus, this is the well-known *L'Hôpital's Rule*. We aim to show this rule still holds for functions of a complex variable.

Solution. Expand $f'(z_0)$ and $g'(z_0)$ according to definition:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z)}{\Delta z} \\ g'(z_0) &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z_0 + \Delta z) - g(z_0)}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z_0 + \Delta z)}{\Delta z} \end{aligned}$$

The limits on the right hand side are well-defined because of the existence of the derivatives. Now

$$\frac{f'(z_0)}{g'(z_0)} = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z)}{g(z_0 + \Delta z)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}.$$

□

6. Recall that

$$x = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}, \quad y = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}.$$

By *formally* applying the chain rule to a function $F(x, y)$, derive the expression

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right).$$

Determine the operator

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

suggested by the above formula, to show that if the real and imaginary parts of $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0,$$

which is the *complex form* of the Cauchy–Riemann equations. Using this to show that $f(z) = |z|^2$ is not differentiable for all $z \neq 0$.

Solution. We first regard x, y as functions of two variables: z, \bar{z} . Differentiate x, y with respect to \bar{z} , we have:

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{i}{2}.$$

Applying the chain rule,

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right)$$

For a function f satisfying the Cauchy-Riemann equations, we have

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

Since

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x},$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}.$$

Plug (3),(4) into (1),

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right),$$

then plug in the Cauchy-Riemann equations (3), we arrive to the result that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0.$$

□

— End —