

# Columbia University: Arts & Sciences

## A&S Fall 2019 Standard Evaluation

**Course:** ECONF19

**Instructor:** Sunil Gulati

David Alfaro Serrano, Selenge Bat-Erdene, Kevin Dang, Leo Goldman, Sakshi Gupta \*, Scott Marchi, Suneil Parimoo, David Rosenkranz, Hilary Song







**Response Rate:** 177/209 (84.69 %)

### 1 - What are the strengths and weaknesses of Sakshi Gupta (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader) as an instructor, and how might Sakshi Gupta's teaching be improved?

**Response Rate** 16/209 (7.66%)

- Very knowledgeable. Able to explain concepts thoroughly.
- Could do a better job of explaining topics, seemed lost at times.
- Ms. Gupta relied pretty heavily on participation, which I didn't love very much. She would delay her teaching until someone raised their hand to answer, which got annoying. I would've like if she had taken more authority during the session.
- Very helpful and patience.
- Amazing and very responsible
- Knowledgeable, goes the extra mile to make sure you understand.
- Sakshi is extremely organized as a TA. Her recitations are extremely well planned and she constantly encourages students to ask and answer questions. I think that the fact that she goes over sample problems helps as well with the course, as there is no additional problems given besides those seen on the midterms and the four-question problem sets.
- Very good at answering any questions I have during office hours.
- Great discussion leader and made what would be covered clear before starting seminar. Pace was very fast during seminar and it was sometimes difficult to keep up. Posting seminar notes/slides would be very very useful and should be implemented.
- Sakshi Gupta was very thorough during the recitations, making sure that students contributed and understood the material. She was also very engaged and provided many examples to work through together.
- Sakshi always came in prepared with a lesson plan and she remained organized throughout the lab. She sometimes moved too quickly for some students, but I think that was due to the time constraint and the amount of materials that had to be presented.
- In recitation, Sakshi Gupta was very careful to make sure that all students had an understanding of the concepts we were covering. She was thoughtful in her responses to questions, and was very well prepared for each section. I was entirely satisfied with her sections.
- Great instructor, was very engaging and good at explaining the concepts. Organized too.
- Good section leader.
- TA Gupta was wonderful! I thought she was very open and willing to answer questions, was clearly very knowledgeable about the concepts we were discussing in this course. If I could have one suggestion, it might be to balance asking questions with direct lecture-type teaching a bit more. Sakshi Gupta is a great discussion section leader! Sometimes the morale of students is low though so, on some days, being sensitive to that and taking the lead might offer some balance.
- Very detailed, clear instruction. Encouraged group participation.

### 2 - What is the overall teaching effectiveness of Sakshi Gupta?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	19	57.58%			4.33		
Very Good	(4)	7	21.21%					
Good	(3)	6	18.18%					
Fair	(2)	1	3.03%					
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>	
33/209 (15.79%)				4.33	0.89		5.00	