

## HanuAi – ML Assessment

### Task 2: Advanced EDA & Text Mining Report by Archit Gupta

---

#### 1. Executive Summary

This analysis focuses on transforming raw after-sales service data into actionable failure intelligence for engineering and quality stakeholders. The dataset comprises 1000 service events related to infotainment/radio systems, containing a mix of structured attributes and unstructured technical and customer-reported text.

By combining Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA), Natural Language Processing (NLP), and unsupervised learning techniques, the objective was to:

- Identify dominant failure patterns and recurring issues
  - Convert unstructured service narratives into structured, analyzable insights
  - Surface root causes behind repeated failures
  - Provide actionable recommendations to improve product quality and service efficiency
- 

#### 2. Understanding of the Data

The dataset includes:

- Event-level identifiers and timestamps (Opened date)
- Failure-related structured fields (Failure Component, Failure Condition)
- Resolution-related structured fields (Fix Component, Fix Condition)
- Free-text fields capturing technical diagnosis, corrective action, and customer perception (CAUSAL\_VERBATIM, CORRECTION\_VERBATIM, CUSTOMER\_VERBATIM)

This data represents real-world service intelligence rather than controlled experimental data. As a result, inconsistencies, repeated terms, and partially structured lists are expected and were addressed during preprocessing to improve downstream analysis quality.

---

### **3. Data Preparation & Quality Handling**

Key data preparation steps included:

- Parsing and standardizing date fields to enable time-based trend analysis
- Removing duplicate service records
- Normalizing list-like columns by removing duplicate tokens and irrelevant placeholders (e.g., “No Additional Context”)
- Cleaning and standardizing unstructured text while preserving domain-specific technical terminology

These steps were performed with a focus on analytical signal quality rather than cosmetic cleaning.

---

### **4. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) Findings**

#### **4.1 Failure Component & Condition Analysis**

EDA revealed that a small subset of components (notably radio/infotainment modules) accounts for a disproportionate share of total failures. Common failure conditions include black screen, inoperative behavior, and system malfunction.

This concentration suggests potential systemic issues rather than isolated random defects.

#### **4.2 Temporal Trends**

Time-series analysis of failures showed periods of increased failure frequency. Such spikes may correlate with software releases, supplier changes, or environmental operating conditions and warrant further cross-functional investigation.

---

### **5. Text Mining & Structuring of Unstructured Data**

#### **5.1 Identification of Free-Text Fields**

The following columns were identified as key sources of unstructured information:

- CAUSAL\_VERBATIM (technician diagnosis)
- CUSTOMER\_VERBATIM (customer-reported symptoms)
- CORRECTION\_VERBATIM (service resolution description)

These fields were combined to create a unified failure narrative for each event.

## **5.2 NLP Processing**

A domain-aware NLP pipeline was applied, including:

- Text normalization and noise removal
- Stopword elimination and lemmatization
- Preservation of technical keywords critical for engineering interpretation

This enabled consistent downstream analysis without losing failure semantics.

---

## **6. Failure Type Categorization**

Based on extracted textual patterns, failures were categorized into business-relevant issue types:

- Software Issues (e.g., freezes, black screens, boot failures)
- Hardware Failures (e.g., internal module faults, repeated replacements)
- Electrical Issues (e.g., grounding, power supply, wiring)
- Intermittent Issues (non-reproducible or condition-dependent failures)
- User-Reported / Other Issues

This categorization bridges the gap between raw service logs and decision-ready intelligence.

---

## **7. Clustering & Failure Mode Identification**

Using TF-IDF vectorization and KMeans clustering, service events were grouped into dominant failure modes based on textual similarity.

Each cluster represents a recurring pattern combining symptoms, affected components, and applied fixes. Analysis of these clusters highlighted that:

- Certain symptom clusters repeatedly receive similar hardware fixes
- In multiple cases, replacement actions do not permanently resolve the issue

This indicates that some failures may originate from upstream causes such as firmware instability rather than component degradation.

---

## **8. Key Insights for Stakeholders**

- Radio/infotainment modules are the most failure-prone components, contributing significantly to service volume
  - Software-like symptoms frequently result in hardware replacement, suggesting misalignment between root cause and corrective action
  - Intermittent failures are underdiagnosed due to limited reproducibility, leading to repeat service visits
  - Repeated application of identical fixes without long-term resolution points to reactive maintenance practices
- 

## **9. Actionable Recommendations**

### **Short-Term**

- Enhance diagnostic protocols to better distinguish software vs hardware failures
- Improve technician guidance for handling intermittent and non-reproducible issues

### **Mid-Term**

- Strengthen firmware validation and regression testing for infotainment systems
- Introduce NLP-driven failure tagging to support faster triage and consistent diagnosis

### **Long-Term**

- Develop predictive failure models using historical service data

- Integrate GenAI-based assistants to support technicians with probable root causes and optimal fixes
- 

## **10. Business Impact**

Implementing the above recommendations can lead to:

- Reduced repeat service visits
  - Lower component replacement costs
  - Improved customer satisfaction through faster and more accurate resolutions
  - Data-driven product quality improvements aligned with HanuAi's AI-first vision
- 

## **11. Key Learnings & Future Improvements**

This task demonstrated the importance of combining classical EDA with NLP and unsupervised learning to extract value from operational data. Future enhancements could include:

- Deeper topic modeling with dynamic topic evolution over time
  - Integration of severity and cost metrics for prioritization
  - Real-time deployment of failure classification models in service workflows
- 

**End of Task 2 Report**