

9. Web and HTTP

San José State University

Web and HTTP



First, a quick review...

- web page consists of objects, each of which can be stored on different Web servers
- object can be HTML file, JPEG image, Java applet, audio file,...
- web page consists of base HTML-file which includes several referenced objects, each addressable by a URL, e.g.,

`www.someschool.edu/someDept/pic.gif`

host name path name

HTTP overview

HTTP: hypertext transfer protocol

- Web's application-layer protocol
- client/server model:
 - client: browser that requests, receives, (using HTTP protocol) and “displays” Web objects
 - server: Web server sends (using HTTP protocol) objects in response to requests



HTTP overview (continued)



- HTTP (/1, /2) uses TCP:
 - client initiates TCP connection (creates socket) to server, port 80
 - server accepts TCP connection from client
 - HTTP messages (application-layer protocol messages) exchanged between browser (HTTP client) and Web server (HTTP server)
 - TCP connection closed
- HTTP is “stateless”
 - server maintains no information about past client requests

HTTP connections: two types



Non-persistent HTTP

- TCP connection opened
- at most one object sent over TCP connection
- TCP connection closed

E.g. downloading multiple objects required multiple connections

Persistent HTTP

- TCP connection opened to a server
- multiple objects can be sent over single TCP connection between client, and that server
- TCP connection closed

Non-persistent HTTP: example

User enters URL: `www.someSchool.edu/someDepartment/home.index`
(containing text, references to 10 jpeg images)



1a. HTTP client initiates TCP connection to HTTP server (process) at `www.someSchool.edu` on port 80



1b. HTTP server at host `www.someSchool.edu` waiting for TCP connection at port 80 “accepts” connection, notifying client

2. HTTP client sends HTTP *request message* (containing URL) into TCP connection socket. Message indicates that client wants object `someDepartment/home.index`

3. HTTP server receives request message, forms *response message* containing requested object, and sends message into its socket



Non-persistent HTTP: example

User enters URL: `www.someSchool.edu/someDepartment/home.index`
(containing text, references to 10 jpeg images)



5. HTTP client receives response message containing html file, displays html. Parsing html file, finds 10 referenced jpeg objects

6. Steps 1-5 repeated for each of 10 jpeg objects


4. HTTP server closes TCP connection.



time

Non-persistent HTTP: response time

- **RTT** (definition): time for a small packet to travel from client to server and back
- **HTTP response time** (per object):
 - one RTT to initiate TCP connection
 - one RTT for HTTP request and first few bytes of HTTP response to return
 - object/file transmission time
- Non-persistent HTTP response time = $2\text{RTT} + \text{file transmission time}$



Persistent HTTP (HTTP 1.1)



- Non-persistent HTTP issues:
 - requires 2 RTTs per object
 - OS overhead for each TCP connection
 - browsers often open multiple parallel TCP connections to fetch referenced objects in parallel
- Persistent HTTP (HTTP1.1):
 - server leaves connection open after sending response
 - subsequent HTTP messages between same client/server sent over open connection
 - client sends requests as soon as it encounters a referenced object
 - as little as one RTT for all the referenced objects (cutting response time in half)

HTTP request message



- two types of HTTP messages: **request, response**
- HTTP request message:
 - ASCII (human-readable format)

request line (GET, POST, HEAD commands) → **GET /index.html HTTP/1.1\r\n**

HTTP request message



- two types of HTTP messages: request, response
- HTTP request message:
 - ASCII (human-readable format)

request line (GET, POST,
HEAD commands)


header
lines

```
GET /index.html HTTP/1.1\r\n
Host: www-net.cs.umass.edu\r\n
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X
10.15; rv:80.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/80.0 \r\n
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml\r\n
Accept-Language: en-us,en;q=0.5\r\n
Accept-Encoding: gzip,deflate\r\n
Connection: keep-alive\r\n
\r\n
```

carriage return, line feed
at start of line indicates
end of header lines

* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive/

HTTP request message: general format



Other HTTP request messages



- **POST** method:
 - web page often includes form input
 - user input sent from client to server in entity body of HTTP POST request message
- **GET** method (for sending data to server):
 - include user data in URL field of HTTP GET request message (following a '?'): `www.somesite.com/animalsearch?monkeys&banana`
- **HEAD** method:
 - requests headers (only) that would be returned if specified URL were requested with an HTTP GET method.
- **PUT** method:
 - uploads new file (object) to server
 - completely replaces file that exists at specified URL with content in entity body of POST HTTP request message

HTTP response message



status line (protocol
status code status phrase)

header
lines

data, e.g., requested
HTML file

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Tue, 08 Sep 2020 00:53:20 GMT
Server: Apache/2.4.6 (CentOS)
       OpenSSL/1.0.2k-fips PHP/7.4.9
       mod_perl/2.0.11 Perl/v5.16.3
Last-Modified: Tue, 01 Mar 2016 18:57:50 GMT
ETag: "a5b-52d015789ee9e"
Accept-Ranges: bytes
Content-Length: 2651
Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8
\r\n
data data data data data ...
```

HTTP response status codes



- status code appears in 1st line in server-to-client response message.
- some sample codes:

200 OK

- request succeeded, requested object later in this message

301 Moved Permanently

- requested object moved, new location specified later in this message
(in Location: field)

400 Bad Request

- request msg not understood by server

404 Not Found

- requested document not found on this server

505 HTTP Version Not Supported

Trying out HTTP (client side) for yourself



1. netcat to your favorite Web server:

- opens TCP connection to port 80 (default HTTP server port) at gaia.cs.umass.edu.
- anything typed in will be sent to port 80 at gaia.cs.umass.edu

```
% nc -c -v gaia.cs.umass.edu 80
```

2. type in a GET HTTP request:

- by typing this in (hit carriage return twice), you send this minimal (but complete) GET request to HTTP server

```
GET /kurose_ross/interactive/index.php HTTP/1.1  
Host: gaia.cs.umass.edu
```


3. look at response message sent by HTTP server!

(or use Wireshark to look at captured HTTP request/response)

Maintaining user/server state: cookies

- Recall: HTTP GET/response interaction is **stateless**
- no notion of multi-step exchanges of HTTP messages to complete a Web “transaction”
 - no need for client/server to track “state” of multi-step exchange
 - all HTTP requests are independent of each other
 - no need for client/server to “recover” from a partially-completed-but-never-completely-completed transaction

a **stateful protocol**: client makes two changes to X , or none at all



Q: what happens if network connection or client crashes at t' ?

Maintaining user/server state: cookies




- Web sites and client browser use **cookies** to maintain some state between transactions
- four components:
 1. cookie header line of HTTP response message
 2. cookie header line in next HTTP request message
 3. cookie file kept on user's host, managed by user's browser
 4. back-end database at Web site

Maintaining user/server state: cookies example



- Susan uses browser on laptop, visits specific e-commerce site for first time
- when initial HTTP requests arrives at site, site creates:
 - unique ID (aka “cookie”)
 - entry in backend database for ID
- subsequent HTTP requests from Susan to this site will contain cookie ID value, allowing site to “identify” Susan

Maintaining user/server state: cookies



HTTP cookies: comments



- What cookies can be used for:
 - authorization
 - shopping carts
 - recommendations
 - user session state (Web e-mail)
- Challenge: How to keep state?
 - at protocol endpoints: maintain state at sender/receiver over multiple transactions
 - in messages: cookies in HTTP messages carry state

Cookies and Privacy

- cookies permit sites to learn a lot about you on their site
- third party persistent cookies (tracking cookies) allow common identity (cookie value) to be tracked across multiple web sites

APPLE WEB POLICY

Apple updates Safari's anti-tracking tech with full third-party cookie blocking

Beating Google by two years to the privacy feature

By Nick Statt | @nickstatt | Mar 24, 2020, 3:07pm EDT

f t SHARE




Illustration by Alex Castro / The Verge

13

- » Background
- » Resource Type and Isolation
- » Mathematical Models

satisfy their different requirements. Network slicing is a promising technology to establish customized end-to-end logic networks comprising dedicated and shared resources. By leveraging SDN and NFV, network slices associated with resources can be tailored to satisfy diverse QoS and SLA. Resource allocation of network slicing plays a pivotal role in load balancing, resource utilization, and networking performance. In this article, we focus on the principles and models of resource allocation algorithms in 5G network slicing. We first introduce the basic ideas of the SDN and NFV

Published: 2018

Quality of Service Sensit
Software Defined Netwo
Segment Routing

2018 18th I
Communica
Technologies

Published: 2

PDF


Help

IEEE websites place cookies on your device to give you the best user experience. By using our websites, you agree to the placement of these cookies. To learn more, read our [Privacy Policy](#).

Accept & Close

Web caches

- **Goal:** satisfy client requests without involving origin server
 - user configures browser to point to a (local) Web cache
 - browser sends all HTTP requests to cache
 - if object in cache: cache returns object to client
 - else cache requests object from origin server, caches received object, then returns object to client



Web caches (aka proxy servers)



- Web cache acts as both client and server
 - server for original requesting client
 - client to origin server
- server tells cache about object's allowable caching in response header:

```
Cache-Control: max-age=<seconds>
```


```
Cache-Control: no-cache
```


Why Web caching?



- reduce response time for client request
 - cache is closer to client
- reduce traffic on an institution's access link
- Internet is dense with caches
 - enables “poor” content providers to more effectively deliver content

Caching example




Scenario:

- access link rate: 1.54 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to server: 2 sec
- web object size: 100K bits
- average request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
 - avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps

Performance:

- access link utilization = .97
- LAN utilization: .0015
- end-end delay = Internet delay + access link delay + LAN delay
= 2 sec + minutes + usecs




Option 1: buy a faster access link

Scenario:

- access link rate: ~~1.54~~ Mbps 154Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to server: 2 sec
- web object size: 100K bits
- average request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
 - avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps

Performance:

- access link utilization = ~~.97~~ .0097
- LAN utilization: .0015
- end-end delay = Internet delay + access link delay + LAN delay
= 2 sec + minutes + usecs
msecs




Option 2: install a web cache

Faster link: Expensive!

How about web cache? (cheap!)


Performance:

- LAN utilization: .?
- access link utilization = ?
- average end-end delay = ?



Calculating performance with cache


- suppose cache hit rate is 0.4:
 - 40% requests served by cache, with low (msec) delay
 - 60% requests satisfied at origin
- rate to browsers over access link
 $= 0.6 * 1.54 \text{ Mbps} = .9 \text{ Mbps}$
- access link utilization $= 0.9/1.54$
 $= .58$ means low (msec) queueing delay at access link
- average end-end delay:
 - $= 0.6 * (\text{delay from origin servers})$
 $+ 0.4 * (\text{delay when satisfied at cache})$



lower average end-end delay than with 154 Mbps link (and cheaper too!)

Calculating performance with cache


- suppose cache hit rate is 0.4:
 - 40% requests served by cache, with low (msec) delay
 - 60% requests satisfied at origin
- rate to browsers over access link
 $= 0.6 * 1.54 \text{ Mbps} = .9 \text{ Mbps}$
- access link utilization $= 0.9/1.54$
 $= .58$ means low (msec) queueing delay at access link
- average end-end delay:
 - $= 0.6 * (\text{delay from origin servers})$
 $+ 0.4 * (\text{delay when satisfied at cache})$
 - $= 0.6 (2.01) + 0.4 (\sim \text{msecs}) = \sim 1.2 \text{ secs}$



lower average end-end delay than with 154 Mbps link (and cheaper too!)

Conditional GET

- Goal: don't send object if cache has up-to-date cached version
 - no object transmission delay (or use of network resources)
- **client**: specify date of cached copy in HTTP request
 - **If-modified-since: <date>**
- **server**: response contains no object if cached copy is up-to-date:
 - **HTTP/1.0 304 Not Modified**




- **Key goal:** decreased delay in multi-object HTTP requests
- **HTTP1.1: introduced multiple, pipelined GETs over single TCP connection**
 - server responds in-order (FCFS: first-come-first-served scheduling) to GET requests
 - with FCFS, small object may have to wait for transmission
 - head-of-line (HOL) blocking behind large object(s)
 - loss recovery (retransmitting lost TCP segments) stalls object transmission

- **Key goal:** decreased delay in multi-object HTTP requests
- **HTTP/2: [RFC 7540, 2015] increased flexibility at server in sending objects to client:**
 - methods, status codes, most header fields unchanged from HTTP 1.1
 - transmission order of requested objects based on client-specified object priority (not necessarily FCFS)
 - divide objects into frames, schedule frames to mitigate HOL blocking
 - push unrequested objects to client

HTTP/2: mitigating HOL blocking


HTTP 1.1: client requests 1 large object (e.g., video file) and 3 smaller objects



objects delivered in order requested: O₂, O₃, O₄ wait behind O₁

HTTP/2: mitigating HOL blocking

HTTP/2: objects divided into frames, frame transmission interleaved




HTTP/2 to HTTP/3



- HTTP/2 over single TCP connection means:
 - recovery from packet loss still stalls all object transmissions
 - as in HTTP 1.1, browsers have incentive to open multiple parallel TCP connections to reduce stalling, increase overall throughput
- HTTP/3: adds security, per object error- and congestion-control (more pipelining) over UDP
 - more on HTTP/3 in transport layer

HTTP/3 + QUIC Protocol features



HTTP/3 over QUIC stack overview

Connection ID



- Each connection possesses a set of connection identifiers, or connection IDs
- Connection IDs are independently selected by endpoints; each endpoint selects the connection IDs that its peer uses
- The primary function of these connection IDs is to ensure that changes in addressing at lower protocol layers (UDP, IP, and below) do not cause packets for a QUIC connection to be delivered to the wrong endpoint
- By taking advantage of the connection ID, connections can thus migrate between IP addresses and network interfaces in ways TCP never could.
- For instance, migration allows an in-progress download to move from a cellular network connection to a faster wifi connection when the user moves their device into a location offering wifi