CSE531 Homework 3 Solutions

Problem 1 Solution

To find the shortest common superstring of $X[0 \dots m]$ and $Y[0 \dots n]$, construct an array $C[0 \dots m][0 \dots n]$, where C[i][j] is the length of the longest superstring of $X[0 \dots i]$ and $Y[0...j],\ 0 \le i \le m,\ 0 \le j \le n.$ It should be clear that the following holds:

$$C[i][j] = \begin{cases} j & i = 0 \\ i & j = 0 \\ C[i-1][j-1] + 1 & ij \neq 0, X[i] = Y[j] \\ \min(C[i][j-1] + 1, C[i-1][j] + 1) & ij \neq 0, X[i] \neq Y[j] \end{cases}$$

Fill in C[i][j] in appropriate order and do some backtracing to obtain the answer.

To find the shortest common superstring of $X[0 \dots m], Y[0 \dots n]$ and $Z[0 \dots p]$. Construct the array D where D[i][j][k] is the minimum length of a common superstring of X,Y and Z. We also compute, as above, the shortest common superstring of (X,Y),(X,Z) and (Y,Z),(X,Z)and obtain 2d arrays C_{XY}, C_{XZ} and C_{YZ} . We can use the following relation to get the answer.

$$D[i][j][k] = \begin{cases} C_{YZ}[j][k] & i = 0 \\ C_{XZ}[i][k] & j = 0 \\ C_{XY}[i][j] & k = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$D[i-1][j-1][k-1] + 1 & ijk \neq 0, X[i] = Y[j] = Z[k]$$

$$\min(D[i-1][j-1][k] + 1, D[i][j-1][k] + 1) & ijk \neq 0, X[i] = Y[j] \neq Z[k]$$

$$\min(D[i-1][j][k-1] + 1, D[i-1][j][k] + 1) & ijk \neq 0, X[i] = Z[k] \neq Y[j]$$

$$\min(D[i][j-1][k-1] + 1, D[i-1][j][k] + 1) & ijk \neq 0, Y[j] = Z[k] \neq X[i]$$

$$\min(D[i][j-1][k] + 1, D[i-1][j][k] + 1, D[i][j][k-1] + 1) & \text{Otherwise}$$

$$\underline{Problem 2 Solution}$$

Problem 2 Solution

- a) Let G(n) denotes the time needed to compute T(n) using recursion. Obviously, G(i + i)1) $\geq G(i)$ for every non-negative integer i. For $n \geq 2$, to compute T(n), T(n-1) and T(n-2) are called during the computation. Therefore $G(n) \geq G(n-1) + G(n-2)$. Since $G(n-1) \geq G(n-2)$, we have $G(n) \geq 2G(n-2)$. An lower bound of G(n)can be estimated by $G(n) \geq 2G(n-2) \geq 2^2G(n-4) \geq \dots$ It follows easily that $G(n) \geq 2^{(n-2)/2} \min(G(0), G(1))$, which indicates an exponential running time.
- b) We determine and store the value of T(i) in the order of $T(0), T(1) \dots T(n)$. Since, when computing T(i), we already have the value of $T(0), T(1), \dots T(i-1)$, no recursive call is needed. Computing T(i) from known $T(0), T(1), \dots T(i-1)$ values takes O(i) time. Since $i \leq n$ and we need to compute no more than n such T(i) values, it is clear that the running time is now $O(n^2)$.

c) Again we determine and store the value of T(i) in the order of $T(0), T(1) \dots T(n)$. This time, observe by simple comparasion that T(i) = T(i-1) + T(i-1)T(i-2) for $i \geq 3$. Therefore, It is possible to determine the value of T(i) using constant time if we already know the values of T(j), j < i.(In fact we only need T(i-1) and T(i-2).) The running time is now O(n) since we need n iterations.

Problem 3 Solution

Construct an array $C[1 \dots n][0 \dots k]$, where $C[i][j], 1 \le i \le n, 0 \le j \le k$ is the minimum cost of a path goes from s to node i with total delay no more than j, or ∞ if such a path does not exist. Initially, we only know C[s][j] = 0 for all j, and $C[i][0] = \infty$ for all $i \ne s$. For $i \ne s$ and $j \ne 0$,

$$C[i][j] = \min_{e} (\infty, \cos(e) + C[i_e][j - \text{delay}(e)])$$

where e is an edge connecting i and some other node i_e , and we ignore e such that j - delay(e) < 0. We fill in entries C[i][j] in the order of j = 1, 2, ..., k. In each of the k iterations, all nodes and edges in the graph have to be examined. This results in an O(k(|E| + |V|)) running time.

Problem 4 Solution

Consider a array $C[1 \dots n][1 \dots k]$, where $C[i][j], 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le k$, is the minimum maximum total weight of the subpartitions, among all partion schemes that devides the subtree rooted at node i into no more than j parts, by removing no more than j-1 edges. C[i][j] = weight(i) for every j if i is a leaf node. C[i][1] is just the total weight of the subtree rooted at node i. For other i and j values, C[i][j] can be determined if we know for each descendant i' of i, values of C[i'][j'] for all j'. Every scheme to partion the subtree rooted at i into j parts can be considered as trying to detach a set of descendant of $i, i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_l$, where no one is a decendant of the other, from the subtree rooted at i, and then partition each subtree rooted at $i'_x, 1 \le x \le l$ into j'_x parts, with each $j'_x \ge 1$ and $\sum_x j'_x = j - 1$. For each such attempt, the minimum-maximum weight of the partitions is given by:

 $\max(C[i'_1][j'_1], C[i'_2][j'_2], \dots, C[i'_l][j'_l], \text{(Total weight of nodes that is not in any of the detached subtrees)}$

The value of C[i][j] is just the minimum value of this minimum-maximum sum among all possible detaching schemes.

To fill in the C[i][j] entries, each time we choose an i such that for every descendant i' of i, C[i'][j'] has been determined for all $1 \leq j' \leq k$. Then C[i][j] can be determined for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. It can be easily seen that finaly C[r][k] can be determined, where r is the root of the input tree. The value is the minimum-maximum weight we are looking for, and the partition can be determined by backtracing.

Problem 5 Solution

Consider $C[0 \dots m][0 \dots n]$, where C[i][j] is the minimum cost to convert $A[0 \dots i]$ to $B[0 \dots j]$. We have the relation:

$$C[i][j] = \begin{cases} 4j & i = 0 \\ 3i & j = 0 \\ C[i-1][j-1] & ij \neq 0, A[i] = B[j] \\ \min(C[i-1][j] + 3, C[i][j-1] + 4, C[i-1][j-1] + 5) & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From this the algorithm is obvious.