

# MATH 534 HOMEWORK 5

*Gustavo Esparza*

*3/9/2019*

1

## Preliminary Code

```
library(MCMCpack)      # Used for vech and xpnd to vectorize and unvectorize a matrix.

sqrtm = function (A) {
  a = eigen(A)
  sqm = a$vectors %*% diag(sqrt(a$values)) %*% t(a$vectors)
  sqm = (sqm+t(sqm))/2
}

gen = function(n,p,mu,sig,seed = 2013){

  set.seed(seed)
  x = matrix(rnorm(n*p),n,p)
  datan = x %*% sqrtm(sig) + matrix(mu,n,p, byrow = TRUE)
  datan
}

datan =gen(200, 3, c(1,-1,2), matrix(c(1,.7,.7,.7,1,.7,.7,.7,1),3) )
mu_0=c(0,0,0)
sigma_0=matrix(c(1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1),3)

mu_n=c(-1.5,1.5,2.3)
sigma_n=matrix(c(1,.5,.5,.5,1,.5,.5,.5,1),3)

tolerr=10e-6
tolgrad=10e-9
```

Likelihood/Gradient function:

```
likemvn = function (x,mu,sig,gcomp) {
  a = dim(x)
  n = a[1]
  p = a[2]
  signinv = solve(sig)
  C= matrix(0,p,p);
  sxm = matrix(0,p,1)
  gradm = sxm;
  for (i in 1:n){
    xm = x[i,] - mu
    sxm = sxm + xm
    C = C + xm %*% t(xm)}
  D = signinv%*%(n*sig-C)%*%signinv

  if(gcomp==TRUE){
    gradm = signinv %*% sxm
    grads= -D
    grads = grads - (1/2)*diag(diag(grads))} #Removing double counted diagonals
```

```

l = -(n*p*log(2*pi)+n*log(det(sig)) + sum(siginv * C))/2
list(l = l, gradm = if(gcomp) gradm, grads = if(gcomp) grads) }

```

HESSIAN function:

```

hessian = function(x,mu,sig){

  a = dim(x)
  n = a[1]
  p = a[2]
  siginv = solve(sig)
  C= matrix(0,p,p); #Sum(x-u)(x-u)t
  sxm = matrix(0,p,1) #sum(x-u)
  for (i in 1:n){
    xm = x[i,] - mu
    sxm = sxm + xm
    C = C + xm %*% t(xm) }
  B = siginv %*% (-n*sig + 2*C)%*%siginv #Used for DDSS
  V= t(sxm)%*%siginv #Used for DDMS/DDSM

#####DDmu#####
  ddm = -n*siginv
#####DDsigma#####
  ddss = matrix(0,p*(p+1)/2,p*(p+1)/2)
  r = 1
  for(i in 1:p){
    for(j in i:p){
      c = 1
      for(k in 1:p){
        for(l in k:p){
          if(i == j & k == 1 ){
            ddss[r, c] = (-1/2)*B[k,i]%*%siginv[i,k]}
          if(i == j & k != 1){
            ddss[r, c] = (-1/2)*(B[k,j]%*%siginv[i,1] + B[1,i]%*%siginv[j,k]) }
          if(i != j & k == 1){
            ddss[r, c] = (-1/2)*(B[k,j]%*%siginv[i,1] + B[k,i]%*%siginv[j,1])}
          if(i != j & k != 1){
            ddss[r, c] = (-1/2)*(B[k,j]%*%siginv[i,1] + B[k,i]%*%siginv[j,1]
                                + B[1,j]%*%siginv[i,k] + B[1,i]%*%siginv[j,k]) }

          c = c +1
          if( c == ((p*(p+1))/2)+1){
            r = r+1}
        } } } }

#####Diagonals#####
  ddms = matrix(0,p,p*(p+1)/2)

  for(r in 1:p){
    c = 1;
    for(k in 1:p){
      for(l in k:p){
        if(k == 1){
          ddms[r,c]= -siginv[r,k]*V[k];
        }
        else {

```

```

        ddms[r,c]=-(siginv[r,k]*V[l]+siginv[r,l]*V[k]);
    }
    c = c + 1;
}}
}}

ddsm = t(ddms)    #TRANSPOSE for other diagonal

##### BLOCK MATRIX #####

A = cbind(ddmm,ddms)
B = cbind(ddsm,ddss)

hess=rbind(A,B)

##### OUTPUT #####
list( ddmu = ddmm, ddsigma = ddss, ddmusigma = ddms, ddsigmamu=ddsm)

return(hess)
}

```

FISHER INFORMATION MATRIX FUNCTION:

```

Fisher=function(x,mu,sig){

##### SETUP #####
a = dim(x)
n = a[1]
p = a[2]
siginv = solve(sig)
C= matrix(0,p,p); #Sum(x-u)(x-u)t
sxm = matrix(0,p,1) #sum(x-u)
for (i in 1:n){
    xm = x[i,] - mu
    sxm = sxm + xm
    C = C + xm %*% t(xm)
}

##### MU #####
fm = n*siginv

##### DIAGONALS #####
fms = matrix(c(0),p,p*(p+1)/2)
fsm =t(fms)

##### Fisher of Sigma #####
fss = matrix(c(0),p*(p+1)/2,p*(p+1)/2) #Same matrix format as DDSS

r = 1
for(i in 1:p){
    for(j in i:p){
        c = 1
        for(k in 1:p){
            for(l in k:p){
                if(i == j & k == l ){
                    fss[r, c] = (n/2)*(siginv[i,k]^2)
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
}

```

```

    if(i == j & k != 1){
      fss[r, c] = n*(siginv[k,j]*siginv[i,1]) }

    if(i != j & k == 1){
      fss[r, c] = n*(siginv[k,i]*siginv[j,1])}

    if(i != j & k != 1){
      fss[r, c] = n*(siginv[k,j]*siginv[i,1]+siginv[k,i]*siginv[j,1])}

    c = c +1
    if( c == ((p*(p+1))/2)+1){
      r = r+1}
    }}}
  }

##### Block Matrix #####

A = cbind(fm,fms)
B = cbind(fsm,fss)

fish=rbind(A,B)

return(fish)
}

```

#### STEEPEST ASCENT ALGORITHM

```

optmvn <- function (x, mu, sig, maxit,tolerr,tolgrad) {

  cat("Iteration", " Halving", " log-likelihood", " ||Gradient||\n")

  for (it in 1:maxit){

    halve=0
    a =likemvn(x,mu,sig,gcomp = TRUE)
    dsig = a$grads
    dm = a$gradm
    sig1 = sig + dsig
    mu1 = mu + dm

    sigvec=vech(sig)                                #vectorizing sigma for tolerr and tolgrad

    sig1vec=vech(sig1)                              #vectorizing sigma1 for tolerr and tolgrad

    theta=matrix(c(mu,sigvec),ncol=1)               #Vector of initial values
    thetab=matrix(c(mu1,sig1vec),ncol=1)            #Vector of updated values
    elnorm=norm(matrix(c(dm,vech(dsig)),ncol=1))    #Norm of the gradient
    relerr= norm(thetab-theta)/max(1,norm(thetab))  #Relative Error

    cat(sprintf(' %2.0f                %4.4f    %1.1e\n'
      ,it,a$1,elnorm))

    if(relerr < tolerr & elnorm < tolgrad){
      break
    }
  }
}

```

```

}

while (min(eigen(sig1)$value)<=0)  #Half stepping for positive covariance matrix
{
  halve = halve + 1
  sig1 = sig + dsig/2^halve
  cat(sprintf(' %2.0f      %2.0f      %s\n'
              ,it,halve,' NA'))
}

mu1 = mu + dm/2^halve  #Getting the same step for mu
atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp = FALSE)

while (atmp$l < a$l){  #Halfstepping for direction
  halve = halve+1
  mu1 = mu + dm/2^halve
  sig1 = sig + dsig/2^halve
  atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp = TRUE)
  elnorm=norm(matrix(c(atmp$gradm,vech(atmp$grads)),ncol=1))
  cat(sprintf(' %2.0f      %2.0f      %4.4f  %1.1e\n'
              ,it,halve,atmp$l,elnorm)) }

      mu = mu1  #Update Values
      sig= sig1

  cat(sprintf('%s\n'
              , '-----'))
}

list(mean = mu, covariance= sig)
}

optmvn(datan, mu_0,sigma_0,1000,tolerr,tolgrad)

```

OUTPUT:

Iteration	Halving	log-likelihood	Gradient
1		-1402.1983	2.1e+03
1	1	NA	
1	2	NA	
1	3	NA	
1	4	NA	
1	5	NA	
1	6	NA	
1	7	NA	
1	8	NA	
1	9	NA	
-----			
2		-934.4694	4.8e+02
2	1	NA	
2	2	NA	
2	3	NA	
2	4	NA	
2	5	NA	

2	6	NA	
2	7	NA	
2	8	-870.9406	6.2e+02

---

.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.

  

998		-707.1835	2.9e-05
998	1	-707.1835	2.7e-02
998	2	-707.1835	1.4e-02
998	3	-707.1835	6.9e-03
998	4	-707.1835	3.4e-03
998	5	-707.1835	1.7e-03
998	6	-707.1835	8.3e-04
998	7	-707.1835	4.0e-04
998	8	-707.1835	1.9e-04
998	9	-707.1835	7.8e-05
998	10	-707.1835	2.5e-05

---

999		-707.1835	2.5e-05
999	1	-707.1835	2.3e-02
999	2	-707.1835	1.2e-02
999	3	-707.1835	5.8e-03
999	4	-707.1835	2.9e-03
999	5	-707.1835	1.4e-03
999	6	-707.1835	7.0e-04
999	7	-707.1835	3.4e-04
999	8	-707.1835	1.6e-04
999	9	-707.1835	6.6e-05
999	10	-707.1835	2.1e-05

---

1000		-707.1835	2.1e-05
1000	1	-707.1835	1.9e-02
1000	2	-707.1835	9.7e-03
1000	3	-707.1835	4.8e-03
1000	4	-707.1835	2.4e-03
1000	5	-707.1835	1.2e-03
1000	6	-707.1835	5.9e-04
1000	7	-707.1835	2.8e-04
1000	8	-707.1835	1.3e-04
1000	9	-707.1835	5.5e-05
1000	10	-707.1835	1.7e-05

---

\$mean

	[,1]
[1,]	0.8921026
[2,]	-1.1205652
[3,]	1.8870434

  

\$covariance

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]
[1,]	0.9397384	0.6475741	0.5840537
[2,]	0.6475741	1.1196468	0.6617128

```
[3,] 0.5840537 0.6617128 0.8365201
```

The Steepest Ascent algorithm goes through the maximum amount of iterations without breaking the Gradient/MRE threshold, but we do ultimately converge to a desired MLE.

FISHER SCORING ALGORITHM:

```
optmvnFisher <- function (x, mu, sig, maxit, tolerr, tolgrad) {

  a = dim(x)
  n = a[1]
  p = a[2]
  p1 = p+1
  p2 = p+p*(p+1)/2

  cat("Iteration", " Halving", " log-likelihood", " ||Gradient||\n")

  for (it in 1:maxit){
    halve=0
    a =likemvn(x,mu,sig,gcomp = TRUE)

    sigma=vech(sig)           #vectorized sigma
    theta=matrix(c(mu,sigma),ncol=1) # vector consisting of all mu's and sigmas.

    dm = a$gradm
    dsig = a$grads
    dsigvec=vech(dsig)        #vectorized dsig for the norm

    f= Fisher(x,mu,sig)
    finv=solve(f)

    gradtheta=matrix(c(dm,dsigvec),ncol=1) #vectorized gradient
    elnorm=norm(gradtheta)

    thetab = theta + finv*%*%gradtheta # Steepest Ascent

    relerr= norm(thetab-theta)/max(1,norm(thetab)) #Relative Error

    cat(sprintf(' %2.0f           %4.4f   %1.1e\n'
                ,it,a$1,elnorm))

    if(relerr<tolerr & elnorm<tolgrad){ #Checking Accuracy
      break }

    #Getting sigma back into a matrix to check for positive eigenvalues

    sig1 = thetab[p1:p2] # Pulling all of the sigma values out of the vector

    sig1 = xpnnd(sig1,p) # creating a symmetric covariance matrix

    halve=0
    while (min(eigen(sig1)$value)<=0)
    {
```

```

halve = halve + 1
thetab = theta + (finv**%gradtheta)/2^halve

cat(sprintf(' %2.0f      %2.0f      %s\n'
            ,it,halve,' NA'))

sig1 = thetab[p1:p2]                                #updated vector to matrix
sig1 = xpnd(sig1,p)
}

mu1 = thetab[1:p]
atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp =FALSE)

while (atmp$1 < a$1 & halve <= 20){ #Checking direction
    halve = halve+1
    thetab = theta + (finv**%gradtheta)/2^halve

    mu1=thetab[1:p]                                #updated vector to matrix
    sig1 = thetab[p1:p2]
    sig1=xpnd(sig1,p)

    atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp =TRUE)

    dm = atmp$gradm
    dsig = atmp$grads
    dsigvec=vech(dsig)

    gradtheta=matrix(c(dm,dsigvec),ncol=1)
    elnorm2=norm(gradtheta)

    cat(sprintf(' %2.0f      %2.0f      %4.4f %1.1e\n'
                ,it,halve, atmp$1, elnorm2)) }

if(halve==0){ #Printing iteration out if while loop doesn't start
    atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp =TRUE)
    dm = atmp$gradm
    dsig = atmp$grads
    dsigvec=vech(dsig)
    gradtheta=matrix(c(dm,dsigvec),ncol=1)
    elnorm2=norm(gradtheta)
    cat(sprintf(' %2.0f      %2.0f      %4.4f %1.1e\n'
                ,it,halve, atmp$1, elnorm2))
}

mu = mu1
sig = sig1

cat(sprintf('%s\n'
            , '-----'))
}

list(mean = mu, covariance= sig)
}

```



```
optmvnFisher(datan,mu_0,sigma_0,1000,tolerr,tolgrad)
```

```
## Iteration Halving log-likelihood ||Gradient||
## 1 -1402.1983 2.1e+03
## 1 0 -896.5452 4.0e+01
## -----
## 2 -896.5452 4.0e+01
## 2 0 -707.1835 7.4e-12
## -----
## 3 -707.1835 7.4e-12

## $mean
## [1] 0.8921026 -1.1205652 1.8870434
##
## $covariance
## [,1] [,2] [,3]
## [1,] 0.9397384 0.6475741 0.5840537
## [2,] 0.6475741 1.1196468 0.6617128
## [3,] 0.5840537 0.6617128 0.8365201
```

The Fisher algorithm converges to the same MLE as our Steepest Ascent, but at a much faster convergence rate. We note that it took a mere 3 iterations to arrive at our desired estimate.

#### NEWTON METHOD ALGORITHM

```
optmvnNewton <- function(x, mu, sig,maxit,tolerr,tolgrad) {

  a = dim(x)
  n = a[1]
  p = a[2]
  p1 = p+1
  p2 = p+p*(p+1)/2

  cat("Iteration", " Halving", " log-likelihood", " ||Gradient||\n")

  for (it in 1:maxit){
    halve=0
    a =likemvn(x,mu,sig,gcomp =TRUE)

    sigmavec=vech(sig)
    theta=matrix(c(mu,sigmavec),ncol=1)

    dm = a$gradm
    dsig = a$grads
    dsigvec=vech(dsig)

    h=hessian(x,mu,sig)
    hinv=solve(h)

    gradtheta=matrix(c(dm,dsigvec),ncol=1)

    elnorm=norm(gradtheta)

    thetab = theta - hinv%*%gradtheta #Newton Method
    relerr= norm(thetab-theta)/max(1,norm(thetab))
```

```

cat(sprintf(' %2.0f          %4.4f   %1.1e\n'
            ,it,a$1,elnorm))

if(reterr < tolerr & elnorm < tolgrad){
  break }

# Getting sigma into a matrix to check for positive eigenvalues

sig1=thetab[p1:p2]
sig1=xpnd(sig1,p)
mu1=thetab[1:p]

halve=0
while (min(eigen(sig1)$value)<=0)  #Parameter Check
{
  halve = halve + 1
  thetab = theta - (hinv*%gradtheta)/2^halve

  cat(sprintf(' %2.0f          %2.0f          %s\n'
              ,it,halve,'   NA'))

  sig1 = thetab[p1:p2]
  sig1 = xpnd(sig1,p)
  mu1=thetab[1:p] }

atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp = FALSE)

while (atmp$1 < a$1 & halve <= 20){

  halve = halve+1
  thetab = theta - (hinv*%gradtheta)/2^halve
  mu1=thetab[1:p]

  sig1=thetab[p1:p2]
  sig1=xpnd(sig1,p)

  atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp = TRUE)
  dm = atmp$gradm
  dsig = atmp$grads
  dsigvec=vech(dsig)
  gradtheta=matrix(c(dm,dsigvec),ncol=1)
  elnorm=norm(gradtheta)
  cat(sprintf(' %2.0f          %2.0f          %4.4f   %1.1e\n'
              ,it,halve,atmp$1,elnorm))}

if(halve==0){
  atmp = likemvn(x,mu1,sig1,gcomp = TRUE)  #Output if while loop doesn't start.
  dm = atmp$gradm
  dsig = atmp$grads
  dsigvec=vech(dsig)
  gradtheta=matrix(c(dm,dsigvec),ncol=1)
  elnorm=norm(gradtheta)
cat(sprintf(' %2.0f          %2.0f          %4.4f   %1.1e\n'

```

```

        ,it,halve,atmp$l,elnorm))
    }
    mu = mu1
    sig = sig1
    cat(sprintf('%s\n'
                , '-----'))
  }
  list(mean = mu, covariance= sig)
}

```

```
optmvnNewton(datan,mu_n,sigma_n,1000,tolerr,tolgrad)
```

Iteration	Halving	log-likelihood	Gradient
1		-3258.5392	1.3e+04
1	1	NA	
1	2	NA	
1	3	NA	
1	4	NA	
1	5	NA	
1	6	NA	

---

2		-1335.8986	1.7e+04
2	1	NA	
2	2	NA	

---

.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.

---

11		-707.1835	3.2e-03
11	0	-707.1835	3.7e-08

---

12		-707.1835	3.7e-08
12	0	-707.1835	1.1e-12

---

13		-707.1835	1.1e-12
----	--	-----------	---------

\$mean

```
[1] 0.8921026 -1.1205652 1.8870434
```

\$covariance

```

      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] 0.9397384 0.6475741 0.5840537
[2,] 0.6475741 1.1196468 0.6617128
[3,] 0.5840537 0.6617128 0.8365201

```

The Newton algorithm also converges to the desired MLE after a short amount of iterations. Although less efficient than the Fisher method, we still find the Newton method to be a more viable option than Steepest Ascent.

E.)

```
datan2 =gen(200, 3, c(-1,1,2), matrix(c(1,.9,.9,.9,1,.9,.9,.9,1),3))# New data
optmvn(datan2,mu_0,sigma_0,1000,tolerr,tolgrad) #Steepest Ascent with new data.
```

OUTPUT

Iteration	Halving	log-likelihood	Gradient
1		-1387.6201	2.1e+03
1	1	NA	
1	2	NA	
1	3	NA	
1	4	NA	
1	5	NA	
1	6	NA	
1	7	NA	
1	8	NA	
1	9	NA	
-----			
2		-863.5420	6.9e+02
2	1	NA	
2	2	NA	
2	3	NA	
2	4	NA	
2	5	NA	
2	6	NA	
2	7	NA	
2	8	NA	
2	9	NA	
2	10	NA	
-----			
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
998		-502.8761	7.1e-02
998	1	-505.8211	9.1e+02
998	2	-503.5059	3.6e+02
998	3	-503.0226	1.6e+02
998	4	-502.9115	7.5e+01
998	5	-502.8848	3.7e+01
998	6	-502.8783	1.8e+01
998	7	-502.8766	8.9e+00
998	8	-502.8762	4.4e+00
998	9	-502.8761	2.2e+00
998	10	-502.8761	1.0e+00
998	11	-502.8761	4.9e-01
998	12	-502.8761	2.1e-01
998	13	-502.8761	6.9e-02
998	14	-502.8761	1.0e-02
-----			
999		-502.8761	1.0e-02
999	1	-502.8810	2.5e+01
999	2	-502.8773	1.2e+01
999	3	-502.8764	6.2e+00
999	4	-502.8762	3.1e+00

```

999      5      -502.8761  1.6e+00
999      6      -502.8761  7.8e-01
999      7      -502.8761  3.9e-01
999      8      -502.8761  1.9e-01
999      9      -502.8761  9.5e-02
999     10      -502.8761  4.6e-02
-----
1000      -502.8761  4.6e-02
1000      1      -504.2234  5.1e+02
1000      2      -503.1814  2.2e+02
1000      3      -502.9490  1.0e+02
1000      4      -502.8939  5.0e+01
1000      5      -502.8805  2.4e+01
1000      6      -502.8772  1.2e+01
1000      7      -502.8764  6.0e+00
1000      8      -502.8762  3.0e+00
1000      9      -502.8761  1.5e+00
1000     10      -502.8761  7.1e-01
1000     11      -502.8761  3.3e-01
1000     12      -502.8761  1.4e-01
1000     13      -502.8761  4.8e-02
-----
$mean
      [,1]
[1,] -1.1195134
[2,]  0.8731728
[3,]  1.8775656

$covariance
      [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
[1,] 0.9212101 0.8487487 0.7940290
[2,] 0.8487487 1.0310343 0.8448413
[3,] 0.7940290 0.8448413 0.8695619

```

This Steepest Ascent optimization still took the entire 1000 iterations to converge, but we can note that the final gradient value is larger than the final gradient value for our first Steepest Ascent optimization using the 0.7 covariance matrix. Thus, we can say that the first optimization (.7) converges to our value at a quicker rate than the second optimization (.9). We will use the eigenvalues of the information matrix to calculate the convergence rate and compare.

```

#Create parameter values for MLE estimates

seven.mu=matrix(c( 0.8921026, -1.1205652, 1.8870434))
seven.sig=matrix(c(0.9397384, 0.6475741, 0.5840537,0.6475741,
                  1.1196468, 0.6617128, 0.5840537, 0.6617128, 0.8365201),3,3)

nine.mu  = matrix(c( -1.1195134, 0.8731728, 1.8775656))
nine.sig = matrix(c(0.9212101, 0.8487487,0.7940290, 0.8487487, 1.0310343, 0.8448413,
                  0.7940290, 0.8448413,0.8695619 ),3)

#Compute Hessians
seven.hess = hessian(datan,seven.mu,seven.sig)
nine.hess  = hessian(datan2,nine.mu,nine.sig)

#Compute eigenvalues

```

```

ev7=eigen(-seven.hess)$val
ev9=eigen(-nine.hess)$val

#Convergence ratio
cr7 = ((max(ev7) - min(ev7))/(max(ev7) + min(ev7)))^2
cr9 = ((max(ev9) - min(ev9))/(max(ev9) + min(ev9)))^2

cr7

## [1] 0.9390445

cr9

## [1] 0.994833

```

Thus, we can observe that the convergence ratio from our first Steepest Ascent (.939) is smaller than that of the second Steepest Ascent Algorithm (.994). From the discussion in lecture, we can confirm that the First steepest ascent converges faster than the second steepest ascent.

F.) Standard errors can be found by using a modified version of our Fisher function.

```

Fisher2=function(x,mu,sig){
  a = dim(x)
  n = a[1]
  p = a[2]
  siginv = solve(sig)
  C= matrix(0,p,p); #Sum(x-u)(x-u)t
  sxm = matrix(0,p,1) #sum(x-u)
  for (i in 1:n){
    xm = x[i,] - mu
    sxm = sxm + xm
    C = C + xm %*% t(xm)}

  fm = n*siginv
  fss = matrix(c(0),p*(p+1)/2,p*(p+1)/2) #Same matrix format as DDSS
  r = 1
  for(i in 1:p){
    for(j in i:p){
      c = 1
      for(k in 1:p){
        for(l in k:p){
          if(i == j & k == 1){
            fss[r, c] = (n/2)*(siginv[i,k]^2)
          }
          if(i == j & k != 1){
            fss[r, c] = n*(siginv[k,j]*siginv[i,l]) }
          if(i != j & k == 1){
            fss[r, c] = n*(siginv[k,i]*siginv[j,l])}
          if(i != j & k != 1){
            fss[r, c] = n*(siginv[k,j]*siginv[i,l]+siginv[k,i]*siginv[j,l])}
          c= c +1
          if( c== ((p*(p+1))/2)+1){
            r = r+1}
          }}}
    }
  }
  list(fishermu =fm, fishersigma = fss)
}

```

We will use the MLE estimate found in part D to find their respective standard errors.

```
finalmu=matrix(c( 0.8921026, -1.1205652, 1.8870434))
finalsig=matrix(c(0.9397384, 0.6475741, 0.5840537,0.6475741,
                  1.1196468, 0.6617128, 0.5840537, 0.6617128, 0.8365201),3,3)

final = Fisher2(datan,finalmu,finalsig)    #Fisher of estimates
se_mu = sqrt(diag(solve(final$fishermu)))  #Standard error or mu
se_sigma = sqrt(diag(solve(final$fishersigma))) #Standard error of sigma
se_sigma = xpnd(se_sigma,3)

se_mu

## [1] 0.06854701 0.07482135 0.06467303

se_sigma

##           [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
## [1,] 0.09397384 0.08577667 0.07507426
## [2,] 0.08577667 0.11196468 0.08289966
## [3,] 0.07507426 0.08289966 0.08365201
```

Thus, we have found our standard error values for  $\hat{\mu}$ , (0.06854701 0.07482135 0.06467303) and  $\hat{\Sigma}$ , (0.09397384, 0.08577667, 0.07507426, 0.11196468, 0.08289966, 0.08365201).

2

A.)

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (w_i \log(\lambda(t_i) \exp(x_i^T \beta) \exp(-\mu_i))) + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - w_i) (\log(\exp(-\mu_i))) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log(\lambda(t_i)) + w_i (x^T \beta) - \mu \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left[ \log\left(\frac{\lambda(t_i)}{\Lambda(t_i)}\right) + \log(\Lambda(t_i)) + x^T \beta \right] - \mu \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left[ \log\left(\frac{\lambda(t_i)}{\Lambda(t_i)}\right) + \log(\Lambda(t_i) \exp(x^T \beta)) \right] - \mu \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log\left(\frac{\lambda(t_i)}{\Lambda(t_i)}\right) + w_i \log(\mu) - \mu \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log(\mu) - \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log\left(\frac{\lambda(t_i)}{\Lambda(t_i)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

B.)

Gradient Elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial l}{\partial \alpha} &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log(t_i) - t_i^\alpha \log(t_i) \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) + \frac{w_i}{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \log(t_i) - \mu_i \log(t_i) + \frac{w_i}{\alpha} \\
\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta_0} &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i - t_i^\alpha \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i - \mu_i \\
\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta_1} &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \delta_i - t_i^\alpha \delta_i \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i w_i - \delta_i \mu_i
\end{aligned}$$

Hessian elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -t_i^\alpha \log^2(t_i) \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) - \frac{w_i}{\alpha^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n -\mu_i \log^2(t_i) - \frac{w_i}{\alpha^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \beta_0^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -t_i^\alpha \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n -\mu_i \\
\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \beta_1^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n -t_i^\alpha \delta_i^2 \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n -\delta_i^2 \mu_i
\end{aligned}$$



$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta_0} = \sum_{i=1}^n -t_i^\alpha \log(t_i) \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n -\mu_i \log(t_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta_1} = \sum_{i=1}^n -t_i^\alpha \delta_i \log(t_i) \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n -\delta_i \log(t_i) \mu_i$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \beta_0 \partial \beta_1} = \sum_{i=1}^n -t_i^\alpha \delta_i \exp(\beta_0 + \delta_i \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n -\delta_i \mu_i$$

We first need to input our data:

```
survival = c(6, 6, 6, 6, 7, 9, 10, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 32, 32, 34, 35,
            1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 8, 8, 8, 8, 11, 11, 12, 12, 15, 17, 22, 23)

censored = c(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
            1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

treatment = c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
            0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
```

Likelihood/Gradient/Hessian:

```
likelihood<-function(theta,gcomp,hesscomp){
  a = theta[1]
  Bo=theta[2]
  B1=theta[3]

  d=treatment
  w=censored
  t=survival

  mu = (t^a)*exp(Bo+d*B1) #defined in textbook.

  l = sum(w*log(mu)-mu+w*log(a/t))

  if(gcomp==TRUE) {
    gradient=matrix(0,3,1)

    gradient[1]=sum(w*log(t)-mu*log(t)+w/a) #da
    gradient[2]=sum(w-mu) #dBo
    gradient[3]=sum(d*w-d*mu) #B1
  }

  if(hesscomp==TRUE){
    hessian = matrix(0,3,3)
    hessian[1,1] = sum(-(mu*log(t)^2)-w/(a^2)) #Daa
    hessian[1,2] = hessian[2,1] = sum(-log(t)*mu) #DaB0
    hessian[1,3] = hessian[3,1] = sum(-d*log(t)*mu) #DaB1
    hessian[2,2] = sum(-mu) #DBOBo
    hessian[2,3] = hessian[3,2] = sum(-d*mu) #DBOB1
    hessian[3,3] = sum(-mu*d^2) #DB1B1
  }

  list(l=l,grad=if(gcomp) gradient,hess=if(hesscomp)hessian)
}
```

NEWTON ALGORITHM:

```
# No need to input parameters seperately this time, just use a vectorized theta.

Newton <- function (theta,maxit,tolerr,tolgrad) {

  cat("Iteration", " Halving", " log-likelihood", " ||Gradient||\n")
```

```

for(it in 1:maxit){

a =likelihood(theta,TRUE,TRUE)
H=solve(a$hess)
theta1 = theta - H%*%a$grad
atmp = likelihood(theta,FALSE,FALSE)
elnorm = norm(a$grad)
relerr=norm(theta1-theta)/max(1,norm(theta1))

cat(sprintf(' %2.0f          %4.4f    %1.1e\n'
            ,it,a$1,elnorm))

if (norm(a$grad)<tolgrad & relerr<tolerr ){
  break
}

halve = 0;
alpha=theta1[1]
while (atmp$1 < a$1 || theta1[1]<0){
  halve = halve+1
  theta1 = theta -H%*%a$grad/(2^halve)
  atmp = likelihood(theta1,TRUE,TRUE)
  elnorm = norm(atmp$grad)

  cat(sprintf(' %2.0f          %2.0f          %4.4f\n'
              ,it,halve,atmp$1,elnorm))
}
theta = theta1

cat(sprintf('%s\n'
            ,'-----'))

alpha_0 = theta[1]
beta_0 = theta[2]
beta_1 = theta[3]

list(alpha = alpha_0, beta0 = beta_0, beta1 = beta_1)
}

```

We will use a starting theta of  $\alpha = 1$  ,  $\beta_0 = 2$ , and  $\beta_1 = 3$

```
Newton(c(1,2,3),1000,tolerr=10e-6,tolgrad=10e-9)
```

```

## Iteration  Halving    log-likelihood ||Gradient||
##    1                -54538.1323    2.7e+05
## -----
##    2                -20049.2240    1.0e+05
## -----
##    3                -7379.7531     3.7e+04
## -----
##    4                -2736.1327     1.3e+04
## -----
##    5                -1042.6178     4.9e+03

```

```
## -----
##      6              -430.1560    1.8e+03
## -----
##      7              -211.3002    6.4e+02
## -----
##      8              -135.7602    2.2e+02
## -----
##      9              -112.4796    7.3e+01
## -----
##     10              -107.1769    1.9e+01
## -----
##     11              -106.5922    2.5e+00
## -----
##     12              -106.5795    6.3e-02
## -----
##     13              -106.5795    4.3e-05
## -----
##     14              -106.5795    2.0e-11
##
## $alpha
## [1] 1.365758
##
## $beta0
## [1] -3.070704
##
## $beta1
## [1] -1.730872
```

The newton algorithm took 14 iterations to reach the final MLE value of -106.5795 with desired accuracy. We can also see the estimates of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  to be 1.365758, -3.070704 and -1.730872

C.)

In order to use the built in function, we need to create a likelihood and gradient function separately.

```
likelihood2 = function(theta){
  a = theta[1]
  Bo=theta[2]
  B1=theta[3]

  d=treatment
  w=censored
  t=survival
  mu = (t^a)*exp(Bo+d*B1)
  l = sum(w*log(mu)-mu+w*log(a/t))
  return(l)
}

gradient2 = function(theta)
{
  a = theta[1]
  Bo=theta[2]
  B1=theta[3]

  d=treatment
  w=censored
```

```

t=survival

mu = (t^a)*exp(Bo+d*B1)
da=sum(w*log(t)-mu*log(t)+w/a)
dBo=sum(w-mu)
dB1=sum(d*w-d*mu)
gradient=cbind(da,dBo,dB1)
return(gradient)
}

```

Using the BFGS Method, we have the following input: Note: control needs to be set to -1 in order to search for the maximum likelihood rather than the minimum. We will use the thta value (1,2,3) as an initial starting point.

```
optim(par=c(1,2,3),fn=likelihood2,gr=gradient2,method="BFGS",control=c(fnscale=-1))
```

```

## $par
## [1] 1.365748 -3.070683 -1.730874
##
## $value
## [1] -106.5795
##
## $counts
## function gradient
##      67      20
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## NULL

```

The estimates provided by the built in function are strikingly similar to those that were computed using the Newton Algorithm.

D.) We will use the Hessian to find our standard errors, as the function has already been created and is the information matrix.

```

theta_mle=c(1.365748,-3.070683,-1.730874)
H=likelihood(theta_mle,FALSE,TRUE)$hess
invH=solve(-H)
se=sqrt(diag(invH))

```

```
se
```

```
## [1] 0.2011640 0.5580675 0.4130834
```

Thus, the above values are the standard errors for the MLE estimates of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .

In order to compare the correlation of our estimates, we will use the following code:

```

cor(invH)

##           [,1]      [,2]      [,3]
## [1,] 1.00000000 -0.9830777 -0.07119053
## [2,] -0.98307775 1.0000000 -0.11273819
## [3,] -0.07119053 -0.1127382 1.00000000

```

We can see that  $\alpha$  is highly negatively correlated with  $\beta_0$  with a correlation of -0.983, and only slightly

negatively correlated with  $\beta_1$ , having a correlation of -0.07. In addition, the correlation between  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  -0.112 which is quite low as well.