

Hilbert Space

(1) Preliminary:

For X is vector space on k , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow k$, satisfy:

i) $k = \mathbb{C}$, $\langle \alpha x + \eta, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \langle \eta, z \rangle$, $\langle x, \eta \rangle = \overline{\langle \eta, x \rangle}$.

for $\forall x, \eta, z \in X$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

ii) $k = \mathbb{R}$, $\langle \alpha x + \eta, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \langle \eta, z \rangle$, $\langle x, \eta \rangle = \langle \eta, x \rangle$.

for $\forall x, \eta \in X$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Def: $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$, for $x \in X$, "norm" of x .

Prop: $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on X , $k = \mathbb{C}$ ($k = \mathbb{R}$ similar)

Pf: $\|x\| \geq 0$, $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$, are trivial.

Next, prove: $\|x + \eta\| \leq \|x\| + \|\eta\|$

Lemma (Schwarz Inequality)

$$|\langle x, \eta \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle \langle \eta, \eta \rangle}, \quad \forall x, \eta \in X.$$

Pf: For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\langle x + t\eta, x + t\eta \rangle$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle + 2t \operatorname{Re} \langle x, \eta \rangle + t^2 \langle \eta, \eta \rangle \geq 0.$$

Suppose $|\langle x, \eta \rangle| e^{i\theta} = \langle x, \eta \rangle$

Let $x = e^{-i\theta} \tilde{x}$, \therefore From $\Delta \leq 0$.

$$|\langle \tilde{x}, \eta \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{x} \rangle \langle \eta, \eta \rangle}, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$|\langle x, \eta \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle \langle \eta, \eta \rangle}.$$

"=" holds when $x = \frac{\|x\|}{\|\eta\|} e^{i\theta} \eta$.

$$\Rightarrow \|x+\eta\| = \sqrt{\langle x+\eta, x+\eta \rangle} = \sqrt{\|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, \eta \rangle + \|\eta\|^2}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\|x\|^2 + 2\|x\|\|\eta\| + \|\eta\|^2} \leq \|x\| + \|\eta\|.$$

Rmk: i) $\|x\| = \max_{\|\eta\|=1} |\langle x, \eta \rangle|$. Take $\eta = x/\|x\|$.

ii) If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ satisfies parallelogram law:

$$\|a+b\|^2 + \|a-b\|^2 = 2(\|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2), \quad \forall a, b \in X. \text{ Then } X \text{ is}$$

a inner product space with $\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\|x+y\|^2 - \|x-y\|^2)$

Over \mathbb{C} : $\langle x, y \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle - i \operatorname{Im}\langle x, y \rangle$.

pf: i) $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle, \quad \langle -u, v \rangle = -\langle u, v \rangle, \quad \langle u, 2v \rangle = 2\langle u, v \rangle.$

ii) $\langle u+v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$

iii) $\langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \lambda \langle u, v \rangle, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$

① Hilbert space:

If X is a vector space equipped with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Then X is said to be Hilbert Space $\Leftrightarrow \|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$

is complete.

prop. If $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ isn't complete. Then we can

embed $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ into its completion $(\bar{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$

st. $X \subseteq \bar{X}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_X = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle.$

pf: Define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $\bar{X} = \langle \langle x, y \rangle \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, y_n \rangle$

where $x_n \rightarrow x, \quad y_n \rightarrow y.$

1') It's well-def. for another pair:

$$\tilde{x}_n \rightarrow x, \quad \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y, \quad |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{y}_n \rangle|$$

$$\leq |\langle x_n - \tilde{x}_n, y_n \rangle| + |\langle \tilde{x}_n, y_n - \tilde{y}_n \rangle| \rightarrow 0$$

2') The limit $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle$ exists:

since $(\langle x_n, y_n \rangle)$ is Cauchy. (easy to check)

3') $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfies the requires to be scalar product.

4') Norm from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is: $\|x\| = \lim \|x_n\|, x_n \rightarrow x$.

prop. H is Hilbert space. Then H is uniformly convex.

Pf: By parallelogram law from scalar product.

② Projection:

Thm. $K \subseteq H, K \neq \emptyset$, closed, convex. Then $\forall f \in H$.

$$\exists u \in K, \text{ s.t. } \|f - u\| = \min_{v \in K} \|f - v\| = \text{dist}(f, K).$$

u is characterized by: $u \in K, (f - u, v - u) \leq 0 \forall v \in K$.

Pf: 1) $\varphi(u) = \|f - u\|^2$, convex BLF. $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u) = \infty$.

$\therefore \varphi$ attain minimum in K .

2) $\forall v \in K$, since $u \in K, \therefore tu + (1-t)v \in K$.

$$\|f - u\|^2 \leq \|f - tu - (1-t)v\|^2 = \|f - u - t(v - u)\|^2.$$

Let $t \rightarrow 1^+$, attain $(f - u, v - u) \leq 0$.

$$\text{Conversely, } \|u - f\|^2 - \|v - f\|^2 = 2(f - u, v - u) - \|u - v\|^2 \leq 0.$$

3) Uniqueness: From characterization. Let $v = u_1, u_2$.

Remark: The characterization means that

$$\theta = \langle \vec{uf}, \vec{uv} \rangle \geq \frac{\pi}{2}, u \text{ satisfies:}$$

$$\|u - f\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 \leq \|v - f\|^2, \text{ as well.}$$



Cor. Replace Hilbert space by uniformly convex

Banach space. the thm still holds:

pf: since it's reflexive space.

Definition: $u \triangleq P_K f$, if $\|f - u\| = \min_{v \in K} \|f - v\|$.

prop. $K \subseteq H$, convex, closed set. Then P_K doesn't increase distance: $\|P_K f_1 - P_K f_2\| \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|$.

pf: By characterization of projection.

$$v = P_K f_1, P_K f_2 \quad \therefore \|P_K f_1 - P_K f_2\| \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|$$

Cor. $M \subseteq H$, closed linear subspace, $f \in H$, $u = P_M f$.

is characterized by $(f - u, v) = 0, \forall v \in M$.

Besides, $P_M(\cdot)$ is linear operator.

pf: 1°) $(f - u, \pm v - u) \leq 0$. Divide \pm , let $\pm \rightarrow \pm\infty/-\infty$.

2°) Conversely, $(f - u, v - u) = 0$, since $u \in M$.

③ Linear Span in Hilbert Space:

prop. $\{x_\theta\}_{\theta \in I} \subseteq H$. Then $Z \in \text{CLS}\{x_\theta\}_{\theta \in I} \iff$

For $x \in H$, $\langle x, x_\theta \rangle = 0 \forall \theta \in I$, conclude $\langle Z, x \rangle = 0$.

pf: $Y \triangleq \text{CLS}\{x_\theta\}_{\theta \in I}$. Then $H = Y \oplus Y^\perp$.

Lemma. $(x_\theta)_{\theta \in I}$ Orthonormal vectors family.

For $x \in H$. Denote $\alpha_\theta = \langle x, x_\theta \rangle$. Then.

i) $\{\theta \in I \mid \alpha_\theta \neq 0\}$ is countable.

ii) $\sum_{\theta \in I} |\alpha_\theta|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$. (Bessel Inequality)

Pf: 1) For $J \subseteq I$, countable subset.

Denote $J = (\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$.

Since $\|\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{\theta_i} x_{\theta_i} - x\|^2 \geq 0$, we obtain:

$$\|x\|^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{\theta_i}|^2, \text{ let } m \rightarrow \infty. \therefore \|x\|^2 \geq \sum_J |\alpha_{\theta_i}|^2$$

2) Consider $J_m = \{\theta \in I \mid |\alpha_\theta| \geq \frac{1}{m}\}$.

Claim it's a finite set. For $\forall (q_k)_{k=1}^N \in J_m$

$$\frac{N}{m^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^N |q_k|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 = \frac{C}{m^2}, \text{ for some } C > 0.$$

$$\therefore \{ \theta \in I \mid \alpha_\theta \neq 0 \} = \bigcup_m J_m \text{ countable set.}$$

3) From 1), 2), obtain Bessel Inequality

Remark: " \leq " can hold strictly, since $(x_\theta)_{\theta \in I}$ may not be orthonormal basis.

prop. $(x_\theta)_{\theta \in I}$ orthonormal set. Then $\text{CLS } \{x_\theta\}_{\theta \in I}$ has

$$\text{form: } \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \sum_{i \geq 1} |\alpha_i|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Pf: 1) $\{ \sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots \}$ is linear

2) $\{ \sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots \}$ is closed:

$$\text{For } z_n \rightarrow z, (z_n) \in \{ \sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots \}.$$

$$\text{prove: } z \in \{ \sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots \}.$$

Suppose $z_n = \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j^n x_{\theta_j^n}$, $\sum_j |\alpha_j^n|^2 < \infty$, $\forall n$.

Denote $J_n = \{\theta_j^n \mid \alpha_j^n \neq 0\}$. $\therefore J = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} J_n$ countable.

Denote $z_n = \sum_{j \geq 1} \beta_j^n x_{\theta_j^n} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \geq 1} \beta_j^k x_{\theta_j^k}$, where $J = \{\theta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$.

Embed $\{\sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j x_{\theta_j} \mid \sum |\alpha_j|^2 < \infty\}$ into ℓ^2 :

$\{\sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots\} \xrightarrow{T} \ell^2$. T is isometry. Besides,

$$\sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \longmapsto (\alpha_i) \quad (z_n) \subseteq \{\sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots\}.$$

$\therefore (z_n)$ Cauchy $\Rightarrow (Tz_n)$ Cauchy. Know that ℓ^2 is Banach

$\therefore Tz_n \rightarrow (\beta_k)$. $\therefore z_n \rightarrow \sum \beta_k x_{\theta_k} \in \{\sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots\}$.

Since $\{\sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots\} \subseteq \text{CLS} \{x_{\theta} \}_{\theta \in J}$

we're done.

$$\{x_{\theta} \}_{\theta \in J} \subseteq \{\sum \alpha_i x_{\theta_i} \mid \dots\} \text{ CLS}$$

(2) Dual Space:

① Thm. (Riesz Representation)

$\forall \varphi \in H^*$. Then exists a unique $f \in H$, s.t.

$$\varphi(u) = (f, u) \quad \forall u \in H. \quad \|f\| = \|\varphi\|_{H^*}.$$

Pf: For $\varphi \neq 0$, $\exists z_0 \in N_{\varphi}$. Set $g = \frac{z_0 - P_{N_{\varphi}} z_0}{\|z_0 - P_{N_{\varphi}} z_0\|}$

$$\therefore \|g\| = 1. \quad (g, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in N_{\varphi}.$$

$$\text{Note that } \forall u \in H, \quad u = \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(g)} g + u - \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(g)} g$$

$$\therefore (g, u) = \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(g)} \quad \text{since } u - \frac{\varphi(u)}{\varphi(g)} g \in N_{\varphi}.$$

$$\therefore \varphi(u) = (g, u) \varphi(g). \quad \forall u. \quad f = \varphi(g) g.$$

Remark: The ideal is find a vector g orthonormal to N_0 . since $(g, u) = \varphi_g(u) \in M^\perp$. $N_0 = N_{\varphi_g}$
 From conclusion before, $\varphi(u) = c(g, u)$.

Def: From Riesz Thm. we obtain $M^\perp = N$.

Define $m^\perp = \{u \mid (u, v) = 0, \forall v \in m\}$.

prop. $M = m \oplus m^\perp$. $m^{\perp\perp} = m$. m^\perp is closed linear space.
 if $m \subseteq M$. closed linear space.

pf: It's easy to check m^\perp is cls. $m^{\perp\perp} = m$.

Note that $m \cap m^\perp = \{0\}$. For $\forall x \in M$.

$$x = P_m x + x - P_m x \in m \oplus m^\perp.$$

Cor. $G \subseteq M$. linear subspace. equip with norm of M .

F is Banach space. If $S: G \rightarrow F$. BLF.

Then exists BLF: $T: M \rightarrow F$. st. $\|T\| = \|S\|$.

pf: $M = \bar{G} \oplus G^\perp$. extend S to \tilde{S} on \bar{G} . contin.

$$\text{Let } T: M = \bar{G} \oplus G^\perp \rightarrow F \\ (g, h) \mapsto \tilde{S}(g).$$

② Triplet: $V \subseteq M \subseteq V^*$:

Note that by Riesz Representation Thm. M can be identified as M^* . Consider $V \subseteq M$. dense linear subspace, with its norm $\|\cdot\|$. And $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is Banach.

If we have an injection (cont.) $V \hookrightarrow M$.

since we can construct $T: M^* \rightarrow V^*$ where

$T\varphi = \varphi|_V$. then T is conti injection (V is dense)

$$\text{In sum: } V \hookrightarrow M \xrightarrow{I_1} M^* \xrightarrow{T} V^*.$$

However if V is Hilbert space with its own scalar product. We have $V \xrightarrow{I_2} V^*$ by Riesz.

Since $V \subseteq M \subseteq V^*$. it will be absorb to identify

V with V^* . (Then $V \subseteq V$)

Remark: i) In that case. Only when $\dim V = \dim M$.

Note that even $M_1 \subsetneq M_2$. we can

construct $M_1 \xrightarrow{T} M_2$. e.g. $M_1 = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

$M_2 = 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}$ with scalar products:

$$\langle (a,b), (c,d) \rangle_1 = ac + bd. \quad \langle (a,b), (c,d) \rangle_2 = ac + bd/4$$

Then $T(a,b) = (2a, 2b)$. surjective isometry.

ii) $T \circ I_1 \neq I_2$. The norms used in the two case are different.

(3) Representation of bilinear Function:

Def: bilinear $a(\cdot, \cdot) = M^* \times M^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

i) a is conti if $\exists C > 0$. st. $|a(u,v)| \leq C \|u\| \|v\|$.

for every $u, v \in M$.

ii) α is coercive if $\exists \alpha > 0$ s.t. $\alpha(u, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|^2$
for any $u \in H$.

① Stampacchia Thm:

If $\alpha(\cdot, \cdot) : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ conti. coercive. $K \subseteq H$.

$K \neq \emptyset$, convex, closed. Then $\forall \varphi \in H^*$, exists unique
 $u \in K$ s.t. $\alpha(u, v-u) \geq \varphi(v-u)$, $\forall v \in H$.

Moreover, if α is symmetric, then u can be characterized:

$$u \in K, \quad \frac{1}{2} \alpha(u, u) - \varphi(u) = \min_{v \in K} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \alpha(v, v) - \varphi(v) \right\}.$$

Pf: Lemma. (Banach Fixed Point Thm)

$X \neq \emptyset$, complete metric space. $S: X \rightarrow X$ is a
strictly contraction, i.e. $d(Su, Sv) \leq k d(u, v)$.

$k < 1$, for $\forall u, v \in X$. Then:

\exists unique $u \in X$ s.t. $Su = u$.

Pf: 1) Existence:

For $u_0 \in X$. Define $Su_n = u_{n+1}$, $n \geq 0$.

$\therefore d(u_{n+1}, u_n) \leq k^n d(u_1, u_0) \therefore (u_n)$ is Cauchy

$\therefore u_n \rightarrow u$, since $d(u_{n+1}, Su) \leq k d(u_n, u) < \varepsilon$

$\therefore u_n \rightarrow Su$, $\therefore u = Su$.

2) Uniqueness:

For $u_1 = Su_1$, $u_2 = Su_2$, $d(u_1, u_2) = k d(u_1, u_2)$

$\therefore d(u_1, u_2) = 0$, $u_1 = u_2$.

\Rightarrow 1°) Fix u . $\alpha(u, v)$ is conti $\therefore \alpha(u, v) = (Au, v)$

$\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$, $(Au, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|^2$. A is linear: $H \rightarrow H$.

2°) $\exists f \in M$. s.t. $\varphi(u) = (f, u)$ By Riesz Thm.

It suffices to find $u \in K$ s.t. $(Au, v-u) \geq (f, v-u)$

$$\Leftrightarrow ((f+u-Au)-u, v-u) \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in K \quad \Leftrightarrow u = P_K((f+u-Au))$$

Suppose $S: M \rightarrow M$. $Sv = P_K((f+v-Av))$.

Find α s.t. S is strict contraction

For $a(u, v)$ is symmetric. it defines a new scalar product on M . Let $|u| = a(u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

By Riesz Thm. $\exists g \in M$ s.t. $\varphi(v) = a(g, v)$.

Then u is characterized by projection on K .

Remark: $a(u, v) = (Au, v)$. $R(A)$ is closed and dense

$$N(A) = \{0\} \Rightarrow A: M \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} M.$$

Cor. (Lax-Milgram)

$a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is conti. coercive bilinear. $M \rightarrow M$.

Then $\forall \varphi \in M^*$. \exists unique $u \in M$ s.t.

$$a(u, v) = \varphi(v), \quad \forall v \in M.$$

Moreover, if $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric, then

u is characterized by: $u \in K$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} a(u, u) - \varphi(u) = \min_{v \in K} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a(v, v) - \varphi(v) \right\}.$$

Pf: Let $K = M$. We have: $a(u, tv-u) \geq (\varphi, tv-u)$

Divide t . Let $t \rightarrow +\infty / -\infty$.

(4) Hilbert Sum and

Orthonormal Bases:

① Def: $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ seq of closed subspaces of M .

$M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} E_n$, Hilbert sum if:

i) $(u, v) = 0, \forall u \in E_n, v \in E_m, n \neq m.$

ii) $\text{Span}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} E_n)$ is dense in M .

Thm. $M = \bigoplus E_n, \forall u \in M$. Denote $u_n = P_{E_n} u, S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k$.

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = u$. and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\|^2 = \|u\|^2$.

pf: 1) $(S_n, u) = \|S_n\|^2 \Rightarrow \|u\| \geq \|S_n\|, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$\therefore \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|u_k\|^2$ converges.

2) $\|S_n - S_m\|^2 = \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|u_k\|^2 \therefore (S_n)$ is Cauchy

$\lim_n S_n$ exists. Denote S .

3) Claim: $S = P_{\text{cls}(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} E_n)} u, S = u$.

since $(u - S_n, v) = 0, \forall v \in E_m, n \leq m$.

Let $m \rightarrow \infty, \therefore (u - S, v) = 0, \forall v \in E_n$.

$\therefore S = P_{\bigcup E_n} u$. and $u - S \in \text{cls}(\bigcup E_n)^\perp = \{0\}$.

② Orthonormal Bases:

i) M is separable:

Def: $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is Hilbert bases of M (or say complete basis) if:

i) $(e_n, e_m) = 0, \forall n \neq m, \|e_n\| = 1, \forall n$.

ii) $\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is dense in M .

Thm. Every separable Hilbert space has countable orthonormal basis.

Pf: Apply Gram-Schmidt method on d.i set:

suppose $D = \{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ dense. $J_k = \text{span}\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k, 1 \leq k$.

Find d.i set $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ on J_k , then extend to $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

properties:

(a) For every $u \in M$, $u = \sum_1^\infty (u, e_k) e_k$ and

$\|u\|^2 = \sum_1^\infty |(u, e_k)|^2$. Conversely, if $\sum_1^\infty \alpha_k e_k$

$\rightarrow u$, then $\alpha_k = (u, e_k)$, $\|u\|^2 = \sum_1^\infty \alpha_k^2$.

Pf: Let $E_n = \text{span}\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$, then $P_{E_n} u = \sum_{k=1}^n (u, e_k) e_k$.

Remark: Separable Hilbert Space $\stackrel{\text{isometry}}{\simeq} \ell^2$.

(b) $e_n \rightarrow 0$

Pf: $(u, e_n) = \alpha_n$, if $u = \sum_1^\infty \alpha_n e_n$

$\therefore \|\alpha\|^2 \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $\|\alpha_n\| \rightarrow 0$

Remark: For (a) bounded, $u_n = \sum_1^n \alpha_k e_k / n$

$\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0, \sum_1^n u_n \rightarrow 0$. (Test with

e_k , since $\sum_1^n \frac{\|u_n\|}{n} = \sum_1^n |(u_n, e_k)| \leq C$)

Note that $\ell^1 \subsetneq \ell^2$, $\sum_1^\infty |(u, e_k)|$ may not converge!

prop. $D \subseteq H$. $\text{span}(D)$ is dense in H . If $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is seq of closed subspaces mutually orthogonal.

Besides $\|u\|^2 = \sum \|P_{E_n} u\|^2$, $\forall u \in D$. Then $H = \bigoplus E_n$

Pf. Denote $F = \overline{\text{span}(D)}$. $\therefore H = F \oplus F^\perp$.

$$\|u\|^2 = \|P_F u\|^2 + \|P_{F^\perp} u\|^2 = \sum \|P_{E_n} u\|^2 = \|P_F u\|^2$$

$$\therefore P_{F^\perp} u = 0, \forall u \in D \Rightarrow \forall v \in \text{span}(D).$$

$$\therefore P_{F^\perp} f = 0, \forall f \in \overline{\text{span}(D)}, \text{ i.e. } H = F.$$

ii) H isn't separable:

Then H may have an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ s.t.

$$|I| > \aleph_1.$$

Thm. All Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis.

Pf. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \{ (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \mid x_\alpha \text{ are orthonormal} \}$.

$$\text{with } \leq. (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \leq (x_\beta)_{\beta \in I} \Leftrightarrow (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \in (x_\beta)_{\beta \in I}.$$

Apply Zorn's Lemma. exists maximal \mathcal{A}_0 . (check chain)

If $F = \overline{\text{span}(\mathcal{A}_0)} \neq H$. Then $H = F \oplus F^\perp$.

$$\exists x \in F^\perp. \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{x\} \supseteq \mathcal{A}_0. \text{ contradiction!}$$

Thm. Any two different orthonormal bases $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$.

$$(y_\beta)_{\beta \in J} \text{ satisfies: } |I| = |J|.$$

Pf. $\forall \alpha \in I$. $J_\alpha = \{ \beta \in J \mid \langle y_\beta, x_\alpha \rangle \neq 0 \}$ is countable

$$\therefore \left| \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} J_\alpha \right| = |I| \cdot \aleph_1 = |I|. \text{ with } \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} J_\alpha \subseteq J.$$

$$\Rightarrow |I| \leq |J|. \text{ By symmetry, } |I| = |J|.$$

(6) Normal Operators:

Next, we consider Hilbert \mathcal{H} on \mathbb{C} .

① Prelims:

Thm. $B: V^{\mathbb{C}} \times V^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ sesquilinear form.

$$\text{Then: } B(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 i^j B(u + i^j v, u + i^j v)$$

pf: Check directly: $B(u + i^m v, u + i^m v) =$

$$B(u, u) + \bar{i}^m B(u, v) + i^m B(v, u) + B(v, v)$$

Remark: It means $B(u, v)$ is determined by its diagonal form: $B(u, u)$.

$$\text{Cor. } (u, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^3 i^j \|u + i^j v\|^2.$$

$$\text{Cor. } A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), (Ax, x) = (Bx, x), \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$$\Rightarrow A = B.$$

② Definitions:

Def. For $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$,

i) A is self-adjoint $\Leftrightarrow A = A^*$

ii) A is normal $\Leftrightarrow AA^* = A^*A$.

iii) A is unitary $\Leftrightarrow AA^* = A^*A = I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Denote: $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$.

prop. i) A is normal \Leftrightarrow ii) $\|Ax\| = \|A^*x\|, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$.

iii) $\exists U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ s.t. $A = U A^*$. Then:

i), ii), iii) are equivalent.

Pf: i) \Leftrightarrow ii) : $\|Ax\| = \|A^*x\| \Leftrightarrow (A^*Ax, x) = (AA^*x, x)$

ii) \Leftrightarrow iii) : (\Leftarrow) is trivial. by $A^*A = AA^* = A^*A^*$.

Conversely: 1°) $\|Ax\| = \|A^*x\| \forall x \Rightarrow N(A) = N(A^*)$.

$S_0 = \overline{R(A^*)} = \overline{R(A)}$ by $N(A)^{\perp} = \overline{R(A^*)}$.

Set $T_0: R(A) \rightarrow \overline{R(A^*)}$, $T_0(Ax) = A^*x \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$.

It's well-def ($Ax = Ay \Rightarrow A^*x = A^*y$) isometric.

Then extend T_0 on $R(A)$ to T on $\overline{R(A)}$

Note: $\mathcal{H} = N(A^*) \oplus N(A^*)^{\perp} = N(A^*) \oplus \overline{R(A)} = N(A) \oplus \overline{R(A^*)}$

Set: $U: \overline{R(A)} \oplus N(A^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ follows from
 $u + v \mapsto Tu + v$ the next prop.

Rmk: By Normal Calculus: $f(z) = \begin{cases} \bar{z}/z, & z \neq 0 \\ 1, & z = 0 \end{cases}$ Then:

$f(z)z = z f(z) = \bar{z}$, $f(z)\overline{f(z)} = \overline{f(z)}f(z) = 1 \forall A$ normal.

$\Rightarrow f(A)$ is unitary. Exchangable with A .

$A^* = Af(A) = f(A)A$. a stronger conclusion!

prop. $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \Leftrightarrow \|Ux\| = \|x\|$.

prop. A is self-adjoint $\Leftrightarrow (Ax, x) \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Pf: Both are from diagonal determination.

③ Properties:

Def: $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is unitarily diagonalizable $\Leftrightarrow \exists (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} st. $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$.

Rmk: Likewise the finite dimension case, in Matrix.

Lemma. $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Then: $\|(S^*S)^k\|^{1/2k} = \|(SS^*)^k\|^{1/2k} = \|S\|$

Pf: $\|S\|^2 = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |(Su, Su)| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |(S^*Su, u)| \leq \|S^*S\|$

$\leq \|S^*\| \|S\| = \|S\|^2. \quad \text{So: } \|S^*S\| = \|S\|^2.$

Note: $(S^*S)^*(S^*S) = (S^*S)^2 \Rightarrow \|(S^*S)^2\| = \|S\|^{2^{k+1}}.$

To interpretation, by induction on k .

If $n < p$ holds, for $p: 2^k < p < 2^{k+1}$, then:

$\|(S^*S)^{2^k}\| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |(S^*S)^p u, (S^*S)^{2^{k+1}-p} u|$

$\leq \|(S^*S)^p\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(S^*S)^{2^{k+1}-p}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|S\|^{2^{k+1}}$

prop. A is normal $\Rightarrow \|A^n\| = \|A\|^n, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$

Pf: $n=2$ holds. By induction: $\|A^n\| \leq \|A^{n-1}\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$

Cor. $\rho(A) = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda| = \|A\|.$

Def: i) $S \subset H$. CLS is invariant subspace w.r.t $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

if $T(S) \subset S$.

ii) $R \subset H$. CLS is reducing subspace w.r.t $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

if R, R^\perp are both invariant w.r.t T .

Rmk: Interpretation in sense of block form:

$T = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} S & S^\perp \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} S \\ S^\perp \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$

Note $H = S \oplus S^\perp, Tx = T^S(x) + T^{S^\perp}(x)$

where $R(T^S) \subset S, R(T^{S^\perp}) \subset S^\perp.$

Then $T_{11} = T|_S, T_{22} = T|_{S^\perp}$ and so on.

We obtain: S is T -invariant $\Leftrightarrow T_{12} = 0$

S is T -reducing $\Leftrightarrow T_{12} = T_{21} = 0$

prop. A is normal. for $v \in \mathcal{H}$. $Av = \lambda v$. Then $\mathcal{C}v$ is a reducing subspace w.r.t. A . so. $A|_{(\mathcal{C}v)^\perp}$ is normal

Pf: $A(\mathcal{C}v) = \mathcal{C}v$ is trivial. for $w \perp v$.

$$\text{check: } (Aw, v) = (w, A^*v) = (w, \bar{\lambda}v) = 0.$$

Lemma. A is normal. Then $Av = \lambda v \Rightarrow A^*v = \bar{\lambda}v$.

Pf: Note $A - \lambda I$ is normal. (check)

$$S_0 = \|(A - \lambda I)v\| = \|(A^* - \bar{\lambda}I)v\|.$$

$$S_0 = A|_{(\mathcal{C}v)^\perp} : (\mathcal{C}v)^\perp \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}v)^\perp. \text{ normal.}$$

Thm. $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. normal. cpt. Then A is unitarily diag.

Pf: Fredholm Method:

WLOG. $A \neq 0$. $\exists \lambda_0 \in \sigma(A)$. s.t. $|\lambda_0| = \|A\|$. Then:

by Fredholm Alternative. $\exists v_0$. $\|v_0\|=1$. $Av_0 = \lambda_0 v_0$.

Consider: $A_1 = A|_{(\mathcal{C}v_0)^\perp}$. $A_1 \neq 0$. end the process.

Otherwise. consider $|\lambda_1| = \|A_1\|$. find v_1 . $Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$.

If the process never stops:

Note: $\|A_k\| \geq \|A_{k+1}\|$. $S_0 = \exists (\lambda_k) : |\lambda_0| \geq |\lambda_1| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \geq \dots > 0$

correspond (v_k) . $\|v_k\|=1$. $Av_k = \lambda_k v_k$.

Claim: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_n| = 0$.

By contradiction: $\exists \delta$. $|\lambda_n| \geq \delta > 0$. $\forall n$.

Note: (v_n) is orthonormal we can assume WLOG.

$$\text{But: } \|Av_i - Av_j\| = \|\lambda_i v_i - \lambda_j v_j\| \geq 2\delta > 0.$$

Contradict with A is cpt!

Claim: $A|_{(\text{span}(U_k)_{k \geq 0})^\perp} = 0$.

Lemma. If $R_1 \perp R_2$, both A -reducing. Then:

$R_1 \oplus R_2$ is A -reducing.

Pf: $(R_1 + R_2)^\perp = R_1^\perp \cap R_2^\perp$. by R_1, R_2 closed.

By contradiction: if $A|_{(\text{span}(U_k)_{k \geq 0})^\perp} \neq 0$.

$\exists \lambda' \cdot \|\lambda'\| = \|A|_D\| > 0 \cdot \exists V \cdot AV = \lambda'V \cdot \|V\| = 1$.

Since $A|_D$ is also cpt. normal. by Lemma.

But $\exists N$ s.t. $\|\lambda'\| > \|\lambda_N\| > \dots > 0$. Set $\tilde{A} = A|_D$.

$\text{So: } \|\tilde{A}V\| = \|\lambda'\| > \|A|_{(\text{span}(U_k)_{k \geq 0})^\perp}\|$. contradict!

Rmk: A normal cpt operator on \mathcal{H} supports on a separable CLS of \mathcal{H} .

Cor. Generally, cpt operator on \mathcal{H} supports on a separable CLS of \mathcal{H} .

Pf: A is cpt $\Rightarrow A^*A$ is cpt. normal.

$\exists (U_i)_{i \geq 0}$ s.t. $N(A^*A) = (\text{span}(U_i)_{i \geq 0})^\perp$.

Lemma. $N(A) = N(A^*A)$, for $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$

Pf: $A^*Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow (A^*Ax, \eta) \stackrel{\forall \eta}{=} 0 \cdot Ax \in (A)^\perp$.

$\Rightarrow \text{supp}(A) \subset \overline{\text{span}(U_i)_{i \geq 0}}$. separable.

Rmk: It can imply another method to prove cpt operator can be approxi. by finite dimension operator.

WLOG. Restrict $k \in k(N)$ on separable CLS.

(v_i) is o.n.b. $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \otimes v_i^*$. $a_n = I - P_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$\Rightarrow \|a_n x\| \rightarrow 0, \forall x \in N$. Check $P_n \circ k \rightarrow k, (n \rightarrow \infty)$.

(7) Hilbert - Schmidt Class:

Def: For N, k . Hilbert spaces. $T \in S_2(N, k)$. the

Hilbert - Schmidt class from N to k if $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is o.n.b of N . we have: $\|T\|_{S_2} = \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|Tv_i\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$< \infty$. T defined on $\text{span}(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Rmk: $S_2(N, k)$ is Hilbert space. actually.

$$\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle_{S_2(N, k)} =: \text{Tr}(T_2^*, T_1)$$

$$= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle T_2^* T_1 v_i, v_i \rangle$$

for $T_1, T_2 \in S_2(N, k)$. It's well-def.

Thm. $(v_i), (w_j)$ are two o.n.b of N . Then, we have:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|Tv_i\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \|Tw_j\|^2, \text{ for } T \in S_2(N, k).$$

Pf: $\forall (h_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ o.n.b of k . Then, consider:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|Tv_i\|_k^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\alpha \in A} |(Tv_i, h_\alpha)|^2$$

$$= \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{\alpha \in A} |(w_j, T^* h_\alpha)|^2$$

$$= \sum_{j \in J} \|Tw_j\|_k^2.$$

Cor. $\|T\|_{S_2(N, k)} = \|T^*\|_{S_2(k, N)}$

Rmk: $\|T\|_{S_2}$ is indep't with choice of o.n.b. of N .

Next, consider measure space (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . μ is σ -finite.
 X is polish. (i.e. metrizable, complete, separable). Set:
 $H = L^2(X, \mu)$, it's Hilbert separable. in fact.

Def: $k: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. $T_k(f)(x) = \int_X k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$.
 is operator on H .

prop. If $\int_{X \times X} |k(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) < \infty$. Then, $T_k \in$
 $S_2(H)$ and $\|T_k\|_{S_2} = \left(\int_{X \times X} |k(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

pf: Suppose (φ_k) is o.n.b of H .

1) $(\varphi_k \otimes \varphi_j)$ is o.n.b of $L^2(X \times X, \mu \otimes \mu)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_k \otimes \varphi_j, \varphi_{k'} \otimes \varphi_{j'} \rangle &= \int_{X \times X} \overline{\varphi_k(x) \varphi_j(y)} \varphi_{k'}(x) \varphi_{j'}(y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &= \delta_{kk'} \delta_{jj'}. \end{aligned}$$

$\forall f \perp \text{span}(\varphi_k \otimes \varphi_j)$. If $\langle f, \varphi_k \otimes \varphi_j \rangle = 0$.

Then: $\int_X \overline{\varphi_j(y)} \int_X f(x, y) \overline{\varphi_k(x)} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) = 0, \forall k, j$.

$\Rightarrow \int_X f(x, y) \overline{\varphi_k(x)} d\mu(x) = 0, \forall k \Rightarrow f \equiv 0, \mu^2$ -a.e.

$$\begin{aligned} 2) \|T_k\|_{S_2}^2 &= \sum \|T_k \varphi_k\|^2 = \sum \sum |\langle T_k \varphi_k, \varphi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j} |\langle k, \varphi_i \otimes \varphi_j \rangle_{L^2(X \times X, \mu^2)}|^2 \\ &= \int_{X \times X} |k(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

prop. $S_2(H) \subset K(H)$. i.e. \forall HS operator is cpt.

pf: Suppose $(\varphi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is o.n.b of H .

Lemma. $\|T\| \leq \|T\|_{S_2}$ for $T \in S_2(H)$.

Pf: Note $x = \sum (x, v_k) v_k$. $\|x\| = 1 \Rightarrow \sum (x, v_k)^2 = 1$

Lemma. $T \in S_2(H)$, $B \in L(H) \Rightarrow BT, TB \in S_2(H)$.

Pf: $\|BT\|_{S_2}^2 = \sum \|BT v_k\|^2 \leq \|B\|^2 \sum \|T v_k\|^2$
 $= \|B\|^2 \|T\|_{S_2}^2$

Besides, $\|TB\|_{S_2}^2 = \|B^* T^*\|_{S_2}^2 \leq \|B\|^2 \|T\|_{S_2}^2$.

Rmk: $S_2(H)$ is a closed bilateral ideal

Moreover, $K(H)$ is the unique max one.

Cor. $A, B \in L(H)$, $T \in S_2(H)$. Then, we have:

$$\|ATB\|_{S_2} \leq \|A\| \|B\| \|T\|_{S_2}.$$

Rmk: These conclusions hold in nonseparable space as well.

\Rightarrow Return to the pf:

Let $P_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \otimes v_k^*$. Prove: $\|T - TP_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$)

Note: $\|T - TP_n\| \leq \|T - TP_n\|_{S_2} = \sum \|T v_k - TP_n v_k\|^2$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Rightarrow$$

$$= \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|T v_k\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{)}$$

So, T can be approxi. by finite dimension operators.