

Asymptotic Evaluation

- we will consider the asymptotic properties when the sample size $\rightarrow \infty$. The power of it is the simplification of calculation.

(1) Point Estimation:

① Consistency:

Def: seq of estimators $\{W_n(\vec{X})\}$ is consistent of θ , if $W_n(\vec{X}) \rightarrow \theta$ in pr.

Thm. If seq of estimator $\{W_n(\vec{X})\}$ for θ , satisfies:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_\theta(W_n(\vec{X})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Bias}_\theta(W_n(\vec{X})) = 0. \text{ Then}$$

it's consistent w.r.t θ .

Pf: By Chebyshev: $P(|W_n - \theta| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{E(W_n - \theta)^2}{\epsilon^2}$

Thm (Consistency of MLE)

$X_k \sim f(x|\theta)$, i.i.d, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $L(\theta|\vec{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$.

If $\hat{\theta}$ is MLE, under regular condition on f ,

then for \forall z. conti. fun. $\forall \theta \in \Theta$.

$$Z(\hat{\theta}) \rightarrow Z(\theta) \text{ in pr.}$$

Pf: By $\frac{\log L(\hat{\theta}|\vec{X})}{n} \rightarrow E_{\theta_0}(\log f(x|\theta_0))$, a.e.

Note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\log L(\hat{\theta}|\vec{X})}{n} |_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = 0$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_{\theta_0}(\log f(x|\theta)) |_{\theta=\theta_0} = 0$

We may hope $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$. Then by invariance of MLE

\forall z. conti. we have $Z(\hat{\theta}) \rightarrow Z(\theta)$ in pr.

② Efficiency:

- Since different consistent estimator has different asymptotic accuracy, which is related with Var. We can compare the efficiency of different CE by comparing the speed of convergence of Variance.

Def: For estimator T_n of $z(\theta)$.

- i) If $\exists [k_n] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_n k_n \text{Var}(T_n) = \tau^*$, τ^* is limiting Var.
- ii) If $\exists [k_n] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $k_n(T_n - z(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^*)$, σ^* is called asymptotic variance.

Remark: The limiting variance is different from asymptotic variance. Since they're different mode of convergence. So they may be not equal.

Def: W_n is asymptotically efficient for $z(\theta)$ if: $W_n \in C_2^*$, $k_n(W_n - z(\theta)) \rightarrow N(0, V(\theta))$, $V(\theta) = \frac{\tau(\theta)^2}{E_0\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\vec{x}|\theta)\right)^2\right)}$ i.e. the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound.

Thm. (Asymptotic efficiency of MLEs)

$X_k \sim f(x|\theta)$, i.i.d. $1 \leq k \leq n$. $\hat{\theta}$ is MLE of θ . Under regular condition on $f(x|\theta)$. Then, for $z(\cdot)$, cont:

$$T_n(z(\hat{\theta}) - z(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V(\theta)).$$

Pf: The ideal is from Taylor Series and

$$\hat{\theta} \text{ is zero of } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\vec{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} l(\theta|\vec{x})$$

We only need to prove on $\hat{\theta}$.

Since $z(\hat{\theta})$ is MLE of $z(\theta)$. concerning $L(z(\theta)|x)$ which satisfies regular condition, too.

$$l'(\theta|\vec{x}) = l'(\theta_0|x) + l''(\theta_0|x)(\theta - \theta_0) + o(1), \text{ (only need } \underline{(\theta - \theta_0)} \text{)}$$

$$\therefore \text{Let } \theta = \hat{\theta} \quad \therefore J_n(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = \frac{-\frac{1}{J_n} l'(\theta_0|x)}{\frac{1}{n} l''(\theta_0|x)}$$

$$\text{Note that } \frac{1}{n} l''(\theta_0|\vec{x}) \xrightarrow{a.s.} E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x|\theta) \Big| \theta_0\right) = -E\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f\right)^2\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{J_n} l'(\theta_0|x) = -J_n\left(\frac{l'(\theta_0|x)}{n}\right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} -N(0, E\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta) \Big| \theta_0\right)^2\right))$$

$$\therefore J_n(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \rightarrow N(0, I(\theta_0)), \quad I(\theta_0) = E\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x|\theta)\right)^2\right)$$

Remark: i) Generally, $l'(z(\theta)|x) = l'(z(\theta_0)|\vec{x}) + l''(z(\theta_0)|\vec{x})z(\theta_0)(\theta - \theta_0)$

The similar argument can be applied!

ii) Sometimes we can't solve: $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\vec{x}) = 0$.

for MLE $\hat{\theta}$. We can use the asymptotic dist as dist of $\hat{\theta}$. If n is large enough.

③ Calculations and Comparisons.

Note that we obtain the asymptotic variance of MLE. If we unknown θ , then we need to approximate

$$\text{variance: since } \text{Var}(h(\hat{\theta})|\theta) \approx \frac{[h'(\theta)]^2}{-E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log L(\theta|\vec{x})\right)}$$

then we substitute θ by $\hat{\theta}$:

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(h(\hat{\theta})|\theta) = \frac{[h'(\hat{\theta})]^2}{-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log L(\theta|\vec{x})} \Big|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}}.$$

Remark: It won't work when $h(\theta)$ isn't monotone. Since it causes sign change, then Var won't be underestimation. (Actually, it bases on Cramér

Rao Lower Bound. So it have been underestimated)

Def: If seq of estimators $\{W_n\}, \{V_n\}$ satisfies:

$$J_n(W_n - \tau(\theta)) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \sigma_w^2), J_n(V_n - \tau(\theta)) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \sigma_v^2)$$

Then the asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) is:

$$ARE(V_n, W_n) = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sigma_v^2}$$

Remark: i) Asymptotic Variance can be used to compare the efficiency. Since every asymptotic estimator will be Variance 0 eventually.

ii) The smaller the asymptotic Variance is, the more efficient it is. So if $ARE(V_n, W_n) > 1$. Then $eff(V_n) > eff(W_n)$. That's why we reverse the position of σ_v^2 and σ_w^2 in the fraction.

iii) ARE can show the proportion of number of samples. If we want to have the same effect on estimation. Since $W_{n_1} \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma_w^2}{n_1})$, $V_{n_2} \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma_v^2}{n_2})$

$$\therefore \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sigma_v^2} = \frac{n_1}{n_2} \quad \text{If we need: } \frac{\sigma_w^2}{n_1} = \frac{\sigma_v^2}{n_2}$$

iv) Since MLE is asymptotic efficient. Another estimator can't hope to beat it. Actually, there exists "Super efficiency":

$X_k \sim N(0, 1)$, i.i.d. $1 \leq k \leq n$, then $CRLB = \frac{1}{n} \triangleq V(\theta)$.

Let $A_n = \begin{cases} \bar{X}, & \text{if } |\bar{X}| > n^{-\frac{1}{4}} \\ a\bar{X}, & \text{if } |\bar{X}| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{4}} \end{cases}$ (choose $a > 0$, it's "Shrinkage")

Then $A_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Besides, $J_n(A_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, d(\theta))$

$d(\theta) = 1$, if $\theta \neq 0$. $d(\theta) = a^2$ if $\theta = 0$.

Pf: Note that $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$. When $\theta \neq 0$, $P(|\bar{X}| > n^{-\frac{1}{4}}) \rightarrow 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$)

$$\therefore d_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta. E(d_n) = P(|\bar{X}| > n^{-\frac{1}{4}}) \bar{X} + P(|\bar{X}| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{4}}) a \bar{X} \rightarrow \theta.$$

$$\text{Var}(J_n(d_n - \theta)) = n \text{Var}(d_n) = n [E(d_n^2) - E(d_n)^2]$$

$$= n [P(|\bar{X}| > n^{-\frac{1}{4}}) E(\bar{X}^2) + P(|\bar{X}| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{4}}) a^2 E(\bar{X}^2) - E(d_n)^2] \rightarrow 1$$

$$\text{When } \theta = 0, P(|\bar{X}| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{4}}) \rightarrow 1 \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$\therefore d_n \xrightarrow{P} a\theta = 0 = \theta. E(d_n) \xrightarrow{P} a\theta = 0 = \theta$$

$$\text{Var}(J_n(d_n - \theta)) = n \text{Var}(d_n) = n E(d_n^2) \rightarrow a^2 E(\bar{X}^2) = n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\text{Choose } a^2 < 1, \text{ then } ARE(d_n, MLE) = \frac{1}{a^2} > 1.$$

④ Bootstrap Standard Error:

Suppose we resample B times. $\hat{\theta}_i^*$ is the estimator from the i^{th} resample of common size n . from n original samples $\{X_k\}_1^n$, $\bar{\theta}^* = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^B \hat{\theta}_i^*$. Then: (Nonparametric case)

$$\text{Var}_B^*(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{i=1}^B (\hat{\theta}_i^* - \bar{\theta}^*)^2 \text{ is the estimator}$$

$$\text{Note that } \text{Var}_B^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^B \text{Var}_{B_i}^*}{B-1} \rightarrow \text{Var}^*(\hat{\theta}) \text{ (as } B \rightarrow \infty).$$

$$\text{Var}^*(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\theta}_i^* - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\theta}_i^*}{n})^2 \rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}), \text{ (as } n \rightarrow \infty), \text{ by LLN.}$$

($\hat{\theta}_i^* = \hat{\theta}^*(X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{in})$, $\{i_k\} = \{k\}_1^n$, from n original samples $\{X_k\}_1^n$)
So there're $n \cdot n \cdot \dots \cdot n = n^n$ different kinds for $\hat{\theta}_i^* \neq \hat{\theta}_j^*$, $\{i\} \neq \{j\}$

Remark: i) The bootstrap open has second-order accuracy.

ii) For parametric case, by estimate $\hat{\theta}_0$ from original samples. Suppose $X_k^* \sim f(x|\hat{\theta}_0)$, i.i.d.

$1 \leq k \leq n$. Use the estimator in ③!

(2) Robustness:

We have evaluated the performance of estimators when the underlying model is correct.

If the model has some error, we will give up some optimality for exchange with "robustness".

Interpretation of robustness:

- i) have a good efficiency under assumed model.
- ii) small deviation from model should impair the performance slightly.
- iii) larger deviation will not cause catastrophe.

① Median and Mean:

Def: $\{X_{(k)}\}_1^n$ is order statistic. T_n is statistic based on x . T_n has break number "b". If:

$$\lim_{\substack{X_{(b-1)n} \rightarrow \infty \\ X_{(b+1)n} \rightarrow \infty}} T_n < \infty, \quad \lim_{\substack{X_{(b-1)n} \rightarrow \infty \\ X_{(b+1)n} \rightarrow \infty}} T_n = \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \quad (0 < b < 1)$$

Remark: i) Mean has break number "0". And Median has break number "0.5".

ii) In comparison of efficiency:

$$ARE(\text{Median}, \text{Mean}) \uparrow \text{ as } \text{test of test} \uparrow$$

② Criteria of estimator:

Many of estimators are results from maximize or minimize some criteria, which have good

properties of optimality. ($\sum (x_i - a)^2 \rightarrow \bar{x}$, $\sum |x_i - a| \rightarrow \bar{x}_\pm$)

Next, we will introduce a criteria whose minimum will result in an estimator with good robustness properties.

i) Huber's estimator:

\hat{a} is the desired estimator which minimizes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - a), \quad \rho(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} x^2, & |x| \leq k \\ k|x| - \frac{1}{2} k^2, & |x| \geq k \end{cases} \quad (\text{it's cont'd})$$

Remark: It makes a compromise between mean and median. Note that the mean criteria is square (\bar{x} minimizes $\sum (x_i - a)^2$). The median criteria is absolute value (\bar{x}_\pm minimizes $\sum |x_i - a|$)

\Rightarrow Since square has too much weight on tail.
So we replace absolute value with sq! (Break
number states mean has more sensitivity!)

" k " is the turning number determining the estimator generated from the criteria act more like mean or median.

ii) M-estimator:

For general function ρ , we call the estimator minimize $\sum \rho(x_i - \theta) = f(\theta)$ M-estimator.

e.g. If $\rho = -\ln \phi(x)$, then it's maximal-likelihood-type.

Let $\psi = \psi'$. Note that the estimator is the zero of $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i - \theta)$ i.e. the solution of $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i - \theta) = 0$. Denote $\hat{\theta}_n$

Note that by Taylor expansion:

$$\sum \psi(X_i - \theta) = \sum \psi(X_i - \theta_0) + \sum \psi'(X_i - \theta_0)(\theta - \theta_0) + o(1).$$

$$\text{Let } \theta = \hat{\theta}_n \quad \therefore 0 = \sum \psi(X_i - \hat{\theta}_n) + \sum \psi'(X_i - \hat{\theta}_n)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) + o(1)$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = \frac{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum \psi(X_i - \theta_0)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum \psi'(X_i - \theta_0)} + o(1)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum \psi'(X_i - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{P} E_{\theta_0}(\psi'(X - \theta_0)), \quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum \psi(X_i - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, E_{\theta_0}(\psi^2(X - \theta_0)))$$

$$\therefore \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, E_{\theta_0}(\psi^2(X - \theta_0)) / E_{\theta_0}^2(\psi'(X - \theta_0)))$$

ex. Asymptotic variance of Huber's estimator is:

$$\int_{-k}^k x^2 f(x) dx + 2k^2 \int_k^\infty f(x) dx / [P_{\theta_0}(|X| \leq k)]^2, \text{ when } X \sim f(X - \theta).$$

Remark: Under regular condition:

$$E_{\theta_0}(\psi'(X - \theta_0)) = \int \psi'(X - \theta_0) f(X - \theta_0) dX = - \int \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \psi(X - \theta_0) \right] f(X - \theta_0) dX$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \int \psi(X - \theta_0) f(X - \theta_0) dX = 0. \quad \therefore - \int \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \psi(X - \theta_0) \right] f(X - \theta_0) dX = \int \psi(X - \theta_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} f(X - \theta_0) dX$$

$$\text{i.e. } E_{\theta_0}(\psi'(X - \theta_0)) = E_{\theta_0}(\psi(X - \theta_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X - \theta_0))$$

(e.g. if $\psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X - \theta_0)$, then it's familiar)

$$\Rightarrow ARE(\hat{\theta}_n, \hat{\theta}) = \frac{[E_{\theta_0}(\psi(X - \theta_0) \psi'(X - \theta_0))]^2}{E_{\theta_0}^2(\psi(X - \theta_0)) E_{\theta_0}^2(\psi'(X - \theta_0))} \leq 1.$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is from MLE type.

\therefore M-estimator is always less efficient than

MLE. But it's more robust!

(3) Hypothesis Testing:

(1) Asymptotic Distributions of LRTs:

In LRTs, if we can't explicitly write out $\lambda(\vec{x})$, then we can consider an asymptotic answer.

Thm: For $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ v.s. $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$, $X_k \sim f(x|\theta)$, i.i.d. $1 \leq k \leq n$, satisfies regular condition. Then under H_0 :
 $-2 \log \lambda(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \chi^2$ in dist. ($n \rightarrow \infty$)

Pf: Denote $\hat{\theta}$ is MLE of θ . $\ell(\theta|\vec{x}) = \log L(\theta|\vec{x})$

$$-2 \log \lambda(\vec{x}) = -2 \ell(\theta_0|\vec{x}) + 2 \ell(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x}) \quad \dots (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\theta|\vec{x}) &= \ell(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x}) + \ell'(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x})(\theta - \hat{\theta}) + \frac{\ell''(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x})}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2 + o(1) \\ &= \ell(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x}) + \frac{\ell''(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x})}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta})^2. \quad (\hat{\theta} \text{ is MLE}) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta = \theta_0$. From (1):

$$-2 \log \lambda(\vec{x}) = -\ell''(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x})(\theta_0 - \hat{\theta})^2$$

$$\frac{-\ell''(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x})}{n} \xrightarrow{P} E_{\theta_0}(-\ell''(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x})) = E_{\theta_0}(\ell'(\hat{\theta}|\vec{x}))^2 = I(\theta_0)$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1/I(\theta_0))$$

$$\therefore -2 \log \lambda(\vec{x}) \xrightarrow{d} Z^2 = \chi^2_1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Extension: $X_k \sim f(x|\theta)$, i.i.d. $1 \leq k \leq n$. Under regular condition

$H_0: \vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r) \in \mathcal{M}_0$ v.s. $H_1: \vec{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}_0^c$. Then.

If $\vec{\theta} \in \mathcal{M}_0$, we have $-2 \log \lambda(\vec{x}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_k$, where

$$k = \dim(\mathcal{M}_0 \cup \mathcal{M}_0^c) - \dim(\mathcal{M}_0).$$

① Other large sample Test:

For other test, if $W_n = W_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ the estimator of θ . Denote σ_n^2 is the variance of W_n , then

$$\frac{W_n - \theta}{\sigma_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1).$$

In some case, σ_n contains unknown parameters,

then we will replace σ_n with $\hat{\sigma}_n$ where $\frac{\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sigma_n} \rightarrow 1$.

(usually retain the form, but replace the unknown para γ by its estimator $\hat{\gamma}$, e.g. $\text{Var} = \frac{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}{n} \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}}{n}$)

Then we can $Z_n = \frac{W_n - \theta_0}{\hat{\sigma}_n}$, Wald test.

Remark: generalized Wald statistic:

$$Z_{\text{W}} = \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\hat{\text{Var}}_{\theta_0}(\hat{\theta}_n)}}, \quad \hat{\theta}_n \text{ is a } \mu\text{-estimator}$$

$\hat{\text{Var}}_{\theta_0}(\hat{\theta}_n)$ can be any consistent estimator.

Score Test:

$$Z_S = \frac{S(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{I_n(\theta_0)}}, \quad \text{where } S_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta | \vec{X}), \quad \vec{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

We know $E_{\theta_0}(S_n(\theta_0)) = 0$, $\text{Var}_{\theta_0}(S_n(\theta_0)) = I_n(\theta_0)$

$\therefore Z_S \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ under $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$.

$$\text{Generalized: } Z_{\text{AS}} = \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\hat{\text{Var}}_{\theta_0}(\hat{\theta}_n)}}, \quad \hat{\theta}_n \text{ is } \mu\text{-estimator.}$$

(4) Interval Estimation:

• Now we explore approximate and asymptotic form of confidence interval.

① Approximate maximal

likelihood interval:

i) Note that:
$$\frac{h(\hat{\theta}) - h(\theta)}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(h(\hat{\theta})|\theta)}} \rightarrow N(0,1).$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ is MLE. $\widehat{\text{Var}}(h(\hat{\theta})|\theta) = \frac{h'(\theta)^2}{-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log L(\theta|\vec{x})} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}.$

Then we obtain approx. "1- α " CI:

$$h(\theta) \in [h(\hat{\theta}) - Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(h(\hat{\theta})|\theta)}, h(\hat{\theta}) + Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\widehat{\text{Var}}(h(\hat{\theta})|\theta)}]$$

Remark: We may not use $\text{Var}(h(\hat{\theta})|\theta)$ to replace $\widehat{\text{Var}}$ for accuracy. Since it may need to solve a complicated equation for the interval!

ii) Note that score statistic is also applicable. it will give a better interval with optimal

properties:
$$Q(\vec{X}|\theta) = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\vec{X})}{\sqrt{E(-\log L''(\theta|\vec{X}))}} \rightarrow N(0,1)$$

Remark: It provides the shortest interval in a certain class. But it will be complicated!

iii) By LRTs = use $-2\log \lambda(\vec{x}) \rightarrow \chi^2_1$.

We can also solve a CI!

② Other Large Sample Intervals:

Consider the form (Wald-type):

$$\frac{W - \theta}{V} \rightarrow N(0,1), \text{ W, V are statistics as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark: Sometimes we will replace V with some known parameters for reducing variability.

