Sherlock Scenario
The IDS Gewice alerted us to a possible rogue device in the internal Active Directory network. The
Intrusion Detection System also indicated signs of LLMNR traffic, which is unusual. It is suspected
that an LLMNR poisoning attack occurred. The LLMNR traffic was directed towards Forela
WKStn002, which has the P3 address 127.17.79.13.6. A imitted packet capture from the surrounding
time is provided to you, our Network Forensics expert. Since this occurred in the Active Directory
VLAN, It is usgeeted that we perform etwork threat hunting with the Active Directory attack
vector in mind, specifically focusing on LLMNR poisoning.

Task 1: Its suspected by the security team that there was a rogue device in Forela's internal network running responder tool to perform an LLMNR Poisoning attack. Please find the malicious IP Address of the machine.

yries et.com/attack-techniques-hands-on/llmnr-nbt-ns-poisoning-and-credential-accessusing-responder/

Then I saw the "Poisoning with Responder" section

Poisoning with Responder

Responder is an open-source python-based LLMNR/NBT-NS/mDNS poisoner acting in two stages as described above:

- 1. First, it will listen to multicast NR queries (LLMNR UDP/5355, NBT-NS UDP/137) and, under the right conditions, spoof a response - directing the victim to the machine on which it is running
- 2. Once a victim will try and connect to our machine, Responder will exploit the connection to steal credentials and other data.

In this demonstration we will use Responder to access credentials through SMB and WPAD authentication. We used a Kali Linux machine, which has this tool pre-installed and can be accessed under /usr/share/responder.

The port should be 5355 in UDP so I filtered in Wireshark for udp.port == 5355 && ip.dst == 172.17.79.136

Lench John Stronderd genry response beschlie a ECGE A. 17.1.1.7 b. 1.15 Stronderd genry response beschlie AMA CCGE A. 17.1.1.7 b. 1.15 Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd genry response beschlie AMA CCGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie ACGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE A. AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE AMA fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE AMA fello (Jailat fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE AMA fello (Jailat fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE AMA fello (Jailat fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCGE AMA fello (Jailat fello (Jailat relativistics eth) Stronderd query response beschlie AMA CCCG AMA fello (Jailat fello (Jailat fello) (Jailat fe | usb.port == 5385 86 to dat == 172 17.79.196

Then I found the only IP which was response is 172.17.79.135

Answer: 172.17.79.135

Task 2: What is the hostname of the rogue machine?

I filtered for ip.addr == 172.17.79.135 and found a DHCP packet and reviewed the logs to find an

```
p. 460 ++ 172, 17, 79, 135
```

Length: 4 Host Name: kali > Option: (255) End

Answer: kali

Task 3:

Now we need to confirm whether the attacker captured the user's hash and it is crackable!! What is the username whose hash was captured?

I filtered for ip.src == 172.17.79.135 && ip.dst == 172.17.79.136 and then Followed the TCP Stream $\sim 10^{-1}$



Answer: john.deacon

Task 4: In NTLM traffic we can see that the victim credentials were relayed multiple times to the attacker's machine. When were the hashes captured the First time?

I tried to filter for only NTLM but Wireshark has shown other option for "ntlmssp"

I checked on Google what is ntlmssp

NTLMSSP NTLMSSP, whose authentication service identifier is RPC_C_AUTHN_WINNT, is a security support provider that is available on all versions of DCOM. It uses the NTLM protocol for authentication. NTLM never actually transmits the user sword to the server during authentication. Therefore, the server cannot use the password during impersonation to ess network resources that the user would have access to. Only local resources can be accessed.

Then I saw the first packets with "NTLMSSP_AUTH, User: FORELA \john.deacon"

Answer: 2024-06-24 11:18:30

Task 5: What was the typo made by the victim when navigating to the file share that caused his credentials to be leaked?

Task 5 Hint

When looking at LLMNR traffic we saw that attackers machine responded to a query "DC01" which means that the victim typed DC001 instead of DC01 which sourced the DNs is fail and the machine to fall back to LLMNR protocol to resolve the query and thats where attackers roque machine responded to the query pretending to be a domain controller. We can further confirm this type in nalmaps packets where we see that the netname value.

I already saw this queries with the typo of "DCC 01" when I looked for the LLMNR traffic.

Answer: DCC01

To get the actual credentials of the victim user we need to stitch together multiple values from the ntlm negotiation packets. What is the NTLM server challenge value?

I filtered again for ntlmssp and examined the first packets when the timestamp is 2024-06-24 11:18:30 from task 4.

After expanding all the logs I found the "NTLM Server Challenge"

```
| Section of the commons | Section |
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       The Jours Co. Section 1 Se
```

Task 7: Now doing something similar find the NTProofStr value.

From same filter like task 6, this time I used the string search for "NTProofStr" and change to "Packet details"



Answer: c0cc803a6d9fb5a9082253a04dbd4cd4

Task 8: To test the password complexity, try recovering the password from the information found from packet capture. This is a crucial step as this way we can find whether the attacker was able to crack this and how quickly.

I used the hint for this question

Walkthroughs Page 3

Create a new file and plug in the values as follows.

ser::Donain:ServerChallenge.HTProofStr.NTLMv2Responses/without first
16 bytes.]. The NYLMv2 Response value can be found from where we
found NTProofStr. Remove the first 16 bytes(22 characters) from the
value. Then create the heat value flashest. Heatest syntax will be as
follows. Hashcat -a0 -m5600 hashfile.tst rockyouwordlist.tst

Just like the hint says, I found the NTLMv 2 Response in the same place like task 7

VITLMV2 Response: c0cc803a6d9fb5a9082253a04dbd4cd4010100000 NTProofStr: c0cc803a6d9fb5a9082253a04dbd4cd4 080e4d59406c6da01cc3dcfc0...

Then I gave ChatGPT all the details to combine it together

```
(bubble@kali)-[-]
hashcat -a0 -m5600 '/home/bubble/Desktog/hashfile.txt' /usr/share/wordlists/rockyou.txt
```

Just to get more context surrounding the incident, what is the actual file share that the victim was trying to navigate to?

I filtered for SMB and looked for file share path

10189 2024-06-24 11:19:17.927328 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	152 Tree Connect Request Tree: \\DC01\IPC\$
10190 2024-06-24 11:19:17.927437 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SMB2	138 Tree Connect Response
10191 2024-06-24 11:19:17.927686 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	178 Ioctl Request FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
10192 2024-06-24 11:19:17.927904 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	190 Create Request File: srvsvc
10194 2024-06-24 11:19:17.928146 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SMB2	474 Ioctl Response FSCTL_QUERY_NETWORK_INTERFACE_INFO
10195 2024-06-24 11:19:17.928272 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SMB2	210 Create Response File: srvsvc
10197 2024-06-24 11:19:17.928392 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	162 GetInfo Request FILE_INFO/SMB2_FILE_STANDARD_INFO File: srvsvc
10198 2024-06-24 11:19:17.928527 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SMB2	154 GetInfo Response
10199 2024-06-24 11:19:17.928828 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	DCERPC	330 Bind: call_id: 2, Fragment: Single, 3 context items: SRVSVC V3.0 (32bit NDR), SRVSVC V3.0 (64bit NDR), SRVSVC V3.0 (6cb71c2c-9812-4540-0300-0000000000000)
10200 2024-06-24 11:19:17.928962 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SMB2	138 Write Response
10201 2024-06-24 11:19:17.929351 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	171 Read Request Len:1024 Off:0 File: srvsvc
10202 2024-06-24 11:19:17.929458 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	DCERPC	254 Bind_ack: call_id: 2, Fragment: Single, max_xmit: 4280 max_recv: 4280, 3 results: Provider rejection, Acceptance, Negotiate ACK
10203 2024-06-24 11:19:17.929668 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SRVSVC	298 NetShareEnumAll request[Long frame (4 bytes)]
10204 2024-06-24 11:19:17.929772 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SMB2	138 Write Response
10205 2024-06-24 11:19:17.930131 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	171 Read Request Len:1024 Off:0 File: srvsvc
10206 2024-06-24 11:19:17.930204 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SRVSVC	950 NetShareEnumAll response[Malformed Packet]
10207 2024-06-24 11:19:17.930548 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	146 Close Request File: srvsvc
10208 2024-06-24 11:19:17.930630 172.17.79.4	172.17.79.136	SMB2	182 Close Response
10214 2024-06-24 11:19:18.419272 172.17.79.136	172.17.79.4	SMB2	174 Tree Connect Request Tree: \\DC01\DC-Confidential

Answer: \\DC01\DC-Confidential