# Home Assignment

Names: Lidor Erez, Dvir Rehavi, Guy Mizrahi

# First Task

Show that the maximum likelihood estimates for a univariate Normal distribution with unknown mean and variance are given by:

$$\hat{\mu} = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})(x_i - \hat{\mu})^T$$

# Solution

The likelihood function for a univariate normal distribution is given by:

$$L(\mu,\sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-rac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Simplifying the above expression, we get:

$$L(\mu,\sigma^2) = rac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-rac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}$$

Taking the log of the likelihood function, we get:

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2) = -rac{n}{2} {
m log}(2\pi) - rac{n}{2} {
m log}(\sigma^2) - rac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

Taking the derivative of the log likelihood function with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ , we get:

$$rac{\partial \log L(\mu,\sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} = rac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0$$

$$rac{\partial \log L(\mu,\sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -rac{n}{2\sigma^2} + rac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$

# Finding $\hat{\mu}$

$$rac{1}{\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\mu)=0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n\mu = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = n\mu$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

# Finding $\hat{\sigma}^2$

$$egin{aligned} -rac{n}{2\sigma^2} + rac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 &= 0 \ rac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 &= rac{n}{2\sigma^2} \ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 &= n\sigma^2 \ \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 &= n\hat{\sigma}^2 \ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the maximum likelihood estimates for a univariate Normal distribution with unknown mean and variance are given by:

$$\hat{\mu}=rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$
  $\hat{\sigma}^2=rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i-\hat{\mu})^2$ 

# Second Task

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from NN import NN, NeuralNetworkCV,trainNN
from RF_TASK import RF, RandomForestCV
import torch

In [31]: # Loading Data
M1 = np.loadtxt("./data/M1.csv",delimiter=',')
M2 = np.loadtxt("./data/M2.csv",delimiter=',')
S1 = np.loadtxt('./data/Sigma1.csv',delimiter=',')
S2 = np.loadtxt('./data/Sigma2.csv',delimiter=',')
# A priori probabilities
P1 = 0.35
P2 = 0.65
```

\*\* Task 2.1 \*\*

Generate 10,000 observations from the two distributions, proportionate to the a priori probabilities, which will be the training set.

```
In [32]: # sample size for training set
    n = 10000
    sample_size_1 = int(n*P1)
    sample_size_2 = int(n*P2)

# Create Distribution
    np.random.seed(11)
    dist1 = np.random.multivariate_normal(M1,S1, sample_size_1)
    dist2 = np.random.multivariate_normal(M2,S2,sample_size_2)
    y1 = np.ones(sample_size_1)
    y2 = np.zeros(sample_size_2)

# stack distributions:
data = np.vstack([dist1,dist2])
labels = np.hstack([y1,y2])
```

### \*\* Task 2.2 \*\*

Compute the MLE estimators for each of the class conditional parameters and compare them to the true values.

```
Estimated mu for dist1:
        [6.50938839 5.8243426 6.75761525 7.33637681 7.7731861 4.38719271]
        Estimated sigma for dist1:
         [[10.39686125 -0.34800071 -2.75480451 0.43475269 3.17679352 1.6901788
        41
         [-0.34800071 2.57758081 0.85688225 -0.2666876 1.32473075 0.37673872]
         [-2.75480451 \quad 0.85688225 \quad 4.29628595 \quad -1.03926033 \quad -1.03506258 \quad 1.19061338]
         [0.43475269 - 0.2666876 - 1.03926033 3.49492454 - 0.91519742 0.29000415]
         [ 3.17679352 1.32473075 -1.03506258 -0.91519742 2.80010793 0.78827087]
         [ 1.69017884  0.37673872  1.19061338  0.29000415  0.78827087  2.4535043
        911
        Estimated mu for dist2:
        [6.19666394 5.84103995 6.64614838 6.11156466 6.97733738 4.3451731 ]
        Estimated sigma for dist2:
         [[ 9.82420388 -3.88368511 -3.5253374 -0.13725756 1.49088391 -2.9554119
        21
         [-3.88368511 3.16989061 0.09933475 -0.06451439 -1.10436848 2.24385832]
         [-3.5253374 0.09933475 3.69315294 0.41166515 0.3467576 -0.55595673]
         [-0.13725756 - 0.06451439 \ 0.41166515 \ 1.8219158 - 0.36514266 - 0.50389575]
         [ 1.49088391 -1.10436848  0.3467576  -0.36514266  2.50043393  -0.60491785]
         [-2.95541192 2.24385832 -0.55595673 -0.50389575 -0.60491785 2.6195776
        411
In [35]: print("Difference between estimated and real mean of Distribution 1:")
         mu_hat_dist1 - M1
        Difference between estimated and real mean of Distribution 1:
Out[35]: array([ 0.06878839, -0.0142574 , 0.00821525, -0.02172319, 0.0076861 ,
                 0.020092711)
In [36]: print("Difference between estimated and real sigma of Distribution 1:")
         sigma_hat_dist1 - S1
        Difference between estimated and real sigma of Distribution 1:
Out[36]: array([[ 0.11386125, -0.08231071, -0.08600451, -0.00014731, -0.01970648,
                 -0.08792116],
                 [-0.08231071, 0.01908081, 0.01618225, 0.0361624, -0.00326925,
                   0.02086872],
                 [-0.08600451, 0.01618225, 0.08508595, 0.02843967, -0.05339258,
                   0.02461338],
                 [-0.00014731, 0.0361624, 0.02843967, -0.09997546, 0.01554258,
                 -0.00651585],
                 [-0.01970648, -0.00326925, -0.05339258, 0.01554258, 0.00800793,
                 -0.04400913],
                 [-0.08792116, 0.02086872, 0.02461338, -0.00651585, -0.04400913,
                 -0.06319561]])
In [37]: print("Difference between estimated and real mean of Distribution 2:")
         mu_hat_dist2 - M2
        Difference between estimated and real mean of Distribution 2:
Out[37]: array([-0.00213606, -0.00536005, 0.03974838, -0.00373534, 0.04643738,
                -0.0245269 ])
In [38]: print("Difference between estimated and real sigma of Distribution 2:")
         sigma_hat_dist2 - S2
```

Difference between estimated and real sigma of Distribution 2:

### \*\* Task 2.3 \*\*

Generate another set, with 2,000 observations (this will serve as validation set).

```
In [39]: # Sample Size
    n_val = 2000
    sample_size1_val = int(n_val*P1)
    sample_size2_val = int(n_val*P2)

# Create distributions

np.random.seed(11)
    dist1_val = np.random.multivariate_normal(M1,S1, sample_size1_val)
    dist2_val = np.random.multivariate_normal(M2,S2,sample_size2_val)

# Create labels
    y1_val = np.ones(sample_size1_val)
    y2_val = np.zeros(sample_size2_val)

# stack distributions for validation
    data_val = np.vstack([dist1_val,dist2_val])

# stack labels for validation
    labels_val = np.hstack([y1_val,y2_val])
```

## \*\* Task 2.4 \*\*

Fit a random forest to the data. Use the validation set to compare a number of forest configurations and choose the best performing one. Then use CV-10 over the training set to estimate the model accuracy and generalization error. (You may not use existing functions for the cross-validation for optimization and estimation part but write your own).

```
In [40]: from itertools import product
from tqdm import tqdm
```

### Chossing the best performing configuration:

```
In [41]: dct = {
    'n_estimator':[300,500],
    'max_depth':[5,7],
```

```
'min_samples_leaf':[2,4],
              'min_samples_split':[2,5,10]
In [42]: # This function creates combinations from the feature space dictionary.
         def parameterSub(paramter_space):
             values = paramter_space.values()
             feature_combs = {}
             combinations = list(product(*values))
             for i,comb in enumerate(combinations):
                 feature_combs[f'combination_{i}'] = comb
             return feature_combs
         param_space = parameterSub(dct)
         param_space
Out[42]: {'combination_0': (300, 5, 2, 2),
           'combination_1': (300, 5, 2, 5),
           'combination_2': (300, 5, 2, 10),
           'combination_3': (300, 5, 4, 2),
           'combination_4': (300, 5, 4, 5),
           'combination 5': (300, 5, 4, 10),
           'combination_6': (300, 7, 2, 2),
           'combination_7': (300, 7, 2, 5),
           'combination_8': (300, 7, 2, 10),
           'combination_9': (300, 7, 4, 2),
           'combination_10': (300, 7, 4, 5),
           'combination_11': (300, 7, 4, 10),
           'combination_12': (500, 5, 2, 2),
           'combination_13': (500, 5, 2, 5),
           'combination_14': (500, 5, 2, 10),
           'combination_15': (500, 5, 4, 2),
           'combination_16': (500, 5, 4, 5),
           'combination_17': (500, 5, 4, 10),
           'combination_18': (500, 7, 2, 2),
           'combination_19': (500, 7, 2, 5),
           'combination_20': (500, 7, 2, 10),
           'combination_21': (500, 7, 4, 2),
           'combination_22': (500, 7, 4, 5),
           'combination_23': (500, 7, 4, 10)}
In [43]: res = {}
         for key,val in tqdm(param_space.items()):
             rf = RF(*val)
             rf.train(data, labels)
             rf.predict(data_val)
             f1_score,_ = rf.computeMetrics(labels_val)
             res[key] = (f1_score, rf.params)
        100% | 24/24 [01:15<00:00, 3.13s/it]
In [44]: items = list(res.items())
         by_f1 = sorted(items, key=lambda item: item[1][0], reverse=True)[0]
         by_f1
```

# Estimating the model accuracy and generalization error using CV-10:

```
In [45]: best_params = by_f1[1][1] # get best parameters
    best_rf = RF(*best_params.values()) # deploy a new RF with the best param
    # Train using CV
    rf_cv = RandomForestCV(best_rf,10,data,labels)
    rf_cv.runCV()

Out[45]: (0.6606637806637806, 0.13414414414416)

In [46]: print(f'Random Forest F1 {np.round(rf_cv.avgRFF1*100,3)}%\nRandom Forest
    Random Forest F1 66.066%
    Random Forest Generalization Error 13.414%
```

## \*\* Task 2.5 \*\*

Fit a neural network to the data. Use the validation set to determine the number of neurons to use for the network. After choosing the number of neurons, use CV-10 over the training set to estimate the classification accuracy

#### Choosing the number of neurons:

```
In [47]: input_size = data.shape[1]
         output_size = 1
         hidden_sizes = [2**i for i in range(4,10)]
         result = {}
         data_cp = data.copy()
         labels_cp = labels.copy()
         val_data_cp = data_val.copy()
         val_labels_cp = labels_val.copy()
         scaler = StandardScaler()
         data_cp = scaler.fit_transform(data_cp)
         val_data_cp = scaler.fit_transform(val_data_cp)
         data_tens = torch.from_numpy(data_cp).to(torch.float32)
         labels_tens = torch.from_numpy(labels_cp)
         labels_tens = labels_tens.to(torch.float32)
         labels_tens = labels_tens.unsqueeze(1)
         val_data_tens = torch.from_numpy(val_data_cp).to(torch.float32)
         val_labels_tens = torch.from_numpy(val_labels_cp)
         val_labels_tens = val_labels_tens.to(torch.float32)
         val_labels_tens = val_labels_tens.unsqueeze(1)
         labels_size = labels.shape[0]
```

```
# Handle imbalance of data using weights in loss function
num_zeros = torch.sum(labels_tens == 0).item()
num_ones = torch.sum(labels_tens == 1).item()
pos weight = num zeros/num ones
criterion = torch.nn.BCEWithLogitsLoss(pos_weight=torch.tensor([pos_weight]))
for hidden_size in hidden_sizes:
    net = NN(input_size, hidden_size, output_size)
    optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(net.parameters(), lr=1e-1)
    _,val_loss = trainNN(net,
                                   data_tens,
                                   labels_tens,
                                   optimizer,
                                   criterion,
                                   1000,
                                   200,
                                    val_data_tens,
                                    val_labels_tens,
                                    validate=True)
    result[hidden_size] = (val_loss)
```

```
In [48]: result_items = list(result.items())
by_loss = sorted(result_items, key=lambda item: item[0])[0]
print(f'{by_loss[0]} neurons in the hidden layer result in {by_loss[1]} l
```

16 neurons in the hidden layer result in 0.34719195067882536 loss value.

#### Estimating the classification accuracy using CV-10:

Out[49]: (0.9126984126984128, 0.24489656016230582)

### \*\* Task 2.6 \*\*

Choose one of the two models above. We will now consider the overfitting phenomenon as a function of training set size. Fit the model with training sets of size  $N=10,20,30,\ldots,1,000$ . Plot the test and training error as a function of N. \*For estimating test error, use the validation set.

```
In [50]: net_of = NN(input_size, by_loss[0],output_size)
    criterion = torch.nn.BCEWithLogitsLoss(pos_weight=torch.tensor([pos_weight
    optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(net_of.parameters(),lr=1e-1)
    train_losses = []
```

```
In [51]: idx = list(range(10,1001,10))
    plt.figure(figsize=(10,8))
    plt.plot(idx,train_losses,label='Train Error')
    plt.plot(idx, val_losses,label='Test Error')
    plt.title("Train Error VS Test Error as a function of N")
    plt.xlabel("$N = 10,20,30,\ldots,1,000$")
    plt.ylabel("Error")
    plt.legend()
    plt.show()
```

