# 67731 | Convex Optimization and Applications | Ex 1

Guy Lutsker 207029448

# **Convex Sets**

Which of the following is convex sets? prove or give a counter example:

1.  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \alpha_i \le x_i \le \beta_i . i \in [d]\}$ 

The set is convex. Proof:

We can describe the aforementioned as two convex sets we have covered:

Denote: 
$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \alpha_i \le x_i\}, B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | x_i \le \beta_i\}$$

Notice that both A, B are halfspaces, and so are convex.

We have learned in class that an intersection of convex sets, is convex it self, and so: B

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \alpha_i \leq x_i \leq \beta_i.i \in [d]\} = \overbrace{A}^{\text{Convex}} \cap \overbrace{B}^{\text{Convex}} \to \overbrace{A \cap B}^{\text{Convex}} = \overbrace{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \alpha_i \leq x_i \leq \beta_i.i \in [d]\}}^{\text{Convex}}$$

2.  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \|x\|_0 \le k\}$ 

The set is **not** convex. Counter example:

Let 
$$k=1$$
 and let  $\mathbb{R}^d\ni v_1=\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\\\vdots\\0\end{bmatrix},\mathbb{R}^d\ni v_2=\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\\\vdots\\0\end{bmatrix}$  .

Its clear that both  $v_1, v_2$  hold that  $\forall i \in [2] : ||v_i||_0 \le k$  and so both are in the aforementioned set

Let us look at a convex combination of  $v_1, v_2$ : Let us choose  $\theta = 0.5$ 

$$\theta \cdot v_1 + (1 - \theta) \cdot v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.5 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \| \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \|_0 = 2 \neq 1 = k \rightarrow \theta \cdot v_1 + (1 - \theta) \cdot v_2 \notin \theta$$

The set  $\rightarrow$  The set is not convex.

3.  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \|x - x_0\|_2 \le \|x - y\|_2 \ \forall y \in Y, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \}$ 

The set is convex. Proof:

Notice that for each point  $y \in Y$  what we are essentially creating here is a halfspace.

(To me this problem sounds alot like SVM, even though I might be exaggerating. And the point in Y which are closect to  $x_0$  as

(you can tell me how off I am, but for now lets get back to reality)

I will prove that for a point  $y \in Y$  we get a convex set, and the convexity of the set will follow, since it will be an intersection of

Let  $y \in Y$  and lets look at  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | \|x - x_0\|_2 \le \|x - y\|_2\}$ , and 2 points v, w in the set.

Let 
$$0 < \theta < 1$$
.

Denote our candidate  $c = \theta \cdot v + (1 - \theta) \cdot w$ 

Lets check: 
$$||c - x_0||_2 \stackrel{?}{\leq} ||c - y||_2$$

4.  $\{X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} | rank(X) < d\}$ 

The set is **not** convex. Counter example:

Let us take 
$$\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ f(i,j) & i = j \end{cases}$$
 where  $f$  is some function such that  $f: \mathbb{N}^2 \to \{0,1\}$ 

Also f holds that its image has both 0 and 1, meaning  $\{0,1\} \in Im(f)$ 

Let us construct  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  such that  $A_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$ .

Let us also construct  $\eta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ g(i,j) & i = j \end{cases}$  where g is some function such that  $f: \mathbb{N}^2 \to \{0,1\}$  and says the oposite of f such

$$g(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & f(i,j) = 1\\ 1 & f(i,j) = 0 \end{cases}$$

And g also holds that  $\{0,1\} \in Im(g)$ 

Let us then construct  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  such that  $B_{i,j} = \eta_{i,j}$ .

Notice that by the way of construction, both A,B have a rank between 1 and d-1 .

This is true since both f, g hold that they are diagonal with a number of 1's between 1,d-1.

Not only that, but they have "completing" ranks, s.t rank(A) + rank(B) = d.

This is again true because of the construction of f, g.

Notice that since rank(A), rank(B) < d they are both is the set.

But for any convex combination of them (excluding  $\theta \in \{0, 1\}$ ) the result will be a full rank matrix

Since it will be a diagonal matrix, and so will not be in the set.

And so the set is not convex.

5. 
$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | d(x, S) \le d(x, T), s.t \ d(x, S) = \min_{y \in S} ||x - y|| \}$$

The set is **not** convex. Counter example:

Let 
$$d = 1$$

Let 
$$S = \{1, -1\}, T = \{0\}$$

Let 
$$a = 1, b = -1$$
 be in the set since  $||1 - (1)|| = 0 \le 0 = ||-1 - (-1)||$ .

But taking  $\theta=0.5$  gives us 0 which is closer to  $T\to \min_{y\in S}\lVert 0-y\rVert=1\not\leq 0=\lVert 0-0\rVert=\min_{y\in T}\lVert x-y\rVert$ 

And so the set is not convex.

6.  $\{X \in \mathbb{S}^d_+ | Tr(X) \le r\}$ 

As the hint suggests, if with will show that Tr(X) is a linear function we will be done since the set will be a halfspace and so v

Notice that 
$$Tr(A+B)=\sum_i a_{i,i}+b_{i,i}=\sum_i a_{i,i}+\sum_i b_{i,i}=Tr(A)+Tr(B)$$
 And that:  $Tr(cA)=\sum_i ca_{i,i}=c\sum_i a_{i,i}=c\cdot Tr(A)$  .

$$\frac{1}{i}$$

And so, trace is a linear function, and the set is a halfspace, which is convex.

7. Prove that C is convex (according to the definition from the lecture) iff it contains every convex combination of its points.

#### Solution:

We shall prove on the convex set C by induction over the length of the convex combination n.

Base: Let n=1, then for any point  $x_1 \in C$  a convex combination must require  $\theta=1$  and we immidiatly get the derivation.

Step: Assume the statement is true for any n-1 and we shall prove for n

Let 
$$x_1 - x_n \in C$$
.

We want to proove that 
$$\sum_i \theta_i x_i \in C$$
 where  $\sum_i \theta_i = 1$ 

From I.H we know that for n-1 the statement holds, and so we know that we can take

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_i = 1 \rightarrow \text{ we can "renormalize" for the new expression } \theta_n \text{ thusly:}$$

$$\theta_i^{'} = \frac{\theta_i}{1 - \theta_n}$$

And now

$$\sum_{i}^{n} \theta_{i} x_{i} = \underbrace{(1-\theta_{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\theta_{i} \cdot x_{i}}{1-\theta_{n}}}_{\in Chosen from } C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} = 1$$

8. Prove that a convex hull is equivalent to convS: the smallest convex set that contains S

Solution

Let S be a set, and H be its convex hull, and K be the smallest convex set that contains S

$$H \subset K$$
:

From Q7 we know that a convex set must contain all of the convex combinations in it.

Given that H is simply some convex combination of k points in S (which are also in H by definition)

K must contain this set otherwise its not convex.

$$K \subset H$$
:

H is a set of convex combinations, and so is also convex (Q7 is iff) and so if K portrays to be the smallest convex set that contains

Then H is some convex combinations, and must be by definition larger or equal to the smallest convex set containing S,

And so the statement is derived.

9. a

10. a

11. Let 
$$f(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix}) = \frac{Ax+b}{ct+d}$$
, such that  $dom(f) = \{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mid ct+d>0\}$ 

Solution:

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a convex set, show that  $\{f(x) \mid x \in S \cap dom(f)\}$  is convex.

Lets take the hints suggestion and look at  $S_1 = \{ \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} \in S \}.$ 

Notice that S is convex, and the operation  $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix}$  is affine, meaning  $S_1$  is a set of affine operation on a convex set - this is a convex set as well, and so  $S_1$  is convex. This means that the enumerator if our output of f is convex. The denominator is clearly affine as well, and since ct+d>0 is required in dom(f) we get that f is a composition of an 2 affine transformation, and packing them into a perspective function. Fortunately, S is convex, and a composition of affine functions, and perspective conserves convexity, and so  $\{f(x) \mid x \in S \ \cap \ dom(f)\}$  is convex.

12. Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  be a convex set, show that:  $\{x \mid f(x) \in S, x \in dom(f)\}$  is convex.

# Solution:

This is basically requiring that the inverse of a conserves conserving function also conserves convexity (since I have shown in Q11 that f conserves convexity), and we have shown this is class.

13. Let  $K \subset V$  be a convex cone, and  $K^* = \{x \in V | \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in K\}$  be the dual cone. Prove that the dual cone is a convex cone:

#### Solution:

Let  $a, b \in K^*, y \in K, 0 \le \theta \le 1$ . We need to prove that  $\theta a + (1 - \theta)b \in K^*$ 

Let us look at  $\langle \theta a + (1-\theta)b, y \rangle$  Inner product is linear in the first component  $\theta \langle a, y \rangle + (1-\theta) \langle b, y \rangle \geq 0$   $\rightarrow \langle \theta a + (1-\theta)b, y \rangle \in K^* \rightarrow K^*$  is convex.

14. Let K a subspace of V. Show that the dual cone is its orthogonal complement.

## Solution:

$$V \not\subset V^*$$
:

Let  $0 \neq v \in V$  then  $\langle v, -v \rangle < 0$  and so v cannot be in  $V^* \to v \notin V^*$ 

$$V \perp V^*$$
:

Let  $v \in V$  and let o be in V's orthogonal complement, then  $\langle v, o \rangle = 0$  by definitions, and so  $o \in V^* \to V^*$  =orthogonal complement of V.

15. Show that  $\mathbb{R}^{n*}_+ = \mathbb{R}^n_+$ .

# Solution:

$$\mathbb{R}^n_+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{n*}_+$$
:

For  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  notice that  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \geq 0 \to x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n*}_+$ 

$$\mathbb{R}^{n*}_{\perp} \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\perp}$$
:

For  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n*}_+$  and  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$  notice that  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \geq 0 \to x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ 

16. Show that  $\mathbb{S}_+^{n*} = \mathbb{S}_+^n$ .

## Solution:

We have defined in EX0 that an inner product of two matrices in the trace of their multiplication, and so:

$$A,B \in \mathbb{S}^n$$

Let 
$$A, B \in \mathbb{S}^n_+$$
 that means that  $\langle A, B \rangle = Tr(A^{\mathsf{T}}B) = Tr(AB) \stackrel{\frown}{\geq} 0$  and so  $B \in \mathbb{S}^{n*}_+$ .

Let  $X \notin \mathbb{S}^n_+$  that means that each eigen value of X is negative, and since Tr preserves in similar matrices, we can consider that X has its eigen values on its diagonal. And so we can conclude that  $X \notin \mathbb{S}^{n*}_+$ .

17. Let  $K = \{(x,t) | ||x||_2 \le t\}$ , show that  $K^* = K$ .

# Solution:

a

Which of the following is convex functions? prove or give a counter example:

18. Any norm  $\|\cdot\|$ 

It is a convex function, proof: Directly derived from the triangle inequality:

$$\forall x, y, 0 \le \theta \le 1$$
:

$$\|\theta x + (1 - \theta)y\| \le \theta \|x\| + (1 - \theta)\|y\|$$

19.  $f(x) = x_1 \cdot x_2$ 

Not a convex function. since:

$$|\nabla^2 f| = |\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}| = -1 < 0 \to f \text{ is not convex.}$$

20.  $f(x) = \frac{x_1}{x_2}$ 

Not a convex function. since:

$$\nabla f = [\frac{1}{x_2}, \frac{-x_1}{x_2^2}]$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{-1}{x_2^2} \\ \frac{-1}{x_2^2} & \frac{2x_1}{x_2^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|\nabla^2 f| = |\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{-1}{x_2^2} \\ \frac{-1}{x_2^2} & \frac{2x_1}{x_2^3} \end{bmatrix}| = \frac{-1}{x_2^4} < 0 \to f \text{ is not convex.}$$

**21**.  $f(x) = \frac{x_1^2}{x_2}$ ,  $x_2 \ge 0$ .

It is convex, proof:

$$\nabla f = [\frac{2x_1}{x_2}, \frac{-x_1^2}{x_2^2}]$$

$$\nabla f^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{x_2} & \frac{-2x_1}{x_2^2} \\ \frac{-2x_1}{x_2^2} & \frac{2x_1^2}{x_2^3} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{x_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-x_1}{x_2} \\ \frac{-x_1}{x_2} & \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

And since  $x_2 \geq 0$  what is left to show is that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-x_1}{x_2} \\ \frac{-x_1}{x_2} & \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$  is PSD.

$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-x_1}{x_2} \\ \frac{-x_1}{x_2} & \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix} \right| = \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} - \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} = 0 \ge 0$$

$$Tr(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-x_1}{x_2^2} \\ \frac{-x_1}{x_2} & \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}) = 1 + \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} \ge 0$$

And so both the trace and the determinant are non negative, meaning  $\nabla f^2$  is PSD

22. Let  $f(x)=-(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . Proof that  $v^\intercal H_f v=C_x(n\sum \frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2}-(\sum \frac{v_i}{x_i})^2)$ 

$$v^{\mathsf{T}} H_f v = v^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} \end{bmatrix} v$$

6

23. Use Cauchy Schwarz to show that  $(n\sum \frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2} - (\sum \frac{v_i}{x_i})^2) \ge 0$ 

## Solution:

Let us recall that Cauchy Schwarz says that  $\|u\|^2\|w\|^2 \ge \langle u,w\rangle^2 \Leftrightarrow \|u\|^2\|w\|^2 - \langle u,w\rangle^2 \ge 0$ . Let us use  $u=(1,1...,1)^\intercal$ ,  $w=(\frac{v_1}{x_1},...,\frac{v_n}{x_n})^\intercal$ , and using Cauchy Schwarz we get:

$$\underbrace{\frac{n\sum \frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2}}{\|u\|^2\|w\|^2} - \underbrace{(\sum \frac{v_i}{x_i})^2}_{\left\langle u, w \right\rangle^2} \geq 0}_{= \to \left(n\sum \frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2} - (\sum \frac{v_i}{x_i})^2\right) \geq 0.$$

24. Conclude that f is convex.

#### Solution:

We have seen in Q22 that the Hessian of f is made up of  $C_x(n\sum\frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2}-(\sum\frac{v_i}{x_i})^2)$  Where  $C_x$  is non negative, and we have shown in Q23 that  $n\sum\frac{v_i^2}{x_i^2}-(\sum\frac{v_i}{x_i})^2$  is non negative as well. Meaning that f's Hessian is non negative - meaning its PSD - meaning f is convex.

25. Prove that if f is convex then  $\nabla f$  is monotonic increasing.

#### Solution:

A criteria for convexity is that  $\nabla^2 f$  is PSD which means that  $\nabla f$  is monotonic increasing... But I guess we can prove it from definition:

Let f be convex, and so lets look at the expression we want to prove:

$$(\nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}} - \nabla f(y)^{\mathsf{T}})(x - y) \stackrel{?}{\geq} 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}}(x-y) - \nabla f(y)^{\mathsf{T}}(x-y) \stackrel{?}{>} 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

And if we remember the definition of high dimensional convexity, with regard to the first order derivative we get:

$$f(x) \ge f(y) + \nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}}(y - x)$$

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}}(x - y)$$

Summing up these equations yields:

$$\nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}}(x-y) - \nabla f(y)^{\mathsf{T}}(x-y) + f(x) + f(y) \leq f(x) + f(y) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\nabla f(x)^\intercal(x-y) - \nabla f(y)^\intercal(x-y) \leq 0$$

Which is awfully close to what we want to prove.

But if we remember that the statement in the question is regarding any  $x, y \in dom(f)$  we realize that the order isn't important and we can flip it to get:

$$\nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}}(x-y) - \nabla f(y)^{\mathsf{T}}(x-y) < 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\nabla f(y)^{\mathsf{T}}(y-x) - \nabla f(x)^{\mathsf{T}}(y-x) \ge 0$$

Which is what we wanted.