

# CALL-BY-NAME, CALL-BY-VALUE, CALL-BY-NEED, AND THE LINEAR LAMBDA CALCULUS

INITIATION À LA RECHERCHE

**Quentin Schroeder** 

MPRI - Université Paris-Cité

#### **MOTIVATION**

#### **Some Context**

- Every programming language has an evaluation strategy
- · Call by Need is used in Haskell
- Call by Value is used in OCaml

#### Goal

Study evaluation strategies via the linear lambda calculus

## Why?

- found linearity is relevant when studying Call by Need
- · noticed it also applies for other strategies

1

#### **OVERVIEW**

- 1. Call by Name, Call by Value
- 2. Linear Lambda Calculus
- 3. Results 1
- 4. Call by Let, Call by Need
- 5. Affine Lambda Calculus
- 6. Results 2
- 7. Conclusion

# **SIMPLY TYPED LAMBDA CALCULUS (SYNTAX)**

Types
$$A, B ::=$$
 basic types  $| A \rightarrow B$ Terms $M, N ::= V | M N$ Values $V, W ::= x | \lambda x.t$ 

Id 
$$\overline{x:A \vdash x:A}$$

$$\rightarrow -Intro \frac{\Gamma, X : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X.M : A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow -Elim \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash M N : B}$$

Call by NameEvaluate the body firstCall by ValueEvaluate the arguments first

# **CALL BY NAME (EXAMPLE)**

## **Call by Name**

$$(\lambda x.x + x) (3+3)$$
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 

# **CALL BY NAME (EXAMPLE)**

## **Call by Name**

$$(\lambda x.x + x) (3+3)$$
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 
 $(3+3) + (3+3)$ 

## **Call by Value**

$$(\lambda x.x + x) (3+3)$$
 $(\lambda x.x + x) 6$ 
 $(\lambda x.x + x) 6$ 
 $(\lambda x.x + x) 6$ 
 $(\lambda x.x + x) 12$ 

# **LINEAR LAMBDA CALCULUS (TERMS, TYPES)**

**Types:** A, B, C ::=basic types  $| !A | A \multimap B$ 

**Terms:** L, M, N ::=  $x \mid !M \mid let !x = M in N \mid \lambda x.M \mid M N$ 



Id 
$$x:A \vdash x:A$$

Dereliction 
$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, !x : !A \vdash M : B}$$

Contraction 
$$\frac{\Gamma, !y : !A, !z : !A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, !x : !A \vdash M[y := x, z := x] : B} \quad \text{Weakening} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, !x : !A \vdash M : B}$$

Weakening 
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, !x : !A \vdash M : B}$$

!-Intro 
$$\frac{ !\Gamma \vdash M : A}{ !\Gamma \vdash !M : !A} \quad \text{!-Elim} \quad \frac{ !\Gamma \vdash M : !A \qquad \triangle, !x : !A \vdash N : B}{ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{let } !x = M \text{ in } N : B}$$

$$\Delta, !x : !A \vdash N : B$$

$$\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{let } ! x = M \text{ in } N : B$$

## **LINEAR LAMBDA CALCULUS (REDUCTION RULES)**

$$(\beta_{\multimap}) \qquad (\lambda x.M) \ N \rightsquigarrow M[x := N]$$
 
$$(\beta_!) \qquad \text{let } !x = !M \text{ in } !N \rightsquigarrow N[x := M]$$
 
$$(! \multimap) \qquad \text{let } !x = L \text{ in } M \text{ } N \rightsquigarrow \text{let } !x = L \text{ in } M \text{ } N$$
 
$$(!!): \qquad \text{let } !y = \text{let } !x = L \text{ in } M \text{ in } N \rightsquigarrow \text{let } !x = L \text{ in } \text{let } !y = M \text{ in } N$$

#### INTERPRETING CALL BY NAME

 $(-)^{\circ}$ : Call by Name LC  $\rightarrow$  Linear LC.

$$Z^{\circ} \equiv Z$$
 ,where Z is a basic type  $(A \to B)^{\circ} \equiv (!A^{\circ}) \multimap B^{\circ}$   $x^{\circ} \equiv x$   $(\lambda x.M)^{\circ} \equiv \lambda y. let ! x = y in M$   $(M N)^{\circ} \equiv M^{\circ} ! N^{\circ}$   $(x_1 : A_1, \ldots, x_n : A_n)^{\circ} \equiv !x_1 : A_1^{\circ}, \ldots, !x_n : A_n^{\circ}$ 

#### INTERPRETING CALL BY VALUE

 $(-)^*$ : Call by Value LC  $\rightarrow$  Linear LC

$$Z^+\equiv Z$$
 ,where Z is a basic type  $A^*\equiv !A^+$   $(A\to B)^+\equiv A^*\multimap B^*$   $V^*\equiv !V^+$  ,where Z is a Value type  $x^+\equiv x$   $(\lambda x.M)^+\equiv \lambda y. let \ !x=y \ in \ M^*$   $(M\ N)^*\equiv (let \ !z=M^*\ in\ z)\ N^*$   $(x_1:A_1,\ldots,x_n:A_n)^*\equiv !x_1:!A_1^+,\ldots,!x_n:!A_n^+$ 

#### **Definitions**

- · Confluence: we have normal forms
- Subject reduction: typed terms reduce to typed terms

#### **Translations**

- $(-)^*$  and  $(-)^\circ$  are sound and preserve types
- $(-)^*$  and  $(-)^\circ$  preserve reductions

#### Results

- Linear LC satisfies confluence and subject reduction
- Translations let us transfer these results

9

# **CALL BY LET LC (TYPES, TERMS)**

**Types :** A, B, C ::=basic types  $| A \rightarrow B |$ 

**Terms:**  $L, M, N ::= V \mid M \mid N \mid \text{let } x = M \text{ in } N$ 

**Values :**  $V, W ::= x \mid \lambda x.t$ 

$$\operatorname{Id} \frac{}{x:A \vdash x:A} \quad \operatorname{Let} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M:A \qquad \Gamma, x:A \vdash N:B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \operatorname{let} x = M \text{ in } N:B}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, y: A, z: A \to M: B}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash M[y:=x, z:=x]: B} \qquad \text{Weakening } \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: B}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash M: B}$$

$$\rightarrow -Intro \frac{\Gamma, X: A \vdash M: B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X.M: A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow -Elim \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash M: B}$$

#### **CALL BY LET REDUCTIONS**

- (I)  $(\lambda x.M) N \rightsquigarrow \text{let } x = N \text{ in } M$
- (V) let x = V in  $N \rightsquigarrow N[x := V]$ , where V is a value
- (C) (let x = L in M)  $N \rightsquigarrow let <math>x = L$  in (M N)
- (A) let  $x = (\text{let } y = L \text{ in } M) \text{ in } N \leadsto \text{let } x = L \text{ in } (\text{let } y = M \text{ in } N)$

# CALL BY NEED (LAZY EVALUATION)

**Types:** A, B, C ::= basic types  $\mid A \rightarrow B$ 

**Terms:**  $L, M, N ::= V \mid M \mid N \mid let x = M in N$ 

**Values :**  $V, W ::= x \mid \lambda x.t$ 



$$\operatorname{Id} \frac{}{x:A \vdash x:A} \quad \operatorname{Let} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M:A \qquad \Gamma, x:A \vdash N:B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash \operatorname{let} x = M \text{ in } N:B}$$

Contraction 
$$\frac{\Gamma, y: A, z: A \vdash M: B}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash M[y:=x,z:=x]: B} \qquad \text{Weakening } \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: B}{\Gamma, x: A \vdash M: B}$$

Weakening 
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}$$

$$\rightarrow -Intro \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.M : A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\rightarrow -Intro \frac{\Gamma, X : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda X.M : A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow -Elim \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash M N : B}$$

#### **CALL BY NEED REDUCTIONS**

- (I)  $(\lambda x.M) N \rightsquigarrow \text{let } x = N \text{ in } M$
- (V) let x = V in  $N \rightsquigarrow N[x := M]$ , where V is a value
- (C) (let x = L in M)  $N \rightsquigarrow let <math>x = L$  in (M N)
- (A) let  $x = (\text{let } y = L \text{ in } M) \text{ in } N \leadsto \text{let } x = L \text{ in } (\text{let } y = M \text{ in } N)$
- **(G)** let x = M in  $N \rightsquigarrow N$  if x not free in N

# **AFFINE LAMBDA CALCULUS (SYNTAX)**

**Types:** A, B, C ::=basic types  $| !A | A \multimap A$ 

**Terms:**  $L, M, N ::= x \mid !M \mid let !x = M in N \mid \lambda x.M \mid M N$ 

$$\text{Id} \ \overline{x:A \vdash x:A} \qquad \text{Dereliction} \ \overline{\frac{\Gamma,x:A \vdash M:B}{\Gamma,!x:!A \vdash M:B}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, !y : !A, !z : !A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, !x : !A \vdash M[y := x, z := x] : B} \quad \textit{Weakening}_{\textit{Aff}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}$$

!-Intro 
$$\frac{ !\Gamma \vdash M : A}{ !\Gamma \vdash !M : !A} \qquad \text{!-Elim} \quad \frac{ !\Gamma \vdash M : !A \qquad \triangle, !x : !A \vdash N : B}{ \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \text{let } !x = M \text{ in } N : B}$$

### **REDUCTION FOR AFFINE LC**

- $(\beta_{\multimap}): (\lambda x.M) \ N \rightsquigarrow M[x := N]$
- $(\beta_!)$ : let !x = !M in  $!N \rightsquigarrow N[x := M]$
- $(! \multimap)$ : let !x = L in M  $N \leadsto let <math>!x = L$  in M N
- (!!): let  $!y = let !x = L in M in N \rightsquigarrow let !x = L in let !y = M in N$
- (!Weakening): let !x = M in  $N \rightsquigarrow N$  if x not free in N

#### INTERPRETING CALL BY LET

 $(-)^{*let}$ : Call By Let LC  $\rightarrow$  Linear LC

$$Z^{+let} \equiv Z \quad \text{,where Z is a basic type}$$

$$A^{*let} \equiv !A^{+let}$$

$$(A \rightarrow B)^{+let} \equiv A^{*let} \multimap B^{*let}$$

$$V^{*let} \equiv !V^{+let} \quad \text{,where Z is a Value type}$$

$$x^{+let} \equiv x$$

$$(\lambda x.M)^{+let} \equiv \lambda y.\text{let } !x = y \text{ in } M^{*let}$$

$$(M N)^{*let} \equiv (\text{let } !z = M^{*let} \text{ in } z) N^{*let}$$

$$(\text{let } x = M \text{ in } N)^{*let} \equiv \text{let } !x = M^{*let} \text{ in } N^{*let}$$

$$(x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n)^{*let} \equiv !x_1 : !A_1^{+let}, \dots, !x_n : !A_n^{+let}$$

#### INTERPRETING CALL BY NEED

 $(-)^*$  Call by Need LC  $\rightarrow$  **Affine LC** 

$$Z^{+need} \equiv Z$$
 ,where Z is a basic type  $A^{*need} \equiv !A^{+need}$   $(A \rightarrow B)^{+need} \equiv A^{*need} \multimap B^{*need}$   $V^{*need} \equiv !V^{+need}$  ,where Z is a Value type  $X^{+need} \equiv X$   $(\lambda x.M)^{+need} \equiv \lambda y.$ let  $!x = y$  in  $M^{*need}$   $(M N)^{*need} \equiv (\text{let } !z = M^{*need} \text{ in } z) N^{*need}$   $(\text{let } x = M \text{ in } N)^{*need} \equiv \text{let } !x = M^{*need} \text{ in } N^{*need}$   $(X_1 : A_1, \dots, X_n : A_n)^{*need} \equiv !x_1 : !A_1^{+need}, \dots, !x_n : !A_n^{+need}$ 

#### Note

Observationally equivalent = cannot distinguish via results

#### **Translations**

- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  are sound and preserve types
- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  preserve reductions

#### Results

· Affine LC satisfies confluence and subject reduction

#### Note

Observationally equivalent = cannot distinguish via results

#### **Translations**

- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  are sound and preserve types
- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  preserve reductions

- Affine LC satisfies confluence and subject reduction
- · Translations let us transfer these results

#### Note

Observationally equivalent = cannot distinguish via results

#### **Translations**

- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  are sound and preserve types
- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  preserve reductions

- Affine LC satisfies confluence and subject reduction
- · Translations let us transfer these results
- Call by Let LC conservatively extends the linear lambda calculus

#### Note

Observationally equivalent = cannot distinguish via results

#### **Translations**

- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  are sound and preserve types
- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  preserve reductions

- Affine LC satisfies confluence and subject reduction
- Translations let us transfer these results
- Call by Let LC conservatively extends the linear lambda calculus
- Call by Let LC is observationally equivalent to Call by Value LC

#### Note

Observationally equivalent = cannot distinguish via results

#### **Translations**

- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  are sound and preserve types
- $(-)^{*let}$  and  $(-)^{*need}$  preserve reductions

- Affine LC satisfies confluence and subject reduction
- Translations let us transfer these results
- Call by Let LC conservatively extends the linear lambda calculus
- Call by Let LC is observationally equivalent to Call by Value LC
- Call by Need LC is observationally equivalent to Call by Name LC

#### **CONCLUSION**

## **Summary**

Linear LC is a good model for studying evaluation strategies

#### **CONCLUSION**

#### **Summary**

Linear LC is a good model for studying evaluation strategies

#### **Future Work**

- Product Types
- · Sum Types (hard)
- Constants
- Recursion (very hard)
- eta rules (very hard)
- equality (very hard)

#### REFERENCES I

## [MOTW95]



John Maraist, Martin Odersky, David N. Turner, and Philip Wadler, Call-by-name, Call-by-value, Call-by-need, and the Linear Lambda Calculus, Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci. **1** (1995), 370–392.