

# **Discrete and Algorithmic Geometry 2013(Part 2)**

**Julian Pfeifle**

Version of December 13, 2013

This is the preliminary version of the lecture notes for the second part of *Discrete and Algorithmic Geometry* (Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya), held in the fall semester of 2013 by Ferran Hurtado and Julian Pfeifle.

These notes are fruit of the collaborative effort of all participating students, who have taken turns in assembling this text. The name of each scribe figures in each corresponding section.

Suggestions for improvements will always be gladly received by `julian.pfeifle@upc.edu`.



## Contents

Lecture 1. Convex Polytopes	5
1. Faces	5
Lecture 2. Asymptotic f-vectors of families of polytopes	9
1. The Unimodality Conjecture	9
2. Operations on polytopes	11
Lecture 3. Kalai's simple way of telling a simple polytope from its graph	13
Lecture 4. Ehrhart-Macdonald Reciprocity	15
1. Statement of the theorem	15
2. Auxiliary results	15
3. Proof of Ehrhart-Macdonald Reciprocity	16
4. Degree of a lattice polytope	16
5. Reflexive polytopes	17
Scribes 2013	19
1. Alex Alvarez	19
2. Cecilia Girón Albert	19
3. Anna Somoza	19
4. Daniel Torres	19
5. Borja Elizalde	20
Bibliography	21
Papers to referee	23



## LECTURE 1

# Convex Polytopes

*Scribe: Cecilia Girón*

A convex polytope can be defined in two different ways:

- *V-polytope* (discrete geometry): is the convex hull of the finite non-empty point set in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ .
- *H-polytope* (linear/integer optimization): An *H*-polyhedron is an intersection of a finite number of linear half spaces in some  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , if non-empty. And an *H*-polytope is a bounded *H*-polyhedron.

### 1. Faces

One of the properties studied about polytopes is their faces. A **face**  $F$  of a polytope  $P$  is a set of the form:

$$F = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \langle a, x \rangle = n\} \cap P$$

where  $a \in (\mathbf{R}^d)^*$  (dual space),  $b \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $P \subseteq \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : \langle a, x \rangle \leq b\} \iff$  The inequality  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq b$  is valid for  $P$ . Notice that  $P$  is actually a face of itself.

We can also study the dimension  $\dim F$  of a face. Let  $P$  be a  $d$  dimensional polytope, then if a face  $F$  of  $P$  has dimension:

- $d - 1$ , it is called a **facet**.
- $d - 2$ , it is called a **ridge**.
- 1, it is called an **edge**.
- 0, it is called a **vertex**.
- $-1$  then  $F = \emptyset$ .


The partially ordered set of all faces  $\mathcal{F}(P)$  of a convex polytope  $P$  forms a Eulerian lattice called **face lattice**. The face lattice can be used, for instance, to count the number of faces of same dimension:

$$f_i = \#\{\text{faces } F \text{ of } P \text{ with } \dim F = i\}$$

EXAMPLE. Let  $P$  be a cube in two dimensions, so a square. Notice that  $\dim P = 2$ , for every edge  $ij \in P$   $\dim(ij) = 1$  and for every vertex  $i$   $\dim i = 0$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $i \neq j$ . With this information we can construct the face lattice and study some properties of  $P$ .



EXAMPLE. Let's study now the dimension of the faces of a hypercube of dimension  $d$   $\square^d = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d : -1 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ :  $f_{-1}(\square^d) = 1$ ,  $f_0(\square^d) = 2^d$ ,  $f_{d-1}(\square^d) = 2d$  and  $f_d(\square^d) = 1$ . Notice that the radius  $r$  from the center of the cube to one of its vertices is  $r = \sqrt{d}/2$ , thus the exterior circumsphere of the polytope has radius  $r$  and the interior radius  $1/2$ .



d	2	3	4	5	...	100	...	$10^{100}$
$r = \sqrt{d} - 1$	$\sqrt{2} - 1$	$\sqrt{3} - 1$	1	$\sqrt{5} - 1$	...	9	...	$10^{50} - 1$
$f_0$	4	8	16	32	...	$2^{100}$	...	$2^{10^{100}}$
$f_1$	4	6	8	10	...	200	...	$2 \cdot 10^{100}$



An other property that can be studied about the faces of convex polytopes is whether they are a simplex or not. Let  $P$  be a polytope such that  $\dim P = d$  and  $\mathcal{F}(p) = k + 1$ . It is said to be **simplicial** if it is  $k$ -simplex, i.e. if each of its faces is a simplex; and it is called **simple** if each of its vertices is contained in exactly  $d$  faces where  $\dim P = d$ .

### 1.1. Exercises done during the lecture 8/11/2013. Each one includes one.

**Alex Alvarez.:** The set of vertices is the following:

$(1 : -1 : -1 : -1 : 0 : 0)$   
 $(1 : 1 : -1 : -1 : 0 : 0)$   
 $(1 : -1 : 1 : -1 : 0 : 0)$   
 $(1 : 1 : 1 : -1 : 0 : 0)$   
 $(1 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 0)$   
 $(1 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 1 : 0)$   
 $(1 : 0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : 1)$

And this can be obtained as the join of a 2-cube with a 2-simplex. If we construct that in Polymake:

```
polytope > $p = join_polytopes(cube(2), simplex(2));
```

```
polytope > print($p->VERTICES);
```

```
1 -1 -1 -1 0 0
1 1 -1 -1 0 0
1 -1 1 -1 0 0
1 1 1 -1 0 0
1 0 0 1 0 0
1 0 0 1 1 0
1 0 0 1 0 1
```

Thus, we can use the program to see the number of facets and the vertices in each facet:

```
polytope > print $p->N_FACETS;
```

```
polymake: used package cddlib
```

Implementation of the double description method of Motzkin et al.

Copyright by Komei Fukuda.

[http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/~fukuda/cdd\\_home/cdd.html](http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/~fukuda/cdd_home/cdd.html)

```
polymake: used package lrslib
```

Implementation of the reverse search algorithm of Avis and Fukuda.

Copyright by David Avis.

<http://cgm.cs.mcgill.ca/~avis/lrs.html>

```
7
```

```
polytope > print $p->POINTS_IN_FACETS;
```

```
{0 2 4 5 6}
{0 1 4 5 6}
{1 3 4 5 6}
{2 3 4 5 6}
{0 1 2 3 5 6}
{0 1 2 3 4 6}
{0 1 2 3 4 5}
```

If we focus now in the graph of the polytope, we can check the number of edges and we can also see it:

```
polytope > print $p->GRAPH->N_NODES;
```

```
7
```

```
polytope > print $p->GRAPH->N_EDGES;
```

```
19
```

```
polytope > print $p->GRAPH->VISUAL;
```

Therefore, we can see that the last two vertices are not connected, but they are connected to all the other vertices.

**Exercise ?? (team members):**




FIGURE 1. The graph of the polytope



## LECTURE 2

# Asymptotic f-vectors of families of polytopes

*Scribe: Cecilia Girón*

### 1. The Unimodality Conjecture

In this section we are going to study the *unimodality conjecture* which says that there exists an  $l = P(L) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_l \leq f_{l+1} \leq \dots \leq f_{d-1} \leq f_d$ . We would like to know if it is true.

First, we define the **f-vector** as the vector of the form  $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1})$  where  $f_i$  is as defined before in (1). We will say that it is a **flag f-vector**  $(f_s)_s = [d]$  such that  $f_s$  count the number of flags  $F_{i_1} \subset F_{i_2} \subset \dots \subset F_{i_k}$  where  $s = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$  and  $\dim F_{i_k} = i_k$ <sup>1</sup>.

The unimodal conjecture described before is known to be false for simplicial polytopes of dimension  $d \geq 19$  and for non-simplicial polytopes of dimension  $d \geq 8$ . The following conjecture is not known to be false.

**Restricted unimodal conjecture (Anders Björner):**

$$f_0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{4} \rfloor} \\ f_{\lfloor \frac{3(d-1)}{4} \rfloor} \geq \dots \geq f_{d-1}$$

Intuitively we are sure that there is no way this conjecture could be false, but there is not proof of this. We don't even know if  $f_k \geq \frac{1}{10000} \min\{f_0, f_{d-1}\}$  is true.

#### 1.1. Exercises worked on during the lecture 11/11/2013. Each team includes one.

**Exercise 1b (Alex Alvarez and Ivan Geffner):** Using the simple form  $n! \approx \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$  of Stirling's formula, show that  $\phi_d(x) := \log\left(\frac{d}{xd}\right)$  is asymptotically proportional to  $-x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)$ , for  $x \in (0, 1)$  and  $d \rightarrow \infty$ . Discuss the real function  $\phi_d$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

Using the simple form of Stirling's formula, we obtain the following form:

$$\binom{d}{xd} \approx \frac{d^d}{xd^{xd}(d-xd)^{d-xd}}$$

So applying the logarithm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_d(x) &= d \log d - xd \log xd - (d - xd) \log(d - xd) \\ &= d \log d - xd \log x - xd \log d - (d - xd)(\log d + \log(1 - x)) \\ &= d(-x \log x - (1 - x) \log(1 - x)) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>You can also read about *cd-index*

Thus, the first part of the exercise is proven. Let us study now the shape of the function.

The function clearly vanishes when  $x$  tends to 0 and 1 and in this interval is non-negative. The first derivative of the function is

$$\frac{d}{dx}(-(1-x)\log(1-x) - x\log(x)) = \log(1-x) - \log(x)$$

and therefore, there is only one point in which derivative vanishes, that is  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ . Now, the second derivative is

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log(1-x) - \log(x) = \frac{1}{x(x-1)}$$

We can conclude then that the point is a maximum, so we have characterized the shape of the function.

**Exercise 2b (Borja and Cecilia):** Using the simple form  $n! \approx \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$  of Stirling's formula, show that  $\psi_d(x) := d(1-x) + \log \binom{d}{xd}$  is asymptotically proportional to  $1 - x - x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)$ , where  $\log = \log_2$  denotes the binary logarithm. Find an approximation to the maximum of this function on  $(0, 1)$ .

For the first part of the exercise, by applying the Stirling's formula, in the binomial for of the given function:

$$\binom{d}{xd} = \left( \frac{d}{xd^x(d(1-x))^{1-x}} \right)^d$$

Then, substituting in  $\psi_d(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_d(x) &= d(1-x) + \log \left( \frac{d}{xd^x(d(1-x))^{1-x}} \right)^d \\ &= d(1-x) + d(\log d - x \log x - x \log d - (1-x) \log d - (1-x) \log(1-x)) \\ &= d(1-x - x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\psi_d(x)$  is asymptotically proportional to  $1 - x - x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)$

For the second part of the exercise, in order to find the maximum of the function

$$f(x) = 1 - x - x \log(x) - (1-x) \log(1-x)$$

in  $(0,1)$  we will the points that have first derivative equal to 0, that is  $x$  such that  $f'(x) = 0$ , and computing  $f'(x)$  we get:

$$f'(x) = -1 - (\log x + 1) - (-\log(1-x) - 1) = \log\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right) - 1$$

Now the points that make  $f'(x) = 0$  are the ones that make  $\log\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right) = 1$ , which is the same as  $x$  such that  $\frac{1-x}{x} = e$ , which translates into:

$$x_{\max} = \frac{1}{e+1}$$

The shape of this function is a growing function from  $x = 0$  starting at  $f(0) = 1$  to  $x = x_{\max}$ , where it gets it's maximum, that is approximated by  $f(x_{\max}) \approx 1.0414$  and then decreases to 0 at  $x = 1$ .

## 2. Operations on polytopes

- **Cartesian (direct) product**  $P \times Q$ .
- **Direct sum**  $P^d \oplus Q^e \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+e}$ .
- $P * Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+e+1}$ . It is like  $\oplus$  but the subspaces are skew (i.e. affine and they have no point on common). For example  $\square^1 * \square^1 = Pyr(P)$ .

EXAMPLE: Given  $f_k(P)$ , calculate the  $k$ -th entry of  $Pyr(P)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(P) &= (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1}) \\ f_k(Pyr(P)) &= (f_0 + 1, f_1 + f_0, f_2 + f_1, \dots, f_{d-2} + f_{d-3}, f_{d-1} + f_{d-2}, 1 + f_{d-1}) \end{aligned}$$



- **Connected sum**  $P^d \# Q^d$  where  $P$  has as simplicial face  $f$  and  $Q$  has a simplicial face  $G$ .

This last operation is used to join the asymptotic function  $f(\square^d)$  and its dual  $f(\diamond^d)$ . To make it work, since  $\square^d$  has no triangulations in its faces, it is enough to cut away one vertex and, this way, get a simplex. Merging both functions using the connected sum gives place to a new function which is a non-unimodal function.



## LECTURE 3

### Kalai's simple way of telling a simple polytope from its graph

*Scribe: Alex Alvarez*

**Conjecture 3.1** (Micha Perles,  $\sim 1970$ ). *Any  $d$ -polytope is determined by its graph, i.e.,  $sk^1(P)$  determines  $\mathcal{F}(P)$ .*

The conjecture is false, e.g. for simplicial polytopes. On the other hand,  $K_n$  is dimensionally ambiguous, e.g.  $sk^1(C_d(n)) = sk^1(C_e(n))$  for  $d, e < n$ . Besides there are neighborly graphs that are not combinatorially isomorphic to cyclic ones. However, the result is true for simple polytopes:

**Theorem 3.2** (Roswitha Blind, Peter Mani, 1987). *Any simple  $d$ -polytope is determined by its graph.*

Their proof is not constructive, but in 1988 Kalai found an algorithmic approach. Let us see the details of that approach.

**Definition 3.3** (Acyclic orientation). An acyclic orientation of a graph  $G$  is an orientation of  $E(G)$  without directed cycles.

**Definition 3.4** (Abstract Objective Function, AOF). An AOF of  $G = sk^1(P)$  is an acyclic orientation that has a unique sink on each face of  $P$ .

**Definition 3.5** (Unique Sink Orientation). A Unique Sink Orientation is an orientation such that every face has a unique sink. The orientation is not necessarily acyclic.

Notice that AOFs exist because of the existence of generic linear objective functions. Consider the set of all AOFs and define the following function:

$$(3.1) \quad f(O) = h_0(O) + 2h_1(O) + \dots + 2^k h_k(O) + \dots + 2^d h_d(O)$$

where  $O$  is an AOF and  $h_k(O) \in \mathbb{N}$  counts the vertices of in-degree  $k$  in  $O$ .

Let  $f$  denote the number of non-empty faces of  $P$ . Given an acyclic orientation  $O$ , then

- (1)  $f(O) \geq f$ , because as  $O$  is acyclic each face has at least one sink.
- (2)  $f(O) = f$  if  $O$  is an AOF.

To determine all AOFs of  $P$  just from its graph  $G = sk^1(P)$  do the following steps:

- (1) Enumerate all acyclic orientations  $O$  of  $G$ .
- (2) Calculate  $f(O)$  for each such orientation  $O$ .
- (3) Keep the orientations  $O$  with minimal  $f(O)$ .

The only remaining step to complete Kalai's method is showing a way to identify the faces of  $P$  from the knowledge of all the AOFs. Notice that the subgraphs of  $G$  that are graphs of faces are connected,  $k$ -regular and induced. With that, the following proposition is enough to characterize the faces:




FIGURE 1. An example of AOF. For this orientation,  $h_0 = 1$ ,  $h_1 = 3$ ,  $h_2 = 3$  and  $h_3 = 1$ . Therefore,  $f(O) = 1 + 6 + 12 + 8 = 27 = 3^3$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *An induced connected  $k$ -regular subgraph  $H$  is the graph of a face of  $P \Leftrightarrow H$  is an initial set for some AOF  $O$ .*

PROOF.  $\Rightarrow$ : Perturb a face-defining inequality to obtain a linear function with respect to which the vertices of  $F$  lie below all other vertices.

$\Leftarrow$ : Let  $H$  be a connected  $k$ -regular subgraph of  $sk^1(P)$  and let  $O$  be an AOF such that  $H$  is an initial set of  $O$ . As  $H$  has a unique sink  $v$  and is  $k$ -regular, the sink  $v$  has  $k$  incoming edges and as the polytope is simple, those  $k$  edges determine a  $k$ -face  $F$  and  $v$  is a sink in that face.

As  $v$  is the unique sink of  $O$  in  $F$ , all vertices of  $F$  are  $\leq v$  with respect to  $O$ . But the initial set of  $v$  in  $G$  is  $H$ , so  $\text{vert}(F) \subseteq \text{vert}(H)$ . As both  $H$  and  $F$  are connected and  $k$ -regular, we have that  $\text{vert}(F) = \text{vert}(H)$ .  $\square$

Notice that this algorithm is exponential, but in 1995 Friedman gave a polynomial algorithm to reconstruct the 2-faces, which had been proven before to be enough to reconstruct the whole polytope.

## LECTURE 4

# Ehrhart-Macdonald Reciprocity

*Scribe: Albert Santiago*

### 1. Statement of the theorem

Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope. Let  $L_P : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the lattice point counting function,

$$\begin{aligned} L_P : \mathbb{N} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ t &\longmapsto L_P(t) = \# \{tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\} \end{aligned}$$

and let  $L_{P^0} : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the lattice point counting function in the interior of  $P$ .

By Ehrhart's Theorem seen in the previous lecture, it is known that  $L_P, L_{P^0} \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ .

**Theorem 4.1** (Ehrhart-Macdonald Reciprocity).

$$L_P(-t) = (-1)^d L_{P^0}(t)$$

Note that in previous lecture we have already seen an example for this EM reciprocity for the cube. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\square^d}(t) &= (1+t)^d \\ L_{(\square^d)^0}(t) &= (-1)^d (1-t)^d \end{aligned}$$

### 2. Auxiliary results

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $w_1, \dots, w_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  be linearly independent. Let  $C = \text{cone } w_1, \dots, w_d$  be cone over a simplex. Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\partial(v+C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \emptyset$ . Then,*

$$\sigma_{v+C} \left( \frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_d} \right) = (-1)^d \sigma_{-v+C}(z_1, \dots, z_d)$$

*Notes:*

- For the existence of such  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , see exercise sheet #6.
- $\sigma_{v+C} \left( \frac{1}{z_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{z_d} \right)$  is not a power series, so the theorem is meaningless at this level. At most, we can say it is an element from  $\mathbb{Q}[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ , where the theorem holds.
- Try to see  $\frac{1}{z_i}$  as the variables corresponding to  $-P$ .

PROOF. To be done. □

**Proposition 4.3** (Stanley Reciprocity). *Let  $C$  be a rational pointed cone (i. e.  $C = \text{cone } w_1, \dots, w_d, w_i \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ ) with apex at the origin. Then,*

$$\sigma_C \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) = (-1)^d \sigma_{C^0}(z)$$

PROOF. To be done. □

**Definition 4.4.** We define the Ehrhart function for the interior lattice points of a polytope naturally as

$$\text{Ehr}_{P^0}(z) := \sum_{t \geq 1} L_{P^0}(t) z^t$$

*Note:* We can start the sum at  $t = 1$  rather than at  $t = 0$  because the origin is not an interior point of  $P$ .

**Observation 4.5.** As it happened with the original Ehrhart function, the following equality holds:

$$\text{Ehr}_{P^0}(z) = \sigma_{\text{cone}(P^0)}(1, \dots, 1, z)$$

**Proposition 4.6.** Let  $P$  be a lattice polytope. Then,

$$\text{Ehr}_{(P^0)}(z) = (-1)^{\dim P + 1} \text{Ehr}_P\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$$

*Notes:*

- The proposition is also valid for  $P$  any *rational* polytope, not only for lattice polytopes.
- Not every polytope can be perturbed into a rational one. There exist irrational polytopes.

PROOF. To be done. □

### 3. Proof of Ehrhart-Macdonald Reciprocity

To be done.

#### 4. Degree of a lattice polytope

**Observation 4.7.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  be a polynomial of degree  $d$ . If the following equality holds:

$$\sum_{t \geq 0} p(t) z^t = \frac{h_d z^d + \dots h_1 z + h_0}{(1 - z)^d}$$

then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} h_d = \dots = h_{k+1} = 0 \\ h_k \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} p(-1) = p(-2) = \dots = p(-(d - k)) = 0 \\ p(-(d - k + 1)) \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

PROOF. See Theorem 3.18 at Beck-Robins' *Computing the continuous discretely*. □

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a lattice polytope with Ehrhart function:

$$\text{Ehr}_P(z) = \frac{h_d z^d + \dots h_1 z + h_0}{(1 - z)^d}$$

Then,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} h_d = \dots = h_{k+1} = 0 \\ h_k \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_P(-1) = L_P(-2) = \dots = L_P(-(d - k)) = 0 \\ L_P(-(d - k + 1)) \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

**Definition 4.9.** We call  $k$  the *degree of the lattice polytope*  $P$ .

**Observation 4.10.** If  $P$  is a  $d$ -polytope of degree  $k$ , then  $L_P(-(d - k)) = (-1)^d L_{P^0}(-(d - k)) = 0$ , so the  $(d - k)$ -th dilate of  $P$  does not contain any interior lattice point, and  $(d - k + 1)P$  is the smallest integer dilate that contains an interior lattice point.



### 5. Reflexive polytopes

**Definition 4.11.** A lattice polytope  $P$  with  $0 \in P$  is *reflexive* if  $P$  can be expressed as:

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \leq \mathbb{1}\}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times d}$  is an integer matrix.

**Observation 4.12.** To be done.

To be ended.



## Scribes 2013

### 1. Alex Alvarez

I have done a double degree in Informatics Engineering and Mathematics at the UPC and during these years I have participated in programming contests both individually and representing the UPC. I am mainly interested in Algorithms and Data Structures and I would like to start a PhD in those topics next year, but I also enjoy learning about Discrete Mathematics, in particular Combinatorics and Graph Theory.

### 2. Cecilia Girón Albert

I've got my Degree in Mathematics at the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, which I completed my fifth and sixth semesters at the University of Jyväskylä (Finland) as an Erasmus student. My degree has been mainly focused on subjects like analysis, statistics and numerical methods, although I am more interested in algebra and graph theory.

I decided to study the Master in Advanced Mathematics and Mathematical Engineering to keep developing my mathematics skills in some theoretical subjects that can be applied in real life problems. Therefore, I believe that this course is a great opportunity to learn more about algorithmic and computing science and even more importantly, it may help me to find out what field I would like to focus on in the future.

### 3. Anna Somoza

I have recently finished a degree in Mathematics at the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya. During this degree I developed a great interest in Algebra fields. In particular, I took the optional subjects *Algebraic Geometry*, *Algebraic Topology* and *Galois Theory* and I wrote my Final Degree Thesis on a topic of Number Theory.

Now I'm taking the Master in Advanced Mathematics and Mathematical Engineering to develop my knowledge in these and other fields, and I my aim is to start a PhD in Number Theory next year. I enrolled this subject because I have always liked both computer science and geometry, and it seemed to be interesting. Therefore, I would be interested in the topic related to algebraic geometry.

### 4. Daniel Torres

My name is Daniel Torres and I am graduate in mathematics in UPC. Along the degree I have developed much interest in fields of topology, algebra and geometry, and some loathing to study (not to programming) numerical methods and modelling. I decided study this master, and particularly this subject, for expand my knowledge about my interests.

More concretely, I am doing this course with the hope it shows me about geometry.

### **5. Borja Elizalde**

I have finished the degree in Mathematics at the UPC and I have also finished Industrial Engineering also at the UP (not CFIS).

I am interested in Number Theory and Algebraic Geometry in general, because the problems I like solving and thinking on usually belong to these fields. I am not sure at all about how I want to develop my professional career.

## **Bibliography**



## **Papers to referee**