

Green's function time evolution

Forest Yang

June 5, 2017

1 Introduction to the propagator

Consider the classical mechanics problem of two blocks of mass m in a well coupled by springs to the walls and a spring between them (three springs in total). Denoting x_1 and x_2 as the displacement of each block from the equilibrium position, (note there is a unique equilibrium configuration of the masses, since we can set up two equations with two unknowns and solve them) Hooke's law implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{x}_1 &= -2\frac{k}{m}x_1 + \frac{k}{m}x_2 \\ \ddot{x}_2 &= \frac{k}{m}x_1 - 2\frac{k}{m}x_2\end{aligned}$$

Written in matrix form, this is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{11} &= -\frac{2k}{m} & \Omega_{12} &= \frac{k}{m} \\ \Omega_{21} &= \frac{k}{m} & \Omega_{22} &= -\frac{2k}{m} \end{aligned}$$

From which it is apparent that the matrix Ω is hermitian. This can be represented in a more abstract form as

$$|\ddot{x}(t)\rangle = \Omega |x(t)\rangle$$

In this representation, the equivalent of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is $|1\rangle$, representing displacement of the first mass from its equilibrium position and the equivalent of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is $|2\rangle$, representing displacement of the second mass from its equilibrium position. Therefore

$$|x(t)\rangle = x_1 |1\rangle + x_2 |2\rangle$$

The abstract vector equation can be put into a form that more closely relates to the original matrix equation by projecting onto $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, and using the completeness relation $|1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2| = I$:

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{x}_1 &= \langle 1|\ddot{x}(t)\rangle = \langle 1|\Omega(|1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2|)|x(t)\rangle = \langle 1|\Omega|1\rangle\langle 1|x(t)\rangle + \langle 1|\Omega|2\rangle\langle 2|x(t)\rangle = \Omega_{11}x_1 + \Omega_{12}x_2 \\ \ddot{x}_2 &= \langle 2|\ddot{x}(t)\rangle = \langle 2|\Omega(|1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2|)|x(t)\rangle = \langle 2|\Omega|1\rangle\langle 1|x(t)\rangle + \langle 2|\Omega|2\rangle\langle 2|x(t)\rangle = \Omega_{21}x_1 + \Omega_{22}x_2\end{aligned}$$

Due to the coupling of $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$, one can see that this system as written is hard to solve. To get around this, change basis to the eigenvectors of Ω , which by inspection are

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbf{I}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, & -\omega_1^2 &= -\frac{k}{m} \\ |\mathbf{II}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, & -\omega_2^2 &= -\frac{3k}{m}\end{aligned}$$

This gives the decoupled equations of motion and corresponding solutions (assume for convenience that the initial velocity is 0):

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{x}_I &= -\omega_1^2 x_I & \Longleftrightarrow & x_I = x_I(0) \cos(\omega_1 t) \\ \ddot{x}_{II} &= -\omega_2^2 x_{II} & \Longleftrightarrow & x_{II} = x_{II}(0) \cos(\omega_2 t)\end{aligned}$$

It's likely that we are only given $x_1(0)$ and $x_2(0)$, rather than $x_I(0)$ and $x_{II}(0)$. However, this is no real obstacle. Simply project $x(0)$ onto the basis $\{|\mathbf{I}\rangle, |\mathbf{II}\rangle\}$ using the standard \mathbb{R}^2 dot product:

$$\begin{aligned} x_I(0) &= \langle \mathbf{I} | x(0) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{I} | 1 \rangle x_1(0) + \langle \mathbf{I} | 2 \rangle x_2(0) = \frac{x_1(0) + x_2(0)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ x_{II}(0) &= \langle \mathbf{II} | x(0) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{II} | 1 \rangle x_1(0) + \langle \mathbf{II} | 2 \rangle x_2(0) = \frac{x_1(0) - x_2(0)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

So then the equation of motion can be written as

$$|x(t)\rangle = |\mathbf{I}\rangle \frac{x_1(0) + x_2(0)}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\omega_1 t) + |\mathbf{II}\rangle \frac{x_1(0) - x_2(0)}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\omega_2 t) \quad (\star)$$

We can go one step further and represent this in the $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$ basis by, predictably, projecting onto the $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$ basis. It might be a bit clearer to write this in vector form, though:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{x_1(0) + x_2(0)}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\omega_1 t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{x_1(0) - x_2(0)}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(\omega_2 t)$$

This can be streamlined into the matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega_1 t) + \cos(\omega_2 t) & \cos(\omega_1 t) - \cos(\omega_2 t) \\ \cos(\omega_1 t) - \cos(\omega_2 t) & \cos(\omega_1 t) + \cos(\omega_2 t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(0) \\ x_2(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

This surprisingly neat formulation shows that the time evolution of the system is simply determined by a matrix multiply on the initial state of the system, and this matrix turned out to be independent of the initial state (and is Hermitian!) Written in the abstract bra-ket notation,

$$|x(t)\rangle = U(t) |x(0)\rangle$$

Actually, by the time we reached (\star) , we already knew this. (\star) states that

$$|x(t)\rangle = U(t) |\mathbf{I}\rangle \langle \mathbf{I} | x(0) \rangle + U(t) |\mathbf{II}\rangle \langle \mathbf{II} | x(0) \rangle = U(t) (|\mathbf{I}\rangle \langle \mathbf{I} | + |\mathbf{II}\rangle \langle \mathbf{II} |) |x_0\rangle = U(t) |x_0\rangle$$

and one can infer

$$U(t) = |\mathbf{I}\rangle \langle \mathbf{I} | \cos(\omega_1 t) + |\mathbf{II}\rangle \langle \mathbf{II} | \cos(\omega_2 t)$$

This example is quite instructive for quantum mechanics, since the equation that needs to be solved is

$$i\hbar |\dot{\psi}\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle$$

and in the same manner, the solution is found by solving the eigenvalue problem of \hat{H} and using the eigenvalues and eigenstates to construct $U(t)$ such that

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = U(t) |\psi(0)\rangle$$

2 Green's Function, Equation of Motion

The Schrödinger picture considers the wavefunction time-dependent and the operator time-independent. On the other hand, the Heisenberg picture considers the wavefunction time-independent and the operator time-dependent. These viewpoints are mathematically equivalent, because the Heisenberg time-dependent operator $\hat{o}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined in terms of the Schrödinger time independent operator $\hat{o}_{\mathcal{S}}$ as

$$\hat{o}_{\mathcal{H}} = e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{o}_{\mathcal{S}} e^{-i\hat{H}t}$$

so that the evolution of the expectation of an operator remains the same, as seen in

$$\langle \Psi(t) | \hat{o}_{\mathcal{S}} | \Psi(t) \rangle = \langle e^{-i\hat{H}t} \psi_0 | \hat{o}_{\mathcal{S}} | e^{-i\hat{H}t} \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{o}_{\mathcal{S}} e^{-i\hat{H}t} | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | \hat{o}_{\mathcal{H}} | \psi_0 \rangle$$

Heisenberg's equation can be derived using the chain rule as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{o}_{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial t} = (i\hat{H}) e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{o}_{\mathcal{S}} e^{-i\hat{H}t} + e^{i\hat{H}t} \hat{o}_{\mathcal{S}} e^{-i\hat{H}t} (-i\hat{H}) = i[\hat{H}, \hat{o}_{\mathcal{H}}]$$

This equation may appear without the factor of i attached to the commutator when working with imaginary time. A useful property is that

$$[\hat{a}_{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{b}_{\mathcal{H}}] = [\hat{a}_{\mathcal{S}}, \hat{b}_{\mathcal{S}}]_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Similarly

$$\{\hat{a}_{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{b}_{\mathcal{H}}\} = \{\hat{a}_{\mathcal{S}}, \hat{b}_{\mathcal{S}}\}_{\mathcal{H}}$$

The Green's function can be approached using the framework of equation of motion. As a reminder, the Green's function was

$$G(\tau, \tau') = -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}} T_{\tau} C(\tau) C^{\dagger}(\tau')] = -\langle T_{\tau} C(\tau) C^{\dagger}(\tau') \rangle \quad \langle \hat{o} \rangle := \frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \hat{o}$$

For now, as a notation that may come in handy in the future, let the subscripts ij in $G_{ij}(\tau, \tau')$ denote subscripts of the $C(\tau)$ and $C^{\dagger}(\tau')$ respectively. Also, for the purpose of taking a derivative, it's helpful to rewrite the function as

$$G_{ij}(\tau, \tau') = -\theta(\tau - \tau') \langle C(\tau) C^{\dagger}(\tau') \rangle + \theta(\tau' - \tau) \langle C^{\dagger}(\tau') C(\tau) \rangle$$

Since the derivative of the heaviside function is the delta function. Then (using time-translational invariance and an implicit 0 for the second argument of Green's function)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G_{ij}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} &= -\delta(\tau) \langle C_i(\tau) C_j^{\dagger}(0) + C_j^{\dagger}(0) C_i(\tau) \rangle - \theta(\tau) \langle [\hat{H}, C_i(\tau)] C_j^{\dagger}(0) \rangle + \theta(-\tau) \langle C_j^{\dagger}(0), [\hat{H}, C_i(\tau)] \rangle \\ &= -\delta(\tau) \delta_{ij} - \theta(\tau) \langle \mu C_i(\tau) C_j^{\dagger}(0) \rangle + \theta(-\tau) \langle C_j^{\dagger}(0), \mu C_i(\tau) \rangle \\ &= -\delta(\tau) \delta_{ij} + \mu G_{ij}(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging this:

$$\implies (\mu - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}) G_{ij} = \delta(\tau) \delta_{ij}$$

If the right side is interpreted to be “unity,” then one might interpret the left side as “ $G^{-1}G$ ”. Now, what happens when the Hamiltonian is switched from $-\mu c^{\dagger}c$ to, say,

$$-\mu(c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{\uparrow} + c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{\downarrow}) + U\hat{n}_{\uparrow}\hat{n}_{\downarrow}$$

The only thing that changes in the above derivation is $[\hat{H}, C(\tau)]$. Recalling some commutator algebra with creation/annihilation operators:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\sigma'}] &= c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \{c_{i\sigma}, c_{j\sigma'}\} - \{c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}, c_{j\sigma}\} c_{i\sigma} \\ &= -\delta_{ij} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{i\sigma} \\ [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\sigma}] &= \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}[\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\sigma}] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, c_{j\sigma}]\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} \\ &= -\delta_{ij} (\delta_{\sigma\downarrow} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow} + \delta_{\sigma\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the final equation of motion doesn't change much. Concluding the derivation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G_{\sigma\sigma'}}{\partial \tau} &= -\delta(\tau) - \theta(\tau) \langle [\hat{H}, C_{\sigma}(\tau)] C_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle + \theta(-\tau) \langle C_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(0), [\hat{H}, C_{\sigma}(\tau)] \rangle \\ &= -\delta(\tau) - \theta(\tau) \langle (\mu C_{\sigma}(\tau) - U\hat{N}_{\bar{\sigma}} C_{\sigma}(\tau)) C_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle + \theta(\tau) \langle C_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(0) (\mu C_{\sigma}(\tau) - U\hat{N}_{\bar{\sigma}} C_{\sigma}(\tau)) \rangle \\ &= -\delta(\tau) + \mu G_{\sigma\sigma'} + U \theta(\tau) \langle \hat{N}_{\bar{\sigma}} C_{\sigma}(\tau) C_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(0) \rangle - U \theta(\tau) \langle C_{\sigma'}^{\dagger}(0) \hat{N}_{\bar{\sigma}} C_{\sigma}(\tau) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

If in fact the simplest form of the hamiltonian is present

$$(-\mu + U) c^{\dagger} c$$

Then the answer is plainly

$$(\mu - U - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}) G = \delta(\tau)$$

2.1 Time Evolution

What if you introduce a disturbance to your system over a period of time? Say the hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = -\mu c^\dagger c$$

and the disturbance is modeled by

$$S(U) = SU(0 \rightarrow t_0) e^{-i \int \theta(t) \theta(t_0-t) U c^\dagger(t) c(t) dt} = e^{-i \int_0^{t_0} U c^\dagger(t) c(t) dt}$$

which changes the Green's function (this one is the real time version) to

$$G(t, t') = -i \langle T_t C(t) C^\dagger(t') S(U) \rangle$$

The presence of the $S(U)$ modifies the value of the normalization constant z (so that $S(U)$ really defines a new expectation) to

$$\begin{aligned} z(u) &= \text{Tr} [e^{-i \int_0^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt} e^{\mu \beta c^\dagger c}] \\ &= 1 + e^{\mu \beta} e^{-i \int_0^{t_0} U dt} \\ &= 1 + e^{\mu \beta - i U t_0} \end{aligned}$$

Now let's compute this Green's function for a specific order of $0, t_0, t, t'$. There are essentially 12 orders. The order we will compute Green's function for is $t < 0 < t' < t_0$. The first step is to find an expression for $C(t) = e^{i \hat{H} t} c e^{-i \hat{H} t}$ and one for $C^\dagger(t') = e^{i \hat{H} t'} c^\dagger e^{-i \hat{H} t'}$. Using Heisenberg's equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial C(t)}{\partial t} &= i [-\mu c^\dagger c, C(t)] \\ &= i \mu C(t) \\ \Rightarrow C(t) &= e^{i \mu t} c \\ \frac{\partial C^\dagger(t')}{\partial t'} &= i [-\mu c^\dagger c, C^\dagger(t')] \\ &= -i \mu C^\dagger(t') \\ \Rightarrow C^\dagger(t') &= e^{-i \mu t'} c^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating Green's function supposing $t < 0 < t' < t_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t') &= i \theta(t' - t) \langle SU(t' \rightarrow t_0) C^\dagger(t') SU(0 \rightarrow t') C(t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{z} \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{-i \int_{t'}^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt} e^{-i \mu t'} c^\dagger e^{-i \int_0^{t'} U c^\dagger c dt} e^{i \mu t} c] \\ &= \frac{i e^{i \mu (t-t')}}{z} [e^{\mu \beta} \langle 1 | e^{-i \int_{t'}^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt} c^\dagger e^{-i \int_0^{t'} U c^\dagger c dt} c | 1 \rangle] \\ &= \frac{i e^{i \mu (t-t')}}{z} [e^{\mu \beta - i U (t_0 - t')} \langle 1 | c^\dagger e^{-i \int_0^{t'} U c^\dagger c dt} c | 1 \rangle] \\ &= \frac{i e^{i \mu (t-t')}}{z} [e^{\mu \beta - i U (t_0 - t')} \langle 0 | e^{-i \int_0^{t'} U c^\dagger c dt} | 0 \rangle] \\ &= \frac{i}{z} (i e^{\mu \beta - i \mu (t' - t) - i U (t_0 - t')}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, evaluating Green's function supposing $0 < t < t' < t_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t') &= i \theta(t' - t) \langle SU(t' \rightarrow t_0) C^\dagger(t') SU(t \rightarrow t') C(t) SU(0 \rightarrow t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{z} \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{-i \int_{t'}^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt} e^{-i \mu t'} c^\dagger e^{-i \int_t^{t'} U c^\dagger c dt} e^{i \mu t} c e^{-i \int_0^t U c^\dagger c dt}] \\ &= \frac{i e^{i \mu (t-t')}}{z} \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{-i \int_{t'}^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt} c^\dagger e^{-i \int_t^{t'} U c^\dagger c dt} c e^{-i \int_0^t U c^\dagger c dt} | 1 \rangle \\ &= \frac{i e^{i \mu (t-t')}}{z} e^{\beta \mu - i U (t_0 - t' + t)} \langle 0 | e^{-i \int_t^{t'} U c^\dagger c dt} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{z} e^{\beta \mu - i \mu (t' - t) - i U (t_0 - t' + t)} \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively this answer can be expressed as

$$\frac{i}{z} e^{\beta\mu + it(\mu - U) + it'(U - \mu) - it_0 U}$$

Now evaluating Green's function supposing $t' < 0 < t_0 < t$:

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t') &= -i \theta(t - t') \langle C(t) SU(0 \rightarrow t_0) C^\dagger(t') \rangle \\ &= -\frac{i}{z} \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{i\mu t} c e^{-i \int_0^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt} e^{-i\mu t'} c^\dagger] \\ &= -\frac{i e^{i\mu(t-t')}}{z} \langle 1 | e^{-i \int_0^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt} | 1 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{i}{z} e^{i\mu(t-t') - iU t_0} \end{aligned}$$

Now evaluating Green's function supposing $0 < t_0 < t' < t$:

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t') &= -i \theta(t - t') \langle C(t) C^\dagger(t') SU(0 \rightarrow t_0) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{i}{z} \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{i\mu t} c e^{-i\mu t'} c^\dagger e^{-i \int_0^{t_0} U c^\dagger c dt}] \\ &= -\frac{i e^{i\mu(t-t')}}{z} \langle 1 | 1 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{i}{z} e^{i\mu(t-t')} \end{aligned}$$