

# Introduction to the Green's Function

Forest Yang

June 1, 2017

## 1 Defining the Green's Function

The "imaginary time, time-ordered" Green's function is defined as

$$G(\tau, \tau') = -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr}[T_\tau e^{-\beta \hat{H}} C(\tau) C^\dagger(\tau')]$$

The unfamiliar terms inside  $G(\tau, \tau')$  are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C(\tau) &= e^{\tau \hat{H}} c e^{-\tau \hat{H}} & C^\dagger(\tau') &= e^{\tau' \hat{H}} c^\dagger e^{-\tau' \hat{H}} \\ z &= \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} & T_\tau A_\tau B_{\tau'} &= \theta(\tau - \tau') A_\tau B_{\tau'} - \theta(\tau' - \tau) B_{\tau'} A_\tau \quad \beta = \frac{1}{k_B T} \end{aligned}$$

$\theta$  is the heaviside step function, i.e.  $\theta(x) = \mathbf{1}_{x>0}$ . For now, suppose the hamiltonian is simply  $\hat{H} = -\mu c^\dagger c$ . Only one particle is being considered, so the only two states are  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  with energy eigenvalues of  $E_0 = 0$  and  $E_1 = -\mu$ . For this  $\hat{H}$

$$z = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} = \sum_n \langle n | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | n \rangle = 1 + e^{\beta \mu}$$

Interestingly, Green's function can be simplified significantly for this  $\hat{H}$  as well. First simplify the expressions for  $C(\tau)$  and  $C^\dagger(\tau')$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} C(\tau) &= e^{\tau \hat{H}} \hat{H} c e^{-\tau \hat{H}} - e^{\tau \hat{H}} c \hat{H} e^{-\tau \hat{H}} \\ &= e^{\tau \hat{H}} [\hat{H}, c] e^{-\tau \hat{H}} \\ &= -\mu e^{\tau \hat{H}} [\hat{n}, c] e^{-\tau \hat{H}} \\ &= \mu e^{\tau \hat{H}} c e^{-\tau \hat{H}} \\ &= \mu C(\tau) \\ \frac{d}{d\tau'} C^\dagger(\tau') &= -\mu e^{\tau' \hat{H}} [\hat{n}, c^\dagger] e^{-\tau' \hat{H}} \quad \text{skipping previous steps} \\ &= -\mu e^{\tau' \hat{H}} c^\dagger e^{-\tau' \hat{H}} \\ &= -\mu C^\dagger(\tau') \\ \implies C(\tau) &= e^{\mu \tau} c & C^\dagger(\tau') &= e^{-\mu \tau'} c^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

Plugging these into Green's function:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\tau, \tau') &= -\theta(\tau - \tau') \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{\mu \tau} c e^{-\mu \tau'} c^\dagger \frac{1}{z} + \theta(\tau' - \tau) \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{-\mu \tau'} c^\dagger e^{\mu \tau} c \frac{1}{z} \\ &= -\theta(\tau - \tau') e^{\mu(\tau - \tau')} \frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} c c^\dagger + \theta(\tau' - \tau) e^{\mu(\tau - \tau')} \frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} c^\dagger c \\ &= e^{\mu(\tau - \tau')} \left[ -\theta(\tau - \tau') \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta \mu}} + \theta(\tau' - \tau) \frac{e^{\beta \mu}}{1 + e^{\beta \mu}} \right] \\ &= e^{\mu(\tau - \tau')} (-\theta(\tau - \tau') f(\mu) + \theta(\tau' - \tau) f(-\mu)) \quad \text{with } f(\mu) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta \mu}} \\ &= e^{\mu(\tau - \tau')} (\theta(\tau' - \tau) - f(\mu)) \quad \text{since } f(\mu) + f(-\mu) = 1 \text{ and } \theta(x) + \theta(-x) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Two general properties of the Green's function

### 2.1 Time-translational invariance

Time-translational invariance is the fact that Green's function is really just dependent on one parameter, the difference  $\tau - \tau'$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\tau, \tau') &= -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} T_\tau e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{\tau \hat{H}} c e^{-\tau' \hat{H}} e^{\tau' \hat{H}} c^\dagger e^{-\tau' \hat{H}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} T_\tau e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{(\tau - \tau') \hat{H}} c e^{-(\tau - \tau') \hat{H}} c^\dagger \quad [\hat{H}, \hat{H}] = 0, \text{ and } \text{Tr} T_\tau AB = \text{Tr} T_\tau BA \\
&= -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} T_{\tau - \tau'} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} C(\tau - \tau') C^\dagger(0) \\
&= G(\tau - \tau', 0) \\
&:= G(\tau - \tau')
\end{aligned}$$

In the second line, the time ordering operator  $T_\tau$  doesn't appear to really mean anything since the  $\tau$ 's and  $\tau'$ 's are out of order. But, it actually makes sense, after observing that under a trace  $T_\tau$  really means

$$\text{Tr} T_\tau \text{expr} = (\theta(\tau - \tau') - \theta(\tau' - \tau)) \text{Tr expr} = \text{Tr} T_{\tau - \tau'} \text{expr}$$

### 2.2 Antiperiodicity of $\beta$

Green's function is antiperiodic in  $\beta$ , that is,  $G(\tau) = -G(\tau + \beta)$ . To avoid exponential growth, ideally  $\beta \geq \tau + \beta$ , so for convenience  $\tau$  can be assumed to be negative. For the same reason  $\tau \geq -\beta$ , so  $\tau + \beta \geq \tau' = 0$  and  $T_{\tau + \beta - \tau'} = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\tau + \beta) &= -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} C(\tau + \beta) C^\dagger(0) \\
&= -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{(\tau + \beta) \hat{H}} c e^{-(\tau + \beta) \hat{H}} c^\dagger \\
&= -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} e^{-\tau \hat{H}} c^\dagger e^{\tau \hat{H}} c \\
&= -\frac{1}{z} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}} c^\dagger e^{\tau \hat{H}} c e^{-\tau \hat{H}} \\
&= -G(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

Keep in mind the assumption that  $\tau \leq \tau'$  was used in the last line, for the proper case of  $T_\tau$ .

The antiperiodicity of  $G$  comes in handy because it allows for the Fourier Transform of  $G$ . One can verify that the function  $e^{\mu\tau}(\theta(\tau) - f(\mu))$  is antiperiodic in  $\beta$ .

## 3 Introduction to fourier series/transforms

Fourier transforms is based on fourier series, which is based on the orthogonality of the basis  $\{\sin(\frac{n\pi x}{L}), \cos(\frac{n\pi x}{L})\}_{m,n=0}^\infty$ . It is true that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-L}^L \sin\left(\frac{m\pi x}{L}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) dx &= \begin{cases} L & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases} & \int_{-L}^L \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{L}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) dx &= \begin{cases} 2L & \text{if } m = n = 0 \\ L & \text{if } m = n \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases} \\
\int_{-L}^L \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{L}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) dx &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore any continuous periodic function  $f(x)$  can be expressed as

$$f(x) = A_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{L}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$$

Where the coefficients are given by

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) dx \quad A_{m \neq 0} = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \cos\left(\frac{m\pi x}{L}\right) dx \quad B_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) dx$$

Alternatively,  $f(x)$  can be expressed as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n e^{\frac{i\pi n x}{L}} \quad \text{where} \quad C_n = \frac{1}{2}(A_{|n|} - i \operatorname{sgn}(n)B_{|n|}) = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) e^{-i \frac{n\pi x}{L}} dx$$

In the limit as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , this provides an explanation for the fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n e^{\frac{i\pi n x}{L}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\pi}{L} e^{\frac{i\pi n x}{L}} \int_{-L}^L f(x) e^{-i \frac{n\pi x}{L}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right) dk \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} F(k) dk \end{aligned}$$

Where  $F(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx$  is the fourier transform of  $f(x)$ .

### 3.1 Matsubara Frequencies

Let us find the fourier series for  $G(\tau)$  calculated for the simple  $\hat{H} = -\mu c^\dagger c$  earlier (antiperiodic in  $\beta$  means periodic in  $2\beta$ , although our allowed range is only  $2\beta$ ). That is, assume  $G(\tau)$  takes the form

$$G(\tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \frac{\pi n \tau}{\beta}} G_n$$

Where  $G_n$  is to be determined as follows (let  $\omega_n = \frac{\pi n}{\beta}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} G_n &= \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} e^{i\omega_n \tau} G(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} e^{i\omega_n \tau} e^{\mu \tau} (\theta(\tau) - f(\mu)) d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\beta} \left[ \int_0^{\beta} e^{(i\omega_n + \mu)\tau} f(-\mu) d\tau - \int_{-\beta}^0 e^{(i\omega_n + \mu)\tau} f(\mu) d\tau \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\beta(i\omega_n + \mu)} \left[ e^{(i\omega_n + \mu)\tau} f(-\mu) \Big|_0^{\beta} - e^{(i\omega_n + \mu)\tau} f(\mu) \Big|_{-\beta}^0 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\beta(i\omega_n + \mu)} \left[ e^{(i\omega_n + \mu)\tau} f(-\mu) \Big|_0^{\beta} - e^{(i\omega_n + \mu)\tau} f(\mu) \Big|_{-\beta}^0 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\beta(i\omega_n + \mu)} \left[ (-1)^n e^{\beta\mu} - 1 - ((-1)^n e^{\beta\mu} - (-1)^n e^{-\beta\mu}) f(\mu) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\beta(i\omega_n + \mu)} \left[ (-1)^n (e^{\beta\mu} + \frac{e^{-\beta\mu} - e^{\beta\mu}}{1 + e^{\beta\mu}}) - 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

I seem to be unable to simplify this expression.

## 4 Spin, more angular momentum relations

The discovery of an intrinsic angular momentum possessed by particles motivates the introduction of spin angular momentum operators  $\hat{\mathbf{S}} = (\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_y, \hat{S}_z)$ . Like regular angular momentum:

$$[\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{S}_k \quad \hat{S}_z |sm_s\rangle = \hbar m_s |sm_s\rangle \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}^2 |sm_s\rangle = s(s+1) |sm_s\rangle$$

An important, simple case to consider is spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ . Let the basis  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$  such that  $\hat{S}_z |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\hbar |\uparrow\rangle$  and  $\hat{S}_z |\downarrow\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar |\downarrow\rangle$ . Since there are only two states,  $\hat{S}_x^2$  and  $\hat{S}_y^2$  turn out to be quite nice.

$$\hat{S}_x^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\hat{S}_+ + \hat{S}_-)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\hat{S}_+^2 + \hat{S}_+ \hat{S}_- + \hat{S}_- \hat{S}_+ + \hat{S}_-^2) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2 - \hat{S}_z^2) = \hat{S}_z^2$$

If there are only two states, raising or lowering twice must annihilate any state so  $\hat{S}_+^2 = \hat{S}_-^2 = 0$ . Also, I used  $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_+\hat{S}_- + \hat{S}_-\hat{S}_+) = \hat{\mathbf{S}}^2 - \hat{S}_z^2$ . It goes without saying that similarly  $\hat{S}_y^2 = \hat{S}_z^2$ . This can be used to derive new relations. For the following, assume that  $i$  comes before  $j$  in an even permutation, WLOG

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_i\hat{S}_j + \hat{S}_j\hat{S}_i &= [\hat{S}_j, \hat{S}_k]\hat{S}_j + \hat{S}_j[\hat{S}_j, \hat{S}_k] \\ &= \hat{S}_j\hat{S}_k\hat{S}_j - \hat{S}_k\hat{S}_j\hat{S}_j + \hat{S}_j\hat{S}_j\hat{S}_k - \hat{S}_j\hat{S}_k\hat{S}_j \\ &= \hat{S}_j^2\hat{S}_k - \hat{S}_j^2\hat{S}_k \quad \text{as } \hat{S}_j^2 \text{ is a multiple of the identity} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

And in the case of  $i = j$  then  $2\hat{S}_i^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\mathbf{1}$  where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity. Thus,

$$\{\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j\}_+ = \delta_{ij} \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \mathbf{1}$$

By remembering the formula

$$\hat{S}_\pm |sm\rangle = \hbar\sqrt{s(s+1) - m(m\pm 1)} |s, m\pm 1\rangle = \hbar\sqrt{(s\mp m)(s\pm m+1)} |s, m\pm 1\rangle$$

The matrix representations of  $\hat{S}_+$  and  $\hat{S}_-$  are calculated as the following:

$$\hat{S}_+ = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{S}_- = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by noting that  $\hat{S}_x = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_+ + \hat{S}_-)$  and  $\hat{S}_y = \frac{i}{2}(\hat{S}_- - \hat{S}_+)$  the matrix representations for all three spin components pop out:

$$\hat{S}_x = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{S}_y = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{S}_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

These matrices turn out to be a bit special so they are given the particular name: Pauli matrices, represented by sigma subscript  $\frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_i = \hat{S}_i$ . Since

$$\hat{S}_i\hat{S}_j = \frac{1}{2}(\{\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j\} + [\hat{S}_i, \hat{S}_j]) = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}\mathbf{1}\delta_{ij} + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\hat{S}_k$$

The same essential relationship applies to the Pauli matrices (which are simply scaled  $\hat{S}$  matrices)

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \mathbf{1}\delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k$$

A neat corollary of this is that

$$\sigma_x\sigma_y\sigma_z = i\sigma_z^2 = i\mathbf{1}$$

It is interesting to note that

$$\{\mathbf{1}, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\}$$

forms an independent set on a vector space of dimension four (the set of 2x2 matrices), so it spans this set. That is, any 2x2 is a weighted combinations of the Pauli matrices and the identity. Here is another useful identity:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \sigma)(\mathbf{b} \cdot \sigma) &= a_i\sigma_i b_j\sigma_j \\ &= a_i b_j (\mathbf{1}\delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k) \\ &= \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \mathbf{1} + i\epsilon_{ijk} a_i b_j \sigma_k \\ &= (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{1} + i(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \sigma\end{aligned}$$