

# Hamiltonian commutators, Angular Momentum

Forest Yang

May 31, 2017

## 1 Hamiltonian commutators

The Hubbard Hamiltonian is given by  $\hat{H} = -\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} - \mu \sum_{i\sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma} + U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$ . We wish to show that

$$[\hat{S}_\pm, \hat{H}] = [\hat{S}_z, \hat{H}] = [\hat{J}_z, \hat{H}] = 0, \quad [\hat{H}, \hat{J}_\pm] = \pm(U - 2\mu)\hat{J}_\pm$$

Recall,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_+ &= \sum_i c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} & \hat{S}_- &= \sum_i c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} & \hat{S}_z &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} \\ \hat{J}_+ &= \sum_i c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger (-1)^{i+1} & \hat{J}_z &= \sum_i c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow} (-1)^{i+1} & \hat{J}_z &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

First I show  $[\hat{S}_+, \hat{H}] = 0$ .

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{S}_+] = -\sum_{ijk\sigma} t_{ij} [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}] - \mu \sum_{ij\sigma} [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}] + U \sum_{ij} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}]$$

To help evaluate the first (hopping) term:

$$\begin{aligned} [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}] &= c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\downarrow}] + [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger] c_{k\downarrow} \\ &= c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \{c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\downarrow}\} - \{c_{i\sigma}^\dagger, c_{k\downarrow}\} c_{j\sigma}) + (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \{c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger\} - \{c_{i\sigma}^\dagger, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger\} c_{j\sigma}) c_{k\downarrow} \\ &= -c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} \delta_{ik} \delta_{\sigma\downarrow} + c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow} \delta_{jk} \delta_{\sigma\uparrow} \end{aligned}$$

The first (hopping) term can now be evaluated:

$$-\sum_{ijk\sigma} t_{ij} [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}] = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} - \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} = 0$$

Onto showing that the second term is 0:

$$[\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}] = c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger [\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\downarrow}] + [\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger] c_{j\downarrow} = \delta_{ij} (c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} - c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}) = 0$$

Which readily implies that the second term is 0. Lastly,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}] &= c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\downarrow}] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger] c_{j\downarrow} \\ &= c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\downarrow}] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, c_{j\downarrow}] \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) + (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger] \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) c_{j\downarrow} \\ &= -c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} c_{j\downarrow} \delta_{ij} + c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} c_{j\downarrow} \delta_{ij} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows because if  $i = j$  then the left term contains a  $(c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger)^2$  and the right term contains a  $(c_{i\downarrow})^2$  both of which are 0. This shows that the last term in the commutator is 0. Therefore,  $[\hat{S}_+, \hat{H}] = 0$ , and via a similar calculation, one can verify that  $[\hat{S}_-, \hat{H}] = 0$ .

Proceeding with the next identity:

$$2[\hat{S}_z, \hat{H}] = -\sum_{ijk\sigma} t_{jk} ([\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}] - [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}]) - \mu \sigma_{ij\sigma} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{j\sigma}] - [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma}] + U \sum_{ij} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{j\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] - [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{j\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}]$$

It is time to show a useful result for evaluating a commutator with the first term of the Hamiltonian, namely, that the sum of number operators of a given spin  $\sigma'$  over all positions commutes with the first term.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{ijk\sigma} t_{jk} [\hat{n}_{i\sigma'}, c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}] &= \sum_{ijk\sigma} t_{jk} (c_{j\sigma}^\dagger [\hat{n}_{i\sigma'}, c_{k\sigma}] + [\hat{n}_{i\sigma'}, c_{j\sigma}^\dagger] c_{k\sigma}) \\
&= \sum_{ijk\sigma} t_{jk} (-\delta_{ik} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + \delta_{ij} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}) \\
&= -\sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma'}^\dagger c_{j\sigma'} + \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma'}^\dagger c_{j\sigma'} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

This now implies that the first term of  $[\hat{S}_z, \hat{H}]$  is 0. To show that the other terms are 0, note that number operators commute:

$$[\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\sigma'}^\dagger c_{j\sigma'}] = c_{j\sigma'}^\dagger [\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\sigma'}] + [\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\sigma'}^\dagger] c_{j\sigma'} = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{ij} (-c_{j\sigma'}^\dagger c_{j\sigma'} + c_{j\sigma'}^\dagger c_{j\sigma'}) = 0$$

Therefore,  $[\hat{S}_z, \hat{H}] = 0$ . Since  $\hat{J}_z$  is also the sum of sums of number operators of a given spin over all positions, in fact  $[\hat{J}_z, \hat{H}] = 0$  as well. Onto the final identity:

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{J}_+] = -\sum_{ijk\sigma} (-1)^{k+1} t_{ij} [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger] - \mu \sum_{ij\sigma} (-1)^{j+1} [\hat{n}_{i\sigma}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger] + U \sum_{ij} (-1)^{j+1} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger]$$

Starting as always with the first term:

$$\begin{aligned}
[c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger] &= c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger] + [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger] c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger \\
&= c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \{c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger\} - \{c_{i\sigma}^\dagger, c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger\} c_{j\sigma}) + (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \{c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger\} - \{c_{i\sigma}^\dagger, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger\} c_{j\sigma}) c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger \\
&= c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}^\dagger \delta_{jk} \delta_{\sigma\downarrow} + c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger \delta_{jk} \delta_{\sigma\uparrow}
\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\sum_{ijk\sigma} (-1)^{j+1} t_{ij} [c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger] = \sum_{ij} t_{ji} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger (-1)^{i+1} + \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger (-1)^{j+1} = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger ((-1)^{i+1} + (-1)^{j+1}) = 0$$

The following facts were used in the above line; that  $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ , since  $t_{ij}$  is an adjacency matrix, and that adjacent states' numbering differs by an odd number (checkerboard pattern).

Noticing that  $\sum_{i\sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma}$  differs from  $2\hat{J}_z$  by a constant, the middle term can quickly be evaluated:

$$[-\mu \sum_{i\sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma}, \hat{J}_\pm] = -2\mu [\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_\pm] = \mp \mu 2\hat{J}_\pm$$

For the final term:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger] &= c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger] c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger \\
&= c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger] \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}) + (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger] \hat{n}_{j\downarrow}) c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger \\
&= c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger \delta_{ij} + c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger \delta_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term above goes away because if  $i = j$  then the first term contains a  $(c^\dagger)^2$ . Now, finishing up our calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
U \sum_{ij} (-1)^{j+1} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger] &= U \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow} \\
&= U \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} (c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow} + c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger (1 - \{c_{i\downarrow}, c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger\})) \\
&= U \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger = U \hat{J}_+
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. The corresponding result for  $J_-$  can be verified doing the same calculation. Therefore, adding in the contribution from the middle term,  $[\hat{H}, \hat{J}_\pm] = \pm(U - 2\mu)\hat{J}_\pm$ .

## 2 Angular momentum

The angular momentum operator has the familiar form  $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}$ . In other words,  $L_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{x}_j \hat{p}_k$ . It turns out that  $[\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} i\hbar \hat{L}_k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{L}_i, \hat{L}_{i'}] &= [\epsilon_{ijk} x_j p_k, \epsilon_{i'j'k'} x_{j'} p_{k'}] \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i'j'k'} [x_j p_k, x_{j'} p_{k'}] \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i'j'k'} (x_j [p_k, x_{j'}] + [x_j p_k, x_{j'}] p_{k'}) \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i'j'k'} (x_j p_k i\hbar \delta_{jk'} - x_j p_{k'} i\hbar \delta_{j'k}) \\ &= i\hbar (\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i'j'k'} x_j p_k - \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i'k'k'} x_j p_{k'}) \\ &= i\hbar [(\delta_{ij'} \delta_{ki'} - \delta_{ii'} \delta_{kj'}) x_j p_k - (\delta_{ik'} \delta_{ji'} - \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jk'}) x_j p_{k'}] \\ &= i\hbar (x_i p_{i'} - x_{i'} p_i) = \epsilon_{ii'k} i\hbar \hat{L}_k \end{aligned}$$

The commutator  $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}$  was used. One can see that  $\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{i'j'j}$  can be simplified by noting that for it to be nonzero  $i' \neq j'$  which implies  $i' = i, j' = k$  or  $i' = k, j' = i$ . Analyzing these cases separately to see if the parity of  $i'j'j$  equals or is opposite to that of  $ijk$  gives  $\delta_{ij'} \delta_{ki'} - \delta_{ii'} \delta_{kj'}$ , and the same is done for the other  $\epsilon$  product.

Now we introduce the  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \hat{L}_x^2 + \hat{L}_y^2 + \hat{L}_z^2$  total angular momentum operator. For now it is desirable to show that  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2$  commutes with  $\hat{L}_z$ , to justify searching for simultaneous eigenstates of these operators. Making use of Einstein notation:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2, \hat{L}_i] = [\hat{L}_j^2, \hat{L}_i] = \hat{L}_j [\hat{L}_j, \hat{L}_i] + [\hat{L}_j, \hat{L}_i] \hat{L}_j = i\hbar \epsilon_{jik} (\hat{L}_j \hat{L}_k + \hat{L}_k \hat{L}_j) = 0$$

The sum is equal to 0 because interchanging  $j$  and  $k$  switches the sign of  $\epsilon_{jik}$  while preserving  $\hat{L}_j \hat{L}_k + \hat{L}_k \hat{L}_j$ . Having shown that  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2$  commutes with  $\hat{L}_z$ , take  $|lm\rangle$  to be a simultaneous eigenstate of  $\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2$  and  $\hat{L}_z$ , with  $l$  and  $m$  identifying its eigenvalues:

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 |lm\rangle = l(l+1)\hbar^2 |lm\rangle \quad \hat{L}_z |lm\rangle = m\hbar |lm\rangle$$

Now define raising and lowering operators,

$$\hat{L}_+ = \hat{L}_x + i\hat{L}_y \quad \hat{L}_- = \hat{L}_x - i\hat{L}_y$$

with the following commutator identities (which can be obtained easily from the previous identities)

$$[\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2, \hat{L}_\pm] = 0 \quad [\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_\pm] = \pm\hbar \hat{L}_\pm \quad [\hat{L}_+, \hat{L}_-] = 2\hbar \hat{L}_z$$

Some useful identities are:

$$\hat{L}_\pm \hat{L}_\mp = \hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 \pm \hbar \hat{L}_z \quad \hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{L}_+ \hat{L}_- + \hat{L}_- \hat{L}_+) + \hat{L}_z^2$$

As it turns out, these raising and lowering operators when acted upon a state return a state with  $\hat{L}_z$  raised or lowered by 1. Because,

$$\hat{L}_z \hat{L}_\pm |lm\rangle = (\pm\hbar \hat{L}_\pm + \hat{L}_\pm \hat{L}_z) |lm\rangle = (m \pm 1)\hbar \hat{L}_\pm |lm\rangle = (m \pm 1)\hbar c_{lm\pm} |l, m \pm 1\rangle$$

The attached constant  $c_{lm\pm}$  is unknown. It can be calculated, however, like so (let's take it to be real and positive):

$$\begin{aligned} c_{lm\pm}^2 &= |\hat{L}_\pm |lm\rangle|^2 = \langle lm | \hat{L}_\mp \hat{L}_\pm |lm\rangle = \langle lm | \hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 - \hat{L}_z^2 \mp \hbar \hat{L}_z |lm\rangle = \hbar^2 (l(l+1) - m^2 \mp m) \\ \implies c_{lm\pm} &= \hbar \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m \pm 1)} = \hbar \sqrt{(l \mp m)(l \pm m + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

This also indirectly says that the maximum and minimum values of  $m$  are  $l$  and  $-l$  respectively, that is,  $\hat{L}_\pm |l \pm l\rangle = 0$ , and that  $2l$  is an integer, so then  $l$  is a half integer.

Furthermore, by using the formula for  $c_{lm+} = \sqrt{(l-m)(l+m+1)}$ , an eigenstate can be expressed in terms of  $\hat{L}_+$  and  $|l-l\rangle$ :

$$|lm\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(2l)!(l+m)!}{(l-m)!}} \left(\frac{\hat{L}_+}{\hbar}\right)^{l+m} |l-l\rangle$$

Now start looking at  $|lm\rangle$  in the  $\theta, \phi$  basis, that is  $\langle \theta, \phi | lm \rangle = Y_m^l(\theta, \phi)$ . By virtue of the fact that  $\hat{L}_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$

$$\langle \theta, \phi | \hat{L}_z | lm \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} Y_m^l(\theta, \phi) = \hbar m Y_m^l(\theta, \phi) \implies Y_m^l(\theta, \phi) = f_m^l(\theta) e^{im\phi}$$

To do more with these functions write  $\hat{L}_\pm$  in derivatives. Recalling that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{L}_x &= i\hbar(\sin\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \cot\theta\cos\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}) & \hat{L}_y &= -i\hbar(\cos\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \cot\theta\sin\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}) \\ \hat{L}_\pm &= \pm e^{i\phi}\hbar(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \pm i\cot\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}) & \hat{\mathbf{L}}^2 &= -\hbar^2(\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2})\end{aligned}$$

$\hat{L}_- |l-l\rangle = 0$  implies

$$-i\hbar(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - i\cot\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi})f_{-l}^l(\theta)e^{-il\phi} = -i\hbar(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - l\cot\theta)f_{-l}^l(\theta)e^{-il\phi} = 0 \implies f_{-l}^l = C\sin^l\theta$$

The rest involves some integration.