CS 70 Discrete Mathematics and Probability Theory Summer 2016 Dinh, Psomas, and Ye Discussion 1C Sol

1. Fun with Binary.

Prove the following statement:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^{k} = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

Base case: If n = 0, then we get $2^0 = 2^1 - 1$ which is true.

Inductive Step: Assume that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^k = 2^{n+1} - 1$. Then:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} 2^k = \sum_{k=0}^n 2^k + 2^{n+1}$$
$$= 2^{n+1} - 1 + 2^{n+1}$$
$$= 2 * 2^{n+1} - 1$$
$$= 2^{n+2} - 1$$

Hence we completed the induction. Note that this answer can be easily seen in binary: 10000 - 1 = 1111 in binary, and hence realizing this is a good way of believing the statement without induction.

2. Power Inequality

Use induction to prove that for all integers $n \ge 1$, $2^n + 3^n \le 5^n$.

We use induction on n. The base case n = 1 is true because 2 + 3 = 5. Assume the inequality holds for some $n \ge 1$. For n + 1, we can write:

$$2^{n+1} + 3^{n+1} = 2 \cdot 2^n + 3 \cdot 3^n < 3 \cdot 2^n + 3 \cdot 3^n = 3(2^n + 3^n) \overset{(*)}{\leq} 3 \cdot 5^n < 5 \cdot 5^n = 5^{n+1},$$

where the inequality (*) follows from the induction hypothesis. This completes the induction.

3. Triangle Inequality

Recall the triangle inequality, which states that for real numbers x_1 and x_2 ,

$$|x_1+x_2| < |x_1|+|x_2|$$
.

Use induction to prove the generalized triangle inequality:

$$|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n| \le |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|$$
.

We use induction on $n \ge 2$. The base case n = 2 is the usual triangle inequality. Assume the inequality holds for some $n \ge 2$ (this is the inductive hypothesis). For n + 1, we can write:

$$|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n + x_{n+1}| \le |x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n| + |x_{n+1}|$$
 (by the usual triangle inequality)
$$\le |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| + |x_{n+1}|$$
 (by the induction hypothesis).

1

This completes the induction.

4. False Proof

What goes wrong in the following "proof"?

Theorem: If *n* is an even number and $n \ge 2$, then *n* is a power of two.

Proof:

By induction on the natural number n. Let the induction hypothesis IH(k) be the assertion that "if k is an even number and $k \ge 2$, then $k = 2^i$, where i is a natural number".

Base case: IH(2) states that 2 is a power of two, which it is $(2 = 2^1)$.

Inductive step: Assume that k is a number greater than 2, and that IH(j) holds for all $2 \le j < k$.

Case 1: *k* is odd, and there is nothing to show.

Case 2: k is even, so $k \ge 4$. Since $k \ge 4$ is an even number, k = 2l, with $2 \le l < k$. Therefore we can use the induction hypothesis IH(l), which asserts that $l = 2^i$ for some integer i. Thus we have $k = 2l = 2^{i+1}$, so k is a power of two. IH(k) holds.

The error in the proof is in the application of the induction hypothesis. The proof states that the induction hypotheses IH(l) asserts that l=2i, but in reality, it asserts that if l is even, then l=2i. Since l may be odd, it is not possible to conclude that l=2i.