1. The "after" code introduces a Computer interface and a ComputerFactory, replacing hard coded logic. But I think it includes unnecessary functions that were not in the original code before.

Pattern implementation Quality: 3

Code Readability: 4 overall improvement: 4

2. The after code has different types of vehicles. It seems like an factory pattern but I'm not sure it correctly changes from the original code.

Pattern implementation Quality: 2

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 3

3. The "after" code adds an Animal interface, concrete classes. Even though it is factory pattern, i'm not sure whether it was necessary.

Pattern implementation Quality: 3

Code Readability: 3 overall improvement: 3

4. Refactored code introduces a Shape interface and a ShapeFactory, modularizing shape creation. The implementation is clean and improves flexibility and readability. Pattern implementation Quality: 4

Code Readability: 4

overall improvement: 4

5. The "after" code centralized database connection logic with a DatabaseConnection interface and a DatabaseConnectionFactory. The design is valid, with improved scalability and cleaner structure.

Pattern implementation Quality: 5

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 5

Refactored code uses a Logger interface and a LoggerFactory, simplifying the addition of new loggers. The implementation adheres to design principles, enhancing readability and maintainability.

Pattern implementation Quality: 3

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 4

7. No refactored code provided. Unchanged. Maybe the no change is the one model recommends.

Pattern implementation Quality: 5

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 5

- 8. no files in test data
- 9. The "after" code introduces a Payment interface, concrete classes, and a PaymentFactory. The Factory Pattern is well-applied.

Pattern implementation Quality: 5

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 5

- 10. I think it is different code,,?
- 11. The "after" code adds a Report interface, specific implementations, and a ReportFactory. The implementation is clear, but it miss some component. (fetchdata, spellCheck, fromatReport, and encrypt)

Pattern implementation Quality: 2

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 3

12. Refactored code introduces a Notification interface, concrete implementations, and a NotificationFactory. The pattern is implemented well, simplifying readability and making the code flexible.

Pattern implementation Quality: 5

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 5

- 13. No refactored code provided. Unchanged. Maybe similar with 7
- 14. The "after" code modularizes cache operations using a Cache interface and a CacheFactory. The implementation is flexible and enhances readability. Pattern implementation

Quality: 3 (because it miss some methods)

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 5

15. Refactored code applies a Filter interface and a FilterFactory, decoupling filtering logic. The design is modular, improving scalability and readability.

Pattern implementation Quality: 5

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 5

16. The "after" code uses a Validator interface, concrete classes, and a ValidatorFactory. The factory pattern seems overly implemented for this one, it could be easily replaced with another method.

Pattern implementation Quality: 5

Code Readability: 5 overall improvement: 5

17. Refactored code applies a Parser interface and a ParserFactory to centralize and modularize format-specific parsing logic. The implementation is clear, improving modularity and readability.

Pattern implementation Quality: 5

Code Readability : 5 overall improvement : 5