

# Assignment 2

Philipp Steinwender, Duc Tai Nguyen

December 2023

## 1 Lagrange Multiplier Problem

With Pen & Paper:

### 1.1 a)

$$\min_{\vec{x}} -x_1 + 2x_2, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}x_2^2 + 3, \quad \frac{1}{2}x_2 \geq -3x_1 + 5 \quad (1)$$

#### 1.1.1 Formulate the Lagrangian equation

$$f(x_1, x_2) = -x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - 3$$

$$g(x_1, x_2) = -3x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + 5$$

$$L(x, \lambda, \mu) = -x_1 + 2x_2 + \lambda(x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - 3) + \mu(-3x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + 5)$$

#### 1.1.2 Formulate the KKT conditions.

$$\nabla_{x_1} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = -1 + \lambda - 3\mu = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_{x_2} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = 2 + \lambda x_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - 3 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\mu \cdot g(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$\mu \geq 0$$

**1.1.3 Discuss every case with respect to the given constraints. Compute all possible solutions and identify valid ones.**

**case 1:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &> 0 \\
\mu \cdot g(x_1, x_2) &= 0 \\
g(x_1, x_2) &= 0 \\
-3x_1 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 + 5 &= 0 \\
x_2 &= -6x_1 + 10 \text{ (insert to (3))} \\
x_1 + \frac{1}{2}(-6x_1 + 10)^2 - 3 &= 0 \\
x_1 + \frac{1}{2}(36x_1^2 - 120x_1 + 100)^2 - 3 &= 0 \\
18x_1^2 - 59x_1 + 47 &= 0 \\
x_{1,1} &= 1,365 \text{ AND } x_{1,2} = 1,9124 \\
x_{2,1} &= 1,808 \text{ AND } x_{2,2} = -1,475 \\
(2) \quad 2 + \lambda x_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu &= 0 \\
-6\lambda x_2 + 3\mu - 12 &= 0 \\
(1) + (4) \Rightarrow -13 - 6\lambda x_2 + \lambda &= 0 \\
\lambda &= \frac{13}{-6x_2 + 1} \\
\lambda_1 &= -1,31995 \text{ AND } \lambda_2 = 1,31995 \\
(1) \quad -1 + \lambda - 3\mu &= 0 \\
\mu &= \frac{-1 + \lambda}{3} \\
\mu_2 &= 0,1067 \text{ valid} \\
\mu_1 &= -0,77317 \text{ invalid} \\
c_1 &= (1,365, 1,808) \text{ invalid} \\
c_2 &= (1,9124, -1,475) \text{ valid}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

**case 2:**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu = 0 \\
& \mu \cdot g(x_1, x_2) = 0 \\
(1) \quad & -1 + \lambda - 3\mu = 0 \\
& \lambda = 1 \\
(2) \quad & 2 + \lambda x_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu = 0 \\
& x_2 = -2 \\
(3) \quad & x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - 3 = 0 \\
& x_1 = 1 \\
& c_3 = (1, -2) \text{ invalid because it does not satisfy the inequality constraint}
\end{aligned}$$

**1.1.4 Show which solution is the optimal one**

$c_2$  because it is the only valid point.  
 $\Rightarrow c_2 = (1, 9124, -1, 475)$  is optimal

**1.2 b)**

$$\min_{\vec{x}} \frac{1}{3}x_1^2 + 3x_2, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \frac{1}{x_2}(x_1^2 - 3) = 1, \quad \frac{1}{2}x_1 - 1 \leq x_2 \quad (2)$$

**1.2.1 Formulate the Lagrangian equation**

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{3}x_1^2 + 3x_2 \\
h(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{x_2}(x_1^2 - 3) - 1 \\
g(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{1}{2}x_1 - x_2 - 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{3}x_1^2 + 3x_2 + \lambda\left(\frac{1}{x_2}(x_1^2 - 3) - 1\right) + \mu\left(\frac{1}{2}x_1 - x_2 - 1\right)$$

**1.2.2 Formulate the KKT conditions.**

$$\nabla_{x_1} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{2}{3}x_1 + \lambda \frac{2x_1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_{x_2} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = 3 - \lambda(x_1^2 - 3)\frac{1}{x_2^2} - \mu = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{x_2}(x_1^2 - 3) - 1 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \cdot g(x_1, x_2) &= 0 \\ \mu &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

**1.2.3 Discuss every case with respect to the given constraints. Compute all possible solutions and identify valid ones.**

**case 1:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &> 0 \\
\mu \cdot g(x_1, x_2) &= 0 \\
g(x_1, x_2) &= 0 \\
\frac{1}{2}x_1 - x_2 - 1 &= 0 \\
x_2 &= \frac{1}{2}x_1 - 1 \tag{*}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \frac{1}{x_2}(x_1^2 - 3) - 1 &= 0 \\
x_2 &= x_1^2 - 3 \tag{**}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(*) = (**) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{x_2}(x_1^2 - 3) - 1 = x_1^2 - 3$$

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{1,1} &= -1,1861 \text{ AND } x_{1,2} = 1,6861 \\
x_{2,1} &= -1,5931 \text{ AND } x_{2,2} = -0,1569
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2x(1) + (2) &\Leftrightarrow \frac{4}{3}x_1 + 3 + 4\lambda\frac{x_1}{x_2} - \lambda(x_1^2 - 3)\frac{1}{x_2^2} = 0 \\
\lambda(4\frac{x_1}{x_2} - \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} + \frac{3}{x_2^2}) &= \frac{-4}{3}x_1 - 3 \\
\lambda_1 &= -0,3934 \text{ AND } \lambda_2 = 0,1434
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{From (2) } \mu &= 3 - \lambda(x_1^2 - 3)\frac{1}{x_2^2} \\
\mu_1 &= 2,7531 \text{ valid} \\
\mu_2 &= 3,9149 \text{ valid}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= (-1,1861, -1,5931) \text{ valid} \\
c_2 &= (1,6861, -0,1569) \text{ valid}
\end{aligned}$$

case 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu = 0 \\
& 3x(3) \quad 2x_1 + 2\lambda \frac{x_1}{x_2} = 0 \\
& (2) \quad 3 - \lambda \left( \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} - \frac{3}{x_2^2} \right) = 0 \\
& \text{Insert } 3x(3) \text{ into (1) AND (2)} \\
& \text{I: } 2x_1 + 6\lambda \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 - 3} = 0 \\
& \text{II: } 3 - \lambda \left( \frac{x_1}{(x_1^2 - 3)^2} - \frac{3}{(x_1^2 - 3)^2} \right) = 0 \\
& \lambda = 3(x_1^2 - 3) \text{ Insert to I} \\
& 2x_1 + 6(3(x_1^2 - 3)) \frac{x_1}{x_1^2 - 3} = 0 \\
& x_1 = 0 \text{ AND } x_2 = -3 \\
& \lambda = 3(0 - 3) = -9 \\
& c_3 = (0, -3)
\end{aligned}$$

invalid because it does not satisfy the inequality constraint

#### 1.2.4 Show which solution is the optimal one

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{3}x_1^2 + 3x_2 \\
& f(-1, 1861, -1, 5931) = -4, 3104 \\
& f(1, 6861, -0, 1569) = -0, 4769 \\
& \Rightarrow c_1 = (-1, 1861, -1, 5931) \text{ is optimal}
\end{aligned}$$

#### 1.3 c)

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2}(2x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 4x_2^2), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2}x_1 - 1, \quad x_1^2 \leq -x_2 + 3. \quad (3)$$

### 1.3.1 Formulate the Lagrangian equation

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(2x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + 4x_2^2)$$

$$= x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = -x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1 - 1$$

$$g(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2 - 3$$

$$L(x, \lambda, \mu) = x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 + 2x_2^2 + \lambda(-x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1 - 1) + \mu(x_1^2 + x_2 - 3)$$

### 1.3.2 Formulate the KKT conditions.

$$\nabla_{x_1} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = 2x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda + 2\mu x_1 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_{x_2} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2}x_1 + 4x_2 - \lambda + \mu = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla_{\lambda} L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2}x_1 - x_2 - 1 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\mu g(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$\mu \geq 0$$

**1.3.3 Discuss every case with respect to the given constraints. Compute all possible solutions and identify valid ones.**

**case 1:**

$$\mu > 0$$

$$\mu \cdot g(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$g(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$x_1^2 + x_2 - 3 = 0$$

$$x_2 = -x_1^2 + 3 \text{ Insert to (3)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + x_1^2 - 3 - 1 = 0$$

$$x_{1,1} = -2,2655 \text{ AND } x_{1,2} = 1,7655$$

$$x_{2,1} = -2,132 \text{ AND } x_{2,2} = -0,1172$$

$$(2) \Leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2}x_1 + 4x_2 + \mu$$

$$\lambda_1 = -11,7369 \text{ AND } \lambda_2 = -0,7999$$

$$2(1) + (2) \Rightarrow \frac{9}{2}x_1 + 5x_2 + \mu(4x_1 + 1) = 0$$

$$\mu = \frac{-\frac{9}{2}x_1 - 5x_2}{4x_1 + 1}$$

$$\mu_1 = -2,5868 \text{ invalid}$$

$$\mu_2 = -0,918 \text{ invalid}$$

$$c_1 = (-2,2655, -2,132) \text{ invalid}$$

$$c_2 = (1,7655, -0,1172) \text{ invalid}$$



**case 2:**

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= 0 \\ 2(1) + (2) &\Rightarrow \frac{9}{2}x_1 + 5x_2 = 0 \\ x_2 &= \frac{-9}{10}x_1 \text{ Insert to (3)} \\ \frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{9}{10}x_1 - 1 &= 0 \\ x_1 = \frac{10}{14} \text{ AND } x_2 &= \frac{-9}{14}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(2) &\Leftrightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2}x_1 + 4x_2 \\ \lambda &= -2, 214\end{aligned}$$

$$c_3 = \left(\frac{10}{14}, \frac{-9}{14}\right) \text{ valid}$$

#### 1.3.4 Show which solution is the optimal one

$c_3$  is our optimal solution since it is the only valid point.

$$\Rightarrow c_3 = \left(\frac{10}{14}, \frac{-9}{14}\right) \text{ is optimal}$$

## 2 Optimization of Glider's Trajectory

With Pen & Paper:

$$\max_{x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+} f(x_1, x_2) := \frac{x_2}{x_1}. \quad (4)$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = a + b(x_2 - c)^2 - x_1. \quad (5)$$

### 2.1 Formulate the Lagrangian equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{x_2}{x_1} \\ h(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 + a + b(x_2 - c)^2 - x_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$L(x, \lambda) = \frac{x_2}{x_1} + \lambda(x_1 + a + b(x_2 - c)^2 - x_1)$$

We did not include the two inequality constraints  $x_1 > 0$  and  $x_2 \geq 0$  in the Lagrangian equation since it is easy to check manually.

### 2.2 Analytically compute the gradient of the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{x_1} L(x, \lambda) &= \frac{-x_2}{x_1^2} - \lambda = 0 \\ \nabla_{x_2} L(x, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{x_1} + 2\lambda b(x_2 - c) = 0 \\ \nabla_{\lambda} L(x, \lambda) &= a + b(x_2 - c)^2 - x_1 \end{aligned}$$

**2.3** Using  $a = 1, b = \frac{1}{2}, c = 0$ , solve the system for  $x_1^*, x_2^*, \lambda^*$

$$a = 1, b = \frac{1}{2}, c = 0$$

$$\frac{-x_2}{x_1^2} - \lambda = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{x_1} + 2\lambda \frac{1}{2}(x_2) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2)^2 - x_1 = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2)^2 \quad (*)$$

$$x_2(1) + (2) \Leftrightarrow -\frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_1} = 0$$

$$x_1 = x_2^2 \quad (**)$$

$$(*) = (**) \Leftrightarrow x_2^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2)^2$$

$$x_2^2 = 2$$

$$x_2 = \pm\sqrt{2}$$

$$x_1 = 2$$

$$\lambda = \frac{-x_2}{x_1}$$

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \text{ AND } \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$$

$$c_1 = (2, \sqrt{2}) \text{ valid}$$

$$c_2 = (2, -\sqrt{2}) \text{ invalid because } x_2 \leq 0$$

**2.4** Graphically add the analytic (exact) optimum  $(x_1^*, x_2^*)$  in your plot. State the analytically determined optimum  $(x_1^*, x_2^*)$  in your report, evaluate its function value and check whether the constraint is fulfilled.

$$x_1^* = 2$$

$$x_2^* = \sqrt{2}$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-x_2}{x_1} \\ \frac{1}{x_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} h(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x h(x_1, x_2) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 - x_1 \\ \nabla_x h(x_1^*, x_2^*) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2})^2 - 2 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

## 2.5 Show that the gradient of the objective function in (4) is orthogonal to the tangent line of $h(x_1^*, x_2^*) = 0$

In order to do that we need to calculate the tangent of  $h(x_1^*, x_2^*)$  first. Therefore we use the standard formula for a tangent:

$$y = f'(x_0) \cdot (x - x_0) + f(x_0) \quad (8)$$

In our case we convert that to the tangent calculated at the point  $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*) = (2, \sqrt{2})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, x_2) &= \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} h(x_1^*, x_2^*) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) + h(x_1^*, x_2^*) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - 2 \\ x_2 - \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 - 2\right) = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 + 2 \\ \sqrt{2}x_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Showing that the tangent  $T(x_1, x_2)$  is orthogonal to the gradient of the objective function  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(x_1, x_2)$  can be easily done by calculating the dot product.

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, x_2) \cdot \nabla_x f(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} -x_1 + 2 \\ \sqrt{2}x_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_2}{x_1} \\ \frac{1}{x_1} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{x_2}{x_1} - 2\frac{x_2}{x_1^2} + \sqrt{2}\frac{x_2}{x_1} - \frac{2}{x_1} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Next we are going to insert the optimal point  $\mathbf{x}^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - 2\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} + \sqrt{2}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{2}{2} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{4} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{4} + 1 - 1 = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \text{orthogonal} \end{aligned}$$