

# CPSC 313 — Winter 2020

## Assignment 3 — Non-Context-Free Grammars and Turing Machines

**Due Friday, April 17, at 11:55 pm on Gradescope**

Prior to submission, be sure to familiarize yourself with the **Policies and Guidelines** as well as the **Submission Procedure** as detailed on the assignments course webpage

<http://people.ualgary.ca/~rscheidl/313/assignments.html>.

Assignments that don't follow these instructions will incur penalties, possibly even a zero score.

### 1. Pumping Lemma for context-free languages

Problem 2.31, page 157 (3rd ed) and page 131 (2nd ed) of Sipser. For convenience, the question is reproduced here. Recall that a *palindrome* is a string that reads the same forwards as backwards. Let  $L$  be the language of all palindromes over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  that contain an equal number of 0's and 1's. For example, 0110 and 010110011010 belong to  $L$ , but 0101 and 010 do not. Use the Pumping Lemma for context-free languages to prove that  $L$  is not context-free.

### 2. Closure properties of Turing recognizable languages

Prove that the set of Turing recognizable languages is closed under the operations of concatenation and Kleene closure.

Proceed as follows. Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be Turing-recognizable languages over some alphabet  $\Sigma$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  be Turing machines that recognize  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , respectively, so  $L(\mathcal{M}_1) = L_1$  and  $L(\mathcal{M}_2) = L_2$ . Construct Turing machines  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^*$  that recognize  $L_1L_2$  and  $L_1^*$ , respectively. It is easiest to construct these as a *non-deterministic* Turing machine, so you can non-deterministically split up your input string as a concatenation (of two strings, i.e.  $w = w_1w_2$ , for the concatenation and of an arbitrary number of strings, i.e.  $w = w_1w_2 \dots w_n$ , for the Kleene closure).

For both operations, prove your construction correct. That is, prove that  $L(\mathcal{M}) = L_1L_2$ ,  $L(\mathcal{M}^*) = L_1^*$ , and acceptance for both  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^*$  happens in a finite number of steps.

### 3. Turing machine design

Consider the language  $L_{n\text{-steps}}$  consisting of all encodings  $\langle \mathcal{M}, w, n \rangle$  where

- $\mathcal{M}$  is Turing machine,
- $w$  is a string,
- $n$  is a positive integer and
- $\mathcal{M}$  halts on input  $w$  in exactly  $n$  steps (i.e. in  $n$  steps but no fewer than  $n$  steps).

Prove that  $L_{n\text{-steps}}$  is decidable by constructing a decider  $\mathcal{M}_{n\text{-steps}}$  for  $L_{n\text{-steps}}$ . Prove your decider correct.