

CPSC 449 — Principles of Programming Languages

Theory Components of Assignment 4

Name: Haohu Shen
Student ID: 30063099
Tutorial: 03

Problem 2

Use the following definition of the function *concat*:

```
concat = foldr (++) []
```

You may also use the axiom $(\text{map}++)$ on page 261 (under Exercise 11.31).

```
map f (ys ++ zs) = map f ys ++ map f zs
```

Prove that for all finite lists xs , and functions f ,

```
concat (map (map f) xs) = map f (concat xs)
```

Solution. Before giving any proof, we list the definitions of the functions *map*, *foldr* and all extended properties we are allowed to use, notice that all contents are referred from the textbook:

```
concat = foldr (++) [] -- (concat.3)

-- map.1 and map.2 are in Page 217 of the textbook
map f [] = [] -- (map.1)
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs -- (map.2)
map f (ys ++ zs) = map f ys ++ map f zs -- (map++)

-- foldr.1 and foldr.2 are in Page 222 of the textbook
foldr f s [] = s -- (foldr.1)
foldr f s (x:xs) = f x (foldr f s xs) -- (foldr.2)
```

Now we prove the statement in the problem holds for all finite lists xs and functions f by structural induction:

Proof Goals

- Base Case

$\text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{map } f) []) = \text{map } f (\text{concat } [])$ (base)

- Induction Step

- Assume:

$\text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{map } f) xs) = \text{map } f (\text{concat } xs)$ (hyp)

- Prove:

$\text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{map } f) (x:xs)) = \text{map } f (\text{concat } (x:xs))$ (ind)

Base Case

- **Want:**

`concat (map (map f) []) = map f (concat [])`

- **Left-hand side:**

`concat (map (map f) [])`
= `concat []` by (map.1)
= `foldr (++) [] []` by (concat.3)
= `[]` by (foldr.1)

- **Right-hand side:**

`map f (concat [])`
= `map f (foldr (++) [] [])` by (concat.3)
= `map f []` by (foldr.1)
= `[]` by (map.1)
= L.H.S.

Thus it shows that the two sides are the same, which completes the proof of the base case.

Induction Step

- **Assume:**

`concat (map (map f) xs) = map f (concat xs)` (hyp)

- **Want:**

`concat (map (map f) (x:xs)) = map f (concat (x:xs))`

- **Left-hand side:**

`concat (map (map f) (x:xs))`
= `concat ((map f x) : map (map f) xs)` by (map.2)
= `foldr (++) [] ((map f x) : map (map f) xs)` by (concat.3)
= `map f x ++ (foldr (++) [] (map (map f) xs))` by (foldr.2)

Let

`p = map (map f) xs`

Then we have

L.H.S
= `map f x ++ (foldr (++) [] p)` by replacement
= `map f x ++ concat p` by (concat.3)
= `map f x ++ concat (map (map f) xs)` by replacement
= `map f x ++ map f (concat xs)` by (hyp)

- **Right-hand side:**

```

map f (concat (x:xs))
= map f (foldr (++) [] (x:xs))           by (concat.3)
= map f (x ++ (foldr (++) [] xs))        by (foldr.2)
= map f (x ++ concat xs)                 by (concat.3)
= map f x ++ map f (concat xs)           by (map++)
= L.H.S.

```

Since the final step makes the left- and right-hand sides equal, on the assumption that the induction hypothesis holds, This completes the induction step, and therefore the proof itself. □