

# Elements of Programming Languages

## Assignment 2

### Version 1.0

Due: October 23, 2024, 12pm

## Overview

In this assignment, you will implement a simple interpreter and typechecker for a language called Giraffe with integers, strings, booleans, pairs, let-binding, and functions. In addition, Giraffe includes some higher-level constructs (as discussed in class) such as `let fun`, `let rec`, and `let pair`. You will also implement capture-avoiding substitution and desugaring for these constructs.

The abstract syntax of Giraffe is as follows:

$Expr \ni e$	$::=$	$n \in \mathbb{N} \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 - e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2$	Numbers
		$b \in \mathbb{B} \mid e_1 == e_2 \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2$	Booleans
		$s \in String \mid \text{length}(s) \mid \text{index}(e_1, e_2) \mid \text{concat}(e_1, e_2)$	Strings
		$x \mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$	Variables and let-binding
		$(e_1, e_2) \mid \text{fst } e \mid \text{snd } e$	Pairs
		$e_1 e_2 \mid \lambda x:\tau. e \mid \text{rec } f(x:\tau) : \tau'. e$	Functions
		$\text{let } (x, y) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$	Syntactic sugar
		$\text{let fun } f(x:\tau) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \mid \text{let rec } f(x:\tau):\tau' = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$	
$Type \ni \tau$	$::=$	$\text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \text{str} \mid \tau_1 * \tau_2 \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	

The abstract syntax of Giraffe is intentionally chosen to be close to its concrete syntax, so there are slight differences from the languages presented in the lectures. Parentheses and conventional precedence conventions are used, and multiplication, lambda-abstraction, pair types, and function types are represented slightly differently:

Abstract syntax	Concrete syntax
$e_1 \times e_2$	$e_1 * e_2$
$\lambda x:\tau. e$	$\backslash x:\tau. e$
$\tau_1 \times \tau_2$	$\tau_1 * \tau_2$
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$

## Getting started with Giraffe

We provide a Scala file `Assn2.scala` that defines the abstract syntax of Giraffe and provides a simple parser and read-eval-print loop (REPL) for Giraffe that you may use to write tests or examples.

We provide scripts `compile.sh` for compiling and `run.sh` for running. You can start the interactive interpreter as follows:

```
$ ./compile.sh
$ ./run.sh
Welcome to Giraffe!
Enter expressions to evaluate, :load <filename.gir> to load a file, or
:quit to quit.
Giraffe> 1 + 1
AST:  Plus (Num(1), Num(1))

Type Checking...Done!
```

Type of Expression: IntTy

Result: NumV(2)

Giraffe>

Initially the interpreter only supports a few of the above constructs, such as numbers and addition.

The `Assn2.scala` file provides functions for parsing Giraffe code to abstract syntax trees.

- `Assn2.parser.parseStr: String => Expr` which takes a string with some code and attempts to parse it.
- `Assn2.parser.parse: String => Expr` which takes a path to a file and reads the file contents and attempts to parse them.

In addition, the following functions can be used to typecheck or evaluate a closed expression:

- `Assn2.Main.evaluate: Expr => Value`, which calls the evaluation function you are to implement in part 1 with an empty initial environment
- `Assn2.Main.typecheck: Expr => Type`, which calls the typechecking function you are to implement in part 2 with an empty initial context

We include six example programs: a pair swapping function, factorial, exponentiation, a function to test whether two strings have the same last character, a function to extract substrings of strings, and a function to test whether one number is less than or equal to another. (This is not as easy as it sounds since Giraffe does not have built-in integer comparisons.) These are provided both as files and embedded in `Assn2.scala`.

The interpreter can be run from the command line as follows:

```
$ ./run.sh example1.gir # runs the interpreter on a file
```

In addition we provide a script `test.sh` that starts a Scala interactive loop with the currently-compiled version of `Assn2` loaded. This can be used to test your implementation of specific functions (such as `subst`). You should be aware that the definition of `subst` and other functions in `Assn2.scala` is in an **object** called `Assn2`, which means that to access it you'd need to either write `Assn2.subst` and so on.

The test script allows an optional argument which can be a Scala file to be executed. As an example of this, the additional file `Tests.scala` includes several tests of substitution in combination with evaluation. You are welcome to write your own tests either by adding to `Tests.scala` or in a new file (you do not need to hand this in).

```
$ ./test.sh Tests.scala
```

`Tests.scala` includes a line `import Assn2._` which makes all of the components of `Assn2` available for use without the `Assn2.` prefix. You can also type this import declaration into the Scala REPL directly.

Finally, we provide a JAR file that contains a sample solution called `Assn2Solution.jar`, and a script `run-sample.sh` that works the same as `run.sh` but uses the sample solution. You can run this as follows:

```
$ ./run-sample.sh # starts the interactive interpreter
$ ./run-sample.sh example1.gir # runs the interpreter on a file
```

## Objectives

The rest of this handout defines exercises for you to complete, building on the partial implementation in `Assn2.scala`. You may add your own function definitions or other code, but please use the existing definitions/types for the functions we ask you to write in the exercises, to simplify automated testing we may do. Also, please do not change code in the `Assn2.CWParser` and `Assn2.Main` submodules.

Your solutions may make use of Scala library operations, such as the list and list map operations that have been covered in the lab. However, your solution should not make use of any features of Scala that have not been covered so far, particularly side-effects (with the exception of the `Gensym` object provided as part of `Assn2.scala` for your use in exercise 1).

**This assignment relies on material covered up to Lecture 6 (October 9). The three sections of this assignment are independent and can be attempted in any order. Partial credit is given for progress on each part, so we suggest implementing (and testing) the more straightforward cases of each part first before attempting the remaining cases.**

This assignment is graded on a scale of 100 points, and amounts to 0% of your final grade for this course. Your submissions will be marked and returned with feedback within 3 weeks if they are received by the due date; we will aim to return within 2 weeks if possible.

# 1 Interpretation

## 1.1 Primitive operations

Giraffe includes several primitive data types and operations on them. It is convenient to define these operations on the `Value` type that represents the results of evaluation. In `Assn2.scala` you will find a definition of two examples, `add` and `subtract`, which implement integer addition on the `Value` type (as shown in the lectures). Several additional primitive operations are needed:

- `multiply` takes two integer values and multiplies them
- `eq` compares two values of the same base type (`int`, `str`, `bool`)
- `length` returns the integer value of a string's length (which we write as  $|s|$  in mathematical notation, e.g.  $|\text{"abc"}| = 3$ )
- `index` given string value  $s$  and integer value  $i$ , returns the one-character string at position  $i$  of  $s$ . Positions start at zero. We write this in mathematical notation as follows:  $s[i]$ . For example,  $(\text{"abc"})[0] = \text{"a"}$  and  $(\text{"abc"})[1] = \text{"b"}$ . The behavior on out-of-range indices is undefined (that is, you may return a dummy value or raise an error using `sys.error` in this case).
- `concat` concatenates two string values. We write this mathematically as  $s_1 \cdot s_2$ . For example,  $(\text{"abc"}) \cdot (\text{"def"}) = \text{"abcdef"}$ .

The behavior of these operations is unspecified if applied to values of unexpected types. In these cases you may use Scala's `sys.error()` function to signal an error: for example, adding an integer to a string, or comparing a string and a boolean for equality. Alternatively, you may choose to return some dummy value in these cases, or leave them unhandled in pattern matching (which will also result in a Scala run-time error).

We have covered operations like multiplication and equality testing (for integer and boolean values) in lectures, and you may reuse or adapt this code. We have not covered string equality, or the other string operations, in lectures, but it should be straightforward to implement these, following the same pattern. For the string primitive operations, you are free to use Scala's built in string library operations. (They are exactly the standard Java ones, since Scala reuses Java's `java.lang.String` library class.)

---

**Exercise 1.** *Implement the remaining primitive operations for multiplication, equality testing, string length, string indexing and string concatenation over `Values`.*

[10 marks]

---

The starting points for the exercises are indicated by comments in `Assn2.scala`, and there are several lines that look like this in the provided code:

---

```
case _ => sys.error("subst:_todo")
```

---

These catch any cases you don't handle yet and raise an error. You should remove these lines in your solution (if you leave them in and they appear before your code, then your code won't get run since the wildcard `_` matches any pattern.)

## 1.2 An environment-based interpreter

The Scala file contains a skeleton of an interpreter based on the rules in Figure 1. Unlike the interpreters covered in class, this evaluator uses an explicit *environment* to record the values of variables. An environment is a mapping from variable names to values. The following grammar rules describe environments and values:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Val} \ni v &::= n \mid b \mid s \mid (v_1, v_2) \mid \langle \sigma, \lambda x. e \rangle \mid \langle \sigma, \text{rec } f(x). e \rangle \\ \text{Env} \ni \sigma &::= [x_1 = v_1, \dots, x_n = v_n] \end{aligned}$$

We write  $\sigma(x)$  for the result of looking up the value of  $x$  in  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma[x := v]$  for the environment obtained by adding (or replacing) the binding of  $x$  to  $v$  in  $\sigma$ . In Scala, we can use `ListMap[Variable, Value]` to represent environments.

The rules for environment-based evaluation are defined in Figure 1. Most of the cases of evaluation are similar to those for the evaluator covered in class, except with the addition of the environment parameter  $\sigma$ .

$$\boxed{\sigma, e \Downarrow v}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\sigma, n \Downarrow n} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\sigma, e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\sigma, e_1 - e_2 \Downarrow v_1 -_{\mathbb{N}} v_2} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\sigma, e_1 * e_2 \Downarrow v_1 \times_{\mathbb{N}} v_2} \\
\\
\frac{b \in \mathbb{B}}{\sigma, b \Downarrow b} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v}{\sigma, e_1 == e_2 \Downarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad v_1 \neq v_2}{\sigma, e_1 == e_2 \Downarrow \text{false}} \\
\\
\frac{\sigma, e \Downarrow \text{true} \quad \sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v}{\sigma, \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{\sigma, e \Downarrow \text{false} \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v}{\sigma, \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \Downarrow v} \\
\\
\frac{s \in \text{String}}{\sigma, s \Downarrow s} \quad \frac{\sigma, e \Downarrow s}{\sigma, \text{length}(e) \Downarrow |s|} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow s \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow n}{\sigma, \text{index}(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow s[n]} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow s_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow s_2}{\sigma, \text{concat}(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow s_1 \cdot s_2} \\
\\
\frac{}{\sigma, x \Downarrow \sigma(x)} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \sigma[x := v_1], e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\sigma, \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Downarrow v_2} \\
\\
\frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{\sigma, (e_1, e_2) \Downarrow (v_1, v_2)} \quad \frac{\sigma, e \Downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\sigma, \text{fst } e \Downarrow v_1} \quad \frac{\sigma, e \Downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\sigma, \text{snd } e \Downarrow v_2} \\
\\
\frac{}{\sigma, \backslash x:\tau. e \Downarrow \langle \sigma, \backslash x. e \rangle} \quad \frac{}{\sigma, \text{rec } f(x:\tau):\tau'. e \Downarrow \langle \sigma, \text{rec } f(x). e \rangle} \quad \frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow \langle \sigma_0, \backslash x. e \rangle \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad \sigma_0[x := v_2], e \Downarrow v}{\sigma, e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v} \\
\\
\frac{\sigma, e_1 \Downarrow \langle \sigma_0, \text{rec } f(x). e \rangle \quad \sigma, e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad \sigma_0[f := \langle \sigma_0, \text{rec } f(x). e \rangle, x := v_2], e \Downarrow v}{\sigma, e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v}
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Evaluation rules for Giraffe

One important difference is that there is now an explicit rule for variable evaluation, which looks up the value of the variable in the environment. Similarly, constructs that bind variables (such as `let`) now need to add the value of the variable to the environment, instead of substituting it into the expression. (You will want to use Scala’s built-in `ListMap` lookup and update operations for these cases.)

Another major difference is the way function values are handled: when we evaluate a lambda-abstraction, we need to construct a value that pairs up the lambda-abstraction expression with the environment present at the time the value was created. This structure, written  $\langle \sigma, \backslash x. e \rangle$ , is called a *closure*<sup>1</sup> because it “closes off” the function by providing the values of any free variables. Likewise, for a recursive function `rec  $f(x). e$`  the corresponding value is a closure  $\langle \sigma, \text{rec } f(x). e \rangle$ . Importantly, in both cases, when we evaluate the *body* of a called function, we use the environment stored in the closure, not the environment present when the function call is evaluated.

Finally, notice that the rules in Figure 1 do not include rules for the “syntactic sugar” let-binding forms. You do not need to implement evaluation rules for these; instead, they will be translated away to equivalent forms by *desugaring* in part 3.

---

**Exercise 2.** Complete the definition of `eval`:  $(\text{ListMap}[\text{Variable}, \text{Value}], \text{Expr}) \Rightarrow \text{Value}$ , following the rules in Figure 1.

[20 marks]

---

## 2 Typechecking

Figure 2 summarizes the typechecking rules covered in lectures, plus some rules for string constructs. In Scala, we can use `ListMap[Variable, Type]` to represent type environments  $\Gamma$ . These rules can be read as

---

<sup>1</sup>The term *closure* is sometimes used as a generic term to refer to first-class functions, but really closures are an implementation technique for first-class functions with static scope. Without closures, an environment-based interpreter would look for the values of local variables in the environment in which the function is called, i.e. we would have dynamic scope instead.

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \text{int}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 - e_2 : \text{int}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 * e_2 : \text{int}} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash b : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \tau \in \{\text{int}, \text{bool}, \text{str}\}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 == e_2 : \text{bool}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 : \tau} \\
\\
\frac{s \in \text{String}}{\Gamma \vdash s : \text{str}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{str}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{length}(e) : \text{int}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{str} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{index}(e_1, e_2) : \text{str}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{str} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{str}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{concat}(e_1, e_2) : \text{str}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma(x) = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 * \tau_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 * \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fst } e : \tau_1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 * \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{snd } e : \tau_2} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_2} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. e : \tau \rightarrow \tau'} \quad \frac{\Gamma, f : \tau \rightarrow \tau', x : \tau \vdash e : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{rec } f(x : \tau) : \tau'. e : \tau \rightarrow \tau'} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 * \tau_2 \quad \Gamma, x : \tau_1, y : \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } (x, y) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \quad \Gamma, f : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let fun } f(x : \tau_1) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma, f : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \quad \Gamma, f : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let rec } f(x : \tau_1) : \tau_2 = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau}
\end{array}$$

Figure 2: Typing rules for Giraffe

an algorithm for computing a type for an expression  $e$ , given a typing context  $\Gamma$ , or determining that the expression  $e$  does not typecheck. Specifically, given an expression  $e$  and environment  $\Gamma$ , there is at most one type  $\tau$  satisfying  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ .

`Assn2.scala` contains a preliminary definition of `tyOf` for Giraffe with some cases filled in already. Given a type context `ctx` and an expression `e`, a call to `tyOf(ctx, e)` should either terminate and return the type of `e`, or raise an error. (You can use `sys.error` to flag such errors.) The provided code shows how to do this for a few simple cases.

Notice that Figure 2 does include typechecking rules for the syntactic sugar let-binding forms. It is often helpful to typecheck programs prior to desugaring, so that the error messages will relate to the original source program. Therefore, you should implement these typechecking cases.

---

**Exercise 3.** Complete the definition of the typechecker `tyOf`:  $(\text{ListMap}[\text{Variable}, \text{Type}], \text{Expr}) \Rightarrow \text{Type}$ , following the rules in Figure 2.

[20 marks]

---

### 3 Syntactic transformation

In this section you will implement some techniques for transforming the abstract syntax of a program. First, you will implement *capture-avoiding substitution*, which replaces all free occurrences of a variable with an expression, while avoiding changing the binding structure of the expression. Next, you will use substitution to implement *desugaring* transformations that replace some of the convenience notations in Giraffe with their primitive translations. The Giraffe REPL attempts to use desugaring before executing an expression, so successfully completing this section will make the convenient let-pair, let-fun and let-rec constructs available to programs without the need to extend the interpreter itself.

$$\begin{aligned}
v[e/x] &= v \\
(e_1 \oplus e_2)[e/x] &= e_1[e/x] \oplus e_2[e/x] \\
(\text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2)[e/x] &= \text{if } e_0[e/x] \text{ then } e_1[e/x] \text{ else } e_2[e/x] \\
\text{length}(e_0)[e/x] &= \text{length}(e_0[e/x]) \\
\text{index}(e_1, e_2)[e/x] &= \text{index}(e_1[e/x], e_2[e/x]) \\
\text{concat}(e_1, e_2)[e/x] &= \text{concat}(e_1[e/x], e_2[e/x]) \\
x[e/x] &= e \\
y[e/x] &= y \quad (x \neq y) \\
(\text{let } y = e_1 \text{ in } e_2)[e/x] &= \text{let } z = e_1[e/x] \text{ in } (e_2(y \leftrightarrow z))[e/x] \quad (\text{where } z \text{ is fresh}) \\
&\vdots \\
(\backslash y:\tau. e_0)[e/x] &= \backslash z:\tau. e_0(y \leftrightarrow z)[e/x] \quad (z \text{ fresh}) \\
(\text{rec } f(y:\tau) : \tau'. e_0)[e/x] &= \text{rec } g(z:\tau) : \tau'. e_0(y \leftrightarrow z)(f \leftrightarrow g)[e/x] \quad (g, z \text{ fresh}) \\
&\vdots \\
(\text{let } (y_1, y_2) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2)[e/x] &= \text{let } (z_1, z_2) = e_1[e/x] \text{ in } (e_2(y_1 \leftrightarrow z_1)(y_2 \leftrightarrow z_2))[e/x] \quad (z_1, z_2 \text{ fresh}) \\
(\text{let fun } f(y:\tau) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2)[e/x] &= \text{let fun } g(z:\tau) = (e_1(y \leftrightarrow z))[e/x] \text{ in } (e_2(f \leftrightarrow g))[e/x] \quad (g, z \text{ fresh}) \\
(\text{let rec } f(y:\tau):\tau' = e_1 \text{ in } e_2)[e/x] &= \text{let rec } g(z:\tau):\tau' = (e_1(f \leftrightarrow g)(y \leftrightarrow z))[e/x] \text{ in } (e_2(f \leftrightarrow g))[e/x] \quad (g, z \text{ fresh})
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 3: Capture-avoiding substitution

### 3.1 Capture-avoiding substitution

So far in the course we have considered substitution of values for variables. For some applications, such as syntax transformation, we also need to be able to replace variables with expressions. In this part, you are to implement a Scala function `subst: (Expr, Expr, Variable) => Expr` so that `subst(e, e', x)` returns  $e[e'/x]$ , that is, the result of substitution of  $e'$  for  $x$  in  $e$ .

As discussed in Tutorial 2, in the presence of variable binding the naive definition of substitution is incorrect. Capture-avoiding substitution solves this problem through renaming bound names. The (partial) definition of capture-avoiding substitution is defined in Figure 3.

To deal with variable renaming in the cases involving binding, you will need to generate fresh names when crossing a binder. For example, if we are substituting  $x$  for  $y$  in `let x = 1 in x + y` then naively replacing  $y$  with  $x$  will give the wrong result `let x = 1 in x + x`. Instead, we need to rename the bound name  $x$  in the let-expression to a fresh variable (say,  $z$ ) resulting in `let z = 1 in z + x`.

We provide an object `Gensym` to help with generating fresh names; this object encapsulates a counter that is used to generate unique ids and you may assume that the variables constructed by `Gensym` are fresh. Your solution may use `Gensym` to generate fresh names and must not use assignment or mutable variables in any other way. We also provide the following Scala function:

---

```
def swap(e: Expr, x: Variable, y: Variable): Boolean
```

---

which may be used to compute  $e(x \leftrightarrow y)$ .

---

**Exercise 4.** Finish the definition of capture-avoiding substitution by filling in the remaining cases for `subst`. This should handle all abstract syntax cases and should rename bound variables to avoid capture. You may use the function `Gensym.gensym` to create a new variable name that (you may assume) is not already in use elsewhere in the expression.

[35 marks]

---

A function `Tests.aequiv` that tests alpha-equivalence of two Giraffe ASTs is provided in `Tests.scala`. You can use it to write your own substitution tests that don't depend on `eval`.

### 3.2 Desugaring

Consider the following desugaring rules, where the left-hand side describes a Giraffe expression form that can be defined in terms of other Giraffe constructs, shown on the right-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{let } (x, y) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 &\longrightarrow \text{let } p = e_1 \text{ in } e_2[\text{fst } p/x, \text{snd } p/y] \\ \text{let fun } f(x:\tau) = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 &\longrightarrow \text{let } f = \backslash x:\tau. e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \\ \text{let rec } f(x:\tau):\tau' = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 &\longrightarrow \text{let } f = \text{rec } f(x:\tau):\tau'. e_1 \text{ in } e_2\end{aligned}$$

In the first rule, the variable  $p$  should be a fresh variable not already in use in the expression. Again, you may use `Gensym.gensym` to generate a fresh variable name.

The function `desugar: Expr => Expr` in `Assn2.scala` is intended to traverse an expression and replace all occurrences of the above defined forms with their definitions. Some cases are already written for you.

---

**Exercise 5.** Complete the definition of `desugar`. Your implementation should replace all of the defined forms (`let-pair`, `let-fun` and `let-rec`) above in one pass over the expression.

[15 marks]

---