27. In trying to understand the combinatorial structure of spanning trees, we can consider the space of all possible spanning trees of a given graph and study the properties of this space. This is a strategy that has been applied to many similar problems as well.

Here is one way to do this. Let G be a connected graph, and T and T' two different spanning trees of G. We say that T and T' are *neighbors* if T contains exactly one edge that is not in T', and T' contains exactly one edge that is not in T.

Now, from any graph G, we can build a (large) graph  $\mathcal H$  as follows. The nodes of  $\mathcal H$  are the spanning trees of G, and there is an edge between two nodes of  $\mathcal H$  if the corresponding spanning trees are neighbors.

Is it true that, for any connected graph G, the resulting graph  $\mathcal H$  is connected? Give a proof that  $\mathcal H$  is always connected, or provide an example (with explanation) of a connected graph G for which  $\mathcal H$  is not connected.

Yes,  $\mathcal{H}$  will always be connected. To show this, we prove the following fact.

(1) Let T = (V, F) and T' = (V, F') be two spanning trees of G so that |F - F'| = |F' - F| = k. Then there is a path in  $\mathcal{H}$  from T to T' of length k.

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on k, the case k=1 constituting the definition of edges in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Now, if |F-F'|=k>1, we choose an edge  $f'\in F'-F$ . The tree  $T\cup\{f'\}$  contains a cycle C, and this cycle must contain an edge  $f\not\in F'$ . The tree  $T\cup\{f'\}-\{f\}=T''=(V,F'')$  has the property that |F''-F'|=|F'-F''|=k-1. Thus, by induction, there is a path of length k-1 from T'' to T'; since T and T'' are neighbors, it follows that there is a path of length k from T to T'.

28. Suppose you're a consultant for the networking company CluNet, and they have the following problem. The network that they're currently working on is modeled by a connected graph G = (V, E) with n nodes. Each edge e is a fiber-optic cable that is owned by one of two companies—creatively named X and Y—and leased to CluNet.

Their plan is to choose a spanning tree T of G and upgrade the links corresponding to the edges of T. Their business relations people have already concluded an agreement with companies X and Y stipulating a number k so that in the tree T that is chosen, k of the edges will be owned by X and n-k-1 of the edges will be owned by Y.

CluNet management now faces the following problem. It is not at all clear to them whether there even *exists* a spanning tree T meeting these conditions, or how to find one if it exists. So this is the problem they put to you: Give a polynomial-time algorithm that takes G, with each edge labeled X or Y, and either (i) returns a spanning tree with exactly k edges labeled X, or (ii) reports correctly that no such tree exists.

We begin by noticing two facts related to the graph  $\mathcal{H}$  defined in the previous problem. First, if T and T' are neighbors in  $\mathcal{H}$ , then the number of X-edges in T can differ from the number of X-edges in T' by at most one. Second, the solution given above in fact provides a polynomial-time algorithm to construct a T-T' path in H.

We call a tree *feasible* if it has exactly k X-edges. Our algorithm to search for a feasible tree is as follows. Using a minimum-spanning tree algorithm, we compute a spanning tree T with the minimum possible number a of X-edges. We then compute a spanning tree T with the maximum possible number b of X-edges. If k < a or k > b, then there clearly there is no feasible tree. If k = a or k = b, then one of T or T' is a feasible tree. Now, if a < k < b, we construct a sequence of trees corresponding to a T-T' path in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since the number of X-edges changes by at most one on each step of this path, and overall it increases from a to b, one of the trees on this path is a feasible tree, and we return it as our solution.