

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

Analysis of Android Cracking Tools and Investigations in Counter Measurements for Developers

Johannes Neutze, B. Sc.





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

Analysis of Android Cracking Tools and Investigations in Counter Measurements for Developers

Analyse von Android Crackingtools und Untersuchung geeigneter Gegenmaßnahmen für Entwickler

Author: Johannes Neutze, B. Sc.
Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Uwe Baumgarten
Advisor: Nils Kannengießer, M. Sc.

Submission Date: March 15, 2015



I confirm that this master's thesis in informatics is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.		
Munich, March 15, 2015		Johannes Neutze, B. Sc.

Acknowledgments

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Assumptions

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Contents

1 Introduction

sis is a text

1.1 Licensing

Was ist licensing? Ziele von Licensing was für möglichkeiten gibt es (lvl, amazon, samsung)

1.2 Motivation

Piracy
lose money from sale/IAP
lose ad revenues
others earn the money - ad ID replacement
no control at all when cracked and in other m

no control at all when cracked and in other markets -> no fixes/updates (https://youtu.be/TNnccRimhsI?t=

for user: when downloading pirated apk, no idea what they changed (malware, stealing data,privacy, permissions) wont notice any difference since in backgound unpredicted traffic for your server, be prepared to block pirated traffic cracking can lead to bad user experience, e.g. copied apps, mostly for paid apps

awesome algorithms can be stolen

similar problems with inapp billing

best way to counter: license verification libraries

encryption can be dumped from memory

generell piracy!!!

enthält als Abschluss SCOPE

1.3 Related Work

related work

2 Foundation

sis is a text

2.1 Software Piracy

http://www.xda-developers.com/piracy-testimonies-causes-and-prevention/

2.1.1 Overview

What is Software Piracy?

History of Software Piracy

Forms of Software Piracy

Release Groups, blackmarket, app beispiele, foren etc

2.1.2 Threat to Developers

scahden für entwickler (ad id klau,)

2.1.3 Risks to Users

malware, bad user experience

2.1.4 Piracy on Android

Poor model, since self-signed certificates are allowed, 27 http://newandroidbook.com/files/Andevcon-Sec.pdf

http://www.fiercedeveloper.com/story/preventing-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-android-applications-piracy-possible-requenting-application-applic

2.2 Android

sis is text

flow wie funktioniert android und warum ist es so einfach zu piraten https://net.cs.uni-bonn.de/fileadmin/user_upload/plohmann/2012-Schulz-Code_Protection_in_Android.pdf

2.2.1 Introduction

What is Android? Where is it used? When was it founded? Who does it belong to?

2.2.2 Evolution of the Android Compiler

sis is text

Java Virtual Machine

sis is text

Dalvik Virtual Machine

sis is text

Android Runtime

im Moment abwärtskompatibilität dex in oat (tools zum extrahieren nennen)

2.2.3 Basics of Android

sis is text

2.2.4 Root on Android

what is it? how is it achieved? what can i do with it? (good/bad sides) Android insecure, can be rooted and get apk file http://androidvulnerabilities.org/all search for root

2.3 License Verification Libraries

This chapter contains the LVL which will be looked at What is a lvl? why are they used? connection to store http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-lulling-you-into-a

2.3.1 Amazon

Amazon DRM

Implementation

sis is text

Functional Principle

sis is text

Example

anhand eigener app

2.3.2 Google

License Verification Library

http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-lulling-you-into-a related https://developers.google.com/games/services/android/antipiracy http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/09/securing-android-lvl-applications. html

Implementation

sis is text

Functional Principle

how does google license check work http://android.stackexchange.com/questions/22545/how-does-google-plays-market-license-check-work sis is text

Example

anhand eigener app

2.3.3 Samsung

Zirconium

Implementation

sis is text

Functional Principle

sis is text

Example

anhand eigener app

2.4 Reengineering Tools

main tools

https://mobilesecuritywiki.com/

Protection_in_Android.pdf

2.4.1 Reengineering

https://net.cs.uni-bonn.de/fileadmin/user_upload/plohmann/2012-Schulz-Code_Protection_in_Android.pdf

2.4.2 Dex

mein custom script erklären

2.4.3 baksmali

https://github.com/JesusFreke/smali

2.4.4 Java

Androguard

https://github.com/androguard/androguard

jadx

https://github.com/skylot/jadx

2.4.5 Diff

https://wiki.ubuntuusers.de/diff

- -N: Treat absent files as empty; Allows the patch create and remove files.
- -a: Treat all files as text; Allows the patch update non-text (aka: binary) files.
- -u: Set the default 3 lines of unified context; This generates useful time stamps and context.
- -r: Recursively compare any subdirectories found; Allows the patch to update subdirectories.

script erklären

can also be used to learn the code -> was nacher das allgemeine problem ist

3 Cracking Android Applications with LuckyPatcher

http://lucky-patcher.netbew.com/

3.1 What is LuckyPatcher and what is it used for?

wer hat ihn geschrieben?
auf welcher version basiere ich
su nicht vergessen
was kann er alles
was schauen wir uns an?
install apk from palystore -> have root -> open lucky -> chose mode
similar cracking tools:
or manual: decompile and edit what ever you want

3.2 Operation

wo arbeitet er? warum dex und nicht odex anschauen? patterns und patching modes grob erklären (modi von luckypatcher die verschiedene operationen (pattern) auf app anwenden) => vorgehensweise zur

3.3 What patterns are there and what do they do?

was greift jedes pattern an? wie wird der mechanismus ausgeklingt? was ist das result?

3.4 What are Patching Modes are there and what do they do?

kombination von patterns. welche modes gibt es? welche patterns benutzen sie? welche apps getestet und welche results?

3.5 Learnings from LuckyPatcher

was fällt damit weg? erklären warum (2) 5.1.2 Opaque predicates zb nicht geht, da auf dex ebene einfach austauschbar simple obfuscation for strings? x -> string (damit name egal)

4 Counter Measurements for Developers

am besten mit example Now that that the functionality of LuckyPatcher is analyzed, it is time to investigate in possible solutions for developers. Counter measurements preventing the cracking app from circumventing the license check mechanism are addressed in four different ways.

The first chapter covers functions to discover preconditions in the environment cracking apps use to discover weaknesses or need to be functional. The second chapter uses the aquired knowledge about LuckyPatcher to modify the code resulting in the patching being unsuccessful. In the third chapter presents methods to prevent the reengineering of the developerś application and thus the creation of custom cracks. Further hardware and external measurements are explained in the fourth chapter.

4.1 Tampering Protection

Environment and Integrity Checks, wenn die umgebung falsch ist, kann die app verändert werden. deswegen von vornherein ausschließen, dass die bedingungen dafür gegeben sind.

siehe masterarbeit 2

mechanisms should work for amazon/lvl/samsung -> beweis! (amazon die signature den die seite vorgibt?)

force close im falle von falschem outcome, entspricht nicht android qualität http://developer.android.com/distribute/essentials/quality/core.html aber so wird es dem user klarer dass seine application gecracked ist. harmlosere variante dialog anzeigen oder element nicht laden.

es gibt verschieden punkte um die integrity der application sicherzustellen. dies beinhaltet die umgebung debugg oder rootzugriff, die suche nach feindliche installierte applicationen oder checks nach der rechtmäßigen installation und rechtmäßigen code.

4.1.1 Prevent Debuggability

der debug modus kann dem angreifer informationen/logs über die application geben während diese läuft, aus diesen informationen können erkenntnisse über die funktionsweise geben die für einen angriff/modifikation gewonnen werden können. aus diesen informationen können dann patches für software wie lucky patcher entwickelt werden, da man die anzugreifenden stellen bereits kennt. kann erzwungen werden indem man das debug flag setzt (wo ist es, wie kann es gesetzt werden)

um dies zu verhindern kann gecheckt werden ob dieses flag forciert wird und gegebenenfalls das laufen der application unterbinden

```
14
        public static boolean isDebuggable(Context context) {
15
            boolean debuggable = (0 != (context.getApplicationInfo().flags & ApplicationInfo.
                 FLAG_DEBUGGABLE));
16
17
            if (debuggable) {
                android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
18
19
20
21
            return debuggable;
22
        }
```

Listing 4.1: asd

Code SNippet /refCode Snippet: luckycode zeigt eine funktion die auf den debug modus prüft. Dazu werden zuerst in zeile 15 die appinfo auf das debug flag überprüft. ist dieses vorhanden, ist die variable debuggable true. in diesem fall wird dann die geschlossen

4.1.2 Root Detection

http://stackoverflow.com/questions/10585961/way-to-protect-from-lucky-patcher-play-licens

```
16
         public static boolean findBinary(Context context, final String binaryName) {
17
            boolean result = false;
18
            String[] places = {
19
                     "/sbin/",
                     "/system/bin/",
20
21
                     "/system/xbin/",
22
                     "/data/local/xbin/",
                     "/data/local/bin/",
23
24
                     "/system/sd/xbin/",
```

```
25
                     "/system/bin/failsafe/",
26
                     "/data/local/'
27
             };
28
             for (final String where : places) {
29
30
                 if (new File(where + binaryName).exists()) {
31
                     result = true;
32
                     android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
33
34
35
36
             return result;
37
         }
```

Listing 4.2: Partial Listing

SafetyNet provides services for analyzing the configuration of a particular device, to make sure that apps function properly on a particular device and that users have a great experience. https://developer.android.com/training/safetynet/index.html Checking device compatibility with safetynet

Unlocked bootloader doesn't matter. Can't have root installed initially. Has to be a stock / signed ROM. https://www.reddit.com/r/Android/comments/3kly2z/checking_device_compatibility_with_safetynet/

4.1.3 LuckyPatcher Detection

As the example shows, this check is not only a solution to prevent the application from running when LuckyPatcher is present on the device. The screening can be expanded to check for the installation of any other application, like black market apps or other cracking tools as the code example Code Example ?? shows.

http://stackoverflow.com/questions/13445598/lucky-patcher-how-can-i-protect-from-it http://android-onex.blogspot.de/2015/07/anti-piracy-software-activated-solved.html

```
9
         public static boolean checkInstall(final Context context) {
10
             boolean result = false;
11
             String[] luckypatcher = new String[]{
12
                     // Lucky patcher
13
                     "com.dimonvideo.luckypatcher",
14
                     // Another lucky patcher
15
                     "com.chelpus.lackypatch",
                     // Black Mart alpha
16
17
                     "com.blackmartalpha",
18
                     // Black Mart
```

```
19
                     "org.blackmart.market",
20
                     // Lucky patcher 5.6.8
21
                     "com.android.vending.billing.InAppBillingService.LUCK",
                     // Freedom
22
23
                     "cc.madkite.freedom",
24
                     // All-in-one Downloader
25
                     "com.allinone.free",
26
                     // Get Apk Market
27
                     "com.repodroid.app",
28
                     // CreeHack
29
                     "org.creeplays.hack",
30
                     // Game Hacker
31
                     "com.baseappfull.fwd"
32
             };
33
             for (String string : luckypatcher) {
34
                 if(checkInstallerName(context, string)){
35
36
                     result = true;
37
38
39
                 if (result) {
40
                     android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
41
42
             }
43
             return result;
44
45
         }
46
47
         private static boolean checkInstallerName(Context context, String string) {
48
             PackageInfo info;
49
             boolean result = false;
50
51
             try {
52
                 info = context.getPackageManager().getPackageInfo(string, 0);
53
                 if (info != null) {
54
55
                     android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
56
                     result = true;
57
58
59
             } catch (final PackageManager.NameNotFoundException ignored) {
60
61
62
             if (result) {
                 and roid. os. Process. kill Process (and roid. os. Process. my Pid()); \\
63
64
65
             return result;
66
```

67

Listing 4.3: Partial Listing

4.1.4 Sideload Detection

http://stackoverflow.com/questions/10809438/how-to-know-an-application-is-installed-from-

4.1.5 Signature Check

```
once in code
save to use signature in code?
http://forum.xda-developers.com/showthread.php?t=2279813&page=5
```

```
15
    public class Sideload {
        private static final String PLAYSTORE_ID = "com.android.vending";
16
17
         private static final String AMAZON_ID = "com.amazon.venezia";
         private static final String SAMSUNG_ID = "com.sec.android.app.samsungapps";
18
19
20
         public static boolean verifyInstaller(final Context context) {
21
             boolean result = false;
22
             final String installer = context.getPackageManager().getInstallerPackageName(context.
                  getPackageName());
23
24
             if (installer != null) {
25
                 if (installer.startsWith(PLAYSTORE_ID)) {
26
                     result = true;
27
28
                 if (installer.startsWith(AMAZON_ID)) {
29
                     result = true;
30
31
                 if (installer.startsWith(SAMSUNG_ID)) {
32
                     result = true;
33
34
             if(!result){
35
                 and roid. os. Process. kill Process (and roid. os. Process. my Pid()); \\
36
37
38
39
             return result;
40
```

Listing 4.4: Partial Listing

4.1.6 Signature

http://developer.android.com/tools/publishing/app-signing.html

CONTRA

Remote Verification and Code nachladen

local check whether signature is allowed

```
51
         public static boolean checkAppSignature(final Context context) {
52
             //Signature used to sign the application
53
             static final String mySignature = "...";
54
             boolean result = false;
55
             try {
56
                 final PackageInfo packageInfo = context.getPackageManager().getPackageInfo(context.
57
                      getPackageName(), PackageManager.GET_SIGNATURES);
58
59
                 for (final Signature signature : packageInfo.signatures) {
                     final String currentSignature = signature.toCharsString();
60
61
                     if (mySignature.equals(currentSignature)) {
62
                         result = true;
63
64
65
             } catch (final Exception e) {
66
                 android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
67
68
             if (!result) {
69
70
                 android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
71
72
73
             return result;
74
        }
```

Listing 4.5: Partial Listing

Remote Signature Verification and Remote COde Loading

certificate an server, get signature and send to server content direkt von server laden (e.g. all descriptions, not sure if dex possible) maps checks for signature?

e.g. account auf seite erstellen, ecrypted dex ziehen der von loader stub geladen wird

(like packer) kann wiedermal dann gezogen werden und dann als custom patch verteilt werden

4.2 LVL Modifications

siehe masterarbeit 2 http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-library

Googleś License Verification Library is modified in a way

4.2.1 Modify the Library

google

4.2.2 Checken ob ganzer code abläuft und dann nacheinander elemente aktivieren

master1 - testen

damit die ganzen blöcke durchlaufen werden müssen

4.2.3 dynamische Codegeneration

4.3 Prevent Reengineering

https://blog.fortinet.com/post/how-android-malware-hides http://www.hotforsecurity.com/blog/mobile-app-development-company-fights-off-android-malwhtml

4.3.1 Basic Breaks for Common Tools

pros and cons sagen?

https://github.com/strazzere/APKfuscator

http://www.strazzere.com/papers/DexEducation-PracticingSafeDex.pdf

https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=811

Filesystem

make classname to long
https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=985 works except for the class
breaks only baksmali

Inject bad OPcode or Junkbytes

JUNKBYTES

use bad opcode in deadcode code runs but breaks tools put it into a class you do not use -> care proguard, it will not use it since it is not included -> fixed...

https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1163

reference not inited strings

https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYn0I?t=1459

Throw exceptions which are different in dalvik than in java

recursive try/catch? -> valid dalvik code https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYn0I?t=1650

Increase headersize

you have to edit every other offset as well https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1890 dexception, dex within a dex by shifting this is a packer/encrypter slowdown automatic tools https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1950

Endian Tag?

reverse endian breaks tools works on device (odex) lot work for little gain https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=2149

4.3.2 Optimizors and Obfuscators

Obfuscators/Optimizors definition remove dead/debug code potentially encrypt/obfuscate/hide via reflection https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=243

Relfection

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Rv8DfXNYnOI irgendwo erklären

Proguard

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=419 https://net.cs.uni-bonn.de/fileadmin/user_upload/plohmann/2012-Schulz-Code_ Protection_in_Android.pdf http://developer.android.com/tools/help/proguard.html optimizes, shrinks, (barely) obfuscates -> free, reduces size, faster gutes bild https://youtu.be/TNnccRimhsI?t=1360 removes unnecessary/unused code merges identical code blocks performs optimiztations removes debug information renames objects restructures code removes linenumbers -> stacktrace annoying https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=470 ->hacker factor 0 does not really help

Dexguard

master2
OVERVIEW
son of proguard
the standardprotection
optimizer
shrinekr

obfuscator/encrypter, does not stop reverse engineering

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=643

WHAT DOES IT DO

everything that proguard does

automatic reflection

strign encryption

asset/library encryption

class encryption(packign)

application tamper protection

file->automatic reflection->string encryption->file

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=745

class encryption= packer, unpackers do it most of the time in few seconds, aber aufwand

auf handy, nicht so einfach wie pattern in luckypatcher

CONS

may increase dex size, memory size; decrease speed

removes debug information

string, etc encryption

best feature: automatic reflection with string encryption

reversible with moderate effort

hacker protection factor 1

Allatori

http://www.allatori.com/clients/index.php

https://net.cs.uni-bonn.de/fileadmin/user_upload/plohmann/2012-Schulz-Code_

Protection_in_Android.pdf

WHAT DOES IT

name obfuscation

control flow flattening/obfuscation

debug info obfuscation

string encryption

RESULT

decreases dex size, memory, increases speed

remvoes debug code

not much obfuscation

Proguard+string encryption

easily reversed

hacker protection factor 0.5

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw

4.3.3 Protectors and Packers

from malware

APKprotect

stub fixes broken code which is normally not translated by tools, breaks static analysis

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=347

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw

chinese protector

also known as dexcrypt, appears active but site down, clones might be available

anti-debug, anti-decompile, almost like a packer

string encryption

cost ???

tool mangles code original code

-modifies entrypoint to loader stub

-prevents static analysis

during runtime loader stub is executed

-performs anti-emulation

-performs anti-debugging

-fixes broken code in memory

FUNCTION

dalvik optimizes the dex file into momory ignoring bad parts

upon execution dalvik code initiates, calls the native code

native code fixes odex code in memory

execution continues as normal

RESULT

slight file size increase

prevents easily static analysis

hard once, easy afterwards

easily automated to unprotect

still has string encryption (like DexGuard, Allatori) afterwards

not much iteration in the last time, do not knwo if still alive

hacker protection factor 3, no public documentation, but every app is the same

4.3.4 Packers

break static analysis tools, you ahve to do runtime analysis

like UPX, stub application unpacks, decrypts, loads into memory which is normally hidden from static analysis

http://www.fortiguard.com/uploads/general/Area41Public.pdf

https://books.google.de/books?id=ACjUCgAAQBAJ&pg=PA372&lpg=PA372&dq=ijiami+

integrity & source=bl & ots=NTf7YaqJiZ & sig=M5GKDCcQB5dcwXR3hjtIv8pMlAA & hl=de & sa=bl & s

X&ved=OahUKEwjH3umt1b3JAhXGLA8KHYhwDGsQ6AEIMDAC#v=onepage&q=ijiami%20integrity&f=false

https://www.blackhat.com/docs/asia-15/materials/asia-15-Park-We-Can-Still-Crack-You-Gener pdf

https://www.virusbtn.com/conference/vb2014/abstracts/Yu.xml

https://www.virusbtn.com/pdf/conference_slides/2014/Yu-VB2014.pdf

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6vFcEJ2jgOw

integrity&source=bl&ots=NTf7YaqJiZ&sig=M5GKDCcQB5dcwXR3hjtIv8pMlAA&hl=de&sa=

X&ved=OahUKEwjH3umt1b3JAhXGLA8KHYhwDGsQ6AEIMDAC#v=onepage&q=ijiami%20integrity&f=false

concept erklären und dann die beispiele nennen, nicht mehr aktiv/gecracked aber prinzip ist gut

hosedex2jar

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=1776

PoC packer

not available for real use

appears defunct

near zero ITW samples

mimics dexception attack from dex education 101

FUNCTION

encrypts and injects dexfile into dex header (deception)

very easy to spot

very easy to decrypt, just use dex2jar

static analysis does not work since it sees the encrypted file

on execution loader stub decrypts in memory and dumps to file system

loader stub acts as proxy and passes events to the dex file on system using a dexClass-

Loader
RESULT
simple PoC
slight file size increase
attempts to prevent static analysis - kind of works
lots of crashing
easily automated to unpack
easy to reverse, good for learning
hacker protection factor 0.5

Pangxie

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=1982 anti-debug anti-tamper appears to be defunct product little usage/samples ITW FUNCTION

https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jgOw?t=2040 encrypts dex file and bundles as asset in APK very easy to find, logcat has to much information dalvik calls INI layer to verify and decrypt easy to reverse, both dalvik and native, excellent for beginners to Android and packers aes used only for digest verification easily automated, 0x54 always the key or dynamically grab app_dex folder slightly increase file size prevents static analysis - though easy to identify uses static 1 byte key for encryption easily automated to unpack very easy to reverse, good for learning good example of an unobfuscated packer stub for cloning hacker protection faktor 1.5 only working till <4.4

simple packer, increase encryption with key, do not just dump on filesystem

4.3.5 BANGCLE

anti-debugging

anti-tamper

anti-decompilation

anti-runtime injection

online only service, apk checked for malware

detected by some anti virus due to malware

cost 10k

no one has done it before...

stopped working on 4.4

FUNCTION

dalvik execution talks launched INI

JNI launches secondary process

chatter over PTRACE between the two processes

newest process decrypts dex into memory

original dalvik code proxies everything to the decrypted dex

RESULT

well written, lots of anti-* tricks

seems to be well supported and active on development

does a decent job on online screening - no tool released for download (though things clearly to slip through)

not impossible to reverse and re-bundle packages

current weakness (for easy runtime unpacking) is having a predictable unpacked memory location

hacker protect faktor 5

probably best tool out there but lag when updating since online approval

4.4 External Improvements

4.4.1 Service-managed Accounts

https://youtu.be/TNnccRimhsI?t=1636

check on server what content should be returned or logic on server

kann man einen lagorithus haben um rauszufinden was man auslagern kann?

if not possible remote code loading

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rSH6dnUTDZo was ist dann geschützt? content, servers, time constrained urls, obfuscation by using reflection combined with SE -> makes slow but no static analysis

very very slow, e.g 10kHz so no big calculations possible 250bytes, 200ms

http://amies-2014.international-symposium.org/proceedings_2014/Kannengiesser_Baumgarten_Song_AmiEs_2014_Paper.pdf

4.4.2 ART

art hat masschinen coed wenn reengineerbar dann nicht gut

4.4.3 Secure Elements

new section trusted execution environment trusttronic letzte conference samsung knox –>gelten eher sicher

5 Evaluation

Evaluation der vorgeschlagenen punkte mit pro cons und umsetzbarkeit

http://forum.xda-developers.com/showthread.php?t=2279813

5.1 Tampering Protection

just as easy to crack as LVL when you know the code evtl create native versions because harder to crack

5.1.1 Prevent Debuggability

5.1.2 Root Detection

5.1.3 LuckyPatcher Detection

```
already in some roms
```

https://www.reddit.com/r/Piracy/comments/3gmxun/new_way_to_disable_the_antipiracy_setting_on/

https://github.com/AlmightyMegadeth00/AntiPiracySupport

https://www.reddit.com/r/Piracy/comments/3eo8sj/antipiracy_measures_on_android_custom_roms/

example roms http://www.htcmania.com/archive/index.php/t-1049040.html

https://forums.oneplus.net/threads/patch-disable-the-antipiracy-feature-on-all-roms-sur334772/

5.1.4 Sideload Detection

5.1.5 Signature Check

maps checks for signature?

http://stackoverflow.com/questions/13582869/does-lucky-patcher-resign-the-app-it-patches-

https://developers.google.com/android/guides/http-authhttp://forum.xda-developers.com/showthread.php?t=2279813&page=5 generell self signing, kann genauso geskippt werden dann remote wenn einmal geladen -> skippen und geladene datei als custom patch nachschieben

5.1.6 Remote Verification and Code nachladen

trotzdem doof wenn einmal geladen kann man das file extrahieren etc

5.2 Prevent Reengineering

5.2.1 Basic Breaks for Common Tools

Filesystem

Inject bad OPcode

Throw exceptions which are different in dalvik than in java

Increase headersize

Endian Tag?

5.2.2 Optimizors and Obfuscators

Relfection

Proguard

Dexguard

Allatori

Dexprotector

5.2.3 Protectors

APKprotect

5.2.4 Packers

already cracked https://www.google.de/search?q=hosedex2jar&oq=hosedex2jar&aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60j69i59j69i60l3.1680j0j7&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=91&ie=UTF-8http://www.hotforsecurity.com/blog/mobile-app-development-company-fights-off-android-malw

html

hosedex2jar

Pangxie

5.2.5 BANGCLE

5.3 External Improvements

sis is text

5.3.1 Service-managed Accounts

5.3.2 ART

art hat oat files aber die haben dex files

5.3.3 Secure Elements

new section trusted execution environment trusttronic letzte conference samsung knox –>gelten eher sicher

6 Conclusion

auch wichtig weil wenn crackable dann upload zu stores und dann malware http://www.hotforsecurity.com/blog/mobile-app-development-company-fights-off-android-malware-with-obfuscation-tool-3717.html

6.1 Summary

sis is text alles hilft gegen lucky patcher auf den ersten blick, jedoch custom patches können es einfach umgehen -> deswegen hilft nur reengineering schwerer zu machen every new layer is another complexity

6.2 Discussion

sis is text http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-lulling-yo-What Google should have really done

http://programmers.stackexchange.com/questions/267981/should-i-spend-time-preventing-pirace You are asking the wrong question. Technical safeguards such as proguard are a must but are trying to solve the problem the hard way.

content driven http://stackoverflow.com/questions/10585961/way-to-protect-from-lucky-patcher google sagt http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/09/securing-android-lvl-applications.html

6.3 Future Work

art?
smart cards
google vault
all papers with malware and copyright protection is interesting since they also want to

hide their code

List of Figures

List of Tables