



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

**Analysis of Android Cracking Tools and
Investigations in Counter Measurements
for Developers**

Johannes Neutze, B. Sc.





DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

**Analysis of Android Cracking Tools and Investigations in
Counter Measurements for Developers**

**Analyse von Android Crackingtools und Untersuchung
geeigneter Gegenmaßnahmen für Entwickler**

Author:	Johannes Neutze, B. Sc.
Supervisor:	Prof. Dr. Uwe Baumgarten
Advisor:	Nils Kannengießer, M. Sc.
Submission Date:	March 15, 2015



I confirm that this master's thesis in informatics is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, March 15, 2015

Johannes Neutze, B. Sc.

Acknowledgments

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Assumptions

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Abstract

<http://users.ece.cmu.edu/~koopman/essays/abstract.html> Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consetetur sadipscing elitr, sed diam nonumy eirmod tempor invidunt ut labore et dolore magna aliquyam erat, sed diam voluptua. At vero eos et accusam et justo duo dolores et ea rebum. Stet clita kasd gubergren, no sea takimata sanctus est Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

Contents

Acknowledgments	iii
Assumptions	iv
Abstract	v
Glossary	1
Acronyms	2
1 Introduction	3
1.1 Licensing	3
1.2 Motivation	3
1.3 Related Work	4
2 Foundation	5
2.1 Software Piracy	5
2.1.1 Overview	5
2.1.2 Threat to Developers	5
2.1.3 Risks to Users	5
2.1.4 Piracy on Android	6
2.2 Android	6
2.2.1 Introduction	6
2.2.2 Evolution of the Android Compiler	6
2.2.3 Build Process of Android Applications	6
2.2.4 Original Copy Protection	6
2.2.5 Root on Android	7
2.3 License Verification Libraries	7
2.3.1 Amazon	7
2.3.2 Google	8
2.3.3 Samsung	9
2.4 Analysis	9

3	Cracking Android Applications with LuckyPatcher	14
3.1	What is LuckyPatcher and what is it used for?	14
3.2	Modus Operandi	14
3.3	What are Patching Modi are there and what do they do?	15
3.4	What patterns are there and what do they do?	15
3.5	Learnings from LuckyPatcher	15
4	Counter Measurements for Developers	16
4.1	Tampering Protection	16
4.1.1	Prevent Debuggability	17
4.1.2	Root Detection	17
4.1.3	LuckyPatcher Detection	18
4.1.4	Sideload Detection	20
4.1.5	Signature	21
4.2	LVL Modifications	22
4.2.1	Modify the Library	22
4.2.2	Checken ob ganzer code abläuft und dann nacheinander elemente aktivieren	22
4.2.3	dynamische Codegeneration	23
4.3	Preventing Reengineering	23
4.3.1	Basic Breaks for Common Tools	23
4.3.2	Optimizors and Obfuscators	25
4.3.3	Protectors and Packers	28
4.4	External Improvements	32
4.4.1	Service-managed Accounts	32
4.4.2	ART	33
4.4.3	Secure Elements	33
5	Evaluation of Counter Measurements	34
5.1	Tampering Protection	34
5.1.1	Prevent Debuggability	34
5.1.2	Root Detection	34
5.1.3	LuckyPatcher Detection	34
5.1.4	Sideload Detection	34
5.1.5	Signature Check	34
5.1.6	Remote Verification and Code nachladen	35
5.2	LVL Modifications	35
5.3	Prevent Reengineering	35
5.3.1	Packers	35

Contents

5.4	External Improvements	35
5.4.1	Service-managed Accounts	35
5.4.2	ART	35
5.4.3	Secure Elements	35
6	Conclusion	36
6.1	Summary	36
6.2	Discussion	36
6.3	Future Work	36
	List of Figures	38
	List of Tables	39
	Bibliography	40
	List of Code Snippets	41

Glossary

.class Java Byte Code produced by the Java compiler from a .java file.

.dex Dalvik Byte Code file, translated from the Java bytecode. Dalvik Executables are designed to run on system with memory or processor constraints. For example, the .dex file of the Phone application is inside the system/app/Phone.apk.

.odex Optimized Dalvik Byte Code file are Dalvik Executables optimized for the current device the application is running on. For example, the .odex file of the Phone application is system/app/Phone.odex.

ADB The Android Debug Bridge is a command-line application providing different debugging tools.

APK An Android Application Package is the file format used for distributing and installing applications on the Android operating system. It contains the applications assets, code (.dex file), manifest and resources.

assembler .

disassembler .

Acronyms

.dex Dalvik EXecutable file.

.odex Optimized Dalvik EXecutable file.

ADB Android Debug Bridge.

APK Android Application Package.

SDK Software Development Kit.

TUM Technische Universität München.

1 Introduction

sis is the introduction

1.1 Licensing

Was ist licensing?

Ziele von Licensing

was für möglichkeiten gibt es (lvl, amazon, samsung)

1.2 Motivation

Piracy

lose money from sale/IAP

lose ad revenues

others earn the money - ad ID replacement

no control at all when cracked and in other markets -> no fixes/updates (<https://youtu.be/TNnccRimhsI?t=>

for user: when downloading pirated apk, no idea what they changed (malware, stealing data, privacy, permissions)

wont notice any difference since in background

unpredicted traffic for your server, be prepared to block pirated traffic

cracking can lead to bad user experience, e.g. copied apps, mostly for paid apps

awesome algorithms can be stolen

similar problems with inapp billing

best way to counter: license verification libraries

encryption can be dumped from memory

generell piracy!!!

enthält als Abschluss SCOPE

1.3 Related Work

related work

2 Foundation

Before discussing the counter measurements, necessary basics have to be explained. This includes piracy in general as well as its relationship with Android.

2.1 Software Piracy

asdasdas <http://www.xda-developers.com/piracy-testimonies-causes-and-prevention/>

2.1.1 Overview

Definition of Software Piracy?

History of Software Piracy

Forms of Software Piracy

Release Groups, blackmarket, app beispiele, foren etc

2.1.2 Threat to Developers

scahden für entwickler (ad id klau,)

2.1.3 Risks to Users

malware, bad user experience

It is not unlikely for a malware developer to abuse existing applications by injection of malicious functionalities and consequent redistribution of the trojanized versions C.A.

CastilloandMobileSecurityWorkingGroupMcAfee,\T1\textquotedblleftAndroidmalwarepast, present,andfuture,\T1\textquotedblright2011.

2.1.4 Piracy on Android

Poor model, since self-signed certificates are allowed, 27 <http://newandroidbook.com/files/Andevcon-Sec.pdf>

<http://www.fiercedeveloper.com/story/preventing-android-applications-piracy-possible-requirements>

2012-08-14 piracy umfrage on android

übergehen zu wie android funktioniert und warum es dort piracy gibt

2.2 Android

flow wie funktioniert android und warum ist es so einfach zu piraten

https://net.cs.uni-bonn.de/fileadmin/user_upload/plohmann/2012-Schulz-Code_Protection_in_Android.pdf

2.2.1 Introduction

What is Android? Where is it used? When was it founded? Who does it belong to?

platform developed by the "Android Open Source Project" -> official website?
currently one of the main operating systems for mobile devices -> quelle
focussed on simplicity for users, install of apps etc -> quelle
riesiger markt

2.2.2 Evolution of the Android Compiler

2.2.3 Build Process of Android Applications

für 4.1 erklären, bild <http://developer.android.com/tools/building/index.html>

2.2.4 Original Copy Protection

It replaces the old system copy protection system, wherein your APKs would be put in a folder that you can't access. Unless you root. Oh, and anyone who can copy that APK off can then give it to someone else to put on their device, too. It was so weak, it was almost non-existent.

kann mit root umgangen werden

2.2.5 Root on Android

what is it? how is it achieved? what can i do with it? (good/bad sides)

Android insecure, can be rooted and get apk file <http://androidvulnerabilities.org/all> search for root

2.3 License Verification Libraries

spreading causes giant market (zahlen) -> google play with big financial value (zahlen)
je größer der markt desto attraktiver für cracker da auch mehr leute die app ggfs
gecracked runterladen würden (genauere argumente)

deswegen auch gesteigertes interesse bei developern ihre app und IP zu schützen
da wie oben gesehen die original copyprotection ausgehebelt ist, muss etwas neues her
auch für den markt leiter sit es interessant

This chapter contains the LVL which will be looked at

What is a lvl? why are they used? connection to store

<http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-lulling-you-into-a>
dependent on store

major players have own stores and thus own lvl

Since the original approach of subsection 2.2.4 was voided, another method had to be
introduced. First looks great, puts the copy protection inside the app, a from of DRM
communicate with server, authorize use of application

does not prevent user from copying/transferring app, but copy useless since the app
does run without the correct account

google die ersten, andere folgen, anfangs problem, dass dadurch nur durch google
store geschützt war, grund dafür dass evtl ein programmierer in meinen store kommt

2.3.1 Amazon

amazon drm kiwi

Implementation

done by amazon packaging tool

release <http://www.androidheadlines.com/2010/10/amazon-send-developers-a-welcome-package.html>

<https://developer.amazon.com/public/support/submitting-your-app/tech-docs/submitting-your-app>

Functional Principle

sis is text was sind voraussetzungen? amazon app, account active der die app hat

Example

anhand eigener app

2.3.2 Google

License Verification Library

<http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-lulling-you-into-a-related> <https://developers.google.com/games/services/android/antipiracy>
<http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/09/securing-android-lvl-applications.html>

<http://developer.android.com/google/play/licensing/overview.html>

problem <http://daniel-codes.blogspot.de/2010/10/true-problem-with-googles-license.html>

The LVL library only works on apps sold through Google's Android Market Release

<http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/07/licensing-service-for-android.html>

Implementation

sis is text

Functional Principle

how does google license check work <http://android.stackexchange.com/questions/22545/how-does-google-plays-market-license-check-work>

sis is text

was sind voraussetzungen? googel acc auf dem smartphone welcher die app gekauft hat

bild <http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/07/licensing-service-for-android.html>

Example

anhand eigener app

2.3.3 Samsung

Zirconia <http://developer.samsung.com/technical-doc/view.do?v=T000000062L>

Implementation

sis is text <http://developer.samsung.com/technical-doc/view.do?v=T000000062L>

Functional Principle

sis is text

was sind voraussetzungen?

Example

anhand eigener app

<http://developer.samsung.com/technical-doc/view.do?v=T000000062L>

2.4 Analysis

The Cracking Tool has to alter an application's behaviour by applying patches only to the Android Application Package (APK) file, since it is the only source of code on the phone. This is the reason for the investigations to start with analysing the APK. This is done using static analysis tools. The aim is to get an accurate overview of how the circumventing of the license verification mechanism is achieved. This knowledge is later used to find counter measurements to prevent the specific Cracking Tool from succeeding.

The reengineering has to be done by using different layers of abstraction. The first reason is because it is very difficult to conclude from the altered bytecode, which is not human-readable, to the new behaviour of the application. The second reason is because the changes in the Java code are interpreted by the decompiler, which might not reflect the exact behaviour of the code or even worse, cannot be translated at all.

These problems are encountered by analysing the different abstraction levels of code as well as different decompilers.

was ist reengineering? wie funktioniert es? was ist das ziel?
reverse engineering process makes use of a whole range of different analysis methodologies and tools.
only consider static analysis tools

IN ORDER TO GET FULL OVERVIEW DEX/SMALI/JAVA -> WARUM?

WAS MACHEN DIE TOOLS IM ALLGEMEINEN? WOZU BENUTZEN WIR SIE?

<https://mobilesecuritywiki.com/>
https://net.cs.uni-bonn.de/fileadmin/user_upload/plohmann/2012-Schulz-Code_Protection_in_Android.pdf
main tools

Getting an APK

In the following there will be an example application to generalise the procedure. The application is called `LicenseTest` and has for our purpose a license verification library included (Amazon, Google or Samsung).

In order to analyse an APK, it has to be pulled from the Android device onto the computer. First the package name of the app has to be found out. This can be done by using the Android Debug Bridge (ADB). Entering example 1 returned example 2

```
adb shell pm list packages -f1 example 2 enthält return von 1  
adb pull /data/app/me.neutze.licensetest-1/ /Downloads
```

Dex

mein custom script erklären
jedes tool:
woher kommt es?
wozu wurde es erfunden?
wer hat es erfunden? quelle
blabla von der seite
wozu benutze ich es?
welches abstrahierungslevel
beispiel

wo findet man es?
welches level?
vorteil
blabla aus dem internet

a6 8e 15 00 bd 8e 15 00 d5 8e 15 00 f0 8e 15 00

Code Snippet 2.1: Quelle

Smali Code

<https://github.com/JesusFreke/smali>

Smali code is the generated by disassembling Dalvik bytecode using baksmali. The result is a human-readable, assambler-like code

The smali [7] program is an assemblerhas own disassembler called "baksmali"

selbe wie dex, jedoch human readable, no big difference

wo findet man es?
wie ist es erstellt?
informationsverlust?
vorteil
blabla aus dem internet

jedes tool:
woher kommt es?
wozu wurde es erfunden?
wer hat es erfunden? quelle
blabla von der seite
wozu benutze ich es?
welches abstrahierungslevel
beispiel

wo findet man es?
welches level?
vorteil
blabla aus dem internet

Java

Since interpretations same
deswegen zwei compiler
unterschiedliche interpretation resultiert in flow und auch ob sie können ist unterschiedlich

ectf unterschiede/vor-nachteile

Androguard

<https://github.com/androguard/androguard>

jedes tool:

woher kommt es?

wozu wurde es erfunden?

wer hat es erfunden? quelle

blabla von der seite

wozu benutze ich es?

welches abstrahierungslevel

beispiel

jadx

<https://github.com/skylot/jadx>

jedes tool:

woher kommt es?

wozu wurde es erfunden?

wer hat es erfunden? quelle

blabla von der seite

wozu benutze ich es?

welches abstrahierungslevel

beispiel

Comparison of Code

needed to see differences before and after cracking tool

diff is used

<https://wiki.ubuntuusers.de/diff>

- N: Treat absent files as empty; Allows the patch create and remove files.
 - a: Treat all files as text; Allows the patch update non-text (aka: binary) files.
 - u: Set the default 3 lines of unified context; This generates useful time stamps and context.
 - r: Recursively compare any subdirectories found; Allows the patch to update subdirectories.
- script erklären

wo findet man es?
welches level?
vorteil
blabla aus dem internet

3 Cracking Android Applications with LuckyPatcher

There are plenty of applications which can be used to modify Android apps. This thesis focuses on the on device cracking application LuckyPatcher, especially on its license verification bypassing mechanism.

3.1 What is LuckyPatcher and what is it used for?

wer hat ihn geschrieben?
auf welcher version basiere ich
su nicht vergessen
was kann er alles
was schauen wir uns an?

LuckyPatcher is described as following on the official webpage: "Lucky Patcher is a great Android tool to remove ads, modify apps permissions, backup and restore apps, bypass premium applications license verification, and more. To use all features, you need a rooted device." [1]

install apk from playstore -> have root -> open lucky -> chose mode

LuckyPatcher is an Android Cracking Tool which can be downloaded at <http://lucky-patcher.netbew.com/>.

similar cracking tools:
or manual: decompile and edit what ever you want

3.2 Modus Operandi

wo arbeitet er?
warum dex und nicht odex anschauen?
patterns und patching modes grob erklären (modi von luckypatcher die verschiedene

operationen (pattern) auf app anwenden) => vorgehensweise zur

Since the code is modified directly a static analysis is sufficient.

UM ES EINFACHER ZU MACHEN, KEINE ODEX (WARUM), APK CREATEN UND AUF EINEM NORMALEN HANDY INSTALLIEREN(dann sieht man dass man die app wem anders gecracked geben kann - ringschluss blackmarket)

3.3 What are Patching Modi are there and what do they do?

kombination von patterns.

welche modes gibt es? welche patterns benutzen sie?

welche apps getestet und welche results?

3.4 What patterns are there and what do they do?

was greift jedes pattern an? wie wird der mechanismus ausgeklingt? was ist das result?

3.5 Learnings from LuckyPatcher

was fällt damit weg?

erklären warum (2) 5.1.2 Opaque predicates zb nicht geht, da auf dex ebene einfach austauschbar

simple obfuscation for strings? x -> string (damit name egal)

4 Counter Measurements for Developers

Now that the functionality of LuckyPatcher is analyzed, it is time to investigate in possible solutions for developers. Counter measurements preventing the cracking app from circumventing the license check mechanism are addressed in four different ways. The first chapter covers functions to discover preconditions in the environment cracking apps use to discover weaknesses or need to be functional. The second chapter uses the acquired knowledge about LuckyPatcher to modify the code resulting in the patching being unsuccessful. In the third chapter presents methods to prevent the reengineering of the developer's application and thus the creation of custom cracks. Further hardware and external measurements are explained in the fourth chapter.

general suggestions by google <http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/09/securing-android-lvl-applications.html>

4.1 Tampering Protection

Environment and Integrity Checks, wenn die umgebung falsch ist, kann die app verändert werden. deswegen von vornherein ausschließen, dass die bedingungen dafür gegeben sind.

siehe masterarbeit 2

mechanisms should work for amazon/lvl/samsung -> beweis! (amazon die signature den die seite vorgibt?)

force close im falle von falschem outcome, entspricht nicht android qualität <http://developer.android.com/distribute/essentials/quality/core.html> aber so wird es dem user klarer dass seine application gecracked ist. harmlosere variante dialog anzeigen oder element nicht laden.

es gibt verschieden punkte um die integrity der application sicherzustellen. dies beinhaltet die umgebung debugg oder rootzugriff, die suche nach feindliche installierte applicationen oder checks nach der rechtmäßigen installation und rechtmäßigen code.

4.1.1 Prevent Debuggability

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

der debug modus kann dem angreifer informationen/logs über die application geben während diese läuft, aus diesen informationen können erkenntnisse über die funktionsebene geben die für einen angriff/modifikation gewonnen werden können. aus diesen informationen können dann patches für software wie lucky patcher entwickelt werden, da man die anzugreifenden stellen bereits kennt. kann erzwungen werden indem man das debug flag setzt (wo ist es, wie kann es gesetzt werden) um dies zu verhindern kann gecheckt werden ob dieses flag forciert wird und gegebenenfalls das laufen der application unterbinden

```
14 public static boolean isDebuggable(Context context) {
15     boolean debuggable = (0 != (context.getApplicationInfo().flags & ApplicationInfo.
        FLAG_DEBUGGABLE));
16
17     if (debuggable) {
18         android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
19     }
20
21     return debuggable;
22 }
```

Code Snippet 4.1: asd[1]

Code SNippet /refCode Snippet: luckycode zeigt eine funktion die auf den debug modus prüft. Dazu werden zuerst in zeile 15 die appinfo auf das debug flag überprüft. ist dieses vorhanden, ist die variable debuggable true. in diesem fall wird dann die geschlossen

4.1.2 Root Detection

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

<http://stackoverflow.com/questions/10585961/way-to-protect-from-lucky-patcher-play-licen>

```
16 public static boolean findBinary(Context context, final String binaryName) {
17     boolean result = false;
```

```
18     String[] places = {
19         "/sbin/",
20         "/system/bin/",
21         "/system/sbin/",
22         "/data/local/sbin/",
23         "/data/local/bin/",
24         "/system/sd/sbin/",
25         "/system/bin/failsafe/",
26         "/data/local/"
27     };
28
29     for (final String where : places) {
30         if (new File(where + binaryName).exists()) {
31             result = true;
32             android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
33         }
34     }
35
36     return result;
37 }
```

Code Snippet 4.2: Partial Listing

SafetyNet provides services for analyzing the configuration of a particular device, to make sure that apps function properly on a particular device and that users have a great experience. <https://developer.android.com/training/safetynet/index.html>
Checking device compatibility with safetynet
Unlocked bootloader doesn't matter. Can't have root installed initially. Has to be a stock / signed ROM. https://www.reddit.com/r/Android/comments/3kly2z/checking_device_compatibility_with_safetynet/

4.1.3 LuckyPatcher Detection

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

As the example shows, this check is not only a solution to prevent the application from running when LuckyPatcher is present on the device. The screening can be expanded to check for the installation of any other application, like black market apps or other cracking tools as the code example Code Example 4.5 shows.

<http://stackoverflow.com/questions/13445598/lucky-patcher-how-can-i-protect-from-it>
<http://android-onex.blogspot.de/2015/07/anti-piracy-software-activated-solved.html>

```

9  public static boolean checkInstall(final Context context) {
10     boolean result = false;
11     String[] luckypatcher = new String[]{
12         // Lucky patcher
13         "com.dimonvideo.luckypatcher",
14         // Another lucky patcher
15         "com.chelpus.lackypatch",
16         // Black Mart alpha
17         "com.blackmartalpha",
18         // Black Mart
19         "org.blackmart.market",
20         // Lucky patcher 5.6.8
21         "com.android.vending.billing.InAppBillingService.LUCK",
22         // Freedom
23         "cc.madkite.freedom",
24         // All-in-one Downloader
25         "com.allinone.free",
26         // Get Apk Market
27         "com.repodroid.app",
28         // CreeHack
29         "org.creepays.hack",
30         // Game Hacker
31         "com.baseappfull.fwd"
32     };
33
34     for (String string : luckypatcher) {
35         if(checkInstallerName(context, string)){
36             result = true;
37         }
38
39         if (result) {
40             android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
41         }
42     }
43
44     return result;
45 }
46
47 private static boolean checkInstallerName(Context context, String string) {
48     PackageInfo info;
49     boolean result = false;
50
51     try {
52         info = context.getPackageManager().getPackageInfo(string, 0);
53
54         if (info != null) {
55             android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());

```

```
56         result = true;
57     }
58
59     } catch (final PackageManager.NameNotFoundException ignored) {
60     }
61
62     if (result) {
63         android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
64     }
65     return result;
66 }
67 }
```

Code Snippet 4.3: Partial Listing

4.1.4 Sideload Detection

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

<http://stackoverflow.com/questions/10809438/how-to-know-an-application-is-installed-from>

```
15 public class Sideload {
16     private static final String PLAYSTORE_ID = "com.android.vending";
17     private static final String AMAZON_ID = "com.amazon.venezia";
18     private static final String SAMSUNG_ID = "com.sec.android.app.samsungapps";
19
20     public static boolean verifyInstaller(final Context context) {
21         boolean result = false;
22         final String installer = context.getPackageManager().getInstallerPackageName(context.
                getPackageName());
23
24         if (installer != null) {
25             if (installer.startsWith(PLAYSTORE_ID)) {
26                 result = true;
27             }
28             if (installer.startsWith(AMAZON_ID)) {
29                 result = true;
30             }
31             if (installer.startsWith(SAMSUNG_ID)) {
32                 result = true;
33             }
34         }
35         if (!result) {
36             android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
37         }
38     }
39 }
```

```
38
39     return result;
40 }
```

Code Snippet 4.4: Partial Listing

4.1.5 Signature

<http://developer.android.com/tools/publishing/app-signing.html>

<http://forum.xda-developers.com/showthread.php?t=2279813&page=5>

CONTRA

Local Signature Check

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

local check whether signature is allowed
once in code
save to use signature in code?

```
51 public static boolean checkAppSignature(final Context context) {
52     //Signature used to sign the application
53     static final String mySignature = "...";
54     boolean result = false;
55
56     try {
57         final PackageInfo packageInfo = context.getPackageManager().getPackageInfo(context.
58             getPackageName(), PackageManager.GET_SIGNATURES);
59
60         for (final Signature signature : packageInfo.signatures) {
61             final String currentSignature = signature.toCharsString();
62             if (mySignature.equals(currentSignature)) {
63                 result = true;
64             }
65         }
66     } catch (final Exception e) {
67         android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
68     }
69     if (!result) {
```

```
70         android.os.Process.killProcess(android.os.Process.myPid());
71     }
72
73     return result;
74 }
```

Code Snippet 4.5: Partial Listing

Remote Signature Verification and Remote Code Loading

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

certificate an server, get signature and send to server
content direkt von server laden (e.g. all descriptions, not sure if dex possible)
maps checks for signature?
e.g. account auf seite erstellen, encrypted dex ziehen der von loader stub geladen wird
(like packer) kann wiederum dann gezogen werden und dann als custom patch verteilt werden

4.2 LVL Modifications

siehe masterarbeit 2 <http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-1>
What can I do?

Google's License Verification Library is modified in a way

4.2.1 Modify the Library

google

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

4.2.2 Checken ob ganzer code abläuft und dann nacheinander elemente aktivieren

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

master1 - testen

damit die ganzen blöcke durchlaufen werden müssen

4.2.3 dynamische Codegeneration

WAS IST DIE IDEE DAHINTER? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

4.3 Preventing Reengineering

Application developers are interested in protecting their applications. Protection in this case means that it should be hard to understand what an application is doing and how its functionalities are implemented.

Reverse engineering of Android applications is much easier than on other architectures -> high level but simple bytecode language

Obfuscation techniques protect intellectual property of software/license verification

possible code obfuscation methods on the Android platform focus on obfuscating Dalvik bytecode -> limitations of current reverse engineering tools

<https://blog.fortinet.com/post/how-android-malware-hides>
<http://www.hotforsecurity.com/blog/mobile-app-development-company-fights-off-android-malware.html>

4.3.1 Basic Breaks for Common Tools

pros and cons sagen?

<https://github.com/strazzere/APKfuscator>

<http://www.strazzere.com/papers/DexEducation-PracticingSafeDex.pdf>

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=811>

Filesystem

make classname to long

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=985> works except for the class

breaks only baksmali

Inject bad OPcode or Junkbytes

JUNKBYTES

use bad opcode in deadcode

code runs but breaks tools

put it into a class you do not use -> care proguard, it will not use it since it is not included

-> fixed...

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1163>

reference not inited strings

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1459>

Throw exceptions which are different in dalvik than in java

recursive try/catch? -> valid dalvik code

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1650>

Increase headersize

you have to edit every other offset as well

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1890>

dexception, dex within a dex by shifting

this is a packer/encrypter

slowdown automatic tools

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=1950>

Endian Tag

reverse endian

breaks tools works on device (odex)

lot work for little gain

<https://youtu.be/Rv8DfXNYnOI?t=2149>

4.3.2 Optimizers and Obfuscators

Obfuscators/Optimizers definition

remove dead/debug code

potentially encrypt/obfuscate/hide via reflection

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=243>

definition obfuscation, was macht es, wie funktioniert es, wer hat es erfunden, wie wendet man es an

"hard to reverse engineer" but without changing the behavior of this application, was heißt hard to reverse

Obfuscation cannot prevent reverse engineering but can make it harder and more time consuming. We will discuss which obfuscation and code protection methods are applicable under Android and show limitations of current reverse engineering tools

The following optimizers/obfuscators are common tools. (dadrin dann verbreitung preis etc erklären)

Proguard

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=419>

WER HAT ES HERGESTELLT? WAS IST ES? WAS SIND DIE FEATURES? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

ProGuard is an open source tool which is also integrated in the Android SDK <http://proguard.sourceforge.net/> <http://developer.android.com/sdk/index.html>
was ist proguard? was macht er? -> ProGuard is basically a Java obfuscator but can also be used for Android applications because they are usually written in Java // feature set includes identifier obfuscation for packages, classes, methods, and fields
was kann er noch? -> Besides these protection mechanisms it can also identify and highlight dead code so it can be removed in a second, manual step. Unused classes can be removed automatically by ProGuard.
easy integration -> how

<http://developer.android.com/tools/help/proguard.html>

optimizes, shrinks, (barely) obfuscates -> free, reduces size, faster

gutes bild <https://youtu.be/TNnccRimhsI?t=1360>

removes unnecessary/unused code

merges identical code blocks

performs optimizations

removes debug information

renames objects

restructures code

removes linenumbers -> stacktrace annoying

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=470>

->hacker factor 0

does not really help

googles commentar <http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/09/proguard-android-and-licens.html>

eine art result bzw zusammenfassung -> Without proper naming of classes and methods it is much harder to reverse engineer an application, because in most cases the identifier enables an analyst to directly guess the purpose of the particular part. The program code itself will not be changed heavily, so the obfuscation by this tool is very limited.

Dexguard

WER HAT ES HERGESTELLT? WAS IST ES? WAS SIND DIE FEATURES? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

master2

OVERVIEW

son of proguard

the standard protection

optimizer

shrinekr

obfuscator/encrypter, does not stop reverse engineering

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=643>

WHAT DOES IT DO

everything that proguard does

automatic reflection

string encryption

asset/library encryption
class encryption(packign)
applciation tamper protection
file->automatic reflection->string encryption->file
<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=745>
class encryption= packer, unpackers do it most of the time in few seconds, aber aufwand
auf handy, nicht so einfach wie pattern in luckypatcher
CONS
may increase dex size, memory size; decrease speed
removes debug information
string, etc encryption
best feature: automatic reflection with string encryption
reversible with moderate effort
hacker protection factor 1

ESULT -> UNTERSCHIED ZU DEN VORHERIGEN -> The obfuscation methods used in Allatori(dexguard) are a superset of ProGuards so it is more powerful but does not prevent an analyst from disassembling an Android application.

Allatori

WER HAT ES HERGESTELLT? WAS IST ES? WAS SIND DIE FEATURES? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

<http://www.allatori.com/clients/index.php>

Allatori is a commercial product from Smardec.
Besides the same obfuscation techniques like ProGuard, shown in section 2.1, Allatori also provides methods to modify the program code. Loop constructions are dissected in a way that reverse engineering tools cannot recognize them. This is an approach to make algorithms less readable and add length to otherwise compact code fragments. Additionally, strings are obfuscated and decoded at runtime. This includes messages and names that are normally human readable and would give good suggestions to analysts.

cannot recognize them. WHAT DOES IT
name obfuscation
control flow flattening/obfuscation

debug info obfuscation

string encryption

RESULT

decreases dex size, memory, increases speed

removes debug code

not much obfuscation

Proguard+string encryption

easily reversed

hacker protection factor 0.5

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w>

https://net.cs.uni-bonn.de/fileadmin/user_upload/plohmann/2012-Schulz-Code_Protection_in_Android.pdf

Allatori [6] is a commercial product from Smardec. Besides the same obfuscation techniques like ProGuard, shown in section 2.1, Allatori also provides methods to modify the program code. Loop constructions are dissected in a way that reverse engineering tools cannot recognize them. This is an approach to make algorithms less readable and add length to otherwise compact code fragments. Additionally, strings are obfuscated and decoded at runtime. This includes messages and names that are normally human readable and would give good suggestions to analysts. The obfuscation methods used in Allatori are a superset of ProGuards so it is more powerful but does not prevent an analyst from disassembling an Android application

Allatori. Allatori obfuscator. Visited: May, 2012. [Online]. Available: <http://www.allatori.com/doc.html>

RESULT -> UNTERSCHIED ZU DEN VORHERIGEN -> The obfuscation methods used in Allatori are a superset of ProGuards so it is more powerful but does not prevent an analyst from disassembling an Android application.

4.3.3 Protectors and Packers

from malware

APKprotect

WER HAT ES HERGESTELLT? WAS IST ES? WAS SIND DIE FEATURES? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

stub fixes broken code which is normally not translated by tools, breaks static analysis
<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=347>

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w>

chinese protector

also known as dexcrypt, appears active but site down, clones might be available

anti-debug, anti-decompile, almost like a packer

string encryption

cost ???

tool mangles code original code

-modifies entrypoint to loader stub

-prevents static analysis

during runtime loader stub is executed

-performs anti-emulation

-performs anti-debugging

-fixes broken code in memory

FUNCTION

dalvik optimizes the dex file into memory ignoring bad parts

upon execution dalvik code initiates, calls the native code

native code fixes odex code in memory

execution continues as normal

RESULT

slight file size increase

prevents easily static analysis

hard once, easy afterwards

easily automated to unprotect

still has string encryption (like DexGuard, Allatori) afterwards

not much iteration in the last time, do not know if still alive

hacker protection factor 3, no public documentation, but every app is the same

Packers

break static analysis tools, you have to do runtime analysis

like UPX, stub application unpacks, decrypts, loads into memory which is normally

hidden from static analysis

<http://www.fortiguard.com/uploads/general/Area41Public.pdf>

[https://books.google.de/books?id=ACjUCgAAQBAJ&pg=PA372&lpg=PA372&dq=ijiami+](https://books.google.de/books?id=ACjUCgAAQBAJ&pg=PA372&lpg=PA372&dq=ijiami+integrity&source=bl&ots=NTf7YaqJiZ&sig=M5GKDCcQB5dcwXR3hjtIv8pM1AA&hl=de&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjH3umt1b3JAhXGLA8KHYhwDGsQ6AEIMDAC#v=onepage&q=ijiami%20integrity&f=false)

[integrity&source=bl&ots=NTf7YaqJiZ&sig=M5GKDCcQB5dcwXR3hjtIv8pM1AA&hl=de&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjH3umt1b3JAhXGLA8KHYhwDGsQ6AEIMDAC#v=onepage&q=ijiami%20integrity&](https://books.google.de/books?id=ACjUCgAAQBAJ&pg=PA372&lpg=PA372&dq=ijiami+integrity&source=bl&ots=NTf7YaqJiZ&sig=M5GKDCcQB5dcwXR3hjtIv8pM1AA&hl=de&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjH3umt1b3JAhXGLA8KHYhwDGsQ6AEIMDAC#v=onepage&q=ijiami%20integrity&f=false)

[f=false](https://books.google.de/books?id=ACjUCgAAQBAJ&pg=PA372&lpg=PA372&dq=ijiami+integrity&source=bl&ots=NTf7YaqJiZ&sig=M5GKDCcQB5dcwXR3hjtIv8pM1AA&hl=de&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjH3umt1b3JAhXGLA8KHYhwDGsQ6AEIMDAC#v=onepage&q=ijiami%20integrity&f=false)

<https://www.blackhat.com/docs/asia-15/materials/asia-15-Park-We-Can-Still-Crack-You-Gener>

pdf

<https://www.virusbtn.com/conference/vb2014/abstracts/Yu.xml>

https://www.virusbtn.com/pdf/conference_slides/2014/Yu-VB2014.pdf

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6vFcEJ2jg0w>

<https://books.google.de/books?id=ACjUCgAAQBAJ&pg=PA372&lpg=PA372&dq=ijiami+integrity&source=bl&ots=NTf7YaqJiZ&sig=M5GKDCcQB5dcwXR3hjtIv8pM1AA&hl=de&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwjH3umt1b3JAhXGLA8KHYhwDGsQ6AEIMDAC#v=onepage&q=ijiami%20integrity&f=false>

concept erklären und dann die beispiele nennen, nicht mehr aktiv/gecracked aber prinzip ist gut

examples for packers are

hosedex2jar

WER HAT ES HERGESTELLT? WAS IST ES? WAS SIND DIE FEATURES? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=1776>

PoC packer

<https://github.com/strazzere/dehoser/>

not available for real use

appears defunct

near zero ITW samples

mimics dexception attack from dex education 101

FUNCTION

encrypts and injects dexfile into dex header (deception)

very easy to spot

very easy to decrypt, just use dex2jar

static analysis does not work since it sees the encrypted file

on execution loader stub decrypts in memory and dumps to file system

loader stub acts as proxy and passes events to the dex file on system using a dexClass-Loader

RESULT

simple PoC

slight file size increase

attempts to prevent static analysis - kind of works

lots of crashing
easily automated to unpack
easy to reverse, good for learning
hacker protection factor 0.5

Pangxie

WER HAT ES HERGESTELLT? WAS IST ES? WAS SIND DIE FEATURES? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=1982>
anti-debug
anti-tamper
appears to be defunct product
little usage/samples ITW
FUNCTION
<https://youtu.be/6vFcEJ2jg0w?t=2040>
encrypts dex file and bundles as asset in APK
very easy to find, logcat has too much information
dalvik calls JNI layer to verify and decrypt
easy to reverse, both dalvik and native, excellent for beginners to Android and packers
aes used only for digest verification
easily automated, 0x54 always the key
or dynamically grab app_dex folder
slightly increase file size
prevents static analysis - though easy to identify
uses static 1 byte key for encryption
easily automated to unpack
very easy to reverse, good for learning
good example of an unobfuscated packer stub for cloning
hacker protection faktor 1.5
only working till <4.4
simple packer, increase encryption with key, do not just dump on filesystem

BANGLE

WER HAT ES HERGESTELLT? WAS IST ES? WAS SIND DIE FEATURES? WIE FUNKTIONIERT ES? WIE WIRD ES IMPLEMENTIERT? WIE SIEHT DAS RESULT

AUS (EXAMPLE BILD)

anti-debugging
anti-tamper
anti-decompilation
anti-runtime injection
online only service, apk checked for malware
detected by some anti virus due to malware
cost 10k
no one has done it before...
stopped working on 4.4
FUNCTION
dalvik execution talks launched JNI
JNI launches secondary process
chatter over PTRACE between the two processes
newest process decrypts dex into memory
original dalvik code proxies everything to the decrypted dex
RESULT
well written, lots of anti-* tricks
seems to be well supported and active on development
does a decent job on online screening - no tool released for download (though things clearly to slip through)
not impossible to reverse and re-bundle packages
current weakness (for easy runtime unpacking) is having a predictable unpacked memory location
hacker protect faktor 5
probably best tool out there but lag when updating since online approval

4.4 External Improvements

4.4.1 Service-managed Accounts

<https://youtu.be/TNnccRimhsI?t=1636>

check on server what content should be returned or logic on server

kann man einen lagorithus haben um rauszufinden was man auslagern kann?

if not possible remote code loading

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rSH6dnUTDZo> was ist dann geschützt? content, servers, time constrained urls, obfuscation by using reflection combined with SE -> makes slow but no static analysis

very very slow, e.g 10kHz so no big calculations possible
250bytes, 200ms

http://amies-2014.international-symposium.org/proceedings_2014/Kannengiesser_Baumgarten_Song_AmiEs_2014_Paper.pdf

4.4.2 ART

art hat masschinen coed

wenn reengineerbar dann nicht gut

warum jetzt noch keine art apps? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Android_Runtime_dex2oat

4.4.3 Secure Elements

new section trusted execution environment trusttronic letzte conference samsung knox
->gelten eher sicher

5 Evaluation of Counter Measurements

Evaluation der vorgeschlagenen punkte mit pro cons und umsetzbarkeit

<http://forum.xda-developers.com/showthread.php?t=2279813>

5.1 Tampering Protection

just as easy to crack as LVL when you know the code
evtl create native versions because harder to crack

5.1.1 Prevent Debuggability

5.1.2 Root Detection

5.1.3 LuckyPatcher Detection

already in some roms

https://www.reddit.com/r/Piracy/comments/3gmxun/new_way_to_disable_the_antipiracy_setting_on/

<https://github.com/AlmightyMegadeth00/AntiPiracySupport>

https://www.reddit.com/r/Piracy/comments/3eo8sj/antipiracy_measures_on_android_custom_roms/

example roms <http://www.htcmania.com/archive/index.php/t-1049040.html>

<https://forums.oneplus.net/threads/patch-disable-the-antipiracy-feature-on-all-roms-sur-334772/>

5.1.4 Sideload Detection

5.1.5 Signature Check

maps checks for signature?

<http://stackoverflow.com/questions/13582869/does-lucky-patcher-resign-the-app-it-patches->

<https://developers.google.com/android/guides/http-auth> <http://forum.xda-developers.com/showthread.php?t=2279813&page=5>

generell

self signing, kann genauso geskippt werden dann remote

wenn einmal geladen -> skippen und geladene datei als custom patch nachschieben

5.1.6 Remote Verification and Code nachladen

trotzdem doof wenn einmal geladen kann man das file extrahieren etc

5.2 LVL Modifications

reengineering kann aushebeln

5.3 Prevent Reengineering

5.3.1 Packers

already cracked https://www.google.de/search?q=hosedex2jar&oq=hosedex2jar&aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60j69i59j69i60l3.1680j0j7&sourceid=chrome&es_sm=91&ie=UTF-8

<http://www.hotforsecurity.com/blog/mobile-app-development-company-fights-off-android-malware>

html

BEISPIELBILDER!!

5.4 External Improvements

sis is text

5.4.1 Service-managed Accounts

5.4.2 ART

art hat oat files aber die haben dex files

5.4.3 Secure Elements

new section trusted execution environment trusttronic letzte conference samsung knox

->gelten eher sicher

6 Conclusion

auch wichtig weil wenn crackable dann upload zu stores und dann malware

<http://www.hotforsecurity.com/blog/mobile-app-development-company-fights-off-android-malware-with-obfuscation-tool-3717.html>

6.1 Summary

sis is text alles hilft gegen lucky patcher auf den ersten blick, jedoch custom patches können es einfach umgehen -> deswegen hilft nur reengineering schwerer zu machen
every new layer is another complexity

6.2 Discussion

sis is text <http://www.digipom.com/how-the-android-license-verification-library-is-lulling-you>

What Google should have really done

<http://programmers.stackexchange.com/questions/267981/should-i-spend-time-preventing-piracy>

You are asking the wrong question. Technical safeguards such as proguard are a must

but are trying to solve the problem the hard way.

content driven <http://stackoverflow.com/questions/10585961/way-to-protect-from-lucky-patcher>

google sagt <http://android-developers.blogspot.de/2010/09/securing-android-lvl-applications.html>

6.3 Future Work

art?

smart cards

google vault

all papers with malware and copyright protection is interesting since they also want to

hide their code

List of Figures

List of Tables

Bibliography

- [1] ChelphuS. *crapler*. URL: <http://web.archive.org/web/20080207010024/http://www.808multimedia.com/winnt/kernel.htm> (visited on 09/30/2010).

List of Code Snippets

2.1	Name, Quelle	11
4.1	asd[1]	17
4.2	Partial Listing	17
4.3	Partial Listing	19
4.4	Partial Listing	20
4.5	Partial Listing	21