



HOGESCHOOL ROTTERDAM / CMI

---

# Functional programming fundamentals

INFSEN02-1  
2015-2016

---

Number of study points: 4 ects  
Course owners: Tony Busker & Giuseppe Maggiore



## Module description

<b>Module name:</b>	Functional programming fundamentals
<b>Module code:</b>	INFSEN02-1
<b>Study points and hours of effort:</b>	<p>This module gives 4 ects, in correspondence with 112 hours:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 X 3 x 6 hours of combined lecture and practical</li> <li>• the rest is self-study</li> </ul>
<b>Examination:</b>	Written examination and practicums (with oral check)
<b>Course structure:</b>	Lectures, self-study, and practicums
<b>Prerequisite knowledge:</b>	all INFDEV courses.
<b>Learning materials:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Book: Friendly F#; authors Giuseppe Maggiore and Giulia Costantini, available from the school library</li> <li>• Book: Learn you a Haskell for a great good; available online for free</li> <li>• Slides: found on N@tschool and on the GitHub repository <a href="https://github.com/hogeschool/INFSEN01-1">github.com/hogeschool/INFSEN01-1</a></li> <li>• exercises and assignments, to be done at home and during the practical part of the lectures (pdf): found on N@tschool and on the GitHub repository <a href="https://github.com/hogeschool/INFDEV-Homework">github.com/hogeschool/INFDEV-Homework</a></li> </ul>
<b>Connected to competences:</b>	realiseren en ontwerpen
<b>Learning objectives:</b>	<p>At the end of the course, the student:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>understands</b> the fundamental semantic difference between functional and imperative programming. (FP VS IMP)</li> <li>• <b>understands</b> reduction strategies such as <math>\rightarrow_{\beta}</math>. (RED)</li> <li>• <b>understands</b> the basics of a functional type system. (TYP)</li> <li>• <b>can program</b> with the typical constructs of a modern functional language. The languages of focus are F# and Haskell. (FP EXT)</li> <li>• <b>can use and build</b> monads at a basic level. (MONADS)</li> </ul>
<b>Course owners:</b>	Tony Busker & Giuseppe Maggiore
<b>Date:</b>	February 8, 2016



## 1 General description

Functional programming and functional programming languages are increasing in popularity for multiple reasons and in multiple ways, to the point that even mainstream languages such as Python, C++, C#, and Java are being extended with more and more functional programming features such as tuples, lambda's, higher order functions, and even monads such as LINQ and async/await. Whole architectures such as the popular map/reduce are strongly inspired by functional programming.

“Java™ developers should learn functional paradigms now, even if they have no immediate plans to move to a functional language such as Scala or Clojure. Over time, all mainstream languages will become more functional” [IBM].

“LISP is worth learning for a different reason — the profound enlightenment experience you will have when you finally get it. That experience will make you a better programmer for the rest of your days, even if you never actually use LISP itself a lot.” – Eric S. Raymond

“SQL, Lisp, and Haskell are the only programming languages that I’ve seen where one spends more time thinking than typing.” – Philip Greenspun

“I do not know if learning Haskell will get you a job. I know it will make you a better software developer.” – Larry O’ Brien

The reason for this growth is to be found in the safe and deep expressive power of functional languages, which are capable of recombining simpler elements into powerful, complex other elements with less space for mistakes and more control in the hands of the programmer. This comes at a fundamental cost: functional languages are structurally different from imperative and object oriented languages, and thus a new mindset is required of the programmer that wishes to enter this new world. Moreover, functional languages often require more thought and planning, and are thus experienced, especially by beginners, as somewhat less flexible and supporting of experimentation.

### 1.1 Relationship with other didactic units

This module completes and perfects the understanding and knowledge of programming that was set up in the preceding INFDEV courses.



## 2 Course program

The course is structured into six lectures. The six lectures take place during the six weeks of the course, but are not necessarily in a one-to-one correspondance with the course weeks. For example, lectures one and two are fairly short and can take place during a single week.

### 2.1 Chapter 1 - foundations (weeks 1, and 2)

#### Topics

- Recap of imperative programming language semantics: the *shared memory* model;
- Functional programming concepts: the lambda calculus and beta reduction;
- Adding static typing: the simply typed lambda calculus;
- Making the language usable: delta rules.

### 2.2 Chapter 2 - practical applications (weeks 3, 4, 5)

#### Topics

- From the lambda calculus to F# (with practical lecture);
- Lazy evaluation;
- From the lambda calculus to Haskell (with practical lecture);
- Advanced constructs: lists (and list comprehensions), records, tuples, discriminated unions;
- Interop with other languages.

### 2.3 Chapter 3 - patterns and practice (weeks 6, 7, 8)

#### Topics

- Traversable entities that self-update;
- Monads introduction;
- Traversable entities that self-update with coroutines (with practical lecture).



### 3 Assessment

The course is tested with two exams: A series of assignments which have to be handed in, but will not be graded offline. There will be an oral/practicum check, which is based on the assignments, and a written exam. The final grade is determined as follows:

```
if exam grade >= 5.0 then practicum-grade else 0
```

**Motivation for grade** A professional software developer is required to be able to program code which is, at the very least, *correct*.

In order to produce correct code, we expect students to show: *i*) a foundation of knowledge about how the semantics of the programming language actually work; *ii*) fluency when actually writing the code.

The quality of the programmer is ultimately determined by his actual code-writing skills, therefore the written exam will contain require you to write code. This ensures that each student is able to show that his work is his own and that he has adequate understanding of its mechanisms.

#### 3.1 Theoretical examination INFSEN02-1

The general shape of an exam for INFSEN02-1 is made up of a short series of highly structured open questions. In each exam the content of the questions will change, but the structure of the questions will remain the same. For the structure (and an example) of the theoretical exam, see the appendix.

#### 3.2 Practical examination INFSEN02-1

There is only one assignment, which is mandatory, and formatively assessed for feedback.

- All assignments are to be uploaded to N@tschool or Classroom in the required space (Inlevermap or assignment);
- Each assignment is designed to assess the students knowledge related to one or more learning goal. If the teacher is unable to assess the students' ability related to the appropriate learning goal based on his work, then no points will be awarded for that part.
- *The teachers still reserves the right to check the practicums handed in by each student, and to use it for further evaluation.*
- The university rules on fraude and plagiarism (Hogeschoolgids art. 11.10 – 11.12) also apply to code;



## Structure of exam INFSEN02-1

The general shape of a theoretical exam for DEV 3 is made up of only two, highly structured open questions.

### 3.2.0.1 Question 1:

**General shape of the question:** *Given the following lambda program, and a series of delta rules, show the beta reductions for this program.*

**Concrete example of question:**

**Program:**

```
1 (((TRUE ∧ TRUE) T) F)
```

**Delta rules:**

```
1 TRUE ≡ λt→f→t
2 FALSE ≡ λt→f→f
3 ∧ ≡ λp→q→((p q) p)
```

**Concrete example of answer:**

```
((((TRUE ∧ TRUE) T) F)
((( ( ∧ TRUE) TRUE) T) F)
((((λp→q→((p q) p)) TRUE) TRUE) T) F)
((((λp→q→((p q) p)) TRUE) TRUE) T) F)
((((λp→q→((p q) p)) (λt→f→t)) TRUE) T) F)
((((λp→q→((p q) p)) (λt→f→t)) TRUE) T) F)
((((λp→q→((p q) p)) (λt→f→t)) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
((((λp→q→((p q) p)) (λt→f→t)) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
((((λq→(((λt→f→t) q) (λt→f→t))) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
((((λq→(((λt→f→t) q) (λt→f→t))) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
((((λt→f→t) (λt→f→t)) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
((((λt→f→t) (λt→f→t)) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
((((λf→t→f→t) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
((((λf→t→f→t) (λt→f→t)) T) F)
(((λt→f→t) T) F)
(((λt→f→t) T) F)
(((λt→f→t) T) F)
((λf→T) F)
((λf→T) F)
T
```

**Points:** 4 (50% of total).



**Grading:** *Full points for more all correct steps and result. Half points if correct result is found with some reduction mistakes. Zero points otherwise.*

**Associated learning objective:** understands reduction strategies such as  $\rightarrow_\beta$ . (RED)

### 3.2.0.2 Question 2:

**General shape of question:** *Given the following lambda calculus program, and a series of typing rules, give the full typing derivation for the program.*

**Concrete example of question:**

1 TODO

**Concrete example of answer:**

1 TODO

**Points:** 4 (50% of total).

**Grading:** *Full points for fully correct type derivation. Half points for minor mistakes but correct overall structure. Zero points otherwise.*

**Associated learning objective:** understands the basics of a functional type system. (TYP)







## Appendix 1: Assessment matrix

Learning objective	Dublin descriptors
FP VS IMP	1, 4, 5
RED	1, 2, 4, 5
TYP	1, 4, 5
FP EXT	1, 2
MONADS	1, 2

Dublin-descriptors:

1. Knowledge and understanding
2. Applying knowledge and understanding
3. Making judgments
4. Communication
5. Learning skills