

Answers to Exercises

Section 5.3 38, 41

Section 5.4 46, 51, 55

Section 5.5 58, 70, 73

Section 5-3

38.

- a. Since each X is 0 or 1 or 2, the possible values of T_o are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.
 $P(T_o = 0) = P(X_1 = 0 \text{ and } X_2 = 0) = (.2)(.2) = .04$ since X_1 and X_2 are independent.
 $P(T_o = 1) = P(X_1 = 1 \text{ and } X_2 = 0, \text{ or } X_1 = 0 \text{ and } X_2 = 1) = (.5)(.2) + (.2)(.5) = .20$.
 Similarly, $P(T_o = 2) = .37$, $P(T_o = 3) = .30$, and $P(T_o = 4) = .09$. These values are displayed in the pmf table below.

t_o	0	1	2	3	4
$p(t_o)$.04	.20	.37	.30	.09

- b. $E(T_o) = 0(.04) + 1(.20) + 2(.37) + 3(.30) + 4(.09) = 2.2$. This is exactly twice the population mean: $E(T_o) = 2\mu$.
- c. First, $E(T_o^2) = 0^2(.04) + 1^2(.20) + 2^2(.37) + 3^2(.30) + 4^2(.09) = 5.82$. Then $V(T_o) = 5.82 - (2.2)^2 = .98$. This is exactly twice the population variance: $V(T_o) = 2\sigma^2$.
- d. Assuming the pattern persists (and it does), when $T_o = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4$ we have $E(T_o) = 4\mu = 4(1.1) = 4.4$ and $V(T_o) = 4\sigma^2 = 4(.49) = 1.96$.
- e. The event $\{T_o = 8\}$ occurs iff we encounter 2 lights on all four trips; i.e., $X_i = 2$ for each X_i . So, assuming the X_i are independent,
 $P(T_o = 8) = P(X_1 = 2 \cap X_2 = 2 \cap X_3 = 2 \cap X_4 = 2) = P(X_1 = 2) \cdots P(X_4 = 2) = (.3)^4 = .0081$.
 Similarly, $T_o = 7$ iff exactly three of the X_i are 2 and the remaining X_i is 1. The probability of that event is $P(T_o = 7) = (.3)(.3)(.3)(.5) + (.3)(.3)(.5)(.3) + \dots = 4(.3)^3(.5) = .054$. Therefore, $P(T_o \geq 7) = P(T_o = 7) + P(T_o = 8) = .054 + .0081 = .0621$.

41. The tables below delineate all 16 possible (x_1, x_2) pairs, their probabilities, the value of \bar{x} for that pair, and the value of r for that pair. Probabilities are calculated using the independence of X_1 and X_2 .

(x_1, x_2)	1,1	1,2	1,3	1,4	2,1	2,2	2,3	2,4
probability	.16	.12	.08	.04	.12	.09	.06	.03
\bar{x}	1	1.5	2	2.5	1.5	2	2.5	3
r	0	1	2	3	1	0	1	2

(x_1, x_2)	3,1	3,2	3,3	3,4	4,1	4,2	4,3	4,4
probability	.08	.06	.04	.02	.04	.03	.02	.01
\bar{x}	2	2.5	3	3.5	2.5	3	3.5	4
r	2	1	0	1	3	2	1	2

- a. Collecting the \bar{x} values from the table above yields the pmf table below.

\bar{x}	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4
$p(\bar{x})$.16	.24	.25	.20	.10	.04	.01

- b. $P(\bar{X} \leq 2.5) = .16 + .24 + .25 + .20 = .85$.

- c. Collecting the r values from the table above yields the pmf table below.

r	0	1	2	3
$p(r)$.30	.40	.22	.08

- d. With $n = 4$, there are numerous ways to get a sample average of at most 1.5, since $\bar{X} \leq 1.5$ iff the sum of the X_i is at most 6. Listing out all options, $P(\bar{X} \leq 1.5) = P(1,1,1,1) + P(2,1,1,1) + \dots + P(1,1,1,2) + P(1,1,2,2) + \dots + P(2,2,1,1) + P(3,1,1,1) + \dots + P(1,1,1,3) = (.4)^4 + 4(.4)^3(.3) + 6(.4)^2(.3)^2 + 4(.4)^2(.2)^2 = .2400$.

Section 5-4

46.

- a. The sampling distribution of \bar{X} is centered at $E(\bar{X}) = \mu = 12$ cm, and the standard deviation of the \bar{X} distribution is $\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \frac{\sigma_X}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{.04}{\sqrt{16}} = .01$ cm.
- b. With $n = 64$, the sampling distribution of \bar{X} is still centered at $E(\bar{X}) = \mu = 12$ cm, but the standard deviation of the \bar{X} distribution is $\sigma_{\bar{X}} = \frac{\sigma_X}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{.04}{\sqrt{64}} = .005$ cm.
- c. \bar{X} is more likely to be within .01 cm of the mean (12 cm) with the second, larger, sample. This is due to the decreased variability of \bar{X} that comes with a larger sample size.

51. Individual times are given by $X \sim N(10, 2)$. For day 1, $n = 5$, and so

$$P(\bar{X} \leq 11) = P\left(Z \leq \frac{11-10}{2/\sqrt{5}}\right) = P(Z \leq 1.12) = .8686.$$

For day 2, $n = 6$, and so

$$P(\bar{X} \leq 11) = P(\bar{X} \leq 11) = P\left(Z \leq \frac{11-10}{2/\sqrt{6}}\right) = P(Z \leq 1.22) = .8888.$$

Finally, assuming the results of the two days are independent (which seems reasonable), the probability the sample average is at most 11 min on both days is $(.8686)(.8888) = .7720$.

55.

- a. 11 P.M. – 6:50 P.M. = 250 minutes. With $T_o = X_1 + \dots + X_{40}$ = total grading time,
 $\mu_{T_o} = n\mu = (40)(6) = 240$ and $\sigma_{T_o} = \sigma \cdot \sqrt{n} = 37.95$, so $P(T_o \leq 250) \approx$

$$P\left(Z \leq \frac{250 - 240}{37.95}\right) = P(Z \leq .26) = .6026.$$
- b. The sports report begins 260 minutes after he begins grading papers.

$$P(T_o > 260) = P\left(Z > \frac{260 - 240}{37.95}\right) = P(Z > .53) = .2981.$$

Section 5-5

58.

- a. $E(27X_1 + 125X_2 + 512X_3) = 27E(X_1) + 125E(X_2) + 512E(X_3)$
 $= 27(200) + 125(250) + 512(100) = 87,850.$
 $V(27X_1 + 125X_2 + 512X_3) = 27^2 V(X_1) + 125^2 V(X_2) + 512^2 V(X_3)$
 $= 27^2 (10)^2 + 125^2 (12)^2 + 512^2 (8)^2 = 19,100,116.$
- b. The expected value is still correct, but the variance is not because the covariances now also contribute to the variance.

70.

- a. $E(Y_i) = \frac{1}{2}$, so $E(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot E(Y_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{4}.$
- b. $V(Y_i) = \frac{1}{4}$, so $V(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \cdot V(Y_i) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}.$

73.

- a. Both are approximately normal by the Central Limit Theorem.
- b. The difference of two rvs is just an example of a linear combination, and a linear combination of normal rvs has a normal distribution, so $\bar{X} - \bar{Y}$ has approximately a normal distribution with $\mu_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}} = 5$
and $\sigma_{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}} = \sqrt{\frac{8^2}{40} + \frac{6^2}{35}} = 1.621.$
- c. $P(-1 \leq \bar{X} - \bar{Y} \leq 1) \approx P\left(\frac{-1-5}{1.6213} \leq Z \leq \frac{1-5}{1.6213}\right) = P(-3.70 \leq Z \leq -2.47) \approx .0068.$
- d. $P(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \geq 10) \approx P\left(Z \geq \frac{10-5}{1.6213}\right) = P(Z \geq 3.08) = .0010.$ This probability is quite small, so such an occurrence is unlikely if $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 5$, and we would thus doubt this claim.