

MCRI Updates

Trevena's (TRVN) TRV130 for Acute Pain

November 3, 2014

Bart Classen, MD

bartc@ssrp.com

617-532-6410

MCRI Updates is a recurring publication containing commentary from our internal and external physician consultant network on the products of companies for which we may not assign a rating. MCRI Updates also communicates new ideas and examines general trends in healthcare by providing background information, data points, and/or a range of opinions from thought leaders in their respective fields of expertise.

Summary

We recently hosted a conference call to discuss Trevena's (TRVN) TRV130, an infusible opioid for acute pain. The product is in phase II testing in patients undergoing bunionectomy. The phase I data indicates the product has some benefit to morphine in regards to respiratory depression but otherwise has a comparable adverse event profile at similar analgesic doses. We believe the current phase IIb trial will show that higher doses of TRV130 have comparable analgesia to 4 mg of morphine but also comparable adverse events. At the 1 mg dose of TRV130 analgesia and adverse events may be less than at 4 mg of morphine. Based on the phase I trial we see a decline in respiratory depression as possibly the main benefit of TRV130. This feature may be desired in certain patients at high anesthesia risk because of their age, preexisting condition, or anesthesia history. We believe if this feature is substantiated TRV130 could be a niche product, but it is unlikely to be a general replacement for morphine.

Stocks Impacted

- **Trevena (TRVN-\$5.81-NR)**

Background

- **TRV130 is in a phase II trial.** Results are expected in 2H14.

Reasons for Research

- **Investors are waiting to learn the results of the phase II trial.**

The Impact

- **Data from the phase I single-dose trial in healthy volunteers was less than stellar.** The phase I study showed that at the lowest dose of TRV130, 1.5 mg, the analgesic effect was not as good as 10 mg of morphine but there were much fewer side effects. However, at higher doses of TRV130 (3 mg, 4.5 mg) where the analgesic effect was similar to 10 mg morphine, the side effect profile was similar. There does seem to be a substantial advantage of TRV130 over morphine regarding respiratory depression.
- **Phase IIb trial is likely to show results similar to phase I data.** The phase II trial administers doses (1, 2, 3, 4 mg) of TRV130 every four hours for 48 hours and compares analgesia to 4 mg of morphine. The basic parameters of the trial are similar enough to the phase I trial to expect similar results.
- **Phase IIb trial likely to be labeled a success by the company.** At higher doses of TRV130 (3, 4 mg) analgesia is likely to be equivalent to 4 mg of morphine. At lower doses of TRV130 (1 mg) adverse events are likely less common than with 4 mg of morphine.

MCRI Insights

- **We believe the current phase IIb trial will show that higher doses of TRV130 will have comparable analgesia to 4 mg of morphine but also comparable adverse events.** At the 1 mg dose of TRV130 analgesia and adverse events may be less than at 4 mg of morphine. Based on the phase I trial we see a benefit in respiratory depression as the main benefit of TRV130. This feature may be desired in certain patients at high anesthesia risk because of their age, preexisting condition, or anesthesia history. We believe if this feature is substantiated TRV130 could be a niche product, but it is unlikely to be a general replacement for morphine.

Tech Assessment: Trevena's (TRVN) TRV130 for Acute Pain

I. TRV130

- Intravenous
- Infusion
- Small molecule
- Short half-life
- μ -opioid receptor agonist

II. Mechanism of TRV130

- μ -opioid receptor agonist
- Acts to bias the receptor to specific secondary messenger pathways
- Acts to increase affinity of μ -opioid receptor to G protein
- Acts to decrease affinity of μ -opioid receptor to β -arrestin
- β -arrestin pathway is associated with adverse events of opioids

III. Current Treatment of Acute Pain at Hospitals

- Pain related to surgery and other procedures
- Injectable narcotics: Morphine, fentanyl, buprenorphine
- Patients may not be able to eat, need injectable medicines
- Medicine fast acting, quickly reversible, and titratable

IV. Pathophysiology of Adverse Events to Opioids

- Nausea and vomiting
- Constipation
- Respiratory depression

V. Market for Infused Pain Medicine

- 30 million reimbursed for IV opioids by US hospitals
- 14 million were inpatient and 16 million were outpatient claims
- 75% of inpatient claims and 50% of outpatient claims were surgery related
- Competition: Generics, CBST, PGNX, SLXP, CARA

VI. Phase I Data

- Randomized, double blind, cross over
- n= 30 healthy men
- Five separate treatments for each patient: placebo, 1.5, 3.0, 4.5 mg of TRV130, 10 mg morphine
- 11 days sequestration
- Single-dose treatments days: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9
- Primary endpoint: Safety and tolerability
- Results: Only 1.5 mg dose had clearer reduction in adverse events than 10 mg morphine
- 1.5 mg dose had substantial reduction in respiratory depression compared to 10 mg morphine
- 1.5 mg dose showed substantially less analgesia than 10 mg of morphine
- Doses of TRV130 that have similar analgesia have similar side effects; slight difference

VII. Ongoing Phase II Clinical Trial

- Randomized, double blind
- n=333 patients under going bunionectomy
- Six treatment groups: Placebo, 4 mg morphine, 1 mg, 2 mg, 3 mg, 4 mg TRV130
- TRV130 administered intravenously every four hours for 48 hours
- Treatment while in-patient
- Primary endpoint: Reduction of pain intensity for up to 48 hours
- Secondary endpoints: Safety and tolerability

Important Disclosures and Disclaimers — Fourth Quarter 2014

Analyst Certification: Each research analyst who authored the attached research report certifies the following: 1) All of the views expressed in this research report reflect my personal opinions about the subject company or any company mentioned in this research report; 2) I have not and will not receive compensation in exchange for the recommendation or views in this research report; and 3) Neither I nor the firm that employs me believes or have reason to believe that I have any material conflicts of interest with regard to the subject company or any company mentioned in this research report at the time of its publication.

Analyst/Firm Financial Interest/Control: Neither the analyst nor any members of his/her household has a financial interest in the covered company, or companies mentioned in this research report, including the ownership of shares, warrants, or options on the subject company's securities. In addition, neither the analyst nor any member of his/her family is an officer, director or advisory board member of the subject company. At the end of the month immediately preceding the publication of this research report, the firm and/or its affiliates did not beneficially own 1% or more of any class of common equity securities of the subject company, nor any company mentioned in this research report. Summer Street Research Partners ("SSRP" or "the Company") does not make markets in any securities, including those of the subject companies in this research report. The research analysts' compensation is not directly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this research report. Research Analyst(s) compensation is however based upon various factors including SSRP's total revenues, a portion of which may in the future be generated by investment-banking related activities.

Investment Banking and Other Services: SSRP has not provided investment-banking, advisory or other similar services to the subject companies described in this research report, nor are they clients of the SSRP. Further, the firm and its affiliates have not managed or co-managed a public/private offering of securities for the subject companies in this research report, and have not received compensation for investment-banking services from the subject companies in this research report. We expect to receive or intend to seek compensation from the subject companies for investment-banking related services in three months from the date of this research report. The firm has not received investment-banking revenues or participated in a public/private offering of securities as a manager or co-manager of the subject company's securities in the past 12 month from the date of this research report. Nor has the firm or its research analysts received compensation from the subject company or any company mentioned in this research report for products and services in the past 12 months. No research analyst, employee, or affiliate of SSRP with the ability to influence the substance of this research report has received any compensation for products and service provided to the subject company in the past 12 months.

Definition of SSRP's Stock Rating System as used in this Research Report: BUY – We believe the stock's total return will significantly outperform its peer group; NEUTRAL – We believe the stock's total return will not be significantly different than its peer group; SELL – We believe the stock's total return will significantly under perform its peer group.

General Disclaimers: The information contained herein is intended for distribution to institutional investors and is for informational purposes only. SSRP's research reports should be considered as only a single factor in making an investment decision. The information herein was obtained from various sources; we do not guarantee its accuracy or completeness and it should not be relied upon as such. Additional information is available by contacting SSRP. Neither the information nor any opinion expressed constitutes an offer, or an invitation to make an offer to buy or sell any securities. The data contained herein is intended for the sole and exclusive use of clients of SSRP. No reproduction, transmission or replication of any of the information or data contained within this document may be done without the expressed written consent of SSRP. Medical Consulting Research Inc. (MCRI) is a division of SSRP.

Investment Rating Distribution for the Period 7/1/14 through 9/30/14:

Rating	Count	Percentage	Investment Banking Services (12 months)
BUY	25	89%	16%
NEUTRAL	3	11%	0%
SELL	0	0%	0%
Companies under coverage at 9/30/14	28	100%	14%