

Week-A solution

- ① Counting sort is a non comparison sort. so number of comparison is 0.

Ans → (d)

- ② The auxiliary space complexity of counting sort is $O(n+k)$ where k = range of input

Ans → (d)

- ③ Radix sort is generally implemented as an out of place algorithm, since it needs to create a second, copied array in order to handle the work of sorting.

ans → (b) False

- ④ Bucket sort is not an inplace sorting algo.

ans → (d)

- ⑤ Bucket sort is most efficient in the case when the input is uniformly distributed.

ans → (b)

- ⑥ The run time of SELECT algorithm is optimal for group size 5. Δ for group size 3
 $T(n) > O(n)$ $\therefore T(n) = O(n)$ for group size 5.

Ans → (b)

- ⑦ Counting sort algorithm is efficient when range of data to be sorted is fixed.
Since the range is from 0 to 255 (for ASCII)
so the range is fixed.

Ans \rightarrow (d)

- ⑧ we can find k th smallest element of an unsorted array in $O(n)$ time in worst case using SELECT method.

Ans \rightarrow (d)

- ⑨ Radix sort is a non comparison based integer sort.

ans \rightarrow (a)

- ⑩ For the numbers in the range from 0 to $n^d - 1$,

we can Radix sort runs in $\Theta(dn)$ time.

Since we know $T(n, b) = O(\frac{b^n}{\log n})$.

Where $H = \log n$ in the original formula

$$T(n, b) = O\left(\frac{b}{H}(n + 2^H)\right)$$

then $\Rightarrow b = d \log n \Rightarrow \underline{T(n) = O(dn)}$

where each b -bit word is broken in $\frac{b}{H}$ equal pieces.

Ans \rightarrow (b)