

const

const

As we discuss pointers to various types, it is good time to introduce the notion of *const* data—data which we tell the compiler we are not allowed to change. When we declare a variable, we can add **const** to its type to specify that the compiler should not allow us to change the data:

1

```
const int x = 3; // assigning to x is illegal
```



If we try to change the value of *x*, the compiler will produce an error. Declaring data as **const** can be useful, as it removes a certain class of mistakes that we can make in our program: changing things that we should not.

When we have pointers, there are two different things that can be *const*: the data that the pointer points at (what is in the box at the end of the arrow), or the pointer itself (where it points). If we write:

1

```
const int * p = &x;
```



We have declared *p* as a pointer to a **const int**—that is, *p* points at a int, and we are not allowed to change that int. We can change where *p* points (e.g., *p = &y*; is legal—if *y* is an int). However, changing the value in the box that *p* points at (e.g., **p = 4*;) is illegal—we have said that the int which *p* points at is **const**. If we do try to write something like **p = 4*;, we will get a compiler error like this:

1

```
assignment of read-only location '*p'
```



We can achieve exactly the same effect by writing:

1

```
int const * p = &x; // same as const int * p
```



If we want to specify that we can change *p, but not p itself, we would write

1

```
int * const p = &x;
```



This declaration says that *p* is a **const** pointer to a (modifiable) **int**. Writing **p=4;* would be legal, but writing *p =&y;* would be illegal. If we so desire, we can combine both to prevent changing either where the pointer points, or the value it points at:

1

```
const int * const p = &x;
```



The same principle applies to pointers to pointers (to pointers to pointers...). For example, with an *int ***, we have the following combinations:

	Can we change **p	Can we change *p	Can we change p
int ** p	Yes	Yes	Yes
const int ** p	No	Yes	Yes
int * const * p	Yes	No	Yes
int ** const p	Yes	Yes	No
const int * const * p	No	No	Yes
const int ** const p	No	Yes	No

	Can we change **p	Can we change *p	Can we change p
<code>int * const * const p</code>	Yes	No	No
<code>const int * const * const p</code>	No	No	No

Note that a declaration of **const** tells the compiler to give us an error only if we try to change the data through the variable declared as **const**, or perform an operation where the **const**-ness gets dropped. For example, the following is legal:

```

1
2
3
int x = 3;
const int * p = &x;
x = 4;
```



Here, we are not allowed to change `*p`, however, the value we find at `*p` can still be changed by assigning to `x` (since `x` is not **const**, it is not an error to assign to it). However, if we write:

```

1
2
3
const int y = 3;
int * q = &y;
*q = 4;
```



then we will receive a compiler warning (which we should treat as an error):

```

1
initialization discards 'const' qualifier from pointer target type [enabled by default]
```



The error is on line 2, in which we assign `&y` (which has type **const int ***) to `q` (which has type **int ***)—discarding the **const** *qualifier* (const is called a qualifier because it modifies a type). This snippet of code is an error because `*q=4;` (on line 3) would be perfectly legal (`q` is not declared with the **const** qualifier on the type it points to), but would do something we have explicitly said we do not want to do: modify the value of `y`.

Novice programmers often express some confusion at the fact that the first example is legal and the second is not—in both cases, we have tried to declare a variable and a pointer (to that variable), with one **const** and the other not. We have then tried to modify the value in that box through whichever is not **const**—but one is ok, and the other is not. These rules do actually make sense: in the second case, we have said “`y` cannot be modified” then we try to say “`q` is a pointer (which I can use to modify or read a value) to `y`”—that clearly violates what we said about “`y`” (that it cannot be modified). In the first case, however, we are saying “`x` is a variable that can be modified” and then “`p` is a pointer, which we can only use to read the value it points at, not modify it”—this does not impose new (nor violate existing) restrictions on “`x`”, only tells us what we can and cannot do with “`p`”.