| Category | Description | Reviewers Comment | Action taken by reviewed group |
|---|---|---|---|
| Build | Could you clone from Git and build using the README file? | Was not able to, but due to my-side pc issues | |
| Legibility | Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style? | Sane and easy to follow.<br>Good and standard code style for the langauge.<br><br>The flow was sane, but uninteligibale for the graphics component. | |
| Impleme ntation | is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions? | The implementation used was clean and modular, very good for the hand-off nature of the project.<br><br>For the graphics section it needs more logical structure and comments.<br>The developer was able to explain it verty well. So an attempt at making it almost tell a story of what is happening is needed. | |
| Maintain ability | Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable? | More than testing having more accessible documentaiton and support guides.<br><br>Graphic: Basically uneditable. | |
| Require ments | Does the code fulfill the requirements? | Yes | |
| Other | Are there other things that stand out that can be improved? | Not that I can see | |

Score for section 1: 4/4

Score for section 2: 2/4

Average rounded score: 3/4