## Tables naming convention:

```
\label{lem:context} $$ \begin{array}{ll} & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &
```

```
table_regression123_node3_fe7a872ac7
view_regression123_node1_aa987ce212
merge_regression123_global_fe7a872ac7
```

### tableType:

possible values: *table*, *view* or *merge table*.

Concerning *remote tables*, they always have the name of the "native" table, a different name cannot be set.

The tableType is solely for **human readability** 

## contextIdentifier:

For now the only context we are concerned with is that of an **algorithm**, so this will consist of the name of the algorithm and a number.

The contextIdentifier will be used to allow for discrimination of tables relevant to the execution context and will be **used** by the **code** (see below)

The contextIdentifier will be produced by the controller

### nodeldentifier:

possible values: global or node<1-N>

Defines a node identifier denoting where the table "natively" exists.

Having the node identifier in the table name allows knowing **in which node the actual table exists**, which is needed when a remote node needs to create a remote table.

The nodeldentifier will be produced by the node

#### **UUID** (UniversallyUniqueIdentifier):

A hex(could also be a decimal..) number of size 10 (maybe needs to be longer, to ensure uniqueness??) that must always(well, with high probability) be **unique along all nodes**. **The UUID will be produced by the node** 

------

## Here is how the celery task/functions need to be refactored:

The highlighted ones are the ones that implement the minimum functionality needed. The rest will be needed for debugging, so the developers outside the node services will not have to go look inside the node's runtime to figure out why the task they queued is not producing the expected behavior. The gray highlighted ones are probably not needed after the clean\_up(context\_id:str) is implemented

## TABLES:

naming convention: table <contextIdentifier> <nodeIdentifier> <UUID>

- get\_tables(context\_id:str)→ List[str] #all table names on this node with this context\_id
- get\_table\_schema(table\_name:str)  $_{\rightarrow}$  List[ColumnInfo] #do not include table name in the response
- get\_table\_data(table\_name:str)→ TableData
  - Also change the order of attributes in TableInfo, 1st schema, 2nd data
- create\_table(context\_id:str, schema: List[ColumnInfo])→ str #table name return only the table name, not the schema

delete table(table name: str) → some kind of success response? TBD

<u>NOTE</u>: for all tasks, a comprehensive error response should be defined, for the cases where the task failed either because of bad input parameters or for any other reason

#### VIEWS:

naming convention: view <contextIdentifier> <nodeIdentifier> <UUID>

- get\_views(context\_id:str)→ List[str] #all view names on this node with this context\_id
- get\_view\_schema(view\_name:str)→ List[ColumnInfo] #do not include view name in the response
- get\_view\_data(view\_name:str)→ TableData
- create\_view(context\_id:str,???)→ str TBD

We need to discuss the view creation more, I still do not fully understand how the data is organised. Pathologies, datasets etc.

delete\_view(view\_name: str) → some kind of success response? TBD

## **REMOTE TABLES:**

- get\_remote\_tables(context\_id:str)  $\rightarrow$  List[str] #all remote table names on this node with this context\_id
- create\_remote\_table(table\_name:str) → some kind of success response? **TBD**No table name needs to be returned, remote table names are by default the same as the "native" table name
- delete\_remote\_table(table\_name:str)
   → some kind of success response? TBD

<u>NOTE</u>: **remote tables** will only be created **from local nodes to global node** and the **other way around**, never between local nodes. To that end, the global node needs to "know" the **addresses to all local** nodes' monetdb servers and all local nodes need to "know" the **address of the global** node's monetdb server. We need to come up with a **mechanism** for that functionality

#### MERGE TABLES:

naming convention: merged\_<contextIdentifier>\_<nodeIdentifier>\_<UUID>

- get\_merge\_tables(context\_id:str)  $_{\rightarrow}$  List[str] #all merge table names on this node with this context\_id
- create\_merge\_table(context\_id:str, schema: List[ColumnInfo])→ str #table
   name
- update\_merge\_table(table\_name: str,table\_names:[str]):→ some kind of success response? TBD
  - table\_names are a list of strings, the names of the tables to be merged
- delete\_merge\_table(table\_name:str)→ some kind of success response? TBD

#### UDFs:

- get\_udfs() -> List[str]
  - returns the header of all the going-to-be udfs the node "knows" about, so it must return the header of the "pure" python syntax function, before it passes through the so-called udfGenerator
- exec\_udf(context\_id:str, udf\_name: str, input: List[Parameter]) -> str #table name
  - List[Parameter] is not very clear yet, needs more discussion TBD
- get\_generated\_udf(udf\_name: str, input: List[Parameter]) -> str takes the same input parameters the exec\_udf would take and returns the code generated by the so-called udfGenerator, without executing the udf

# **GENERAL:**

clean\_up(context\_id:str)→ some kind of success response? TBD
 the controller will call this at the end of the context execution, so the
 node deletes all tables with this context\_id

The order of dropping the tables can become a bit complex as merge or remote tables must be dropped before their dependencies get dropped otherwise monetdb will complain and table cleaning will not be complete and can lead to "leaks"