

**Q1 Problem 13.5.4** Let  $a > 1$  be an integer. Prove for any positive integers  $n, d$  that  $d$  divides  $n$  if and only if  $a^d - 1$  divides  $a^n - 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $d$  divides  $n$ , i.e.  $n = dq$  for some positive integer  $q$ , then

$$a^n - 1 = a^{dq} - 1 = (a^d - 1) \left( (a^d)^{q-1} + (a^d)^{q-2} + \cdots + a^d + 1 \right).$$

Evidently,  $a^d - 1$  divides  $a^n - 1$ .

Now assume  $a^d - 1$  divides  $a^n - 1$ . Since  $a > 1$  and  $n, d$  are positive, then  $a^d \leq a^n$  implies  $d \leq n$ . Euclidean division gives us  $n = dq + r$  for some nonnegative integers  $q, r$ , with  $r < d$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} a^n - 1 &= a^{dq+r} - 1 \\ &= (a^{dq+r} - a^r) + (a^r - 1) \\ &= a^r(a^{dq} - 1) + (a^r - 1) \\ &= a^r(a^d - 1) \left( (a^d)^{q-1} + (a^d)^{q-2} + \cdots + a^d + 1 \right) + (a^r - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $a^d - 1$  divides

$$(a^n - 1) - a^r(a^d - 1) \left( (a^d)^{q-1} + (a^d)^{q-2} + \cdots + a^d + 1 \right) = a^r - 1,$$

implying that either  $a^d - 1 \leq a^r - 1$  or  $a^r - 1 = 0$ . The former cannot be true, as it would imply  $d \leq r$ , but we know  $r < d$ . Therefore, we must have  $a^r - 1 = 0$ , so  $r = 0$ . Hence,  $n = dq$ , meaning  $d$  divides  $n$ . □

Conclude in particular that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  if and only if  $d$  divides  $n$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}^\times$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  under multiplication. By Lagrange's theorem,  $|\mathbb{F}_{p^d}^\times| = p^d - 1$  divides  $|\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times| = p^n - 1$ , and the previous result implies  $d$  divides  $n$ .

If  $d$  divides  $n$ , then  $p^d - 1$  divides  $p^n - 1$ , by the previous result. Moreover, it is essentially the same proof to show  $x^{p^d-1} - 1$  divides  $x^{p^n-1} - 1$  in any polynomial field. We deduce that  $x^{p^d} - x$  divides  $x^{p^n} - x$ , telling us that  $x^{p^d} - x$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ , the splitting field for  $x^{p^n} - x$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}$  is the unique splitting field of  $x^{p^d} - x$  contained in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , then we must, therefore, have  $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . □

**Q2 Problem 13.5.6** Prove that  $x^{p^n-1} - 1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} (x - \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* We have seen that  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is precisely the set of  $p^n$  distinct roots of

$$x^{p^n} - x = x(x^{p^n-1} - 1)$$

in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . So  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  is a set of  $p^n - 1$  distinct roots of  $x^{p^n-1} - 1$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Since  $x^{p^n-1} - 1$  has at most  $p^n - 1$  roots in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , counting multiplicity, and we know of at least  $p^n - 1$  distinct roots, then all roots must be simple. Since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times$  is precisely the set of  $p^n - 1$  distinct simple roots, then  $x^{p^n-1} - 1$  is separable with decomposition

$$x^{p^n-1} - 1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} (x - \alpha).$$

□

Conclude that  $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} \alpha = (-1)^{p^n}$  so the product of nonzero elements of finite fields is  $+1$  if  $p = 2$  and  $-1$  if  $p$  is odd.

We evaluate the previous result at  $x = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0^{p^n-1} - 1 &= \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} (0 - \alpha) \\ -1 &= \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} (-1)\alpha \\ -1 &= (-1)^{p^n-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} \alpha \\ (-1)^{p^n} &= (-1)^{2(p^n-1)} \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} \alpha \\ (-1)^{p^n} &= \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^\times} \alpha \end{aligned}$$

This value of the left-hand side is  $+1$  if  $p = 2$ , and  $-1$  if  $p$  is odd.

For  $p$  odd and  $n = 1$  derive *Wilson's theorem*:  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

In this case, the above result is  $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} \alpha = -1$ . Since the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  are the integers  $0, 1, \dots, p-1$ , then  $(p-1)! = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} \alpha = -1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . And equality in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is precisely equivalence of integers modulo  $p$ , so this means  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Q3** Let  $F$  be a field and  $K$  be a splitting field of  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . Show that if  $f(x)$  is separable then  $K/F$  is separable.

*Proof.* Since  $f(x)$  splits completely in  $K[x]$ , then  $f(x) = a(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$  for some  $a \in F^\times$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ . Let  $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be the set of roots of  $f(x)$  (ignoring multiplicity, i.e., not a multiset), then  $f(x)$  splits completely over  $F(S) \subseteq K$ . And since  $K$  is a splitting field for  $f(x)$ , then in fact  $F(S) = K$ . For each  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , so its minimal polynomial  $m_{\alpha,F}(x) \in F[x]$  divides  $f(x)$ . Since  $f(x)$  is separable, then  $m_{\alpha,F}(x)$  must also be separable, otherwise  $m_{\alpha,F}(x)$  would have some multiple roots. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is separable over  $F$ , implying every element of  $S$  is separable over  $F$ . And since  $K = F(S)$ , we conclude that  $K/F$  is separable.

□

**Q4** Show that  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^3 + x + 1) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[y]/(y^3 + y^2 + 1)$  and find an explicit isomorphism.

*Proof.* We define a ring homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \mathbb{F}_2[x] &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[y] \\ p(x) &\mapsto p(y + 1)\end{aligned}$$

which is the evaluation of  $p(x)$  at  $y + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[y]$ . We can see that  $\varphi$  is a ring isomorphism, with inverse given by the evaluation map  $p(y) \mapsto p(x + 1)$ . Let  $I = (x^3 + x + 1)$  and  $J = (y^3 + y^2 + 1)$  denote the ideals in their respective polynomial rings. Composition of  $\varphi$  with the natural projection

$$\begin{aligned}\pi : \mathbb{F}_2[y] &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[y]/J \\ p(y) &\mapsto \overline{p(y)}\end{aligned}$$

yields a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\sigma = \pi \circ \varphi : \mathbb{F}_2[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[y]/J.$$

From the first isomorphism theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\ker \sigma \cong \mathbb{F}_2[y]/J.$$

It remains to prove that  $\ker \sigma = I$ . By definition,  $\ker \sigma$  is the set of elements  $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$  such that  $\varphi(p(x)) \in \ker \pi = J$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x^3 + x + 1) &= (y + 1)^3 + (y + 1) + 1 \\ &= (y + 1)(y^2 + 1) + y \\ &= (y^3 + y + y^2 + 1) + y \\ &= y^3 + y^2 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

For any  $p(x) \in I$ , there is some  $q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$  such that  $p(x) = q(x)(x^3 + x + 1)$ , so

$$\varphi(p(x)) = \varphi(q(x))\varphi(x^3 + x + 1) = q(y + 1)(y^3 + y^2 + 1) \in J.$$

Hence,  $\varphi(I) \subseteq J$ , so  $I \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(J)$ . And since  $\varphi^{-1}(y^3 + y^2 + 1) = x^3 + x + 1$ , then by the same argument,  $\varphi^{-1}(J) \subseteq I$ . Therefore, we obtain the equality  $\ker \sigma = \varphi^{-1}(J) = I$ . □

**Q5** Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . Show that if  $F$  is perfect, then  $F = F^p$ .

*Proof.* We will prove the contrapositive. Suppose  $F \neq F^p$ , and let  $a \in F$  such that  $a$  is not a  $p$ th power in  $F$ . Consider the polynomial  $x^p - a \in F[x]$ . If  $\alpha \in \overline{F}$  is a root of  $x^p - a$ , then  $\alpha \notin F$  and  $\alpha^p = a$ . So in  $\overline{F}[x]$ ,

$$x^p - a = x^p - \alpha^p = (x - \alpha)^p.$$

Therefore,  $\alpha$  is the unique root of  $x^p - a$  in  $\overline{F}$  (equivalent to saying the Frobenius endomorphism on  $\overline{F}$  is injective). Since  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^p - a$ , then  $m_{\alpha, F}(x) \mid (x - \alpha)^p$ , so  $m_{\alpha, F}(x) = (x - \alpha)^n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\alpha \notin F$ , then we must have  $n \geq 2$ , implying that  $\alpha$  is a multiple root of  $m_{\alpha, F}(x)$ . In particular,  $m_{\alpha, F}(x)$  is not separable, so  $\alpha$  is algebraic but not separable over  $F$ . Therefore,  $F(\alpha)/F$  is a finite field extension which is not separable, i.e.,  $F$  is not perfect. □