

**3** Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  an isometric embedding. Show that  $f$  is surjective.

**Hint:** Suppose not, find a point  $x_0$  not in the image, and consider the sequence  $x_0, f(x_0), f(f(x_0)), \dots$

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  and inductively define a sequence  $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In other words,  $x_n = f^n(x_0)$ , where  $f^n$  denotes  $f$  composed with itself  $n$  times. Note that for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \leq m$  we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) = d(f^n(x_0), f^m(x_0)) = d(x_0, f^{m-n}(x_0)).$$

Since  $X$  is a compact metric space, it is sequentially compact; let  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a convergent subsequence. In particular, this sequence is Cauchy. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k, \ell \geq N$  we have  $d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_\ell}) < \varepsilon$ . That is, if  $k < \ell$  (so  $n_\ell - n_k \geq 1$ ) then

$$d(x_0, f^{n_\ell - n_k}(x_0)) = d(x_k, x_\ell) < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, we have found the point  $x_{n_\ell - n_k} \in B_\varepsilon(x_0) \cap f(X)$ . Since we can find such a point for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , this means  $x_0$  is a limit point of  $f(X)$ . Since  $f(X)$  is compact, this implies  $x_0 \in f(X)$ , hence  $f$  is surjective.  $\square$

4 Define  $\mathbb{R}^\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{R}^n$ , where the points

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0)$$

are identified, for any number of zeros. We topologize  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  as follow: a set in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  is open iff its intersection with each  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is open.

There is an obvious injection  $f : \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ , where  $\ell^\infty = C_B(\mathbb{N})$  is the set of bounded sequences of real numbers with the sup norm.

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r > 0$  denote the interval  $I_r(a) = (a - r, a + r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) Show  $f$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Note that  $\ell^\infty$  has a basis of open balls  $B_r(x) = \prod_{k=1}^\infty I_r(x_k)$  for  $x \in \ell^\infty$  and  $r > 0$ . It suffices to check the continuity of  $f$  on these basis sets.

In order for a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to be in the preimage  $f^{-1}(B_r(x))$ , we must have  $|a_k - x_k| < r$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $|x_k| < r$  for all  $k > n$ . So if it is the case that  $|x_k| \geq r$  for some  $k > n$ , then we know  $f^{-1}(B_r(x)) \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \emptyset$ , which is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $|x_k| < r$  for all  $i > n$  then

$$f^{-1}(B_r(x)) \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \prod_{k=1}^n I_r(x_k).$$

If we consider  $\mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\infty$  with the sup norm (which generates the usual topology), this is simply the open ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_r(x)) \cap \mathbb{R}^n$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $n$ . So in fact  $f^{-1}(B_r(x))$  is open in the topology on  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ , hence  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$

(b) Is  $f$  an embedding? That is, is the subspace  $f(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \subseteq \ell^\infty$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ ? Justify your answer.

No.

*Proof.* Consider the set  $U = \prod_{k=1}^\infty I_{1/k}(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\infty$ . Since  $U \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \prod_{k=1}^n I_{1/k}(0)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we know that  $U$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . However, we will show that  $f(U)$  is not open in  $f(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .

Assume for contradiction that  $f(U)$  is open in the subspace  $f(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \subseteq \ell^\infty$ , i.e., there is some open set  $V \subseteq \ell^\infty$  such that  $f(U) = V \cap f(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ . Then  $V$  is an open neighborhood of  $0 \in \ell^\infty$ , so there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\varepsilon(0) \subseteq V$ , implying  $B_\varepsilon(0) \cap f(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \subseteq f(U)$ .

Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/n < \varepsilon/2$ , and let  $x = (0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon/2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then by construction  $x \notin U$  since  $x_n \notin I_{1/n}(0)$ , so  $f(x) \notin f(U)$ . On the other hand, we have  $f(x) \in B_\varepsilon(0) \cap f(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ , which is a contradiction.

In particular, we conclude that  $f$  is not an open map and therefore not a homeomorphism to its image.  $\square$

**5 (a)** Show that a set of open subsets of a topological space  $X$  is a basis if and only if it contains a neighborhood basis for every point  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of open subsets of  $X$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is a basis and  $x \in X$  is any point. We claim that  $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \in U\}$  is a neighborhood basis for  $x$ . If  $N \subseteq X$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  it contains an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq N$  of  $x$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is a basis, we can write  $V = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$  for some  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $x \in V$ , we must have  $x \in U_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in I$ . Then  $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_x$  with  $x \in U_\alpha \subseteq V \subseteq N$ , hence  $\mathcal{U}_x$  is a neighborhood basis for  $x$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  contains a neighborhood basis for every point  $x \in X$ . Let  $V \subseteq X$  be an open subset. For each point  $x \in V$  we can choose some  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U_x \subseteq V$ . Then we can write  $V = \bigcup_{x \in V} U_x$ , hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is a basis.  $\square$

**(b)** Show that every compact totally separated space has a basis of clopen sets.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a compact totally separated space. For each pair of distinct points  $a, b \in X$  choose some clopen set  $A_{a,b} \subseteq X$  such that  $a \in A_{a,b}$  and  $b \in A_{a,b}^c$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of all finite intersections of such clopen sets; we claim that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a basis.

As per part (a), it suffices to show  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a neighborhood basis for each point. Let  $x \in X$  and  $U \subseteq X$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$ . The collection  $\{A_{x,y}^c\}_{y \in U^c}$  forms a clopen cover of  $U^c$ . As a closed subset of a compact space,  $U^c$  is compact, so we can choose a finite subcover  $\{A_i^c\}_{i=1}^n$ . Then  $U^c \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^c$ , which implies

$$A = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^c)^c \subseteq U.$$

And since  $x \in A_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we know that  $x \in A$ . With  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains a neighborhood basis for  $x$  and is therefore a basis (of clopen sets).  $\square$

**6** Prove or disprove:

**(a)** The arbitrary product of path-connected spaces is path-connected.

Yes.

*Proof.* Let  $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a collection of path-connected spaces and  $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  have the product topology with natural projections  $\pi_\lambda : X \rightarrow X_\lambda$ . (Write  $x_\lambda = \pi_\lambda(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .) Given  $x, y \in X$ , let  $\gamma_\lambda$  be a path from  $x_\lambda$  to  $y_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$ , i.e., a continuous map  $[0, 1] \rightarrow X_\lambda$  with  $\gamma_\lambda(0) = x_\lambda$  and  $\gamma_\lambda(1) = y_\lambda$ . By the universal property of topological products, there is a continuous map  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  such that  $\pi_\lambda \circ \gamma = \gamma_\lambda$ . The fact that  $\pi_\lambda(\gamma(0)) = x_\lambda$  and  $\pi_\lambda(\gamma(1)) = y_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  implies  $\gamma(0) = x$  and  $\gamma(1) = y$ . That is,  $\gamma$  is a path from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $X$ , hence  $X$  is path-connected.  $\square$

**(b)** The arbitrary product of locally path-connected spaces is locally path-connected.

No.

With the discrete topology,  $\{0, 1\}$  is disconnected—and therefore path-disconnected—since each singleton is clopen. However,  $\{0, 1\}$  is locally path-connected since each singleton is path-connected.

Consider  $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the product topology.

We claim  $X$  is totally path-disconnected, i.e., no two distinct points in  $X$  have a path between them. If  $x, y \in X$  are distinct, we must have  $x_n \neq y_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; assume  $x_n = 0$  and  $y_n = 1$ . If  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  were a path from  $x$  to  $y$ , then  $\pi_n \circ \gamma$  would be a path from 0 to 1 in  $\{0, 1\}$ , which is not possible. Therefore, no path from  $x$  to  $y$  exists in  $X$ .

Since no singleton of  $X$  is open, every open set must contain at least two points. Thus, no open subset of  $X$  is path-connected, so  $X$  is not locally path-connected.