

**1** Let  $F \subseteq K$  be a field extensions,  $F[X] \subseteq K[X]$  the corresponding polynomial rings, and  $f, g \in F[X]$  nonzero polynomials. Show that  $g \mid f$  in  $F[X]$  if and only if  $g \mid f$  in  $K[X]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F[X]$  is a subring of  $K[X]$ , divisibility in  $F[X]$  is simply a special case of divisibility in  $K[X]$ .

Suppose  $g \mid f$  in  $K[X]$ , i.e., there exists  $h \in K[X]$  such that  $f = gh$  in  $K[X]$ . The result is trivial if any of the three polynomials is zero, so we assume all are nonzero. Suppose  $a, b, c$  are the leading coefficients of  $f, g, h$ , respectively. We know that  $a, b \in F$  and  $c \in K$ . Since the leading coefficient of the product of polynomials is the product of the leading coefficients, we have  $a = bc$ , which implies  $c = b^{-1}a \in F$ . That is, the leading coefficient of  $h$  is in  $F$ .

We prove, by induction on the degree of  $h$ , that all the coefficients of  $h$  must be in  $F$ . For the base case, when  $\deg h = 0$ , we have  $h = c \in F$ . For the inductive step, assume that the result holds whenever the degree of  $h$  is less than some  $n > 0$ , i.e., the following holds:

$$f, g \in F[X], h \in K[X], f = gh \text{ in } K[X], \deg h < n \implies h \in F[X].$$

Assume  $f, g, h$  are as in the hypothesis, but  $\deg h = n$ . Write  $h = cX^n + h_0$ , where  $cX^n$  is the leading term of  $h$  and  $h_0$  is the sum of the remaining terms. In particular,  $h_0 \in K[X]$  with  $\deg h_0 < \deg h = n$ . We now have

$$f = gh = g(cX^n + h_0) = cX^n g + gh_0,$$

then define

$$\tilde{f} = f - cX^n g = gh_0.$$

We have shown above that  $c \in F$ , which implies  $\tilde{f} \in F[X]$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis to  $\tilde{f}, g, h_0$ , we conclude that  $h_0 \in F[X]$ . Since the coefficients of  $h$  consist of its leading coefficient,  $c$ , and the coefficients of  $h_0$ , we conclude all the coefficients of  $h$  are in  $F$ , hence  $h \in F[X]$ .  $\square$

**2** Let  $a := \sqrt[4]{3} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Show that

**(a)** Neither  $a$  nor  $i$  lies in  $\mathbb{Q}(ai)$ .

*Proof.* We first note that it suffices to prove that  $a \notin \mathbb{Q}(ai)$ , since  $i \in \mathbb{Q}(ai)$  implies  $a = ai \cdot i^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(ai)$ .

Denote the polynomial  $f = X^4 - 3 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ , which is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion. Since  $f$  is monic and irreducible with  $ai$  as a root, it must be the minimal polynomial of  $ai$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore,

$$[\mathbb{Q}(ai) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg p_{ai, \mathbb{Q}} = \deg f = 4.$$

By the same argument,  $[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .

Assume for contradiction that  $a \in \mathbb{Q}(ai)$ . Then we have a tower  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(ai)$ , so the tower rule gives us

$$[\mathbb{Q}(ai) : \mathbb{Q}(a)] = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(ai) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{4}{4} = 1.$$

It follows that  $\mathbb{Q}(a) = \mathbb{Q}(ai)$ , but this is a contradiction since the former consists entirely of real elements, while the latter contains the imaginary element  $ai$ . We conclude that  $a$  does not lie in  $\mathbb{Q}(ai)$ .

Note that  $i \in \mathbb{Q}(ai)$  implies  $a = ai \cdot i^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(ai)$ , so the contrapositive tells us  $i \notin \mathbb{Q}(ai)$ .  $\square$

**(b)** The minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is  $X^4 - 3$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a$  is a root of  $X^4 - 3$ , the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  divides  $X^4 - 3$ , so

$$\deg p_{a, \mathbb{Q}(i)} \leq \deg(X^4 - 3) = 4.$$

It remains to prove that  $\deg p_{a, \mathbb{Q}(i)} = 4$ .

The complex roots of  $X^2 + 1$  are  $\pm i$ ; neither is real, so the polynomial is irreducible over  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $X^2 + 1$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ , since  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$  is contained in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $X^2 + 1$  is the minimal polynomial of  $i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$  and we calculate

$$[\mathbb{Q}(a, i) : \mathbb{Q}(a)] = \deg p_{i, \mathbb{Q}(a)} = \deg(X^2 + 1) = 2.$$

By the same argument,  $[\mathbb{Q}(i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ .

Applying the tower rule to  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(a, i)$ , we obtain

$$[\mathbb{Q}(a, i) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(a, i) : \mathbb{Q}(a)][\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 4 = 8.$$

Lastly, applying the tower rule to  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(a, i)$  gives

$$\deg p_{a, \mathbb{Q}(i)} = [\mathbb{Q}(a, i) : \mathbb{Q}(i)] = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(a, i) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(i) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{8}{2} = 4.$$

We conclude that  $X^4 - 3$  is a monic polynomial divisible by and having the same degree as the minimal polynomial  $p_{a, \mathbb{Q}(i)}$ , so in fact  $p_{a, \mathbb{Q}(i)} = X^4 - 3$ .  $\square$

**3** Let  $F \subseteq K = F(a)$  be a simple algebraic field extension such that the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $F$  has degree  $p^m$  for some odd prime  $p$  and some  $m > 0$ . Show that  $F(a^i) = K$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, p-1$ .

*Proof.* Fix some  $i \in \{2, \dots, p-1\}$ . Since  $a^i \in K$ , we have a tower  $F \subseteq F(a^i) \subseteq K$ . Then

$$p^m = \deg p_{a,F} = [K : F] = [K : F(a^i)][F(a^i) : F].$$

Since  $p$  is prime, it follows that

$$\deg p_{a^i,F} = [F(a^i) : F] = p^n,$$

for some  $0 < n \leq m$ . Define the polynomial  $f = p_{a^i,F}(X^i) \in F[X]$ , which has degree  $ip^n$ . Then  $a$  is a root of  $f$ , so  $p_{a,F}$  divides  $f$ . In particular,

$$p^m = \deg p_{a,F} \leq \deg f = ip^n < p^{n+1},$$

so  $m \leq n$ , hence  $n = m$ . We then calculate

$$[K : F(a^i)] = \frac{[K : F]}{[F(a^i) : F]} = \frac{p^m}{p^n} = 1,$$

which implies  $F(a^i) = K$ .

□

**Lemma 1.** For nonnegative integers  $M, N, P$  with  $M \leq N$ , we have

$$\frac{N!}{M!} \leq \frac{(N+P)!}{(M+P)!}.$$

*Proof.* We perform induction on  $P$ . For the base case, note that  $(M+1)/(N+1) \leq 1$ , so

$$\frac{N!}{M!} = \frac{(N+1)!/(N+1)}{(M+1)!/(M+1)} = \frac{(N+1)!}{(M+1)!} \cdot \frac{M+1}{N+1} \leq \frac{(N+1)!}{(M+1)!}.$$

Assuming the result holds for  $P-1$ , we find

$$\frac{N!}{M!} \leq \frac{(N+P-1)!}{(M+P-1)!} \leq \frac{(N+P)!}{(M+P)!},$$

where the second inequality is an application of the base case.  $\square$

Let  $F \subseteq K$  be a field extension,  $f \in F[X]$  a polynomial with degree  $n > 0$ , and  $r$  the number of distinct roots of  $f$  in  $K$ . Assume that  $K$  is a splitting field for  $f$  over  $F$ . Show that  $[K : F] \leq n!/(n-r)!$ .

*Proof.* Write  $K = F(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ , where the  $a_i$ 's are the distinct roots of  $f$  in  $K$ . Let  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be the multiplicity of  $a_i$  in  $f$ , then in  $K[X]$  we can write

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^r (X - a_i)^{m_i}.$$

To prove the inequality, we perform induction on  $r$ .

When  $r = 1$ , we have  $f = (X - a)^n$  with  $a = a_1$ . Then  $a$  is a root of  $f$ , so the minimal polynomial of  $a$  over  $F$  divides  $f$ , thus

$$[K : F] = [F(a) : F] = \deg p_{a,F} \leq \deg f = n = \frac{n!}{(n-1)!}.$$

For the inductive step, assume the result hold in any case that the number of distinct roots is at most than  $r-1$ . Define the polynomial

$$g = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (X - a_i)^{m_i} \in K[X],$$

then  $f = (X - a_r)^{m_r} g$  in  $K[X]$ . Both  $f$  and  $(X - a_r)^{m_r}$  are in  $F(a_r)[X]$ , so Problem 1 tells us that  $g$  is also in  $F(a_r)[X]$ . Moreover,  $g$  is of degree  $n - m_r$  and has  $r-1$  distinct roots in  $K$ , so the inductive hypothesis gives us

$$[K : F(a_r)] \leq \frac{(n - m_r)!}{(n - m_r - (r-1))!} \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-r)!},$$

where the second inequality is an application of Lemma 1 with  $P = m_r - 1$ . Similar to the base case, the minimal polynomial of  $a_r$  over  $F$  divides  $f$ , so

$$[F(a_r) : F] = \deg p_{a_r, F} \leq \deg f = n.$$

Combining these inequalities with the tower rule, we obtain

$$[K : F] = [K : F(a_r)][F(a_r) : F] \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-r)!} \cdot n = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}.$$

□