

(worked with Joseph Sullivan)

**1 Eisenbud Exercise 1.19** Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $I \subseteq k[x, y, z, w]$  be the ideal generated by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & w \end{bmatrix},$$

that is,  $I = \langle yw - z^2, xw - yz, xz - y^2 \rangle$ .

Show that  $R = k[x, y, z, w]/I$  is a finitely generated free module over  $S = k[x, w]$ . Exhibit a basis for  $R$  as an  $S$ -module.

*Proof.* We claim that each monomial in  $R = S[y, z]/I$  has a representative  $sy^a z^b$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $y^a z^b$  be an arbitrary monomial in  $S[y, z]/I$ , so  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . We define a recursive procedure on the monomial to find a new representative.

If  $a \geq 2$ , proceed as follows. Write  $a = a' + 2c$ , where  $c \geq 1$  and  $a' \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$y^a = y^{a'+2c} = y^{a'}(y^2)^c = y^{a'}(xz)^c = x^c y^{a'} z^c,$$

so  $y^a z^b = x^c y^{a'} z^{c+b}$ , where  $x^c \in S$  and  $a' + c + b < a + b$ .

If  $b \geq 2$ , proceed as follows. Write  $b = b' + 2c$ , where  $c \geq 1$  and  $b' \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$z^b = z^{b'+2c} = z^{b'}(z^2)^c = y^{a'}(yw)^c = w^c y^{a'} z^{b'},$$

so  $y^a z^b = w^c y^{a+c} z^{b'}$ , where  $w^c \in S$  and  $a + c + b' < a + b$ .

In either case, a representative in  $S[y, z]/I$  is produced with a strictly smaller total degree. Since the total degree of the original monomial is finite, the procedure must terminate. When it does, we obtain a representative of the form  $sy^a z^b$ , with  $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Moreover, the monomial  $yz$  has the representative  $wx$ . It follows, then, that every monomial in  $S[y, z]/I$  has a representative of either  $s$ ,  $sy$ , or  $sz$ , for some  $s \in S$ . The leading terms (under a suitable choice of monomial order) of the generators of  $I$  are  $y^2$ ,  $zy$ , and  $z^2$ , which are linearly independent in the  $S$ -module  $S[y, z]$ . This means that we cannot use  $I$  to further reduce the total degree, in the variables  $y$  and  $z$ . In other words,  $1$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are linearly independent in the  $S$ -module  $S[y, z]/I$ .

(Equivalently, we can notice that  $I$  is given with a Gröbner basis, so every polynomial in  $S[y, z]$  has a unique representative in  $S[y, z]/I$  such that no monomial terms are divisible by the leading terms of the generators. The leading terms of the generators are  $y^2$ ,  $yz$ ,  $z^2$ , which means that each monomial term has degree at most 1.)

Hence,  $R = S[y, z]/I$  has a basis  $\{1, y, z\}$  as an  $S$ -module, i.e.,  $R = S \oplus Sy \oplus Sz$ .

□

Show that there is a ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow k[s, t]$  such that  $x \mapsto s^3$ ,  $y \mapsto s^2t$ ,  $z \mapsto st^2$ ,  $w \mapsto t^3$ .

*Proof.* Consider the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : k[x, y, z, w] \rightarrow k[s, t]$  defined by  $x \mapsto s^3$ ,  $y \mapsto s^2t$ ,  $z \mapsto st^2$ ,  $w \mapsto t^3$ . We apply this map to the generators of  $I$ :

$$\begin{aligned} yw - z^2 &\mapsto (s^2t)t^3 - (st^2)^2 = 0, \\ xw - yz &\mapsto s^3t^3 - (s^2t)(st^2) = 0, \\ xz - y^2 &\mapsto s^3(st^2) - (s^2t)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $I \subseteq \ker \varphi$ , so  $\varphi$  factors through the natural projection

$$\pi : k[x, y, z, w] \rightarrow k[x, y, z, w]/I = R.$$

That is, there is a unique  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\psi : R \rightarrow k[s, t]$  such that  $\psi \circ \pi = \varphi$ . Thus,  $\psi$  is the desired ring homomorphism. □

Use the basis you constructed to show that it is a monomorphism.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f, g \in R$  such that  $\psi(f) = \psi(g)$ , i.e.,

$$f(s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3) = g(s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3) \in k[s, t].$$

Since  $R = S \oplus Sy \oplus Sz$ , we have

$$f = a_0 + a_1y + a_2z \quad \text{and} \quad g = b_0 + b_1y + b_2z,$$

for some  $a_i, b_i \in S$ .

For every  $c \in S = k[x, w]$ , we have  $\psi(c) = c(s^3, t^3) \in k[s^3, t^3]$ . In particular, both the  $s$ - and  $t$ -degree of every monomial term of  $\psi(c)$  is a nonnegative multiple of 3, i.e., equivalent to 0 mod 3. Along similar lines, every monomial of  $\psi(cy) = c(s^3, t^3)s^2t$  has  $s$ -degree equivalent to 2 mod 3 and  $t$ -degree equivalent to 1 mod 3. And every monomial of  $\psi(cz) = c(s^3, t^3)st^2$  has  $s$ -degree equivalent to 1 mod 3 and  $t$ -degree equivalent to 2 mod 3.

We deduce that  $\psi(a_0)$ ,  $\psi(a_1y)$ , and  $\psi(a_2z)$  share no monomial terms with each other, and the same is true for  $\psi(b_0)$ ,  $\psi(b_1y)$ , and  $\psi(b_2z)$ . So  $\psi(f) = \psi(g)$  implies that  $\psi(a_0) = \psi(b_0)$ ,  $\psi(a_1y) = \psi(b_1y)$ , and  $\psi(a_2z) = \psi(b_2z)$ . Since

$$\psi(a_1)s^2t = \psi(a_1y) = \psi(b_1y) = \psi(b_1)s^2t$$

and

$$\psi(a_2)st^2 = \psi(a_2z) = \psi(b_2z) = \psi(b_2)st^2,$$

then in fact  $\psi(a_i) = \psi(b_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

Note that  $\psi|_S : x \mapsto s^3, w \mapsto t^3$  describes a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism from  $S = k[x, w]$  to  $k[s^3, t^3]$ . In particular, it is an injection  $S \rightarrow k[s, t]$ . We conclude that  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , so indeed  $f = g$ . Hence,  $\psi$  is an injective homomorphism (monomorphism). □

Conclude that  $I$  is prime.

*Proof.* Since  $\psi$  is an injective homomorphism,  $R \cong \text{im } \psi \subseteq k[s, t]$ . Recall that  $\psi \circ \pi = \varphi$  and  $\pi$  is surjective, so  $\text{im } \psi = \text{im } \varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im } \varphi &= \varphi(k[x, y, z, w]) \\ &= k[\varphi(x), \varphi(y), \varphi(z), \varphi(w)] \\ &= k[s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $R \cong k[s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3]$ . In particular,  $R = k[x, y, z, w]/I$  is an integral domain, proving that  $I$  is a prime ideal. □

From the rank of  $R$  as a free  $S$ -module, and the degrees of the generators, deduce the Hilbert function of  $R$ .

*Proof.* The monomials in  $S = k[x, w]$  of degree  $s \geq 0$  are  $x^a w^{s-a}$  for  $a = 0, \dots, s$ , so

$$H_S(s) = \begin{cases} s+1 & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that  $R$  as an  $S$ -module, is  $S \oplus Sy \oplus Sz$ . Note that  $Sy$  and  $Sz$  can be treated as copies of  $S$  with its degree shifted up by 1, i.e.,  $H_{Sy}(s) = H_{Sz}(s) = H_S(s-1)$ . Hence,

$$H_R(s) = H_S(s) + 2H_S(s-1) = \begin{cases} 3s+1 & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

Show that  $R$  is not finitely generated as a module over  $k[x, y]$ .

**2 Hartshorne Exercise I.1.2** Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3$  be the set  $Y = \{(t, t^2, t^3) \mid t \in k\}$ . Show that  $Y$  is an affine variety of dimension 1. Find generators for the ideal  $I(Y)$ . Show that  $A(Y)$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over  $k$ . We say that  $Y$  is given by the parametric representation  $x = t, y = t^2, z = t^3$ .

Let  $f = x^2 - y, g = x^3 - z \in k[x, y, z]$ . We claim  $Y = Z(f, g)$ . For  $P = (t, t^2, t^3) \in Y$ , we have  $f(P) = g(P) = 0$ , so  $P \in Z(f, g)$ . On the other hand, for  $P = (a, b, c) \in Z(f, g)$ , we have  $a^2 - b = a^3 - c = 0$ , so  $P = (a, a^2, a^3) \in Y$ . Hence,  $Y = Z(f, g)$ .

Let  $J = \langle f, g \rangle \trianglelefteq k[x, y, z]$ . By the Nullstellensatz,

$$I(Y) = I(Z(J)) = \sqrt{J}.$$

We claim that  $J$  is a radical ideal, i.e., that  $\sqrt{J} = J$ .

Notice that  $J = \langle y - x^2, z - x^3 \rangle$  is simply the kernel of the evaluation  $k[x]$ -algebra homomorphism  $(k[x])[y, z] \rightarrow k[x]$  defined by  $y \mapsto x^2$ , and  $z \mapsto x^3$ . This is a surjective map, so we obtain  $k[x, y, z]/J \cong k[x]$ . In particular,  $k[x, y, z]/J$  is a reduced ring (has no nonzero nilpotent elements), so  $J$  is a radical ideal.

So,  $A(Y) = k[x, y, z]/I(Y) \cong k[x]$ , i.e.,  $A(Y) \cong A(\mathbb{A}^1)$  as  $k$ -algebras, so  $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  as varieties.

**3 Hartshorne Exercise I.1.4** If we identify  $\mathbb{A}^2$  with  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  in the natural way, show that the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  is not the product topology of the Zariski topologies of the two copies of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .

*Proof.* The closed subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^1$  under the Zariski topology are, in addition to  $\mathbb{A}^1$  itself, precisely the finite subsets. (In other words, the Zariski topology on  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is the cofinite topology.) This means that the closed subsets of  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  (under the product topology) are finite unions of subsets of the form  $X_1 \times X_2$ , where  $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1$  are closed in the Zariski topology, i.e., either finite or all of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ .

By this characterization, we see that the set  $X = \{(x, x) \mid x \in k\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is not closed in the product topology. This is because  $X$  contains no copies of  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \{x\}$  or  $\{x\} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . This means that the only way  $X$  can be written as the union of closed sets in the product topology is as the infinite union  $X = \bigcup_{x \in k} \{(x, x)\}$ .

However,  $X = Z(x - y) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$  is closed in the Zariski topology.

□

**4 Hartshorne Exercise I.2.9** If  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  is an affine variety, we identify  $\mathbb{A}^n$  with an open set  $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  by the homeomorphism  $\varphi_0$ . Then we can speak of  $\bar{Y}$ , the closure of  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , which is called the *projective closure* of  $Y$ .

(a) Show that  $I(\bar{Y})$  is the ideal generated by  $\beta(I(Y))$ , using the notation of the proof of (2.2).

*Proof.* By definition,  $I(\bar{Y})$  is the ideal generated by the homogeneous polynomials which are zero on  $\bar{Y}$ . Let  $f \in I(\bar{Y})$  be homogeneous, so  $f(P) = 0$  for all  $P \in \bar{Y}$ . In particular,  $f(P) = 0$  for all points  $P \in \varphi_0(Y)$ , i.e.,

$$\alpha(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(1, a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$$

for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y$ . Therefore,  $\alpha(f) \in I(Y)$ , so in fact  $f = \beta(\alpha(f)) \in \beta(I(Y))$ . Since this holds for all the generators, we conclude that  $I(\bar{Y}) \subseteq \langle \beta(I(Y)) \rangle$ .

If  $f \in \beta(I(Y))$ , then  $\alpha(f) \in I(Y)$ . So for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Y$ , we have

$$f(1, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \alpha(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0.$$

This means that  $f(P) = 0$  for all  $P \in \varphi_0(Y)$ . In other words,  $\varphi_0(Y) \subseteq Z(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ . Since  $Z(f)$  is a closed subset, this implies that  $\bar{Y} = \overline{\varphi_0(Y)} \subseteq Z(f)$ . By the Nullstellensatz,

$$f \in \sqrt{\langle f \rangle} = I(Z(f)) \subseteq I(\bar{Y}).$$

Since all generators are contained in  $I(\bar{Y})$ , we conclude that  $\langle \beta(I(Y)) \rangle \subseteq I(\bar{Y})$ . □

(b) Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3$  be the twisted cubic of (Ex. 1.2). Its projective closure  $\bar{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$  is called the *twisted cubic curve* in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Find generators for  $I(Y)$  and  $I(\bar{Y})$ , and use this example to show that if  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  generate  $I(Y)$ , then  $\beta(f_1), \dots, \beta(f_r)$  do not necessarily generate  $I(\bar{Y})$ .

*Proof.* In the proof of Problem 2, we showed that  $I(Y) = \langle y - x^2, z - x^3 \rangle \trianglelefteq k[x, y, z]$ .

Let  $t$  be the fourth projective coordinate in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , then

$$\beta(y - x^2) = yt - x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(z - x^3) = zt^2 - x^3.$$

Define the homogeneous ideal  $I = \langle yt - x^2, zt^2 - x^3 \rangle \trianglelefteq k[x, y, z, t]$ , then  $I \subseteq I(\bar{Y})$ .

We have

$$xy - z = x(y - x^2) - (z - x^3) \in I(Y),$$

so  $xy - zt \in I(\bar{Y})$ .

However,  $xy - zt \notin I$ , since the only homogeneous degree 2 generator of  $I$  is  $yt - x^2$ , which is also the homogeneous generator of least degree. □