

**1** For a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  define for every  $x \in [a, b]$

$$D^+f(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$

Prove that if  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $D^+f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $f(b) \geq f(a)$ .

*Proof.* First, suppose  $D^+f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on the compact set  $[a, b]$ , it attains its supremum  $M = \sup_{[a, b]} f$  at some point. Now define  $c = \sup f^{-1}(M)$ , which is on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Moreover, we can choose a sequence of points in  $[a, b]$  approaching  $c$  from the left, and on which  $f$  equals  $M$ . With  $f$  continuous, it follows that  $f(c) = M$ .

If  $c = b$ , then  $f(b) = M \geq f(a)$  and we are done. Assume for contradiction that  $c < b$ . With  $D^+f(c) > 0$ , there must be some  $h > 0$  such that

$$\frac{f(c+h) - f(c)}{h} > 0.$$

But this means  $f(c) < f(c+h)$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $f(c) = M$ .

Now suppose  $D^+f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and assume for contradiction that  $f(b) < f(a)$ . Then there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f(b) + (b-a)\varepsilon < f(a)$ . Define the function

$$g(x) = f(x) + (x-a)\varepsilon,$$

which has

$$g(b) = f(b) + (b-a)\varepsilon < f(a) = g(a).$$

However,

$$\frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} + \varepsilon \geq 0 + \varepsilon = \varepsilon,$$

so letting  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ , we obtain  $D^+g(x) \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . This contradicts the first result, which implies  $g(b) \geq g(a)$ .  $\square$

**2** Suppose  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a sequence of increasing and right-continuous function. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x), \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

and assume that  $f(1)$  is finite. Prove that

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(x)$$

for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$  (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure).

*Proof.* For  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  with  $x \leq y$ , we have  $f_n(x) \leq f_n(y)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This gives us

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(y) = f(y),$$

which means  $f$  is increasing, and therefore differentiable  $\lambda$ -a.e. in  $[0, 1]$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote the partial sum  $s_k = \sum_{n=1}^k f_n$  and remainder  $r_k = \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} f_n$ , which are both increasing and, therefore, differentiable  $\lambda$ -a.e. in  $[0, 1]$ . In particular, if  $x \in [0, 1]$  is a point where the derivatives exist, we have

$$f'(x) = s'_k(x) + r'_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k f'_n(x) + r'_k(x).$$

Moreover, the derivatives of increasing functions are nonnegative, so

$$f'(x) \geq s'_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k f'_n(x).$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$f'(x) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(x) \quad \text{for } \lambda\text{-a.e. } x \in [0, 1].$$

We estimate

$$\int_0^1 f' dx = \int_0^1 s'_k dx + \int_0^1 r'_k dx \leq \int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n dx + r_k(1) - r_k(0).$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(x) \leq f'(x)$  for  $\lambda$ -a.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n dx \leq \int_0^1 f' dx.$$

These integrals are finite, so

$$0 \leq \int_0^1 f' \, dx - \int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n \, dx = \int_0^1 \left( f' - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n \right) \, dx \leq r_k(1) - r_k(0).$$

On one hand, we have

$$f(1) - f(0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (s_k(1) - s_k(0)).$$

On the other hand,  $f(0) \leq f(1) < \infty$ , so we can write

$$r_k(1) - r_k(0) = (f(1) - f(0)) - (s_k(1) - s_k(0)).$$

Taking the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (r_k(1) - s_k(0)) = 0.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we deduce

$$\int_0^1 \left( f' - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n \right) \, dx = 0.$$

Since the integrand is nonnegative, we in fact must have

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(x) \quad \text{for } \lambda\text{-a.e. } x \in [0, 1].$$

□

**3** Find an increasing function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f'(x) = 0$  a.e. in  $[0, 1]$ , but  $f$  is not constant on any open subinterval of  $[0, 1]$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define a piecewise constant function  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \frac{\lfloor 2^n x \rfloor}{2^{2n}}.$$

On each interval  $[\frac{m}{2^n}, \frac{m+1}{2^n})$ , this map is constantly  $\frac{m}{2^{2n}}$ . In particular, each  $f_n$  is increasing and right-continuous. For  $x \in [0, 1]$  define

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x).$$

Then  $f$  is an increasing function and we will check that it is finite:

$$f(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lfloor 2^n \rfloor}{2^{2n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1.$$

Then applying Problem 2, we find

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0$$

for  $\lambda$ -a.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Moreover,  $f$  is not constant on any open subinterval of  $[0, 1]$ , since  $f_n$  ensures that points separated by at least a distance of  $1/2^n$  will have distinct values (and  $1/2^n$  is eventually small enough to affect any given open subinterval).