

**1** Let  $X$  be a nonempty topological space and let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $X$ . Prove that if the functions  $f_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  are  $\mu$ -measurable for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then the set

$$A = \{x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \text{ exists}\}$$

is  $\mu$ -measurable.

*Proof.* Consider the function know that the functions  $F : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  defined by

$$F(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$$

is  $\mu$ -measurable. Note that the limit of  $f_n(x)$  exists if and only if the limit infimum and limit supremum are equal, i.e.,  $A = F^{-1}(0)$ . Since the singleton  $\{0\} \in [-\infty, +\infty]$  is a closed—therefore Borel—set, its preimage is  $\mu$ -measurable.  $\square$

**2** Prove that any Lebesgue-measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the relation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

must be linear.

*Proof.*

□

**3** Let  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that for every  $x \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  and a Borel-measurable function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (both dependent on  $x$ ), such that  $f(y) = g(y)$  for all  $y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap (0, 1)$ . Prove that  $f$  is Borel-measurable. (You can assume that  $f(x) = 0$  outside the interval  $(0, 1)$ ).

*Proof.* We claim that for any closed interval  $[a, b] \subseteq (0, 1)$ , we can find a Borel-measurable function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . For each  $x \in [a, b]$  we can choose a value  $\delta_x > 0$  and a Borel-measurable function  $g_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $B_{\delta_x}(x) \subseteq (0, 1)$  and  $g_x(y) = f(y)$  for all  $y \in B_{\delta_x}(x)$ . The collection  $\{B_{\delta_x}(x)\}_{x \in [a, b]}$  forms an open cover of the compact interval  $[a, b]$ , so there is a finite subcover denoted by  $B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

Define the initial set  $A_1 = B_{\delta_{x_1}}(x_1)$  and for  $k = 2, \dots, m$ , define the sets

$$A_i = B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} A_j.$$

Then the  $A_k$ 's are mutually disjoint Borel-measurable subsets of  $(0, 1)$  such that

$$[a, b] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_{\delta_{x_i}}(x_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i.$$

Additionally,  $g_{x_i}(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in A_i$ . We now define the function

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{A_i} g_{x_i}.$$

As the sum of products of Borel-measurable functions,  $g$  is also Borel-measurable. Every point  $x \in [a, b]$  is contained in exactly one  $A_i$ . If  $x \in A_k$ , then  $A_k \subseteq B_{\delta_{x_k}}(x_k)$ , so

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{A_i}(x) g_{x_i}(x) = g_{x_k}(x) = f(x).$$

Hence, for every closed interval  $[a, b] \subseteq (0, 1)$ , there is a Borel-measurable function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that agrees with  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  and is zero outside  $(0, 1)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (for  $n \geq 3$ ), we consider the closed interval  $I_n = [\frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{1}{n}] \subseteq (0, 1)$ . By the above result, there is a Borel-measurable function  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that agrees with  $f$  on  $I_n$  and is zero outside  $(0, 1)$ . Then  $f$  can be written as limit of Borel-measurable functions

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x).$$

Hence,  $f$  is Borel-measurable. □

4 Give an example of a collection of Lebesgue-measurable nonnegative functions  $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  ( $f_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) such that the function

$$g(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

is finite for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  but  $g$  is not Lebesgue-measurable. Here  $A$  is a nonempty indexing set.

Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a Vitali set. For each  $v \in V$ , the characteristic function  $\chi_{\{v\}}$  is Lebesgue-measurable and nonnegative. Then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sup_{v \in V} \chi_{\{v\}}(x) = \chi_V(x)$$

is clearly finite. However,  $\{1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel set with preimage

$$\chi_V^{-1}(\{1\}) = V,$$

which is not Lebesgue-measurable.

**5** A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called lower semi-continuous at the point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if, for any sequence  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x_k \rightarrow x$ , one has

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_k) \geq f(x).$$

Prove that any lower semi-continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is Borel-measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the set  $A = f^{-1}((a, +\infty)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . To show  $f$  is Borel-measurable, it suffices to check that  $A$  is Borel-measurable. Fix a point  $x \in A$  and choose  $0 < \varepsilon < f(x) - a$ . Then the lower semi-continuity of  $f$  tells us that there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_\delta(x) \subseteq A$ , hence  $A$  is open—therefore Borel-measurable.  $\square$