I worked with Joseph Sullivan and Gahl Shemy.

**Exercise 1.4.8** Denote the map by  $p: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Then the derivative at a point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is the linear map

$$dp_z: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

$$v \longmapsto p'(z)v.$$

This map is surjective if and only if p'(z) is nonzero. Note that

$$p'(z) = mz^{m-1} + (m-1)a_1z^{m-2} + \dots + a_{m-1}$$

is a complex polynomial, so the fundamental theorem of algebra tells us that p'(z) has exactly m-1 roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ , counted with multiplicity. In particular, p'(z) is nonzero except at finitely many points  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Exercise 1.5.8** Let define the functions  $h, s : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 - z^2 - 1$$
 and  $s(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a$ .

At a point  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , their Jacobians are

$$J_h(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x & 2y & -2z \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $J_s(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x & 2y & 2z \end{bmatrix}$ .

We deduce that 0 is a regular value of both h and s, so they define the hyperbola  $H = h^{-1}(0)$  and the sphere  $S = s^{-1}(0)$  as submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . By Homework 5 Exercise 1.5.5, the tangent spaces are given by

$$T_{(x,y,z)}X = \ker dh_{(x,y,z)}$$
 and  $T_{(x,y,z)}Y = \ker ds_{(x,y,z)}$ .

Of course, these are the same as the kernels of the corresponding Jacobian matrices. We will use this fact to understand the tangent spaces.

The intersection  $H \cap S$  is the set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which are roots of both h and s. In particular, for or such a point we must have

$$1 + z^2 = x^2 + y^2 = a - z^2,$$

which implies  $z = \pm \sqrt{(a-1)/2}$ .

If a < 1, there is no real solution for z, so  $H \cap S = \emptyset$ . In this case, H and S are vacuously transverse.

If a=1, then z=0 and their intersection is the circle  $\{x^2+y^2=1\}$  in the xy-plane. However, H and S are not transverse at any of these points. For example, consider the point  $e_1=(1,0,0)\in H\cap S$ . The tangent space of H at  $e_1$  is

$$T_{e_1}H = \ker J_h(e_1) = \ker \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle$$

and the tangent space of S at  $e_1$  is

$$T_{e_1}S = \ker J_s(e_1) = \ker \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle.$$

In other words, both tangent spaces equal the yz-plane. But these do not span  $T_{e_1}\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3$ , so we conclude that H and S are not transverse for a = 1.

If a>1, then there are two possible values of z—one positive and one negative. In this case,  $H\cap S$  is the union of two circles  $\{x^2+y^2=r\}$  with  $r=a-z^2=(a+1)/2$ . Each circle is parallel to the xy-plane, but shifted up or down by the corresponding value of z. At all of theses points, H and S are transverse. A vertical reflection of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  restricts to a diffeomorphism on each of H and S which preserves the overall geometry inside  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , so it suffices to check that H and S are transverse on just one of the two circles. Moreover, a rotation of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  about the z axis restricts to the same sort of geometry-preserving diffeomorphism, so we need only check transversality at a single point of the intersection. We consider the point

$$p = (\sqrt{(a+1)/2}, 0, \sqrt{(a-1)/2}) \in H \cap S.$$

The tangent space of H at p is

$$T_p H = \ker \left[ \sqrt{2(a+1)} \quad 0 \quad -\sqrt{2(a-1)} \right] = \langle \sqrt{2(a-1)}e_1 + \sqrt{2(a+1)}e_3, e_2 \rangle$$

and the tangent space of S at p is

$$T_p S = \ker \left[ \sqrt{2(a+1)} \quad 0 \quad \sqrt{2(a-1)} \right] = \langle \sqrt{2(a-1)} e_1 - \sqrt{2(a+1)} e_3, e_2 \rangle.$$

These spaces do span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , so we conclude that H and S are transverse for a > 1.

**Exercise 1.6.3** Per the hint, we check that path-connectivity defines an equivalence relation between points. The relation is reflexive since the constant map  $I \to \{x\}$  is smooth. The relation is symmetric since if  $f: I \to X$  is a smooth path from x to y then f(1-t) gives a smooth path from y to x. Lastly, the relation is transitive since a smooth path  $I \to X$  from x to y can be considered as a homotopy between the maps  $\{0\} \to \{x\}$  and  $\{0\} \to \{y\}$  from the 0-manifold  $\{0\} = \mathbb{R}^0$ . In other words, the transitivity of paths is a special case of the transitivity of homotopies, which we have from Homework 5 Exercise 1.6.2. We deduce that X is the disjoint union of its path-components.

We check that the path-components are open. Let  $P \subseteq X$  be a path component and  $x \in P$ . Pick a chart  $\varphi : U \to \mathbb{R}^k$  on X with  $\varphi(x) = 0$ . Then the image  $\varphi(U) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  is an open neighborhood of the origin, so it must contain some open ball of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$  is path-connected and open in  $\varphi(U)$ , its image  $\varphi^{-1}(B_{\varepsilon}(0))$  is similarly path-connected and open in U. Since this set is path-connected and contains x, it must be contained in P. And since U is open in X, the set is also open in X. Hence, we have found an open neighborhood of x contained in P, so P is open in X.

To summarize, we have found that every manifold is the disjoint union of its path-components, all of which are open. If X is connected, this is only possible if X has a single path-component, hence X must be path-connected.

**Exercise 1.6.4** Suppose X is contractible and let  $R: X \times I \to X$  be a smooth homotopy from the identity  $R_0 = \mathrm{id}_X$  to a constant map  $R_1 = \mathrm{c}_x : X \to \{x\}$ . Let Y be an arbitrary manifold and  $f: Y \to X$  a smooth map. Combining maps with smoothness-preserving operations, we construction a smooth map  $H: Y \times I \to X$  as follows:

$$Y\times I \xrightarrow{-f\times \mathrm{id}_Y} X\times I \xrightarrow{\quad R\quad} X$$

Then H is a homotopy from

$$H_0 = R_0 \circ f = \mathrm{id}_X \circ f = f$$

to

$$H_1 = R_1 \circ f = c_x \circ f = c_x$$
.

This proves  $f \simeq c_x$  for all maps  $f: Y \to X$ . From Homework 5 Exercise 1.6.2, we know that homotopy is an equivalence relation. Then for all maps  $f, g: Y \to X$  we have  $f \simeq c_x \simeq g$ , which implies  $f \simeq g$ .

Conversely, assume that for any manifold Y all maps  $Y \to X$  are homotopic. In particular, take Y = X and consider the identity map  $\mathrm{id}_X$  and a constant map  $\mathrm{c}_x$  for some  $x \in X$ . By assumption, we have  $\mathrm{id}_X \simeq \mathrm{c}_x$ , so indeed X is contractible.

**Exercise 1.6.5** We will apply Exercise 1.6.4. Define  $M : \mathbb{R}^k \times I \to \mathbb{R}^k$  by scalar multiplication, i.e., M(x,t) = tx. Then M is smooth and thus defines a homotopy  $M_0 \simeq M_1$ . By construction, we have

$$M_1(x) = 1x = x = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^k}(x)$$

and

$$M_0(x) = 0x = 0 = c_0(x).$$

Thus, we have found a homotopy  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^k} \simeq \mathrm{c}_0$ , so indeed  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is contractible.

**Exercise 1.6.6** Assume X is contractible. For any pair of points  $x, y \in X$ , there is a homotopy between the maps  $\{0\} \to \{x\}$  and  $\{0\} \to \{y\}$ , where  $\{0\} = \mathbb{R}^0$  is a 0-manifold with a single point. These two maps are homotopic by Exercise 1.6.4, and a homotopy between them is precisely a path between x and y in X. Therefore, X is (path-)connected. (The proof of Exercise 1.6.3 shows that connectedness and path-connectedness are equivalent conditions for manifolds.) Another application of Exercise 1.6.4 tells us that every map  $S^1 \to X$  is homotopic to a constant map, so indeed X is simply-connected.

As a likely counterexample to the converse, consider  $S^2$ . In more general algebraic topology, we do not require the homotopies to be smooth—only continuous. Any smooth homotopy is also a continuous (not necessarily smooth) homotopy, but a priori the existence of a continuous homotopy does not in general imply the existence of a smooth one. I presume that the same techniques for showing  $S^2$  is continuously simply-connected would work to show that is is smoothly simply connected, so long as we are careful to preserve smoothness. On the other hand, it is obvious that  $S^2$  is not smoothly contractible since we know more generally that it is not continuously contractible.

**Exercise 1.6.7** Per the hint, let  $R_t: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be the linear map defined by the rotation matrix

$$[R_t] = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \pi t & -\sin \pi t \\ \sin \pi t & \cos \pi t \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then R restricts to a homotopy of maps  $S^2 \to S^2$  corresponding to the matrices

$$[R_0] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_2$$
 and  $[R_1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = -I_2$ .

The former is corresponds to the identity map and the latter to the antipodal map. Then for k = 2n - 1 with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $S^k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and define the linear map  $F_t : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  by the block matrix built out of n copies of  $[R_t]$  along the diagonal (and zeros elsewhere):

$$[F_t] = \begin{bmatrix} [R_t] & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & [R_t] \end{bmatrix}.$$

As in the previous step, F restricts to a homotopy of maps  $S^k \to S^k$  corresponding to

$$[F_0] = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix} = I_{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad [F_1] = \begin{bmatrix} -I_2 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{bmatrix} = -I_{2n}.$$

Also like the previous step, the former is corresponds to the identity map and the latter to the antipodal map.

**Exercise 2.1.7** Let  $x \in \partial X$  and take parameterizations  $\varphi : U \to X$  and  $\psi : V \to X$  with  $\varphi(0) = x = \psi(0)$ , where U and V are open subsets of  $H^k$ . By shrinking U and V we may assume without loss of generality that  $\varphi(U) = \psi(V)$ . Then  $h = \psi^{-1} \circ \varphi : U \to V$  is a diffeomorphism, so its derivative  $dh_0$  is an isomorphism of tangent spaces

$$\mathbb{R}^k = T_0(U) \longrightarrow T_0(V) = \mathbb{R}^k.$$

We claim that  $dh_0(H^k) = H^k$ . To show this, notice that we can write

$$H^k = \partial H^k + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} e_k,$$

where  $\partial H^k = \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} e_k$  is the nonnegative multiples of  $e_k$ . The linearity of  $\mathrm{d}h_0$  gives

$$dh_0(H^k) = dh_0(\partial H^k + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}e_k) = dh_0(\partial H^k) + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}dh_0(e_k)$$

Now considering boundaries, the map  $\partial h = \partial \psi^{-1} \circ \partial \varphi : \partial U \to \partial V$  is a diffeomorphism with  $\partial U$  and  $\partial V$  both open sets in  $\partial H^k = \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ . In other words,  $\partial h$  is a parameterization of  $\partial V$  as a (k-1)-manifold, so

$$dh_0(\partial H^k) = \operatorname{im} d(\partial h)_0 = T_0 \partial V = T_0 \partial H^k = \partial H^k.$$

We now inspect the directional derivative

$$dh_0(e_k) = D_{e_k}h(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{h(0 + te_k) - h(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{h(te_k)}{t}$$

For all t > 0 we have  $te_k \in H^k$ . Since U is an open neighborhood of 0 in  $H^k$ , then for small t > 0 we have  $te_k \in U$ . Then  $h(te_k) \in V \subseteq H^k$ , and scaling by 1/t > 0 keeps the value inside of  $H^k$ . Since  $H^k$  is closed as a subset  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , we have

$$dh_0(e_k) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{h(te_k)}{t} \in H^k.$$

Moreover, since  $e_k$  is not contained in the invariant subspace  $\partial H^k = \mathrm{d}h_0(\partial H^k)$  and  $\mathrm{d}h_0$  is a linear isomorphism,  $\mathrm{d}h_0(e_k)$  must also not be in  $\partial H^k$ . In other words,  $\mathrm{d}h_0(e_k)$  is is the (strictly) positive upper half-space  $H^k \setminus \partial H^k$ , so

$$dh_0(H^k) = \partial H^k + \mathbb{R}_{>0} dh_0(e_k) = H^k.$$

We now conclude that

$$d\varphi_0(H^k) = d\psi_0(dh_0(H^k)) = d\psi_0(H^k).$$

Exercise 2.1.8 Since  $T_x \partial X$  is a subspace of codimension in  $T_x X$ , then the orthogonal complement  $(T_x \partial X)^{\perp}$  is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $T_x X$ . A 1-dimensional real vector space has exactly two unit vectors and they are opposites of each other. That is, if  $v \in (T_x \partial X)^{\perp}$  is a unit vector, the other unit vector is -v. In the proof of Exercise 2.1.7, we found that  $H_x X$  is a half-space of  $T_x X$  whose boundary is precisely  $T_x \partial X$ . By construction, exactly one of  $\pm v$  is contained in this half-space.

For  $X = H^k$ , we have  $\vec{n}_{H^k}(x) = -e^k$  for all  $x \in \partial H^k$ . In other words,  $\vec{n}_{H^k}$  is a constant map, which is in particular smooth. For  $x \in \partial X$ , let  $\varphi : U \to X$  be a local parameterization with  $\varphi(0) = x$ . For each  $u \in U$ , the derivative  $d\varphi_u : \mathbb{R}^k \to T_{\varphi(u)}X$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces. There is a map

$$U \longrightarrow X \times (\mathbb{R}^N)^k$$
  
 $u \longmapsto (\varphi(u), (d\varphi_u(e_1), \dots, d\varphi_u(e_k))),$ 

where each point  $\varphi(u)$  is paired with a basis of the tangent space  $T_{\varphi(u)}X$ . This map is smooth, as it can be constructed out of restrictions of the global derivative map  $d\varphi: T(U) \to R(X)$  between tangent bundles.

For any vector space V and linearly independent set  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ , we can perform the Gram-Schmidt process to obtain an orthonormal set  $w_1, \ldots, w_k$  such that the span of  $v_1, \ldots, v_i$  is the same as the span of  $w_1, \ldots, w_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . The subset  $Y \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^N)^k$  consing only of k-tuples of linearly independent vectors forms a manifold and the map  $Y \to Y$  which performs the Gram-Schmidt process is a smooth map.

Applying this process to  $d\varphi_u(e_1), \ldots, d\varphi_u(e_k)$  gives us  $w_1, \ldots, w_k$  with  $w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1}$  spanning  $T_{\varphi(u)}\partial X$  and  $w_k$  a unit vector in  $T_{\varphi(u)}X$  orthogonal to  $T_{\varphi(u)}\partial X$ . Moreover, from the proof of Exercise 2.1.7, we know that  $d\varphi_u(e_k)$  and therefore  $w_k$  are both contained in  $H_{\varphi(u)}X$ , so in fact  $\vec{n}(\varphi(u)) = -w_k$ .

Composing all the necessary maps gives  $\vec{n}$  as a smooth map.

## Exercise 2.1.9

- (a) We prove that int  $X = X \setminus \partial X$  is open. Let  $x \in \operatorname{int} X$  and choose a local parameterization  $\varphi : U \to X$  where U is an open set in  $H^k$ . Since x is not on the boundary of X, the point  $u \in U$  with  $\varphi(u) = x$  must not be on the boundary of  $H^k$ . Since  $\partial H^k = \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , there is a small enough neighborhood  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  of u such that  $V \cap \mathbb{R}^{k-1} = \emptyset$ . Then  $W = U \cap V$  is an open set in  $H^k$  with  $W \cap \partial H^k = \emptyset$ .
- Then  $\varphi(W)$  is an open neighborhood of x in X. Moreover,  $\varphi^{-1}$  gives a chart of  $\varphi(W)$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(y) \notin \partial H^k$  for all  $y \in \varphi(W)$ . By Exercise 2.1.1, we conclude that  $\varphi(W)$  and  $\partial X$  are disjoint so  $\varphi(W) \in \operatorname{int} X$ , hence int X is open.
- (b) Trivially, any non-compact manifold without boundary, since the emptyset is compact. The 1-manifold  $H^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$  is not compact since it is unbounded, but  $\partial H^1 = \{0\}$  is compact.