

# Homework 5

## MATH CS 121 Intro to Probability

Harry Coleman

November 30, 2020

### Exercise 1

Let  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Bin}(4, 1/3)$  and  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(1/2)$ . For each choice of  $Z$ , find the range (image)  $R \subset \mathbb{R}$  of  $Z$  defined by

$$R := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \omega \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } Z(\omega) = x\}$$

and calculate  $\mathbb{E}[Z]$ :

### Exercise 1(a)

$$Z = Y - X.$$

**Proposition 1.**  $Z(\Omega) = \{-3, -2, -1, 0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent variables defined on the probability space  $\Omega$ . By definition,  $X(\Omega) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $Y(\Omega) = \mathbb{N}$ . Then the independence of  $X$  and  $Y$  imply that for any  $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find some  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $X(\omega) = a$  and  $Y(\omega) = b$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\Omega) &= \{Z(\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\} \\ &= \{Y(\omega) - X(\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\} \\ &= \{b - a : a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, b \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \{-3, -2, -1, 0\} \cup \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

□

I know it probably isn't necessary, and there's probably a much more concise ways of proving it, but I went ahead and proved the expected values for general binomial and geometric distributions.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Bin}(n, p)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X] = np$ .*

*Proof.* We define the following indicator for each  $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ :

$$I_{X=k}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X(\omega) = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } X(\omega) \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $X$  has the representation

$$X = \sum_{k=0}^n k \cdot I_{X=k}.$$

This implies that  $X$  is a simple random variable and, therefore, its expected value is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{k=0}^n k \cdot \mathbb{P}(X = k) = \sum_{k=0}^n k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

Notice that when  $k = 0$ , then the summand is zero. Therefore, we can start the indexing of the summation at  $k = 1$ , giving us

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

This is very similar to the binomial formula, but with an extra factor of  $k$  in each summand. Our goal, now, is to simplify  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  by getting it in terms of the binomial formula. First, for a fixed  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we look at the first two factors of the summand and expand the binomial coefficient; we derive the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} k \binom{n}{k} &= k \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \\ &= (n-k+1) \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k+1)!} \\ &= (n-k+1) \binom{n}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then substituting this back into our equation for  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  and obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k+1) \binom{n}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

We re-index the summation to be from 0 to  $n - 1$  and replace  $k$  with  $k + 1$  in the summand to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n - k) \binom{n}{k} p^{k+1} (1 - p)^{n-k-1}.$$

To make the exponents of  $p$  and  $(1 - p)$  more favorable, we pull a common factor from all of the summands, so that

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{p}{1 - p} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n - k) \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}.$$

We now notice that if we were to have  $k = n$ , then the first factor of  $n - k$  would make the whole term zero. Therefore, we can increase the indexing of summation up to  $n$  and not change its value, giving us

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{p}{1 - p} \sum_{k=0}^n (n - k) \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}.$$

We now distribute over  $(n - k)$  and split the summation into two recognizable expressions.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \frac{p}{1 - p} \left( n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} - \sum_{k=0}^n k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{1 - p} (n(p + 1 - p)^n - \mathbb{E}[X]) \\ &= \frac{p}{1 - p} (n - \mathbb{E}[X]). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we solve for  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  to complete the proof.

$$(1 - p)\mathbb{E}[X] = np - p\mathbb{E}[X],$$

$$(1 - p + p)\mathbb{E}[X] = np,$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = np.$$

□

**Lemma 2.** If  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(p)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in (0, 1]$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{p}$ .

Unlike Lemma 1, the proof of Lemma 2 will involve defining, specifically, the probability space  $\Omega$  for which  $Y$  is a random variable. We do this under the assumption that the expected value of a geometric random variable is the same, regardless of the particular probability space.

*Proof.* We begin by defining a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . First,  $\Omega$  will be the set of all infinite sequences in the set  $\{0, 1\}$ , i.e.,

$$\Omega := \{\{\omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \omega_k \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

We could define  $\mathcal{F}$  to be the power set of  $\Omega$ , but because  $\Omega$  is uncountable, then the power set is not the most convenient  $\sigma$ -algebra to define. Instead, since we are chiefly concerned with the probabilities of  $Y$  attaining certain values, it will be beneficial to have  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(Y)$ . If we can do this, it will be easier to define  $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  in such a way that makes finding  $\mathbb{P}(Y = k)$ , for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , more natural.

First, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$A_k := \{\{\omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega : \omega_1 = \cdots = \omega_{k-1} = 0, \omega_k = 1\}.$$

Taking a zero to mean a failure and a one to mean a success, then  $A_k$  is precisely what we want  $Y^{-1}(k)$  to be: the set of sequences of trials in which the first  $k - 1$  trials are failures and the  $k$ th trial is a success. Additionally, for reasons which will soon become clear, we define

$$A_\infty := \{\{\omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega : \omega_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\{0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\},$$

which is the singleton of the sequence in  $\Omega$  of all zeros. Note that this definition of the  $A_k$ 's imply that they are all pairwise disjoint. Obviously,  $A_\infty$  is disjoint from  $A_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now if  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \neq \ell$ , then without loss of generality we assume  $k < \ell$ . Then  $\omega \in A_k$  implies that  $\omega_k = 1$ , so  $\omega \notin A_\ell$ . We now define  $\mathcal{F}$  to be closure of the set

$$\{A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}\}$$

under countable unions. In other words

$$\mathcal{F} := \{\cup_{i \in I} A_i : I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}\}.$$

By definition,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable unions, so to show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we need only show that  $\emptyset, \Omega \in \mathcal{F}$  and that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complements. We can either add  $\emptyset$  to the definition of  $\mathcal{F}$  or consider it to be the result of an empty union; either way, we have  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ . To show that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ , we claim that

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}} A_k,$$

which is clearly in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The inclusion of the union in  $\Omega$  is trivial, as each  $A_k$  is defined to be a subset of  $\Omega$ . For the opposite inclusion, suppose  $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega$ . It is either the case

that  $\omega$  is a sequence of all zeros or has a one at at least one index. If  $\omega$  is all zeros, then  $\omega = \{0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A_\infty$ . Otherwise,  $\omega$  has some ones and we define  $k = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \omega_j = 1\}$ . Then we have

$$\omega_1 = \cdots \omega_{k-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_k = 1,$$

which implies that  $\omega \in A_k$ . Thus, the equality is proven and we have  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ . Along with the fact that the  $A_k$ 's are disjoint, this implies that the set of  $A_k$ 's are a partition of  $\Omega$ . Now to show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complements, let  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$  and consider the complement

$$\left( \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right)^C.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is equal to the union of all  $A_k$ 's, then

$$\left( \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right)^C = \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}} A_k \right) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right) = \bigcup_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\} \\ k \notin I}} A_k.$$

The second equality follows from the fact that the  $A_k$ 's are pairwise disjoint. Then if we define  $J = (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , then

$$\left( \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right)^C = \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complements and is, therefore, a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

We now define the probability measure  $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  on each of the  $A_k$ 's by

$$\mathbb{P}(A_k) := \begin{cases} (1-p)^{k-1}p & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{if } k = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then for each  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , we define

$$\mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

This map is well-defined since each element of  $\mathcal{F}$  only has one representation as the union of  $A_k$ 's. Moreover, it is non-negative and for all  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) &= \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}(A_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}} \mathbb{P}(A_k) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A_\infty) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(A_k) \\ &= 0 + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (1-p)^{k-1}p \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^k p. \end{aligned}$$

This is a geometric series with common ratio  $1 - p$ . And since  $p \in (0, 1]$ , then  $1 - p \in [0, 1)$ , so

$$\mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^k p = \frac{p}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{p}{p} = 1.$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \in [0, 1]$ . This also shows that  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$  since

$$\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}} A_k\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}} \mathbb{P}(A_k) = 1.$$

And by definition, the probability  $\mathbb{P}$  of a union of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  is the sum of the probabilities of the sets. Thus,  $\mathbb{P}$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We now define the random variable  $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that

$$Y(\omega) := k, \quad \omega \in A_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

This is defined for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  since the set of  $A_k$ 's is a partition of  $\Omega$ . Our definition of  $\mathcal{F}$  makes it clear that  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, since  $Y^{-1}(k) = A_k \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{P}(Y = k) = \mathbb{P}(Y^{-1}(k)) = \mathbb{P}(A_k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Now even though  $\infty \notin \mathbb{R}$ , we still consider  $Y$  to be a function from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  since  $\mathbb{P}(Y = \infty) = \mathbb{P}(A_\infty) = 0$ . This means that the range of  $Y$  is, effectively,  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , since the only thing  $Y$  maps to  $\infty$  is the all-zero sequence  $\{0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . And for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = k) = \mathbb{P}(A_k) = (1-p)^{k-1}p.$$

Thus, we have that  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(p)$  is a random variable on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

We now construct a sequence of simple random variables  $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converging to  $Y$  in the following way:

$$Y_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k I_{A_k}.$$

Each  $Y_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable since  $Y_n^{-1}(k) = A_k \in \mathcal{F}$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is the range of  $Y_n$ . One can see that  $Y_n \rightarrow Y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k I_{A_k}(\omega).$$

Recall that the set of  $A_k$ 's is a partition of  $\Omega$ , so  $\omega$  is in some  $A_\ell$ , in which case

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(\omega) = \ell I_{A_\ell}(\omega) = \ell = Y(\omega).$$

Now for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Y_n$  is a simple random variable, so we have the expected value

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n k \mathbb{P}(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n k (1-p)^{k-1} p = p \sum_{k=1}^n k (1-p)^{k-1}.$$

Now since  $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ , we have the

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \sum_{k=1}^n k(1-p)^{k-1} = p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(1-p)^{k-1}.$$

We now define the power series

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k.$$

Taking the derivative of  $F$ , we find

$$F'(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dz} z^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k z^{k-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = pF'(1-p).$$

Moreover, if  $|z| < 1$ , then  $F(z)$  is a geometric series with common ratio  $z$  and

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

Taking the derivative, we find

$$F'(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{1-z} = \frac{1}{(1-z)^2}.$$

Now since  $p \in (0, 1]$ , then  $1-p \in [0, 1)$ , so  $|1-p| < 1$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = pF'(1-p) = p \cdot \frac{1}{(1-(1-p))^2} = \frac{p}{p^2} = \frac{1}{p}.$$

□

**Proposition 2.** For  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Bin}(4, 1/3)$ ,  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(1/2)$ , and  $Z = Y - X$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 2/3$

*Proof.* By the linearity of  $\mathbb{E}$  proved in Exercise 2, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Y - X] = \mathbb{E}[Y] - \mathbb{E}[X].$$

Using the expected values for  $X$  and  $Y$  found in Lemmas 1 and 2, respectively, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \frac{1}{1/2} - 4 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

□

### Exercise 1(b)

$$Z = X^2 + 3Y.$$

**Proposition 3.**  $Z(\Omega) = \{3k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \mathbb{P}\{3k + 1 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

*Proof.* Recall that  $X(\Omega) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $Y(\Omega) = \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$X^2(\Omega) = \{k^2 : k \in X(\Omega)\} = \{0, 1, 4, 9, 16\},$$

and

$$3Y(\Omega) = \{3k : k \in Y(\Omega)\} = \{3k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\Omega) &= \{Z(\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\} \\ &= \{X^2(\omega) + 3Y : \omega \in \Omega\} \\ &= \{a + b : a \in \{0, 1, 4, 9, 16\}, b \in \{3k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now since 0 and 9 are both multiples of 3 while 1, 4, and 16 are all one more than a multiple of 3, this set can be equivalently written as

$$Z(\Omega) = \{a + b : a \in \{0, 1\}, b \in \{3k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\} = \{3k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{3k + 1 : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

□

**Proposition 4.**  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 26/3.$

*Proof.* We first calculate  $\mathbb{E}[X^2]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^2] &= \sum_{k \in X^2(\Omega)} k \mathbb{P}(X^2 = k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in X(\Omega)} k^2 \mathbb{P}(X^2 = k^2) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^4 k^2 \mathbb{P}(X = k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^4 k^2 \binom{4}{k} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^k \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{4-k} \\ &= \frac{8}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[X^2 + 3Y] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] + 3\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{8}{3} + 3 \frac{1}{1/2} = \frac{26}{3}.$$

□



## Exercise 2

Show that the integral we defined for simple random variables is linear, i.e., for simple random variables  $X, Y$  we get that  $\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] = a\mathbb{E}[X] + b\mathbb{E}[Y]$  (this of course extends to general random variables).

**Proposition 5.** *For simple random variables  $X, Y$  we get that  $\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] = a\mathbb{E}[X] + b\mathbb{E}[Y]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are simple random variables with

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i I_{A_i} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j I_{B_j},$$

where  $\{A_i\}$  and  $\{B_j\}$  are partitions of  $\Omega$ . Now let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the random variable  $aX + bY$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we define

$$C_{ij} := A_i \cap B_j.$$

Now for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have  $\omega \in A_i$  and  $\omega \in B_j$  for some  $i, j$ . This implies that

$$\omega \in A_i \cap B_j = C_{ij}.$$

Now if  $ij \neq k\ell$ , then

$$C_{ij} \cap C_{k\ell} = (A_i \cap B_j) \cap (A_k \cap B_\ell) = (A_i \cap A_k) \cap (B_j \cap B_\ell).$$

Since  $ij \neq k\ell$ , then either  $i \neq k$ , in which case  $A_i \cap A_k = \emptyset$ , or  $j \neq \ell$ , in which case  $B_j \cap B_\ell = \emptyset$ . Either way, we have

$$C_{ij} \cap C_{k\ell} = (A_i \cap A_k) \cap (B_j \cap B_\ell) = \emptyset.$$

Thus,  $\{C_{ij}\}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$ . Similarly, for a fixed  $i$ ,  $\{C_{ij}\}$  is a partition of  $A_i$  and for a fixed  $j$ ,  $\{C_{ij}\}$  is a partition of  $B_j$ . Therefore,

$$I_{A_i} = \sum_{j=1}^m I_{C_{ij}} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{B_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n I_{C_{ij}},$$

which gives us

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=1}^m I_{C_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i I_{C_{ij}}$$

and

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \sum_{i=1}^n I_{C_{ij}} = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n b_j I_{C_{ij}}.$$

Since the expected value of a simple random variable is independent of its representation, then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i \mathbb{P}(C_{ij}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[Y] = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n b_j \mathbb{P}(C_{ij}).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
aX + bY &= a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i I_{C_{ij}} + b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n b_j I_{C_{ij}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m aa_i I_{C_{ij}} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n bb_j I_{C_{ij}} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (aa_i + bb_j) I_{C_{ij}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $aX + bY$  is a simple random variable, with the above representation. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[aX + bY] &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (aa_i + bb_j) \mathbb{P}(C_{ij}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m aa_i \mathbb{P}(C_{ij}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n bb_j \mathbb{P}(C_{ij}) \\
&= a \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i \mathbb{P}(C_{ij}) + b \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n b_j \mathbb{P}(C_{ij}) \\
&= a\mathbb{E}[X] + b\mathbb{E}[Y].
\end{aligned}$$

□

## Exercise 3

Suppose we play the following game based in tosses of a fair coin. You pay me \$10, and I agree to pay you  $\$n^2$  if heads comes up first on the  $n$ th toss. If we play this game repeatedly, how much money do you expect to win or lose per game over the long run?

**Proposition 6.** *We expect to lose \$4.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(1/2)$  be the random variable denoting the index of the first occurrence of heads after an arbitrary number of tosses of a fair coin. Then the random variable denoting the amount of money we win in this scenario is given by

$$X^2 - 10.$$

Then the amount of money we expect to win, in dollars, is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2 - 10] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - 10.$$

To find  $\mathbb{E}[X^2]$ , we use that fact that  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(p)$  implies that

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}.$$

Now using the definition of variance, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X) &= \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2 - 2\mathbb{E}[X]X + \mathbb{E}[X]^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^2] &= \text{Var}(X) + \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \\ &= \frac{1 - 1/2}{(1/2)^2} + \left(\frac{1}{1/2}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1 - 1/2}{(1/2)^2} + \left(\frac{1}{1/2}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1/2}{1/4} + 4 \\ &= 2 + 4 \\ &= 6. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2 - 10] = 6 - 10 = -4,$$

meaning we expect to lose \$4 dollars.

□

## Exercise 4

If  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 1$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = 5$ , find

### Exercise 4(a)

$\mathbb{E}[(2 + X)^2]$ ,

First, we use the linearity of expected value.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(2 + X)^2] &= \mathbb{E}[4 + 4X + X^2] \\ &= 4 + 4\mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[X^2] \\ &= 4 + 4 \cdot 1 + \mathbb{E}[X^2] \\ &= 8 + \mathbb{E}[X^2].\end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(2 + X)^2] &= 8 + \text{Var}(X) + \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \\ &= 8 + 5 + 1^2 \\ &= 14.\end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 4(b)

$\text{Var}(4 + 3X)$ .

We use the definition of variance and the linearity of expected value.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(4 + 3X) &= \mathbb{E}[(4 + 3X - \mathbb{E}[4 + 3X])^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(4 + 3X - 4 - 3\mathbb{E}[X])^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(3X - 3\mathbb{E}[X])^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[9(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] \\ &= 9\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] \\ &= 9 \text{Var}(X) \\ &= 9 \cdot 5 \\ &= 45.\end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 5

Geno (the basketball coach for UConn) goes to the grocery store and finds that Wheaties is placing pictures of UConn basketball players inside its cereal boxes. A total of 6 players are featured, each appearing with equal probability. Find the expected number of boxes Geno needs to buy in order to obtain pictures of 3 different players.

Hint: Let  $X$  be the total number of cereal boxes that Geno buys and let  $N_i$  be the number of boxes that Geno buys to get the  $i$ th player after obtaining the  $(i - 1)$ th player. Then,  $X = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ . What type of random variable is each  $N_i$ ? Use the fact that the expected value is linear!

Assuming that each box contains a picture of some player, then the first box Geno buys will have a picture of a player;  $N_1 = 1$ . Then  $N_2$  is the number of boxes Geno must buy to obtain a picture of a player other than the first player. In other words,  $N_2 \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(5/6)$ , since every box bought after the first has a probability of  $5/6$  of having a picture different from that of the first box. After the box containing the second unique player picture has been purchased,  $N_3$  is the number of boxes Geno must buy to obtain a picture of a player different from both the first and second player. That is,  $N_3 \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Geom}(4/6)$ , since every box after the  $(N_1 + N_2)$ th box has a probability of  $4/6$  of containing a picture of a player different from the first two. Then by the linearity of the expected value,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[N_1 + N_2 + N_3] = \mathbb{E}[N_1] + \mathbb{E}[N_2] + \mathbb{E}[N_3] = 1 + \frac{1}{5/6} + \frac{1}{4/6} = \frac{37}{10}.$$