

Question 1.

For $k \in \mathcal{K}, n \in \mathcal{N}, m = 1, \dots, M$, we define the binary variables $x_{k,m,n} \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $x_{k,m,n} = 1$ if and only if $p_{k,m,n} \leq p_{k,n} < p_{k,m+1,n}$, with $p_{k,M+1,n}$ interpreted as $+\infty$. Thus, the constraint by the total power budget is

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{K} \\ n \in \mathcal{N} \\ m=1, \dots, M}} p_{k,m,n} x_{k,m,n} \leq p$$

the constraint that each channel serves one and only one user is

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{K} \\ m=1, \dots, M}} x_{k,m,n} = 1, \forall n \in \mathcal{N}$$

and the target function is

$$U := \sum_{\substack{k \in \mathcal{K} \\ n \in \mathcal{N} \\ m=1, \dots, M}} r_{k,m,n} x_{k,m,n}$$

In all, we have the ILP below

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k,m,n} &\in \{0, 1\} & (1) \\ \sum_{k,m,n} p_{k,m,n} x_{k,m,n} &\leq p & (2) \\ \sum_{k,m} x_{k,m,n} &= 1, \forall n \in \mathcal{N} & (3) \end{aligned}$$

with target function

$$U := \sum_{k,m,n} r_{k,m,n} x_{k,m,n}$$

the corresponding LP is obtained by replacing (1) with $x_{k,m,n} \in [0, 1]$

Question 2.

Proof of **Lemma 1**

Suppose $p_{k,m,n} \leq p_{k',m',n}$ and $r_{k,m,n} \geq r_{k',m',n}$. Given an optimal solution to the ILP, if $x_{k',m',n} \neq 0$, then $x_{k',m',n} = 1$ by (1). If we replace $x_{k,m,n}$ by 1 and $x_{k',m',n}$ by 0, (1) and (3) are clearly satisfied, (2) is also satisfied since $p_{k,m,n} \leq p_{k',m',n}$, and U will not decrease since $r_{k,m,n} \geq r_{k',m',n}$, so we get an optimal where $x_{k',m',n} = 0$

Question 3.

REMOVE-IP-DOMINATED(n)

- 1 sort the pairs $(p_{k,m,n}, r_{k,m,n})$ in increasing order of $p_{k,m,n}$ into an array A .
If several pairs have the same p , leave only the one with the greatest r
- 2 $cm = A[0].r$
- 3 **for** $i = 0$ to $A.length-1$
- 4 **if** $A[i].r \geq cm$
- 5 $cm = A[i].r$
- 6 **else** remove $A[i].p$ and $A[i].r$ from the original data

sorting $p_{k,m,n}$ takes time $O(KM \log(KM))$, the loop from line 3 takes time $O(KM)$. We will run REMOVE-IP-DOMINATED for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$, so in all it takes time $O(NKM \log(KM))$ to remove IP-dominated terms.

N.B. Accounting for that $p_{k,m,n}$ is increasing according to m for k, n fixed, we may sort quicker in line 1 and achieve a complexity of $O(NKM \log(K))$.

Question 4.

Proof of **Lemma 2**

Suppose $p_{k,m,n} \leq p_{k',m',n} \leq p_{k'',m'',n}$ and

$$\frac{r_{k'',m'',n} - r_{k',m',n}}{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k',m',n}} \geq \frac{r_{k',m',n} - r_{k,m,n}}{p_{k',m',n} - p_{k,m,n}} \quad (4)$$

note that we have

$$p_{k',m',n} = p_{k,m,n} \frac{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k',m',n}}{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k,m,n}} + p_{k'',m'',n} \frac{p_{k',m',n} - p_{k,m,n}}{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k,m,n}} \quad (5)$$

and from (4) we can deduce that

$$r_{k',m',n} \leq r_{k,m,n} \frac{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k',m',n}}{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k,m,n}} + r_{k'',m'',n} \frac{p_{k',m',n} - p_{k,m,n}}{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k,m,n}} \quad (6)$$

Given an optimal solution to the LP, we can construct another solution with

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{k,m,n} &= x_{k,m,n} + x_{k',m',n} \frac{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k',m',n}}{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k,m,n}} \\ x'_{k',m',n} &= 0 \\ x'_{k'',m'',n} &= x_{k'',m'',n} + x_{k',m',n} \frac{p_{k',m',n} - p_{k,m,n}}{p_{k'',m'',n} - p_{k,m,n}} \end{aligned}$$

(3) is satisfied since $x'_{k,m,n} + x'_{k',m',n} + x'_{k'',m'',n} = x_{k,m,n} + x_{k',m',n} + x_{k'',m'',n}$, and so is (2) since $x'_{k,m,n}, x'_{k',m',n}, x'_{k'',m'',n} \geq 0$ and none of them can surpass 1 or else one of the x', \cdot, n would be negative.

(2) is satisfied owing to (5), and $U' \geq U$ owing to (6). Thus we get an optimal solution where $x_{k',m',n} = 0$

In the pseudo-code below, we consider the input as points in the plane with coordinates $(p_{k,m,n}, r_{k,m,n})$. For simplicity, for a stack S , we note $S[0]$ the top element and $S[1]$ the second top one; for two points A, B in the plane, we note $L(A, B)$ the slope of the line formed between them; we say B is *dominated* by A and C if and only if $A.p \leq B.p \leq C.p$ and $L(A, B) \leq L(B, C)$, which is just another interpretation of (4)

REMOVE-LP-DOMINATED(n)

```

1  sort the pairs  $(p_{k,m,n}, r_{k,m,n})$  in increasing order of  $p_{k,m,n}$  into an array  $A$ .
   If several pairs have the same  $p$ , leave only the one with the greatest  $r$ 
2  let  $S$  be a stack
3  PUSH( $A[0]$ ,  $S$ )
4  PUSH( $A[1]$ ,  $S$ )
5  for  $i = 2$  to  $A.length-1$ 
6      while  $L(A[i], S[0]) \geq L(S[0], S[1])$ 
7          POP( $S$ )
8      PUSH( $A[i]$ ,  $S$ )
9  return  $S$ 
```

Proof of correctness We use the loop invariant that after each iteration of line 5, S contains exactly all the points that are not dominated by points from $A[0]$ to $A[i]$, the line segments form a convex curve.

The invariant trivially holds before line 5. Suppose it holds before the i th iteration. During the i th iteration, the points removed by line 7 are clearly dominated by $A[i]$ and $S[1]$. After the **while** loop terminates, we can be sure that all points in A between $S[0]$ and $A[i]$ are dominated by these two points and thus cannot be added to S , nor can the points between $A[0]$ and $S[0]$ by the loop invariant.

Note that the points in S form a convex curve, so that by $L(A[i], S[0]) < L(S[0], S[1])$ we have that after line 8 the points in S still form a convex curve and so no points in S are dominated by points from $A[0]$ to $A[i]$, and by the arguments above, these are exactly all the points that have this property.

Time complexity Line 1 takes time $O(KM \log(KM))$. Regarding the **for** loop from line 5 to 8, note that each point can only be pushed or popped only once, so in all the **for** loop takes time $O(KM)$. We run the algorithm for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$, so altogether it takes time $O(NKM \log(KM))$ to remove all LP-dominated terms.