

1           DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME  
2   DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO  
3           PROVINCE

4           A Special Problem  
5           Presented to  
6       the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics  
7           College of Arts and Sciences  
8       University of the Philippines Visayas  
9           Miag-ao, Iloilo

10           In Partial Fulfillment  
11           of the Requirements for the Degree of  
12       Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

13           AMODIA, Kurt Matthew A.  
14           BULAONG, Glen Andrew C.  
15           ELIPAN, Carl Benedict L.

16           Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.  
17           Adviser

18           May 18, 2025

19

## Approval Sheet

20

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and  
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

22

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

23

### DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO PROVINCE

25

26

#### Approved by:

Name

Signature

Date

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D.

\_\_\_\_\_

(Adviser)

Ara Abigail E. Ambita

\_\_\_\_\_

27

(Panel Member)

Christi Florence C. Cala-or

\_\_\_\_\_

(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor

\_\_\_\_\_

(Division Chair)

28                   Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics  
29                   College of Arts and Sciences  
30                   University of the Philippines Visayas

31                   **Declaration**

32       We, Kurt Matthew A. Amodia, Glen Andrew C. Bulaong, and Carl Benedict  
33       L. Elipan, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us and  
34       is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been  
35       properly acknowledged and referred.

Name	Signature	Date
Kurt Matthew A. Amodia (Student)	_____	_____
Glen Andrew C. Bulaong (Student)	_____	_____
Carl Benedict L. Elipan (Student)	_____	_____

## Dedication

38        We dedicate this special problem to all the teachers who have guided us  
39    throughout our academic journey. Your knowledge and mentorship have laid the  
40    foundation for this research, and for that, we are truly grateful.

41        To our families, friends, and classmates, thank you for your unwavering sup-  
42    port, encouragement, and belief in us. Your presence has been a constant source  
43    of strength.

44        Most especially, we dedicate this work to the health offices and frontline per-  
45    sonnel who continue to battle dengue cases with courage and dedication. Your  
46    tireless efforts and sacrifices are an inspiration. We hope that this research, in its  
47    own small way, can contribute to your work and make a meaningful difference in  
48    your fight against this disease.

49

## Acknowledgment

50 This research would not have been possible without the support and guidance  
51 of several individuals and institutions.

52 First and foremost, we express our deepest gratitude to our adviser, Dr. Francis  
53 Dimzon, for his invaluable insights, unwavering support, and commitment to  
54 our project. His guidance was instrumental in shaping the direction of our re-  
55 search.

56 We also extend our sincere thanks to the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and  
57 the Iloilo Epidemiological Unit, for accomodating our inquiries and sharing vital  
58 data and insights. Their cooperation played a crucial role in the success of the  
59 research.

60 Our appreciation also goes to the UPV Health Services Unit, especially the  
61 doctors and nurses who participated in our user testing. Your thoughtful feedback  
62 provided essential perspectives that greatly contributed to the relevance of our  
63 research.

64 Finally, we are greatly thankful to God Almighty, for granting us the strength,  
65 perseverance, and determination to complete this research.

## Abstract

67 Dengue fever remains a significant public health concern in the Philippines, with  
68 cases rising dramatically in recent years. Nationwide outbreaks have placed immense  
69 strain on healthcare systems, underscoring the need for innovative approaches to surveil-  
70 lance and response. In Iloilo City, this national trend was reflected in a significant surge,  
71 with the Iloilo Provincial Health Office reporting 4,585 cases and 10 fatalities as of Au-  
72 gust 10, 2023, a 319% increase from the previous year's 1,095 cases and one death. This  
73 rise overwhelmed local healthcare systems, with over 76% of non-COVID-19 hospital  
74 beds occupied by dengue patients. The absence of a reliable system to monitor and fore-  
75 cast dengue outbreaks contributed to delayed interventions, exacerbating public health  
76 risks and the burden on medical resources. To address this gap, this study developed a  
77 centralized system for monitoring and modernizing data management of dengue cases  
78 in public health institutions, making it more efficient and modern. Using data gathered  
79 from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and online sources, several deep learning mod-  
80 els were trained to predict dengue cases, utilizing weather variables and historical case  
81 data as inputs. These models included Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), ARIMA,  
82 Seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter (KF), and a hybrid KF-LSTM model. The models un-  
83 derwent time series cross-validation strategies to mimic real-world conditions as closely  
84 as possible and were evaluated using metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root  
85 Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). The LSTM model  
86 demonstrated the best performance with the lowest RMSE of 16.90, followed by the  
87 hybrid KF-LSTM model at 25.56. The LSTM model was then integrated into the sys-  
88 tem to provide forecasting features that could support health institutions by offering  
89 actionable insights for proactive intervention strategies.

90 **Keywords:** Dengue, Deep Learning, Monitoring, Prediction

# **91      Contents**

92	<b>1    Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
93	1.1    Overview of the Current State of Technology . . . . .	1
94	1.2    Problem Statement . . . . .	3
95	1.3    Research Objectives . . . . .	4
96	1.3.1    General Objective . . . . .	4
97	1.3.2    Specific Objectives . . . . .	4
98	1.4    Scope and Limitations of the Research . . . . .	5
99	1.5    Significance of the Research . . . . .	6
100	<b>2    Review of Related Literature</b>	<b>9</b>
101	2.1    Dengue . . . . .	9
102	2.2    Outbreak Definition . . . . .	10

103	2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC . . . . .	10
104	2.4 Deep Learning . . . . .	11
105	2.5 Kalman Filter . . . . .	12
106	2.6 Weather Data . . . . .	13
107	2.7 Chapter Summary . . . . .	14
108	<b>3 Research Methodology</b>	<b>15</b>
109	3.1 Research Activities . . . . .	16
110	3.1.1 Gather Dengue Data and Climate Data to Create a Com-	
111	plete Dataset for Forecasting . . . . .	16
112	3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for Dengue	
113	Case Forecasting . . . . .	18
114	3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based Data An-	
115	alytics Dashboard . . . . .	25
116	3.1.4 System Development Framework . . . . .	25
117	3.2 Development Tools . . . . .	28
118	3.2.1 Software . . . . .	28
119	3.2.2 Hardware . . . . .	30
120	3.2.3 Packages . . . . .	30

## CONTENTS ix

121	3.3 Application Requirements . . . . .	32
122	3.3.1 Backend Requirements . . . . .	32
123	3.3.2 User Interface Requirements . . . . .	34
124	3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements . . . . .	37
125	<b>4 Results and Discussion/System Prototype</b>	<b>39</b>
126	4.1 Data Gathering . . . . .	39
127	4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis . . . . .	40
128	4.3 Model Training Results . . . . .	46
129	4.3.1 LSTM Model . . . . .	47
130	4.3.2 ARIMA Model . . . . .	49
131	4.3.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model . . . . .	50
132	4.3.4 Kalman Filter Model . . . . .	52
133	4.4 Model Simulation . . . . .	54
134	4.5 System Prototype . . . . .	55
135	4.5.1 Home Page . . . . .	55
136	4.5.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication . . . . .	56
137	4.5.3 Encoder Interface . . . . .	58

138	4.5.4 Admin Interface . . . . .	67
139	4.6 User Testing . . . . .	75
140	<b>5 Conclusion</b>	<b>77</b>
141	<b>6 References</b>	<b>79</b>
142	<b>A Data Collection Snippets</b>	<b>83</b>

# <sup>143</sup> List of Figures

<sup>144</sup>	3.1 Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases . . . . .	15
<sup>145</sup>	3.2 Testing Process for DengueWatch . . . . .	27
<sup>146</sup>	3.3 Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash	
<sup>147</sup>	Database Structure . . . . .	33
<sup>148</sup>	3.4 Use Case Diagram for Admins . . . . .	34
<sup>149</sup>	3.5 Use Case Diagram for Encoder . . . . .	36
<sup>150</sup>	4.1 Snippet of the Combined Dataset . . . . .	40
<sup>151</sup>	4.2 Data Contents . . . . .	41
<sup>152</sup>	4.3 Dataset Statistics . . . . .	41
<sup>153</sup>	4.4 Trend of Dengue Cases . . . . .	42
<sup>154</sup>	4.5 Ranking of Correlations . . . . .	43
<sup>155</sup>	4.6 Pre-Transform Feature Distributions . . . . .	44

156	4.7 Scatterplots . . . . .	44
157	4.8 Post-Transform Feature Distributions . . . . .	45
158	4.9 Transformed Distributions: Scatterplots . . . . .	45
159	4.10 Ranking of Correlations with New Distributions . . . . .	46
160	4.11 Training Folds - Window Size 5 . . . . .	48
161	4.12 Testing Folds - Window Size 5 . . . . .	49
162	4.13 ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set . . . . .	50
163	4.14 Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set . . . . .	51
164	4.15 Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set . . . . .	53
165	4.16 Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025 . . . . .	55
166	4.17 Home Page . . . . .	56
167	4.18 Sign Up Page . . . . .	57
168	4.19 Login Page . . . . .	58
169	4.20 First Part of Case Report Form . . . . .	59
170	4.21 Second Part of Case Report Form . . . . .	60
171	4.22 Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV . . . . .	61
172	4.23 Dengue Reports . . . . .	62

*LIST OF FIGURES*

xiii

173	4.24 Detailed Case Report . . . . .	63
174	4.25 Update Report Dialog . . . . .	64
175	4.26 Delete Report Alert Dialog . . . . .	65
176	4.27 Forecasting Page . . . . .	67
177	4.28 Retraining Configurations . . . . .	68
178	4.29 Start Retraining . . . . .	69
179	4.30 Retraining Results . . . . .	69
180	4.31 List of Verified Accounts . . . . .	71
181	4.32 List of Pending Accounts . . . . .	71
182	4.33 Account Details . . . . .	72
183	4.34 List of Blacklisted Accounts . . . . .	72
184	4.35 Disease Reporting Unit Registration . . . . .	73
185	4.36 List of Disease Reporting Units . . . . .	74
186	4.37 Disease Reporting Unit details . . . . .	74
187	A.1 Snippet of Consolidated Data . . . . .	83
188	A.2 Snippet of Weather Data Collection . . . . .	84
189	A.3 Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU . . . . .	85

190	A.4 System Usability Score Questionnaire . . . . .	86
-----	--	----

# <sup>191</sup> List of Tables

<sup>192</sup>	4.1 Comparison of different models for dengue prediction . . . . .	47
<sup>193</sup>	4.2 Comparison of Window Sizes . . . . .	47
<sup>194</sup>	4.3 Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes	48
<sup>195</sup>	4.4 Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold . . . . .	52
<sup>196</sup>	4.5 Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold . . . . .	54
<sup>197</sup>	4.6 Computed System Usability Scores per Participant . . . . .	75

<sup>198</sup> **Chapter 1**

<sup>199</sup> **Introduction**

<sup>200</sup> **1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology**

<sup>201</sup> Dengue cases surged globally in 2023 and continued to rise in 2025, with over  
<sup>202</sup> five million cases and more than 5,000 deaths across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).  
<sup>203</sup> The World Health Organization reported a ten-fold increase in cases from 2000  
<sup>204</sup> to 2019, peaking in 2019 with the disease affecting 129 countries (WHO, 2024).  
<sup>205</sup> In the Philippines, dengue remains endemic, leading to prolonged and widespread  
<sup>206</sup> outbreaks.

<sup>207</sup> In Iloilo, dengue cases escalated dramatically. By August 2023, the provincial  
<sup>208</sup> health office reported 4,585 cases and 10 deaths, marking a 319% increase from  
<sup>209</sup> the previous year (Perla, 2024). Iloilo has reached the outbreak threshold, and  
<sup>210</sup> local authorities are on blue alert. In Iloilo City, 649 cases were recorded in 2024,  
<sup>211</sup> with two deaths. Hospital capacity is strained, with non-COVID-19 hospital bed

212 occupancy exceeding 76%. This highlights the increasing pressure on healthcare  
213 resources in the region.

214 In recent years, technology has played a growing role in improving disease  
215 surveillance across the globe. Internationally, A study published in Frontiers  
216 in Physics utilized the Kalman filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, a,  
217 Brazil(Ahmadi et al., 2021). A study also suggests that weather-based fore-  
218 casting models using variables like mean temperature and cumulative rainfall can  
219 provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high sensitivity and specificity,  
220 enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance (Hii, Zhu, Ng, Ng, & Rocklöv,  
221 2012). Locally, in Davao City, Ligue (2022) found that deep learning models can  
222 accurately predict dengue outbreaks by capturing complex, time-dependent pat-  
223 terns in environmental data. The study of Carvajal et. al. uses machine learning  
224 methods to reveal the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila  
225 and emphasizes the significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological fac-  
226 tor, alongside rainfall and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et  
227 al., 2018).

228 Most studies remain theoretical or academic, with limited translation into  
229 practical tools that communities and local health authorities can use for early  
230 warning and response. An example of such application is RabDash, developed by  
231 the University of the Philippines Mindanao. RabdashDC (2024) is a web-based  
232 dashboard for rabies data analytics. However, while RabDash demonstrates the  
233 potential of applying advanced analytics in public health, similar systems are  
234 lacking in the context of dengue.

<sup>235</sup> **1.2 Problem Statement**

<sup>236</sup> Dengue remains a critical public health challenge worldwide, with cases increasing  
<sup>237</sup> due to the easing of COVID-19 restrictions and heightened global mobility. While  
<sup>238</sup> a temporary decline in cases was observed during the pandemic (2020–2022) due  
<sup>239</sup> to reduced surveillance efforts, 2023 marked a resurgence, with over five million  
<sup>240</sup> cases and more than 5,000 deaths reported across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).  
<sup>241</sup> In Iloilo City and Province, dengue cases rose by 319% as of August 2023, over-  
<sup>242</sup> whelming local healthcare systems. This surge strained resources, with over 76%  
<sup>243</sup> of non-COVID-19 hospital beds occupied by dengue patients (Perla, 2024), high-  
<sup>244</sup> lighting the urgent need for effective monitoring and predictive tools. Despite  
<sup>245</sup> all these studies, there remains a significant gap in the development of publicly  
<sup>246</sup> accessible systems that apply these predictive models in real-world settings. Most  
<sup>247</sup> existing studies remain confined to academic or theoretical contexts, with little  
<sup>248</sup> translation into practical tools for local communities and public health authorities.  
<sup>249</sup> In particular, there is a lack of research focused specifically on dengue prediction  
<sup>250</sup> and surveillance in Iloilo. While deep learning models have shown high accuracy  
<sup>251</sup> in other regions, their application in the local context of Iloilo is minimal. The  
<sup>252</sup> lack of a reliable system to monitor and forecast dengue outbreaks contributes to  
<sup>253</sup> delayed interventions, exacerbating public health risks and healthcare burdens in  
<sup>254</sup> the region.

<sup>255</sup> **1.3 Research Objectives**

<sup>256</sup> **1.3.1 General Objective**

<sup>257</sup> This study aims to develop a centralized monitoring and analytics system for  
<sup>258</sup> dengue cases in Iloilo City and Province with data management and forecasting  
<sup>259</sup> capabilities. The researchers will train and compare multiple deep learning models  
<sup>260</sup> to predict dengue case trends based on climate data and historical dengue cases  
<sup>261</sup> to help public health officials in possible dengue case outbreaks.

<sup>262</sup> **1.3.2 Specific Objectives**

<sup>263</sup> Specifically, this study aims to:

- <sup>264</sup> 1. Gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and climate data  
<sup>265</sup> (including temperature, rainfall, wind, and humidity) from online sources,  
<sup>266</sup> and combine and aggregate these into a unified dataset to facilitate compre-  
<sup>267</sup> hensive dengue case forecasting;
- <sup>268</sup> 2. Train and evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases using  
<sup>269</sup> metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error  
<sup>270</sup> (RMSE), and Mean Squared Error (MSE), and determine the most accurate  
<sup>271</sup> forecasting approach; and
- <sup>272</sup> 3. Develop a web-based analytics dashboard that integrates the predictive  
<sup>273</sup> model, provides a data management system for dengue cases in Iloilo City

274 and the Province, and assess its usability and effectiveness through struc-  
275 tured feedback from health professionals and policymakers.

## 276 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

277 This study aims to gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office  
278 and climate data from online sources such as PAGASA or weatherandclimate.com.

279 These data will be preprocessed, cleaned, and combined into a unified dataset to  
280 facilitate comprehensive dengue case forecasting. However, the study is limited by  
281 the availability and completeness of historical data. Inconsistent or missing data  
282 points may introduce biases and reduce the quality of predictions. Furthermore,  
283 the granularity of the data will be in a weekly format.

284 To evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases, the study will  
285 train and compare the performance of various models, using metrics like Mean  
286 Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). While these models  
287 aim to provide accurate forecasts, their performance is heavily influenced by the  
288 quality and size of the dataset. Limited or low-quality data may lead to suboptimal  
289 predictions. Additionally, the models cannot fully account for external factors  
290 such as public health interventions or socio-economic conditions which may impact  
291 dengue transmission dynamics.

292 The study also involves developing a web-based analytics dashboard that in-  
293 tegrates predictive models and provides a data management system for dengue  
294 cases in Iloilo City and the Province. This dashboard will offer public health  
295 officials an interactive interface to visualize dengue trends, input new data, and

<sup>296</sup> identify risk areas. However, its usability depends on feedback from stakeholders,  
<sup>297</sup> which may vary based on their familiarity with analytics tools. Moreover, exter-  
<sup>298</sup> nal factors such as limited internet connectivity or device availability in remote  
<sup>299</sup> areas may affect the system's adoption and effectiveness. While the dashboard  
<sup>300</sup> provides valuable insights, it cannot incorporate all factors influencing dengue  
<sup>301</sup> transmission, emphasizing the need for ongoing validation and refinement.

## <sup>302</sup> 1.5 Significance of the Research

<sup>303</sup> This study's development of an AI-based dengue forecasting and monitoring sys-  
<sup>304</sup> tem has wide-reaching significance for various stakeholders in Iloilo City:

- <sup>305</sup> • Public Health Agencies: Organizations like the Department of Health (DOH)  
<sup>306</sup> and local health units in Iloilo City and Province stand to benefit greatly  
<sup>307</sup> from the system. With dengue predictions, we can help these agencies optimi-  
<sup>308</sup> zize their response strategies and implement targeted prevention measures  
<sup>309</sup> in high-risk areas before cases escalate.
- <sup>310</sup> • Local Government Units (LGUs): LGUs can use the system to support  
<sup>311</sup> their disaster management and health initiatives by proactively addressing  
<sup>312</sup> dengue outbreaks. The predictive insights allow for more efficient planning  
<sup>313</sup> and resource deployment in barangays and communities most vulnerable to  
<sup>314</sup> outbreaks, improving overall public health outcomes.
- <sup>315</sup> • Healthcare Facilities: Hospitals and clinics, which currently face high bed  
<sup>316</sup> occupancy rates during dengue season will benefit from early outbreak fore-

## *1.5. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH*

7

317        casts that can help in managing patient inflow and ensuring adequate hos-  
318        pital capacity.

319        • Researchers and Policymakers: This AI-driven approach contributes valua-  
320        ble insights for researchers studying infectious disease patterns and policy-  
321        makers focused on strengthening the national AI Roadmap. The system's  
322        data can support broader initiatives for sustainable health infrastructure  
323        and inform policy decisions on resource allocation for dengue control.

324        • Community Members: By reducing the frequency and severity of outbreaks,  
325        this study ultimately benefits the community at large. This allows for timely  
326        awareness campaigns and community engagement initiatives, empowering  
327        residents with knowledge and preventative measures to protect themselves  
328        and reduce the spread of dengue.



# <sup>329</sup> Chapter 2

## <sup>330</sup> Review of Related Literature

### <sup>331</sup> 2.1 Dengue

<sup>332</sup> Dengue disease is a tropical and subtropical mosquito-borne viral illness and is a  
<sup>333</sup> major health concern in the Philippines (Bravo, Roque, Brett, Dizon, & L'Azou,  
<sup>334</sup> 2014). The majority of individuals with dengue experience no symptoms. Fever is  
<sup>335</sup> the most common symptom, typically 4 to 7 days after being bitten by an infected  
<sup>336</sup> mosquito (Zhou & Malani, 2024). In recent years, the trend of dengue cases in  
<sup>337</sup> the Philippines has shown notable fluctuations, with periodic outbreaks occur-  
<sup>338</sup> ring every 3 to 5 years, often influenced by climatic and environmental changes.  
<sup>339</sup> According to the Department of Health (DOH), the number of reported cases  
<sup>340</sup> has steadily increased over the past decades, attributed to urbanization, popula-  
<sup>341</sup> tion growth, and inadequate vector control measures (World Health Organization  
<sup>342</sup> (WHO), 2018). Moreover, studies suggest that El Niño and La Niña events have  
<sup>343</sup> significant effects on dengue incidence, with warmer temperatures and increased

<sup>344</sup> rainfall providing favorable breeding conditions for mosquitoes (Watts, Burke,  
<sup>345</sup> Harrison, Whitmire, & Nisalak, 2020). The study of Carvajal et. al. highlights  
<sup>346</sup> the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila and emphasizes the  
<sup>347</sup> significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological factor, alongside rainfall  
<sup>348</sup> and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et al., 2018).

## <sup>349</sup> 2.2 Outbreak Definition

<sup>350</sup> The definition of an outbreak is a critical factor in disease surveillance, as it  
<sup>351</sup> determines the threshold at which an unusual increase in cases is considered a  
<sup>352</sup> public health concern. Studies suggest that outbreak thresholds should be context-  
<sup>353</sup> specific, given the variability in transmission dynamics across different locations  
<sup>354</sup> (Runge-Ranzinger et al., 2016). Outbreak definitions defined using the Endemic  
<sup>355</sup> Channel often base thresholds on 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean  
<sup>356</sup> number of historic dengue cases. Other studies (Hemisphere, 2015) also used an  
<sup>357</sup> alert threshold of a 5-year mean plus 2 standard deviations. A study by (Brady,  
<sup>358</sup> Smith, Scott, & Hay, 2015) discussed that definitions of dengue outbreaks differ  
<sup>359</sup> significantly across regions and time, making them inconsistent and incomparable.

## <sup>360</sup> 2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC

<sup>361</sup> RabDash, developed by the University of the Philippines Mindanao, is a web-  
<sup>362</sup> based dashboard for rabies data analytics. It combines predictive modeling with  
<sup>363</sup> genomic data, enabling local health authorities to optimize interventions and al-

364 locate resources more effectively. RabDash's modules include trend visualization,  
365 geographic hotspot mapping, and predictive forecasting, utilizing Long Short-  
366 Term Memory (LSTM) models for time-series forecasting (RabDashDC, 2024).

367 For DengueWatch, RabDash serves as a strong inspiration, particularly in  
368 its monitoring, historical trend visualization, and forecasting capabilities. These  
369 features align well with the needs of dengue control efforts, providing real-time  
370 insights into outbreak trends and enabling more effective, data-driven decision-  
371 making. RabDash's architecture is relevant to the DengueDash, as dengue out-  
372 breaks similarly require time-series forecasting models. By using LSTM, RabDash  
373 effectively models trends in outbreak data, which provides a framework for adapt-  
374 ing LSTM to dengue forecasting. Research indicates that LSTM models outper-  
375 form traditional methods, such as ARIMA and MLP, in handling the complexities  
376 of time-dependent epidemiological data (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

## 377 2.4 Deep Learning

378 The study of (Ligue & Ligue, 2022) highlights how data-driven models can help  
379 predict dengue outbreaks. The authors compared traditional statistical meth-  
380 ods, such as non-seasonal and seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average  
381 (ARIMA), and traditional feed-forward network approach using a multilayer per-  
382 ceptron (MLP) model with a deep learning approach using the long short-term  
383 memory (LSTM) architecture in their prediction model. They found that the  
384 LSTM model performs better in terms of accuracy. The LSTM model achieved a  
385 much lower root mean square error (RMSE) compared to both MLP and ARIMA

386 models, proving its ability to capture complex patterns in time-series data (Ligue  
387 & Ligue, 2022). This superior performance is attributed to LSTM's capacity  
388 to capture complex, time-dependent relationships within the data, such as those  
389 between temperature, rainfall, humidity, and mosquito populations, all of which  
390 contribute to dengue incidence (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

## 391 2.5 Kalman Filter

392 The Kalman Filter is another powerful tool for time-series forecasting that can be  
393 integrated into our analysis. It provides a recursive solution to estimating the state  
394 of a linear dynamic system from a series of noisy measurements. Its application  
395 in epidemiological modeling can enhance prediction accuracy by accounting for  
396 uncertainties in the data(Li et al., 2022). Studies have shown that Kalman filters  
397 are effective in predicting infectious disease outbreaks by refining estimates based  
398 on observed data. A study published in Frontiers in Physics utilized the Kalman  
399 filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, Brazil. They found that the Kalman  
400 filter effectively tracked the progression of deaths and cases, providing critical in-  
401 sights for public health decision-making (Ahmadini et al., 2021). Another research  
402 article in PLOS ONE focused on tracking the effective reproduction number ( $R_t$ )  
403 of COVID-19 using a Kalman filter. This method estimated the growth rate of  
404 new infections from noisy data, demonstrating that the Kalman filter could main-  
405 tain accurate estimates even when case reporting was inconsistent(Arroyo-Marioli,  
406 Bullano, Kucinskas, & Rondón-Moreno, 2021).

407 This study compares ARIMA, seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter, and LSTM

<sup>408</sup> models using collected dengue case data along with weather data to identify the  
<sup>409</sup> most effective model for real-time forecasting.

## <sup>410</sup> 2.6 Weather Data

<sup>411</sup> The relationship between weather patterns and mosquito-borne diseases is inher-  
<sup>412</sup> ently nonlinear, meaning that fluctuations in disease cases do not respond propor-  
<sup>413</sup> tionally to changes in climate variables(Colón-González, Fezzi, Lake, & Hunter,  
<sup>414</sup> 2013) Weather data, such as minimum temperature and accumulated rainfall, are  
<sup>415</sup> strongly linked to dengue case fluctuations, with effects observed after several  
<sup>416</sup> weeks due to mosquito breeding and virus incubation cycles. Integrating these  
<sup>417</sup> lagged weather effects into predictive models can improve early warning systems  
<sup>418</sup> for dengue control(Cheong, Burkart, Leitão, & Lakes, 2013). A study also sug-  
<sup>419</sup> gests that weather-based forecasting models using variables like mean temperature  
<sup>420</sup> and cumulative rainfall can provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high  
<sup>421</sup> sensitivity and specificity, enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance(Hii et  
<sup>422</sup> al., 2012).

<sup>423</sup> This study utilizes weather data, including variables such as temperature,  
<sup>424</sup> rainfall, and humidity, as inputs for our dengue forecasting model. Given the  
<sup>425</sup> strong, nonlinear relationship between climate patterns and dengue incidence,  
<sup>426</sup> these weather variables, along with their lagged effects, are essential for enhancing  
<sup>427</sup> prediction accuracy and providing timely early warnings for dengue outbreaks.

**428 2.7 Chapter Summary**

429 This chapter reviewed key literature relevant to our study, focusing on existing  
430 systems, predictive modeling techniques and the role of weather data in forecast-  
431 ing dengue outbreaks. We examined systems like RabDash DC, which integrates  
432 predictive modeling with real-time data to inform public health decisions, provid-  
433 ing a foundational structure for our Dengue Watch System. Additionally, deep  
434 learning approaches, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks,  
435 were highlighted for their effectiveness in time-series forecasting, while alternative  
436 methods such as ARIMA and Kalman Filters were considered for their ability to  
437 model complex temporal patterns and handle noisy data.

438 The literature further underscores the significance of weather variables—such  
439 as temperature and rainfall—in forecasting dengue cases. Studies demonstrate  
440 that these variables contribute to accurate outbreak prediction models. Lever-  
441 aging these insights, our study will incorporate both weather data and historical  
442 dengue case counts to build a reliable forecasting model.

# <sup>443</sup> Chapter 3

## <sup>444</sup> Research Methodology

<sup>445</sup> This chapter lists and discusses the specific steps and activities that were per-  
<sup>446</sup> formed to accomplish the project. The discussion covers the activities from pre-  
<sup>447</sup> proposal to Final SP Writing.




Figure 3.1: Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases

<sup>448</sup> This summarizes the workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue  
<sup>449</sup> cases. This workflow focuses on using statistical, deep learning, and probabilistic  
<sup>450</sup> models to forecast the number of reported dengue cases. The approach involves  
<sup>451</sup> deploying several models for prediction, including ARIMA and Seasonal ARIMA

452 as statistical approaches, LSTM as a deep learning approach, and the Kalman  
453 Filter as a probabilistic approach. These methods are compared with each other  
454 to determine the most accurate model.

### 455 3.1 Research Activities

#### 456 3.1.1 Gather Dengue Data and Climate Data to Create a 457 Complete Dataset for Forecasting

##### 458 Acquisition of Dengue Case Data

459 The historical dengue case dataset used in this study was obtained from the Hu-  
460 manitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for Health Develop-  
461 ment (WVCHD) via Freedom of Information (FOI) requests. The decision to use  
462 weekly intervals was driven by the need for precision and timeliness in captur-  
463 ing fluctuations in dengue cases and weather conditions. Dengue transmission is  
464 influenced by short-term changes in weather variables such as rainfall and temper-  
465 ature, which impact mosquito breeding and virus transmission cycles. A weekly  
466 granularity allowed the model to better capture these short-term trends, enabling  
467 more accurate predictions and responsive public health interventions.

468 Moreover, using a weekly interval provided more data points for training the  
469 models compared to a monthly format. This is particularly critical in time series  
470 modeling, where larger datasets help improve the robustness of the model and its  
471 ability to generalize to new data. Also, the collection of weather data was done

472 by utilizing web scraping techniques to extract weekly weather data (e.g., rainfall,  
473 temperature, and humidity) from Weather Underground ([wunderground.com](http://wunderground.com)).

474

475 **Data Fields**

476 • **Time.** Represents the specific year and week corresponding to each entry  
477 in the dataset.

478 • **Rainfall.** Denotes the observed average rainfall, measured in millimeters,  
479 for a specific week.

480 • **Humidity.** Refers to the observed average relative humidity, expressed as  
481 a percentage, for a specific week.

482 • **Max Temperature.** Represents the observed maximum temperature, mea-  
483 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

484 • **Average Temperature.** Represents the observed average temperature,  
485 measured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

486 • **Min Temperature.** Represents the observed minimum temperature, mea-  
487 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

488 • **Wind.** Represents the observed wind speed, measured in miles per hour  
489 (mph), for a specific week.

490 • **Cases.** Refers to the number of reported dengue cases during a specific  
491 week.

**492 Data Integration and Preprocessing**

493 The dengue case data was integrated with the weather data to create a com  
494 prehensive dataset, aligning the data based on corresponding timeframes. The  
495 dataset undergoed a cleaning process to address any missing values, outliers, and  
496 inconsistencies to ensure its accuracy and reliability. To ensure that all features  
497 and the target variable were on the same scale, a MinMaxScaler was applied to  
498 normalize both the input features (climate data) and the target variable (dengue  
499 cases).

**500 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)**

- 501 • Analyzed trends, seasonality, and correlations between dengue cases and  
502 weather factors.
- 503 • Created visualizations like time series plots and scatterplots to highlight  
504 relationships and patterns in the data.

**505 3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for  
506 Dengue Case Forecasting**

507 The deep learning models were developed and trained to forecast weekly dengue  
508 cases using historical weather data (rainfall, temperature, wind, and humidity)  
509 and dengue case counts. The dataset was normalized and divided into training and  
510 testing sets, ensuring temporal continuity to avoid data leakage. The methodology  
511 for preparing and training the model are outlined below.

512 **Data Preprocessing**

513 The raw dataset included weekly aggregated weather variables (rainfall, tempera-  
514 ture, wind, humidity) and dengue case counts. The "Time" column was converted  
515 to a datetime format to ensure proper temporal indexing. To standardize the data  
516 for training, MinMaxScaler was employed, normalizing the feature values and tar-  
517 get variable to a range of 0 to 1. This step ensured that the models could efficiently  
518 process the data without being biased by feature scaling differences.

519 **LSTM Model**

520 The dataset was split into training and test sets to evaluate the model's perfor-  
521 mance and generalizability:

522 • **Training Set:** 80% of the data (572 sequences) was used for model training,  
523 enabling the LSTM to learn underlying patterns in historical dengue case  
524 trends and their relationship with weather variables.

525 • **Test Set:** The remaining 20% of the data (148 sequences) was reserved for  
526 testing

527 To prepare the data for LSTM, a sliding window approach was utilized. Se-  
528 quences of weeks of normalized features were constructed as input, while the  
529 dengue case count for the subsequent week was set as the target variable. This  
530 approach ensured that the model leveraged temporal dependencies in the data for  
531 forecasting. To enhance the performance of the LSTM model in predicting dengue  
532 cases, Bayesian Optimization was employed using the Keras Tuner library. The

533 tuning process aimed to minimize the validation loss (mean squared error) by  
534 adjusting key model hyper-parameters. The search space is summarized below:

535 **LSTM units:**

- 536     ● min value: 32
- 537     ● max value: 128
- 538     ● step: 16
- 539     ● sampling: linear

540 **Learning Rate:**

- 541     ● min value: 0.0001
- 542     ● max value: 0.01
- 543     ● step: None
- 544     ● sampling: log

545 The tuner was instantiated with:

- 546     ● **max trials = 10:** Limiting the search to 10 different configurations
- 547     ● **executions per trial = 3:** Running each configuration thrice to reduce  
548         variance
- 549     ● **validation split = 0.2:** Reserving 20% of the training data for validation

550        The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for three different window sizes of  
551        data: 5, 10, and 20. This allows the model to have the optimal hyperparameters  
552        used for each window size. Training was conducted over 100 epochs with early  
553        stopping to prevent overfitting while maintaining computational efficiency. A  
554        batch size of 1 was used, enabling the model to process individual sequences,  
555        which is suitable for smaller datasets but results in longer training times. The  
556        Adam optimizer, known for its adaptive learning capabilities and stability was  
557        employed.

558        To validate the effectiveness of the model, cross-validation was implemented.  
559        However, standard k-fold cross-validation randomly shuffles the data, which isn't  
560        suitable for time series since the order of observations is important. To address  
561        this, a time series-specific cross-validation strategy was used with TimeSeriesS-  
562        plit from the scikit-learn library. This method creates multiple train-test splits  
563        where each training set expands over time and each test set follows sequentially.  
564        This approach preserves the temporal structure of the data while helping reduce  
565        overfitting by validating the model across different time segments.

566        After training, predictions on both the training and test datasets were rescaled  
567        to their original scale using the inverse transformation of MinMaxScaler. Model  
568        performance was evaluated using the mean squared error (MSE), root mean  
569        squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE).

## 570        ARIMA

571        The ARIMA model was utilized to forecast weekly dengue cases, leveraging histor-  
572        ical weather data—including rainfall, maximum temperature, and humidity—as

573 exogenous variables alongside historical dengue case counts as the primary dependent  
574 variable. The dataset was partitioned into training (80%) and testing (20%)  
575 sets while maintaining temporal consistency.

576 To identify the optimal ARIMA configuration, a comprehensive grid search  
577 was performed across the following parameter ranges:

578 • Autoregressive order (p): 0 to 3

579 • Differencing order (d): 0 to 2

580 • Moving average order (q): 0 to 3

581 Each combination of (p,d,q) was used to fit an ARIMA model, and performance  
582 was evaluated based on the mean squared error (MSE) between the predicted  
583 and actual dengue cases on the test set. The parameter set that achieved  
584 the lowest MSE was selected as the final model configuration.

585 Following model selection, the best-fit ARIMA model was retrained on the  
586 training set and subsequently used to forecast dengue cases for the test period.

587 The predictions were assigned to the **PredictedCases** column in the test dataset.

588 Model performance was further assessed using key evaluation metrics, including  
589 MSE, root mean squared error (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE). Visual  
590 comparisons between actual and predicted dengue cases were produced through  
591 line plots to better illustrate the model's forecasting accuracy.

**592 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)**

593 The SARIMA modeling process began with data preprocessing, which included  
594 handling missing values through interpolation or imputation, and standardizing  
595 features to ensure stable model training. The dataset was then split into training  
596 and testing sets in an 80:20 ratio, preserving the temporal order of observations.  
597 Seasonality analysis was conducted using time series decomposition and autocor-  
598 relation plots, which revealed a periodicity of 52 weeks—justifying the adoption  
599 of a seasonal model. To fine-tune the model, a grid search was performed over a  
600 range of SARIMA parameters  $(p,d,q)(P,D,Q)[S]$ , while stationarity was validated  
601 using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. The model was then trained  
602 on the dataset using rainfall, temperature, and humidity as exogenous variables,  
603 with convergence ensured by setting a maximum number of iterations. Residual  
604 diagnostics were used to confirm that residuals were uncorrelated, indicating a  
605 good model fit. For evaluation, forecasts were compared against actual values,  
606 and results were visualized with line plots. Finally, to validate the model's gener-  
607 alizability across different time periods, Time Series Cross-Validation with three  
608 folds was applied. This allowed assessment of the model's performance on multi-  
609 ple time segments, providing insights into its robustness in real-world forecasting  
610 scenarios.

**611 Kalman Filter:**

- 612 • Input Variables: The target variable (Cases) was modeled using three re-  
613 gressors: rainfall, max temperature, and humidity.
- 614 • Training and Testing Split: The dataset was split into 80% training and

615        20% testing to evaluate model performance.

616        • Observation Matrix: The Kalman Filter requires an observation matrix,  
617           which was constructed by adding an intercept (column of ones) to the re-  
618           gressors.

619        The Kalman Filter’s Expectation-Maximization (EM) method was employed  
620        for training, iteratively estimating model parameters over 10 iterations. After  
621        training, the smoothing method was used to compute the refined state estimates  
622        across the training data. Observation matrices for the test data were constructed  
623        in the same manner as for the training set, ensuring compatibility with the learned  
624        model parameters. On the test data, the Kalman Filter applied these parameters  
625        to predict and correct the estimated dengue cases, providing more stable and  
626        accurate forecasts compared to direct regression models. Additionally, a hybrid  
627        Kalman Filter–LSTM (KF-LSTM) model was developed to combine the strengths  
628        of both approaches. In this setup, the LSTM model was first used to predict  
629        dengue cases based on historical data and weather features. The Kalman Filter  
630        was then applied as a post-processing step to the LSTM predictions, smoothing  
631        out noise and correcting potential errors.

632        **Model Simulation:**

633        After identifying the best-performing model among all the trained deep learning  
634        models, a simulation was conducted. Using the same parameters from the initial  
635        training, the selected model was retrained with the original dataset along with  
636        new data up to January 2025. The retrained model was then used to forecast  
637        dengue cases for the period from February 2025 to May 2025.

638 **3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based**  
639 **Data Analytics Dashboard**

640 **Dashboard Design and Development**

- 641 • Design an intuitive, user-friendly web-based dashboard incorporating:
- 642 – Interactive visualizations of yearly dengue case trends.
- 643 – Data input and update forms for dengue and weather data.
- 644 – Map display of dengue cases in each district in Iloilo City

645 **Model Integration and Deployment**

- 646 • Deploy the best-performing model within the dashboard as a backend service  
647 to enable real-time or periodic forecasting.

648 **3.1.4 System Development Framework**

649 The Agile Model is the birthchild of both iterative and incremental approaches  
650 in Software Engineering. It aims to be flexible and effective at the same time by  
651 being adaptable to change. It's also important to note that small teams looking  
652 to construct and develop projects quickly can benefit from this kind of method-  
653 ology. As the Agile Method focuses on continuous testing, quality assurance is a  
654 guarantee since bugs and errors are quickly identified and patched.

**655 Design and Development**

656 After brainstorming and researching the most appropriate type of application to  
657 accommodate both the prospected users and the proposed solutions, the team  
658 has decided to proceed with a web application. Given the time constraints and  
659 available resources, it has been decided that the said means is the most pragmatic  
660 and practical move. The next step is to select modern and stable frameworks  
661 that align with the fundamental ideas learned by the researchers in the university.  
662 The template obtained from WVCHD and Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and  
663 Surveillance Unit was meticulously analyzed to create use cases and develop a  
664 preliminary well-structured database that adheres to the requirements needed  
665 to produce a quality application. The said use cases serve as the basis of general  
666 features. Part by part, these are converted into code, and with the help of selected  
667 libraries and packages, it resulted in the desired outcome that may still modified  
668 and extended to achieve scalability.


669 **Testing and Integration**

Figure 3.2: Testing Process for DengueWatch

670 Implementing testing is important to validate the system's performance and ef-  
671 ficacy. Thus a series of tests were conducted to identify and resolve bugs during  
672 the developmental phase. Each feature was rigorously tested to ensure quality as-  
673 surance, with particular emphasis on prerequisite features, as development cannot  
674 progress properly if these fail. Because of this, integration between each feature  
675 serves as a pillar for a cohesive user experience. Since dengue reports include  
676 confidential information, anonymized historical dengue reports were used to train  
677 the model and create the foundational architecture of the system. By using func-  
678 tional tests, data validation and visualization can be ensured for further continual

improvements. Security testing is also important as it is needed to safeguard confidential information when the system is deployed. It includes proper authentication, permission views, and mitigating common injection attacks. Finally, a user acceptance test from the prospected users, in this case, doctors, nurses, and other health workers is crucial to assess its performance and user experience. It enables the developers to confirm if the system meets the needs of the problem.

## 685 **3.2 Development Tools**

### 686 **3.2.1 Software**

#### 687 **Github**

688 GitHub is a cloud-based platform that tracks file changes using Git, an open-  
689 source version control system (*About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs*, n.d.). It is  
690 used in the project to store the application's source code, manage the system's  
691 source version control, and serve as a repository for the Latex files used in the  
692 actual research.

#### 693 **Visual Studio Code**

694 Visual Studio Code is a free, lightweight, and cross-platform source code editor  
695 developed by Microsoft (*Why Visual Studio Code?*, 2021). As VS Code supports  
696 this project's programming and scripting languages, it was chosen as the primary  
697 source code editor.

**698 Django**

699 Django is a free and open-sourced Python-based web framework that offers an  
700 abstraction to develop and maintain a secure web application. As this research  
701 aims to create a well-developed and maintainable application, it is in the best  
702 interest to follow an architectural pattern that developers and contributors in the  
703 future can understand. Since Django adheres to Model-View-Template (MVT)  
704 that promotes a clean codebase by separating data models, business logic, and  
705 presentation layers, it became the primary candidate for the application's back-  
706 bone.

**707 Next.js**

708 A report by Statista (2024) claims that React is the most popular front-end frame-  
709 work among web developers. However, React has limitations that can be a nui-  
710 sance in rapid software development, which includes routing and performance op-  
711 timizations. This is where Next.js comes in—a framework built on top of React.  
712 It offers solutions for React's deficiency, making it a rising star in the framework  
713 race.

**714 Postman**

715 As the application heavily relies on the Application Programming Interface (API)  
716 being thrown by the backend, it is a must to use a development tool that facilitates  
717 the development and testing of the API. Postman is a freemium API platform  
718 that offers a user-friendly interface to create and manage API requests (*What is*

<sup>719</sup> Postman? Postman API Platform, n.d.).

### <sup>720</sup> 3.2.2 Hardware

<sup>721</sup> The web application was developed on laptop computers with minimum specifications of an 11th-generation Intel i5 CPU and 16 gigabytes of RAM. Furthermore,  
<sup>722</sup> an RTX 3060 mobile GPU was also utilized to retrain the integrated model locally.  
<sup>723</sup>

### <sup>724</sup> 3.2.3 Packages

#### <sup>725</sup> Django REST Framework

<sup>726</sup> Django Rest Framework (DRF) is a third-party package for Django that provides a  
<sup>727</sup> comprehensive suite of features to simplify the development of robust and scalable  
<sup>728</sup> Web APIs (Christie, n.d.). These services include Serialization, Authentication  
<sup>729</sup> and Permissions, Viewsets and Routers, and a Browsable API .

#### <sup>730</sup> Leaflet

<sup>731</sup> One of the features of the web application is the ability to map the number  
<sup>732</sup> of cases using a Choropleth Map. Leaflet is the only free, open-sourced, and  
<sup>733</sup> most importantly, stable JavaScript package that can do the job. With its ultra-  
<sup>734</sup> lightweight size, it offers a comprehensive set of features that does not trade  
<sup>735</sup> off performance and usability (*Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for*  
<sup>736</sup> *interactive maps*, n.d.).

**737 Chart.js**

738 Another feature of the application is to provide users with informative, approach-  
739 able data storytelling that is easy for everyone to understand. The transformation  
740 of pure data points and statistics into figures such as charts is a big factor. Thus,  
741 there is a need for a package that can handle this feature without compromising  
742 the performance of the application. Chart.js is a free and open-source JavaScript  
743 package that is made to meet this criteria as it supports various types of charts  
744 (*Chart.js*, n.d.).

**745 Tailwind CSS**

746 Using plain CSS in production-quality applications can be counterproductive.  
747 Therefore, CSS frameworks were developed to promote consistency and accelerate  
748 the rapid development of web applications (Joel, 2021). One of these is Tailwind,  
749 which offers low-level utility classes that can be applied directly to each HTML  
750 element to create a custom design (*Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern web-*  
751 *sites without ever leaving your HTML.*, n.d.). Given the limited timeline for this  
752 project, using this framework is a wise choice due to its stability and popularity  
753 among developers.

**754 Shadcn**

755 Shadcn offers a collection of open-source UI boilerplate components that can be  
756 directly copied and pasted into one's project. With the flexibility of the provided  
757 components, Shadcn allows developers to have full control over customization and

<sup>758</sup> styling. Since this is built on top of Tailwind CSS and Radix UI, it is supported  
<sup>759</sup> by most modern frontend frameworks, including Next.js (Shadcn, n.d.).

<sup>760</sup> **Zod**

<sup>761</sup> Data validation is integral in this web application since it will handle crucial data  
<sup>762</sup> that will be used for analytical inferences and observations. Since Zod is primarily  
<sup>763</sup> used for validating and parsing data, it ensures proper communication between  
<sup>764</sup> the client and the server (Zod, n.d.).

<sup>765</sup> **3.3 Application Requirements**

<sup>766</sup> **3.3.1 Backend Requirements**

<sup>767</sup> **Database Structure Design**

<sup>768</sup> Determining how data flows and how it would be structured is crucial in creating  
<sup>769</sup> the system as it defines how extendible and flexible it would be for future features  
<sup>770</sup> and updates. Thus, creating a comprehensive map of data ensures proper nor-  
<sup>771</sup> malization that eliminates data redundancy and improves data integrity. Figure  
<sup>772</sup> 3.3 depicts the designed database schema that showcases the relationship between  
<sup>773</sup> the application's entities.




Figure 3.3: Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash Database Structure

<sup>774</sup> **3.3.2 User Interface Requirements**

<sup>775</sup> **Admin Interface**




Figure 3.4: Use Case Diagram for Admins

776 Figure 3.4 shows the actions of an admin for a specific Disease Reporting Unit  
777 (DRU) and an admin for a specific Surveillance Unit can take in the application.  
778 Both of them include the management of accounts, browsing records, and fore-  
779 casting and retraining all the consolidated data under their supervision. Most  
780 importantly, these users must verify the encoders who register under their ju-  
781 risdiction before allowing their account to access the application in the name of  
782 safeguarding the integrity of the data. The only advantage of the latter type of ad-  
783 ministrator is that it has a one-step higher authorization as it manages the DRUs.  
784 In addition, only the authorized surveillance unit administrator can register and  
785 create a DRU to uphold transparency and accountability.

<sup>786</sup> **Encoder Interface**




Figure 3.5: Use Case Diagram for Encoder

<sup>787</sup> Figure 3.5, on the other hand, illustrates the use cases for the system's primary  
<sup>788</sup> users. These users can register but must wait for further verification to access the  
<sup>789</sup> application. Similar to the previous interfaces, encoders can browse and manage  
<sup>790</sup> records, as well as forecast the consolidated cases under a specific surveillance or  
<sup>791</sup> disease reporting unit, but they are not allowed to retrain the model. Lastly, they  
<sup>792</sup> are the only type of user that can file and create dengue cases by filling out a form  
<sup>793</sup> with the required details.

**794 3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements****795 Password Encryption**

796 Storing passwords as plain text in the database is a disgrace and a mortal sin in  
797 production. It is important to implement precautionary methods such as hashing  
798 and salting, followed by encryption with a strong algorithm, to prevent bad actors  
799 from using the accounts for malicious transactions. By default, Django generates  
800 a unique random salt for each password and encrypts it with Password-Based Key  
801 Derivation Function 2 (PBKDF2) with a SHA256 hash function. Utilizing these  
802 techniques ensures that in the event of a data breach, cracking these passwords  
803 would be time-consuming and useless for the attackers.

**804 Authentication**

805 DengueWatch utilizes JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to authenticate the user. Since  
806 the mechanism operates in a stateless manner, tokens are served only after a  
807 successful login, eliminating the need for the server to keep a record of the token,  
808 which is vulnerable to session hijacking. In addition, these tokens are signed with  
809 a secret key, ensuring they have not been tampered with.

**810 Data Validation**

811 Both the backend and frontend should validate the input from the user to preserve  
812 data integrity. Thus, Zod is implemented in the latter to help catch invalid inputs  
813 from the user. By doing this, the user can only send proper requests to the server

<sup>814</sup> which streamlines the total workflow. On the other hand, Django has also a built-in  
<sup>815</sup> validator that checks the data type and ensures that the input matches the  
<sup>816</sup> expected format on the server side. These validation processes ensure that only  
<sup>817</sup> valid and properly formatted data is accepted, which reduces the risk of errors  
<sup>818</sup> and ensures consistency across the web application.

<sup>819</sup> **Chapter 4**

<sup>820</sup> **Results and Discussion/System**

<sup>821</sup> **Prototype**

<sup>822</sup> **4.1 Data Gathering**

<sup>823</sup> The data for dengue case prediction was gathered from a variety of reliable sources,  
<sup>824</sup> enabling a comprehensive dataset spanning from January 2011 to October 2024.  
<sup>825</sup> This dataset includes 720 rows of data, each containing weekly records of dengue  
<sup>826</sup> cases along with corresponding meteorological variables, such as rainfall, temper-  
<sup>827</sup> ature, and humidity.

- <sup>828</sup> 1. Dengue Case Data: The primary source of historical dengue cases came  
<sup>829</sup> from the Humanitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for  
<sup>830</sup> Health Development (WVCHD). The dataset, accessed through Freedom of  
<sup>831</sup> Information (FOI) requests, provided robust case numbers for the Western

832 Visayas region. The systematic collection of these data points was essential  
 833 for establishing a reliable baseline for model training and evaluation.

834 2. Weather Data: Weekly weather data was obtained by web scraping from  
 835 Weather Underground, allowing access to rainfall, temperature, wind, and  
 836 humidity levels that correlate with dengue prevalence.

data.head()									
	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases	
0	2011-01-03	9.938571	29.444400	25.888890	23.888900	11.39	86.242857	5	
1	2011-01-10	8.587143	30.000000	26.705556	24.444444	7.32	88.028571	4	
2	2011-01-17	5.338571	30.000000	26.616667	25.000000	7.55	84.028571	2	
3	2011-01-24	5.410000	30.555556	26.483333	20.555556	10.67	80.971429	7	
4	2011-01-31	2.914286	28.333333	25.283333	18.650000	11.01	74.885714	2	

Figure 4.1: Snippet of the Combined Dataset

## 837 4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis

838 From Figure 4.2, the dataset consists of 720 weekly records with 8 columns:

- 839 • **Time.** Weekly timestamps (e.g. "2011-w1")
- 840 • **Rainfall.** Weekly average rainfall (mm)
- 841 • **MaxTemperature, AverageTemperature, MinTemperature.** Weekly  
842 temperature data (C)
- 843 • **Wind.** Wind speed (m/s)
- 844 • **Humidity.** Weekly average humidity (%)

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Time	720 non-null	datetime64[ns]
1	Rainfall	720 non-null	float64
2	MaxTemperature	720 non-null	float64
3	AverageTemperature	720 non-null	float64
4	MinTemperature	720 non-null	float64
5	Wind	720 non-null	float64
6	Humidity	720 non-null	float64
7	Cases	720 non-null	int64
dtypes: datetime64[ns](1), float64(6), int64(1)			
memory usage: 45.1 KB			

Figure 4.2: Data Contents

- 845 • **Cases.** Reported dengue cases

846 From the statistics in figure 4.3, the number of cases ranges from 0 to 319.  
 847 The average number of dengue cases per week is 23.74, with a median of 12 cases  
 848 and a standard deviation of 37.14. The distribution is highly skewed, with some  
 849 weeks experiencing significant number of cases (up to 319 cases). Rainfall shows  
 850 a wide variation (0 to 445mm), while temperature remains relatively stable, with  
 851 an average of 28.1 degree celsius. Humidity levels ranges from 73% to 89% with  
 852 a mean of 81.6%.

	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
<b>count</b>	720	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000
<b>mean</b>	2017-12-02 11:22:00	13.957499	32.191142	28.110319	25.038472	6.172417	81.609442	23.744444
<b>min</b>	2011-01-03 00:00:00	0.000000	-14.600000	24.494444	12.222222	1.910000	73.185714	0.000000
<b>25%</b>	2014-06-21 06:00:00	1.270000	31.666667	27.504167	25.000000	4.117500	79.885713	5.000000
<b>50%</b>	2017-12-07 12:00:00	4.318000	32.222222	28.161111	25.000000	5.725000	81.771429	12.000000
<b>75%</b>	2021-05-11 18:00:00	10.414000	32.777778	28.751389	25.555556	7.860000	83.503571	26.000000
<b>max</b>	2024-10-28 00:00:00	445.008000	58.333333	30.916667	32.222222	19.200000	89.571429	319.000000
<b>std</b>	NaN	35.448846	2.616379	0.999800	1.291659	2.446703	2.831674	37.144813

Figure 4.3: Dataset Statistics

853 Figure 4.4 illustrates the trend of weekly dengue cases over time. The data

reveals periodic spikes in the number of cases, suggesting a seasonal pattern in dengue cases. Notably, peak cases are observed during certain periods approximately 3 years, potentially aligning with specific climatic conditions such as increased rainfall or temperature changes. This underscores the importance of incorporating climate variables into the forecasting model.




Figure 4.4: Trend of Dengue Cases

Figure 4.5 shows the ranking of correlation coefficients between dengue cases and selected features, including rainfall, humidity, maximum temperature, average temperature, minimum temperature, and wind speed. Among these, rainfall exhibits the highest positive correlation with dengue cases (correlation coefficient 0.13), indicating that increased rainfall may contribute to higher cases counts. This aligns with existing studies suggesting that stagnant water from heavy rainfall creates breeding grounds for mosquitos. It is followed by humidity (0.10), suggesting that higher humidity levels may enhance mosquito reproduction, leading to more dengue cases. Temperature has a weak to moderate positive correlation with dengue cases, with maximum temperature (0.09) showing a stronger relationship than average and minimum temperature.




Figure 4.5: Ranking of Correlations

870       Figure 4.6 shows the distributions of each feature while Figure 4.7 shows scat-  
871       terplots of each feature against the number of cases. The distributions of Rainfall,  
872       Max Temperature, Min Temperature, and Wind appear skewed, which is common  
873       for many real-world variables. This skewness can distort correlation estimates, as  
874       Pearson correlation assume linear relationships and are more reliable when vari-  
875       ables follow a symmetric or approximately normal distribution (Bobbitt, 2021).  
876       Applying a log transformation can help normalize these distributions, improve lin-  
877       earity, and thus lead to more meaningful and accurate correlation analysis (Htoon,  
878       2021).




Figure 4.6: Pre-Transform Feature Distributions




Figure 4.7: Scatterplots

879 After applying a log transformation, Figure 4.8 shows the new distributions for  
 880 the previously skewed distributions, while Figure 4.9 shows the new scatterplots  
 881 of each feature against the number of cases. Now, all distributions exhibit a  
 882 somewhat normal distribution which is ideal for computing linear computations  
 883 such as Pearson's correlation.




Figure 4.8: Post-Transform Feature Distributions




Figure 4.9: Transformed Distributions: Scatterplots

884 Figure 4.10 presents the recomputed correlation coefficients between dengue

cases and the log-transformed weather features. Rainfall shows the strongest correlation at 0.16, followed by Max Temperature at 0.12, and Humidity at 0.10. While other features are included, their correlation values are very small. Although the individual correlations are weak, they provide valuable signals that, when combined in a multivariate model, may contribute meaningfully to predictive performance., As a result, Rainfall, Max Temperature, and Humidity are selected as the key features for model training.




Figure 4.10: Ranking of Correlations with New Distributions

### 4.3 Model Training Results

The models were evaluated using three metrics: MSE, RMSE, and MAE. The table below provides a summary and comparative analysis of each model's results across these metrics, offering insights into the strengths and limitations of each forecasting technique for dengue case prediction in Iloilo City. The lower values of the three metrics indicate better forecasting performance. Table 4.1 shows that

898 the models performed differently on testing data. LSTM outperformed the other  
 899 models with the lowest RMSE, MSE, and MAE while the other three models had  
 900 relatively higher values for the three metrics.

Method	LSTM	Seasonal ARIMA	ARIMA	Kalman Filter	KF-LSTM
Testing MSE	285.54	1261.20	1521.48	1474.82	785.35
Testing RMSE	16.90	34.45	39.00	38.40	25.56
Testing MAE	9.45	18.73	25.80	22.33	14.55
Best Parameters	Window Size: 5 Learning Rate: 0.01 Units: 64	(2,0,2)(0,1,1)	(1,2,2)	Observation Covariance: 10.0 Transition Covariance: 0.1 × Identity	Same as LSTM

Table 4.1: Comparison of different models for dengue prediction

### 901 4.3.1 LSTM Model

902 The LSTM model was tuned for the following parameters: learning rate and units.  
 903 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for each window size, finding the best  
 904 parameters for each window size. Further evaluating which window size is most  
 905 suitable for the prediction model, Table 4.2 shows the evaluation metrics for each  
 window size used in the LSTM model training.

Window Size	MSE	RMSE	MAE	R <sup>2</sup>
5	285.54	16.90	9.45	0.83
10	334.63	18.29	9.85	0.80
20	294.85	17.17	9.35	0.83

Table 4.2: Comparison of Window Sizes

906  
 907 The results indicate that a window size of 5 weeks provides the most accurate  
 908 predictions, as evidenced by the lowest MSE and RMSE values. Furthermore, the  
 909 R<sup>2</sup> score of 0.83 indicates that 83% of the variability in the target variable (cases)  
 910 is explained by the independent variables (the inputs) in the model, making it a  
 911 reliable configuration overall.

912 As shown in Table 4.3, the results from time series cross-validation indicate  
 913 consistent performance trends, with a window size of 5 yielding the highest average  
 914 RMSE across all folds compared to the other window sizes.

Window Size	Average RMSE	Average MAE	Average R <sup>2</sup>
5	16.69	9.06	0.79
10	17.08	10.40	0.75
20	16.93	8.75	0.81

Table 4.3: Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes

915 Figure 4.11 illustrates the model's performance in predicting dengue cases  
 916 for each fold using a window size of 5. As shown in the plot, the training set  
 917 progressively increases with each fold, mimicking a real-world scenario where more  
 918 data becomes available over time for dengue prediction. Figure 4.12 demonstrates  
 919 that the predicted cases closely follow the trend of the actual cases, indicating  
 920 that the LSTM model successfully captures the underlying patterns in the data.  
 921 It is also evident that as the fold number increases and the training set grows, the  
 922 accuracy of the predictions on the test set improves. Despite the test data being  
 923 unseen, the model exhibits a strong ability to generalize, suggesting it effectively  
 924 leverages past observations to predict future trends.




Figure 4.11: Training Folds - Window Size 5




Figure 4.12: Testing Folds - Window Size 5

### 925 4.3.2 ARIMA Model

926 The ARIMA model was developed to capture non-seasonal trends in the data.  
 927 To determine the best model configuration, grid search was used to explore vari-  
 928 ous combinations of ARIMA parameters, ultimately selecting **ARIMA(1, 2, 2)**.  
 929 The model was iteratively refined over **400 iterations** to ensure convergence to  
 930 an optimal solution. Figure 4.13 illustrates the comparison between actual and  
 931 predicted dengue cases in the test set. As shown in the plot, the ARIMA model  
 932 struggled to capture the non-linear characteristics and abrupt spikes in the data.  
 933 Consequently, it failed to accurately reflect the fluctuations and outbreak patterns  
 934 seen in the actual case counts.




Figure 4.13: ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

935        The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its  
 936        forecasting capability. The ARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

- 937        • **MSE (Mean Squared Error):** 1521.48
- 938        • **RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error):** 39.01
- 939        • **MAE (Mean Absolute Error):** 25.80

### 940        4.3.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model

941        To address the limitations of the ARIMA model, a Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)  
 942        model was developed to capture both non-seasonal and seasonal variations in the

943 data.

944 This model incorporates seasonal parameters, which were tuned using grid  
 945 search to find the best configuration: **SARIMA(2, 0, 2)(0, 1, 1)[52]**. As with  
 946 ARIMA, **400 iterations** were applied to ensure a robust fit. As shown in Figure  
 947 4.14, the SARIMA model demonstrates a notable improvement in performance.  
 948 Unlike its non-seasonal counterpart, it effectively captures the general trend and  
 949 aligns more closely with the peaks observed in the actual dengue cases, indicating  
 950 its ability to model seasonal dynamics.




Figure 4.14: Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

951 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its  
 952 forecasting capability. The SARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

953 • **MSE:** 1109.69

954 • **RMSE:** 33.31

955 • **MAE:** 18.09

956 The lower error values, when compared to the ARIMA model, highlight the  
 957 SARIMA model's superior capability in forecasting dengue cases. Its effective-  
 958 ness in capturing seasonal patterns contributed to a more accurate representation  
 959 of the actual cases.

960 After training the model, the SARIMA model was validated using the same  
 961 Time Series Cross-Validation strategy employed in the LSTM model. Table 4.4  
 962 presents the performance metrics for each fold, as well as the average metrics  
 963 across all folds. The average RMSE and MAE values were close to those obtained  
 964 during the initial training phase, indicating that the SARIMA model performed  
 965 consistently across different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	659.68	25.68	16.00
2	2127.49	46.12	21.30
3	996.43	31.56	18.89
<b>Average</b>	<b>1261.20</b>	<b>34.45</b>	<b>18.73</b>

Table 4.4: Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold

#### 966 4.3.4 Kalman Filter Model

967 Figure 4.15 shows the comparison between the actual dengue cases and the pre-  
 968 dicted values on the test set. As illustrated in the plot, the Kalman Filter model  
 969 demonstrates a moderate ability to follow the general trend of the actual data.

970 While it effectively captures some rising and falling patterns, it still struggles to  
 971 accurately replicate the sharp peaks and extreme values found in the real case  
 972 counts. This limitation is particularly noticeable during the large spikes in 2022  
 973 and 2024. The model's performance was evaluated using standard regression met-  
 974 rics. The results are as follows:

$$\text{MSE} = 1474.82, \quad \text{RMSE} = 38.40, \quad \text{MAE} = 22.34$$




Figure 4.15: Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set

975 The Kalman Filter was then combined with the LSTM model in order to see  
 976 improvements in its predictions. Table 4.5 shows the metrics across three folds  
 977 using the same Time Series Cross Validation Strategy employed in the previous  
 978 models to see how it performed on different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	113.59	10.66	6.42
2	752.51	27.43	12.11
3	1489.95	38.60	25.13
<b>Average</b>	<b>785.35</b>	<b>25.56</b>	<b>14.55</b>

Table 4.5: Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold

979 As can be seen in the table above, the performance of the hybrid model demon-  
 980 strated improvements in all metrics as compared to just using the Kalman Filter  
 981 alone.

## 982 4.4 Model Simulation

983 To evaluate the LSTM model’s real-world forecasting ability, a simulation was  
 984 conducted to predict dengue cases for the year 2025. The model was retrained  
 985 exclusively, using the parameters found from the initial training, on data from 2011  
 986 to January 2025, using both dengue cases and weather variables. Importantly, the  
 987 actual dengue case values for 2025 were never included during training. Instead,  
 988 only the weather variables collected for 2025 were input into the model to generate  
 989 predictions for that year. After prediction, the forecasted dengue cases for 2025  
 990 were compared against the true observed cases to assess the model’s accuracy.  
 991 Figure 4.16 shows that the predicted values closely follow the trend, although it  
 992 may overestimate the dengue cases in some weeks.




Figure 4.16: Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025

## <sup>993</sup> 4.5 System Prototype

### <sup>994</sup> 4.5.1 Home Page

<sup>995</sup> The Home Page is intended for all visitors to the web application. The Analytics  
<sup>996</sup> Dashboard, which displays relevant statistics for dengue cases at a certain time  
<sup>997</sup> and location, is the primary component highlighted, as seen in Figure 4.17. This  
<sup>998</sup> component includes a combo chart that graphs the number of dengue cases and  
<sup>999</sup> deaths per week in a specific year, a choropleth map that tracks the number of  
<sup>1000</sup> dengue cases per barangay in Iloilo Cityl and various bar charts that indicate the  
<sup>1001</sup> top barangay located by dengue.




Figure 4.17: Home Page

### 1002 4.5.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication

1003 The registration page, as shown in 4.18 serves as a gateway to access the au-  
 1004 thenticated pages of the web application. Only prospective encoders can create  
 1005 an account since administrator accounts are only made by existing administrator  
 1006 accounts to protect the data's integrity in production. After registering, the "en-  
 1007 coder account" cannot access the authorized pages yet as it needs to be verified  
 1008 first by an administrator managing the unit the user entered. Once verified, the

1009 user can log in to the system through the page shown in Figure 4.194.16. Af-  
1010 ter entering the correct credentials, which consist of an email and password, the  
1011 system uses HTTP-only cookies containing JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to prevent  
1012 vulnerability to Cross-site Scripting (XSS) attacks. It will then proceed to the  
1013 appropriate page for the type of user it belongs to. Logging out on the other  
1014 hand, will remove both the access and refresh tokens from the browser, and will  
1015 blacklist the latter token to make it unusable for security purposes.

The screenshot shows the 'Sign Up' page of the DengueDash application. At the top, there is a dark green header bar with the 'DengueDash' logo on the left and 'Dashboard' and 'Login' links on the right. Below the header, the main content area has a light gray background. The title 'Sign Up' is centered at the top in a bold, black font. Below the title, a sub-instruction 'Create your account to get started' is displayed in a smaller, gray font. The form itself consists of several input fields arranged in a grid-like layout. The first row contains 'First Name' (with 'John' entered) and 'Middle Name (Optional)' (with 'David' entered). The second row contains 'Last Name' (with 'Doe' entered) and 'Sex' (a dropdown menu showing 'Select gender'). The third row contains 'Email' (with 'john@example.com' entered) and 'Region' (a dropdown menu showing 'Select region'). The fourth row contains 'Surveillance Unit' (a dropdown menu showing 'Select surveillance unit') and 'DRU' (a dropdown menu showing 'Select DRU'). The fifth row contains 'Password' (an input field) and 'Confirm Password' (an input field). A note below the password fields states 'Must be at least 8 characters long'. At the bottom of the form is a large, dark blue 'Create Account' button. Below the button, a small link says 'Already have an account? [Sign in](#)'.

Figure 4.18: Sign Up Page




Figure 4.19: Login Page

### 1016 4.5.3 Encoder Interface

#### 1017 Case Report Form

1018 Figures 4.20 and 4.21 show the digitized counterpart of the form obtained from the  
1019 Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit. As the system aims to sup-  
1020 port expandability for future features, some fields were modified to accommodate  
1021 more detailed input. It is worth noting that all of the included fields adhere to the  
1022 latest Philippine Integrated Disease and Surveillance Response (PIDS) Dengue  
1023 Forms, which the referenced form was based on. By doing this, if implemented  
1024 on a national scale, the transition between targeted users will be easier. More-  
1025 over, the case form includes the patient's basic information, dengue vaccination  
1026 status, consultation details, laboratory results, and the outcome. On the other  
1027 hand, encoders can also create case records using a "bulk upload" feature that

1028 makes use of a formatted CSV file template. As shown in Figure 4.22, an encoder  
 1029 can download the template using the "Download Template" button, and insert  
 1030 multiple records inside the file, then upload it by clicking the "Click to upload"  
 1031 button. The web application automatically checks the file for data inconsistencies  
 1032 and validation.

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the 'DengueDash' application. The left sidebar displays the navigation menu with 'Case Report Form' selected. The main content area is titled 'Case Report Form' and contains three sections: 'Personal Information', 'Address', and 'Vaccination'. The 'Personal Information' section includes fields for First Name, Middle Name, Last Name, Suffix, Sex (dropdown), Civil Status (dropdown), and Date of Birth (date picker). The 'Address' section includes fields for Region (dropdown), Province (dropdown), City (dropdown), Barangay (dropdown), Street, and House No. The 'Vaccination' section includes fields for Date of First Vaccination (date picker) and Date of Last Vaccination (date picker). A 'Bulk Upload' button is located at the top right of the form area, and a 'Next' button is at the bottom right.

Figure 4.20: First Part of Case Report Form

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the 'DengueDash' application. The left sidebar contains navigation links for 'Analytics', 'Forms' (selected), 'Case Report Form' (selected), and 'Data Tables'. The main content area has a header 'Case Report Form' with a 'Bulk Upload' button. It is divided into sections: 'Personal Information' (disabled), 'Clinical Status' (selected), 'Consultation', 'Laboratory Results', and 'Outcome'. The 'Consultation' section includes fields for 'Date Admitted/Consulted/Seen' (pick a date) and 'Is Admitted?' (select). The 'Laboratory Results' section includes fields for NS1 (Pending Result), IgG ELISA (Pending Result), IgM ELISA (Pending Result), and PCR (Pending Result), each with a corresponding 'Date done' field (pick a date). The 'Outcome' section includes fields for 'Case Classification' (select) and 'Outcome' (select). A 'Date of Death' field (pick a date) is also present. At the bottom are 'Previous' and 'Submit' buttons.

Figure 4.21: Second Part of Case Report Form





Figure 4.22: Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV

### 1033 Browsing, Update, and Deletion of Records

1034 Once the data generated from the case report form or the bulk upload is validated,  
 1035 it will be assigned as a new case and can be accessed through the Dengue Reports  
 1036 page, as shown in Figure 4.23. The said page displays basic information about  
 1037 the patient related to a specific case, including their name, address, date of con-  
 1038 sultation, and clinical and case classifications. It is also worth noting that it only  
 1039 shows cases that the user is permitted to view. For example, in a local Disease  
 1040 Reporting Unit (DRU) setting, the user can only access records that belong to  
 1041 the same DRU. In addition, the user can also search for a case using the name, lo-  
 1042 cation, date of consultation, or classifications that are associated with the specific

1043 query, making it easier to find pertinent information quickly and efficiently. On  
 1044 the other hand, in a consolidated surveillance unit such as a regional, provincial,  
 1045 or city quarter, its users can view all the records from all the DRUs that report to  
 1046 them. Moving forward, Figure 4.24 shows the detailed case report of the patient  
 1047 on a particular consultation date.



The screenshot displays the DengueDash application's interface. On the left, a sidebar titled 'DengueDash' lists 'Modules' including Analytics, Forms, Data Tables, and Dengue Reports. The 'Data Tables' section is expanded, showing 'Dengue Reports'. The main content area is titled 'Dengue Reports' and includes a search bar. Below the search bar is a table with the following data:

Case ID	Name	Borough	City	Date Consulted	Clinical Classification	Case Classification	Action
25017062	Corey, Cassie Jonathan	Magsaysay	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017082	Kirk, Dominique Jacqueline	Rizal Poblacion II	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017067	Henderson, Joel Lindsey	Santa Rosa	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017077	Flynn, Christina Shannon	Tabuc Suba (Jaro)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017070	Green, Monique Mark	West Habog-habog	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-26	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017061	Thomas, Lisa Jared	San Pedro (Molo)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017079	Espanza, Nicole Stephanie	Tabucan	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017069	James, Emily Sara	Libertad, Santa Isabel	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-23	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>

At the bottom of the table, there are navigation links: '< Previous', '1', '2', '...', '712', 'Next >'. A footer at the bottom left shows a user profile: 'Robert Welch Johns...' and 'maryjanes@example.org'.

Figure 4.23: Dengue Reports

The screenshot shows a web-based application interface for 'DengueDash'. The left sidebar lists 'Modules' such as Analytics, Forms, Data Tables, and the current 'Dengue Reports'. The main content area is titled 'Data Tables > Dengue Reports' and displays a 'Personal Information' section for a patient named 'Doe, John David'. It shows details like Date of Birth (April 29, 2025), Sex (Male), and Civil Status (Married). Below this is a 'Vaccination Status' section with First Dose (May 7, 2025) and Last Dose (May 13, 2025). A 'Case Record #25016448' section follows, containing fields for Date of Consultation (April 30, 2025), Patient Admitted? (No), Date Onset of Illness (April 29, 2025), and Clinical Classification (With warning signs). The 'Laboratory Results' section lists pending results for NS1, IgG Elisa, IgM Elisa, and PCR. The 'Outcome' section shows Case Classification (Probable) and Outcome (Dead). Finally, the 'Interviewer' section lists Griffin, Charles Larson as the interviewer and Saint Paul's Hospital as the DRU. Buttons for 'Update Case' and 'Delete Case' are visible at the top right of the Case Record section.

Figure 4.24: Detailed Case Report

1048 To update the case, the user can click the "Update Case" button, where a  
 1049 dialog will appear, and the updateable fields will be shown. It is worth noting  
 1050 that in this case, only fields under Laboratory Results and Outcome are included  
 1051 since they are the only ones that are time-based, where the result may change  
 1052 in the future. After updating, a prompt will show confirming the user's action.  
 1053 Moving forward, to delete a case record, the user must click the "Delete Case"  
 1054 button, and a prompt verifying the action will appear. After confirming, the case

1055 will be deleted permanently.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left, there's a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Analytics, Forms, Data Tables (selected), and Dengue Reports. The main area shows a 'Personal Information' section with fields for Full Name (Doe, John David), Date of Birth (May 15, 2025), Sex (Female), and Civil Status (Single). Below this is a 'Case Record' section with Date of Consultation (May 15, 2025) and Date Onset of illness (May 15, 2025). A 'Laboratory Results' section lists NS1 (Pending Result, Date Done N/A), IgG Elisa (Pending Result, Date Done N/A), IgM Elisa (Pending Result, Date Done N/A), and PCR (Pending Result, Date Done N/A). An 'Outcome' section shows Outcome (Alive) and Date Done (N/A). At the bottom, 'Case Classification' is set to 'Confirmed' and 'Interviewer' is listed as Griffin, Charles Larson, DRU Saint Paul's Hospital. A central modal dialog titled 'Update Case #25016548' is open, containing the same laboratory result fields with dropdown menus for selecting results. Buttons for 'Cancel' and 'Save Changes' are at the bottom right of the modal.

Figure 4.25: Update Report Dialog




Figure 4.26: Delete Report Alert Dialog

## 1056 Forecasting

1057 The piece de resistance of the web application's feature is the Forecasting Page.  
 1058 This is where users can forecast dengue cases for the next few weeks. To predict,  
 1059 the application utilizes the exported LSTM model in a Keras format derived from  
 1060 training the consolidated data from the database. The said file stores the model's  
 1061 architecture and the learned parameters, which include the weights and biases, so  
 1062 that it can predict cases without training the data again. Furthermore, it requires

the recent weekly dengue cases and weather variable data (temperature, humidity, and rainfall) to form a sequence based on the window size. This allows the web application to display a line chart with the anticipated number of dengue cases over the following four weeks. Moving forward, the Forecasting page, as shown in Figure 4.27, introduces a user-friendly interface that shows the current cases for the week and the predictions for the next two weeks with a range of 90 percent to 110 percent confidence interval that is presented in a simple but organized manner. There is also a line chart that shows the number of cases from the last 5 weeks plus the forecasted weekly cases. In addition, the current weather data for a specific week is also shown, as well as the forecasted weather data fetched from the OpenWeather API. Lastly, locations where dengue cases have been reported for the current week are listed in the Location Risk Assessment component.




Figure 4.27: Forecasting Page

#### 1075 4.5.4 Admin Interface

#### 1076 Retraining

1077 With LSTM being the best-performing model among the models used in forecast-  
1078 ing dengue cases, it is the model chosen to power the prediction and retraining

of the consolidated data within the web application. Since the retraining process consumes a lot of processing power and requires a more advanced understanding of how it works, it was decided that the said feature should only be available to admin users of surveillance units. Furthermore, the retraining component in the Forecasting page includes three additional components that include the configuration of LSTM parameters (Figure 4.28), the actual retraining of the consolidated data from the database (Figure 4.29), and the results of the retraining that shows the current and previous model metrics depending on the parameters entered (Figure 4.30). It is also worth noting that when training, the model used a seeded number to promote reproducibility.




Figure 4.28: Retraining Configurations




Figure 4.29: Start Retraining




Figure 4.30: Retraining Results

1089 **Managing Accounts**

1090 Proper management of accounts is important to protect the integrity and confi-  
1091 dentiality of data. Thus, it is crucial for administrators to track their users and  
1092 control the flow of information. As discussed in the user registration of encoders,  
1093 admin users from a specific DRU or surveillance unit have the power to grant  
1094 them access to the web application. Figure 4.32 illustrates the interface for this  
1095 scenario, as the admins can approve or reject their applications. Once approved,  
1096 these users can access the features given to encoders and may be promoted to  
1097 have administrative access, as shown in Figure 4.33. The same figure also shows  
1098 the expanded details of the user, which include personal information and brief  
1099 activity details within the system. When deleting an account, the user's email  
1100 will be blacklisted and illegible to use when creating another account, and all the  
1101 cases reported by this user will be soft-deleted. However, the blacklist status can  
1102 be reverted by clicking the "Unban" button, which would make the user of the  
1103 email be able to register to the web application again as shown in Figure 4.34.

## 4.5. SYSTEM PROTOTYPE

71

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Accounts (selected), Analytics, and Data Tables. Under 'Accounts', there are sub-options: Manage Accounts, DRU, and Analytics. At the bottom of the sidebar, it says 'CN iloilo City Epidemiol...' and 'iloiloeusu@gmail.com'. The main content area is titled 'Manage Accounts' and has a subtitle 'View and manage registered and pending accounts'. Below this is a navigation bar with tabs: 'Verified' (selected), 'Pending', and 'Blacklisted'. A table follows, with columns: Name, Email, Role, Sex, and Actions. One row is shown: Daniel Santiago Brandt, brandon02@example.org, Encoder, Female, with an 'Open' button in the Actions column. The URL in the browser's address bar is 'http://127.0.0.1:5174/accounts/manage'.

Figure 4.31: List of Verified Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. The sidebar is identical to Figure 4.31. The main content area is titled 'Manage Accounts' and has a subtitle 'View and manage registered and pending accounts'. Below this is a navigation bar with tabs: 'Verified', 'Pending' (selected), and 'Blacklisted'. A table follows, with columns: Name, Email, Sex, Created At, and Actions. One row is shown: John David Doe, testereee@example.gov.ph, Male, 2025-05-15, with 'Approve' and 'Delete' buttons in the Actions column. The URL in the browser's address bar is 'http://127.0.0.1:5174/accounts/manage'.

Figure 4.32: List of Pending Accounts




Figure 4.33: Account Details





Figure 4.34: List of Blacklisted Accounts

## 1104 Managing DRUs

1105 Unlike the registration of encoder accounts, the creation of Disease Reporting  
 1106 Units can only be done within the web application, and the user performing the  
 1107 creation must be an administrator of a surveillance unit. Figure 4.35 presents the  
 1108 fields the admin user must fill out, and once completed, the new entry will show  
 1109 as being managed by that unit, as shown in Figure 4.36. Figure 4.37, on the other  
 1110 hand, shows the details provided in the registration form as well as its creation  
 1111 details. There is also an option to delete the DRU, and when invoked, all the  
 1112 accounts being managed by it, and the cases reported under those accounts will  
 1113 be soft-deleted.



The screenshot displays the DengueDash web application interface. On the left, a sidebar menu lists 'Modules' including 'Accounts', 'DRU' (selected), 'Analytics', and 'Data Tables'. Under 'DRU', there are 'Manage DRU' and 'Add DRU' options. The main content area shows a form titled 'Register Disease Reporting Unit' with the sub-instruction 'Add a new Disease Reporting Unit to the surveillance system.' Below the title, the form fields are organized into sections: 'Name' (input field 'Enter DRU name'), 'Address Information' (dropdowns for 'Region' and 'Province', and dropdowns for 'City/Municipality' and 'Barangay'), 'Street Address' (input field 'House/Building No., Street Name'), 'Email' (input field 'example@health.gov'), 'Contact Number' (input field '+63 912 345 6789'), and 'DRU Type' (dropdown 'Select DRU type'). At the bottom right of the form is a large black button labeled 'Register DRU'.

Figure 4.35: Disease Reporting Unit Registration

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with navigation links: Modules, Accounts, DRU (selected), Analytics, and Data Tables. The main content area has a header "Manage Disease Reporting Units" and a sub-header "View and manage Disease Reporting Units". It displays a table with four rows of DRU information:

DRU Name	Email	Action
Molo District Health Center	moldistricthealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Jaro 1 Health Center	jarohealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Saint Paul's Hospital	saintpaul@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>

At the bottom left of the main area, there is a user profile placeholder: CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... iloilocesu@gmail.com.

Figure 4.36: List of Disease Reporting Units

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with navigation links: Modules, Accounts, DRU (selected), Analytics, and Data Tables. The main content area has a header "Disease Reporting Unit Profile" and a sub-header "View and manage DRU details". It displays a table with detailed information about the DRU:

Name of DRU Molo District Health Center	Email moldistricthealth@gmail.com
Address M.H. Del Pilar Street, Molo, Molo, ILOILO CITY (Capital), ILOILO	Contact Number 09123456782
Region Region VI (Western Visayas)	Surveillance Unit Iloilo CESU
DRU Type CHO/MHO/PHO	
Created At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11	Updated At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11

At the bottom right of the main area, there is a red button with the text "Delete DRU".

At the bottom left of the main area, there is a user profile placeholder: CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... iloilocesu@gmail.com.

Figure 4.37: Disease Reporting Unit details

**4.6 User Testing**

To evaluate the usability of the system, the System Usability Scale (SUS) was utilized. SUS is a Likert-scale-based questionnaire comprising 10 items that are critical to assessing system usability. A total of five participants completed the survey. Their responses were processed following the step-by-step calculation method adopted from (Babich, 2015). The resulting usability scores for each participant are shown in Table 4.6.

Participant	Usability Score
1	95.0
2	90.0
3	85.0
4	87.5
5	85.0
<b>Average</b>	<b>88.5</b>

Table 4.6: Computed System Usability Scores per Participant

The average System Usability Scale (SUS) score across systems is typically 68 (Babich, 2015). In this testing, the system achieved an average SUS score of 88.5, indicating a highly positive user experience. This score suggests that participants found the system not only enjoyable to use but also intuitive enough to recommend to others. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the system is suitable for real-world applications without presenting significant complexity for first-time users.



<sub>1128</sub> **Chapter 5**

<sub>1129</sub> **Conclusion**

<sub>1130</sub> The development of DengueWatch marks a transformative leap forward in public  
<sub>1131</sub> health technology, providing Iloilo City with a centralized system to combat one  
<sub>1132</sub> of the most persistent mosquito-borne diseases. Previously, data was recorded  
<sub>1133</sub> manually on paper, making tracking and analysis slow and error-prone. Dengue-  
<sub>1134</sub> Watch digitizes this process, enabling faster, more accurate monitoring. More  
<sub>1135</sub> than an academic project, DengueWatch serves as a practical solution aimed at  
<sub>1136</sub> shifting the approach from reactive outbreak response to proactive prevention. By  
<sub>1137</sub> combining deep learning models with real-time climate data integration, the sys-  
<sub>1138</sub> tem achieves a level of accuracy and usability that makes it viable for real-world  
<sub>1139</sub> deployment.

<sub>1140</sub> At the heart of DengueWatch is a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural  
<sub>1141</sub> network, which outperformed traditional forecasting models such as ARIMA and  
<sub>1142</sub> Kalman Filter. The LSTM model achieved a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of  
<sub>1143</sub> 16.90, followed by the hybrid KF-LSTM model with an RMSE of 25.56, demon-

strating a substantial improvement in predictive capability. Consequently, the LSTM model was selected for integration into the DengueWatch system. It can be said that retraining depends solely on the user's discretion, however, ideally, the model should be retrained whenever new data is added to ensure it can adapt to emerging trends.

Usability testing further underscored DengueWatch's readiness for real-world deployment. The system achieved an average System Usability Scale (SUS) score of 88.5, significantly above the industry benchmark of 68. This indicates that users found the system intuitive, efficient, and suitable for operational use in public health contexts. Key features such as a user-friendly dashboard and a two-week forecasting window ensure that the system supports timely, effective responses.

Beyond its immediate application in Iloilo City, the framework behind DengueWatch holds the potential for broader impact. With minor adaptations, it can be scaled nationally through integration with Department of Health surveillance systems.

<sup>1160</sup>

# Chapter 6

<sup>1161</sup>

## References

- <sup>1162</sup> About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://docs.github.com/en/get-started/start-your-journey/about-github-and-git>
- <sup>1163</sup> Ahmadini, A. A. H., Naeem, M., Aamir, M., Dewan, R., Alshqaq, S. S. A., & Mashwani, W. K. (2021). Analysis and forecast of the number of deaths, recovered cases, and confirmed cases from covid-19 for the top four affected countries using kalman filter. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9, 629320.
- <sup>1164</sup> Arroyo-Marioli, F., Bullano, F., Kucinskas, S., & Rondón-Moreno, C. (2021). Tracking r of covid-19: A new real-time estimation using the kalman filter. *PloS one*, 16(1), e0244474.
- <sup>1165</sup> Babich, N. (2015). *How to use the system usability scale (sus) to evaluate the usability of your website*. Usability Geek. Retrieved from <https://usabilitygeek.com/how-to-use-the-system-usability-scale-sus-to-evaluate-the-usability-of-your-website/> (Accessed: 2025-04-26)

- 1177 Bobbitt, Z. (2021, November 17). *The five assumptions for pearson correlation*. Retrieved from <https://www.statology.org/pearson-correlation-assumptions/> (Statology)
- 1178
- 1179
- 1180 Bosano, R. (2023). *Who: Ph most affected by dengue in western pacific*. Retrieved  
1181 Use the date of access, from <https://news.abs-cbn.com/spotlight/12/22/23/who-ph-most-affected-by-dengue-in-western-pacific>
- 1182
- 1183 Brady, O. J., Smith, D. L., Scott, T. W., & Hay, S. I. (2015). Dengue disease  
1184 outbreak definitions are implicitly variable. *Epidemics*, 11, 92–102.
- 1185 Bravo, L., Roque, V. G., Brett, J., Dizon, R., & L’Azou, M. (2014). Epidemiology  
1186 of dengue disease in the philippines (2000–2011): a systematic literature  
1187 review. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*, 8(11), e3027.
- 1188 Carvajal, T. M., Viacrucis, K. M., Hernandez, L. F. T., Ho, H. T., Amalin, D. M.,  
1189 & Watanabe, K. (2018). Machine learning methods reveal the temporal  
1190 pattern of dengue incidence using meteorological factors in metropolitan  
1191 manila, philippines. *BMC infectious diseases*, 18, 1–15.
- 1192 *Chart.js*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.chartjs.org/>
- 1193 Cheong, Y. L., Burkart, K., Leitão, P. J., & Lakes, T. (2013). Assessing weather  
1194 effects on dengue disease in malaysia. *International journal of environmental  
1195 research and public health*, 10(12), 6319–6334.
- 1196 Christie, T. (n.d.). *Home - Django REST framework*. Retrieved from <https://www.djangoproject-rest-framework.org/>
- 1197
- 1198 Colón-González, F. J., Fezzi, C., Lake, I. R., & Hunter, P. R. (2013). The effects  
1199 of weather and climate change on dengue. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*,  
1200 7(11), e2503.
- 1201 Hemisphere, N. (2015). Update on the dengue situation in the western pacific  
1202 region. *Update*.

- 1203 Hii, Y. L., Zhu, H., Ng, N., Ng, L. C., & Rocklöv, J. (2012). Forecast of dengue  
 1204 incidence using temperature and rainfall. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*,  
 1205 6(11), e1908.
- 1206 Htoon, K. S. (2021, December 13). *Log transformation: Purpose and in-*  
 1207 *terpretation.* [https://medium.com/@kyawsawtoon/log-transformation](https://medium.com/@kyawsawtoon/log-transformation-purpose-and-interpretation-9444b4b049c9)  
 1208 *-purpose-and-interpretation-9444b4b049c9.* (Medium)
- 1209 Joel, C. (2021, 10). *6 reasons to use Tailwind over traditional CSS.* Re-  
 1210 trieval from [https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind](https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind-over-traditional-css-1nc3)  
 1211 *-over-traditional-css-1nc3*
- 1212 Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for interactive maps. (n.d.). Retrieved  
 1213 from <https://leafletjs.com/>
- 1214 Li, X., Feng, S., Hou, N., Li, H., Zhang, S., Jian, Z., & Zi, Q. (2022). Applications  
 1215 of kalman filtering in time series prediction. In *International conference on*  
 1216 *intelligent robotics and applications* (pp. 520–531).
- 1217 Ligue, K. D. B., & Ligue, K. J. B. (2022). Deep learning approach to forecasting  
 1218 dengue cases in davao city using long short-term memory (lstm). *Philippine*  
 1219 *Journal of Science*, 151(3).
- 1220 Perla. (2024). *Iloilo beefs up efforts amid hike in dengue cases.* Retrieved Use the  
 1221 date of access, from <https://www.pna.gov.ph/articles/1231208>
- 1222 RabDashDC. (2024). *Rabdash dc.* Retrieved Use the date of access, from <https://rabadash.com>
- 1224 Runge-Ranzinger, S., Kroeger, A., Olliaro, P., McCall, P. J., Sánchez Tejeda, G.,  
 1225 Lloyd, L. S., ... Coelho, G. (2016). Dengue contingency planning: from  
 1226 research to policy and practice. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*, 10(9),  
 1227 e0004916.
- 1228 Shadcn. (n.d.). *Introduction.* Retrieved from <https://ui.shadcn.com/docs>

- 1229 *Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern websites without ever leaving your HTML.*
- 1230 (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://tailwindcss.com/>
- 1231 Watts, D. M., Burke, D. S., Harrison, B. A., Whitmire, R. E., & Nisalak, A.
- 1232 (2020). Effect of temperature on the transmission of dengue virus by aedes
- 1233 aegypti. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 36(1),
- 1234 143–152.
- 1235 *What is Postman? Postman API Platform.* (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.postman.com/product/what-is-postman/>
- 1236
- 1237 *Why Visual Studio Code?* (2021, 11). Retrieved from <https://code.visualstudio.com/docs/editor/whyvscode>
- 1238
- 1239 World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Dengue and severe dengue in the
- 1240 philippines. *WHO Dengue Factsheet*. (Available at: <https://www.who.int>)
- 1241
- 1242 Zhou, S., & Malani, P. (2024). What is dengue? *Jama*, 332(10), 850–850.
- 1243 Zod. (n.d.). *TypeScript-first schema validation with static type inference*. Re-
- 1244 trieved from <https://zod.dev/?id=introduction>

<sup>1245</sup> **Appendix A**

<sup>1246</sup> **Data Collection Snippets**

Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
2011-w1	9.938571429	29.4444	25.88889	23.8889	11.39	86.24285714	5
2011-w2	8.587142857	30	26.70555556	24.44444444	7.32	88.02857143	4
2011-w3	5.338571429	30	26.61666667	25	7.55	84.02857143	2
2011-w4	5.41	30.55555556	26.48333333	20.55555556	10.67	80.97142857	7
2011-w5	2.914285714	28.33333333	25.28333333	18.65	11.01	74.88571429	2
2011-w6	3.44	31.11111111	27.53333333	25	3.69	85.27142857	5
2011-w7	1.444285714	30	27.06111111	25	6.69	82.1	6
2011-w8	0.7685714286	30.55555556	27.03333333	25	7.82	76.18571429	2
2011-w9	4.064285714	30.55555556	26.75	24.44444444	6.64	84.1	5
2011-w10	1.252857143	31.11111111	27.7	25.55555556	7.92	80.18571429	4
2011-w11	7.068571429	28.33333333	26.03888889	23.88888889	10.35	85.7	2
2011-w12	3.567142857	30.55555556	26.4	24.44444444	9.57	87.74285714	3
2011-w13	2.745714286	30	26.61666667	25	10.05	86.25714286	2
2011-w14	0.09285714286	30	27.44444444	25	8.25	76.32857143	4
2011-w15	1.008571429	31.11111111	26.89444444	22.77777778	7.93	80.64285714	5
2011-w16	0.8771428571	31.66666667	28.43333333	24.44444444	4.76	80.35714286	3
2011-w17	2.15	32.77777778	28.87222222	25.55555556	5.54	81.77142857	5
2011-w18	3.062857143	32.77777778	28.62777778	25	5.15	81.9	4
2011-w19	9.828571429	32.77777778	27.97222222	25	4.18	85.77142857	5
2011-w20	2.848571429	33.33333333	29.71111111	25.55555556	3.77	79.65714286	3
2011-w21	11.74142857	32.77777778	28.51111111	25	4.28	81.81428571	3
2011-w22	14.52857143	32.77777778	28.47222222	25.55555556	4.04	82.45714286	4
2011-w23	11.51714286	32.22222222	28.26111111	24.44444444	3.91	84.24285714	9
2011-w24	8.784285714	32.22222222	27.71111111	24.44444444	3.87	84.7	3
2011-w25	10.43714286	32.77777778	27.47777778	24.44444444	4.46	86.52857143	13
2011-w26	6.702857143	32.22222222	28.39444444	25	3.94	81.87142857	7
2011-w27	14.48	32.22222222	27.66666667	25	4.19	85.42857143	13
2011-w28	3.32	32.22222222	27.49444444	19.68333333	6.69	80.78571429	9
2011-w29	17.33714286	32.77777778	27.95555556	25	3.57	80.05714286	10
2011-w30	22.77	31.66666667	26.63888889	24.44444444	5.13	88.01428571	16
2011-w31	9.875714286	32.77777778	27.81111111	25	4.66	83.11428571	13

Figure A.1: Snippet of Consolidated Data




Figure A.2: Snippet of Weather Data Collection




Figure A.3: Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU

Please enter your participant number: 2

**System Usability Scale (SUS)**

This is a standard questionnaire that measures the overall usability of a system. Please select the answer that best expresses how you feel about each statement after using the system today.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I think I would like to use DengueWatch frequently to monitor and forecast dengue cases.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. I found DengueWatch unnecessarily complex when entering or analyzing data.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3. I thought DengueWatch was easy to use, even for someone unfamiliar with AI forecasting tools.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4. I think I would need the support of an IT or technical person to use all the features of DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5. I found the visualizations (charts, maps, graphs) in DengueWatch to be well-integrated and informative.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in how different parts of the DengueWatch system behaved.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7. I believe most public health workers would learn to use DengueWatch very quickly.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8. I found DengueWatch very cumbersome to use when entering weekly case reports or viewing forecasts.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
9. I felt confident in using DengueWatch to get the information I needed for decision-making.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				

How likely are you to recommend this website to others? (please circle your answer)

Not at all likely 0    1    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10 Extremely likely

Please provide important comments about the website:

Figure A.4: System Usability Score Questionnaire