

1 DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME
2 DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO
3 PROVINCE

4 A Special Problem
5 Presented to
6 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
7 College of Arts and Sciences
8 University of the Philippines Visayas
9 Miag-ao, Iloilo

10 In Partial Fulfillment
11 of the Requirements for the Degree of
12 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

13 AMODIA, Kurt Matthew A.
14 BULAONG, Glen Andrew C.
15 ELIPAN, Carl Benedict L.

16 Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
17 Adviser

18 May 12, 2025

19

Approval Sheet

20

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

22

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

23

DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO PROVINCE

25

26

Approved by:

Name

Signature

Date

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D.

(Adviser)

Ara Abigail E. Ambita

27

(Panel Member)

Christi Florence C. Cala-or

(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor

(Division Chair)

28 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
29 College of Arts and Sciences
30 University of the Philippines Visayas

31 **Declaration**

32 We, Kurt Matthew A. Amodia, Glen Andrew C. Bulaong, and Carl Benedict
33 L. Elipan, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us and
34 is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
35 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name	Signature	Date
Kurt Matthew A. Amodia (Student)	_____	_____
Glen Andrew C. Bulaong (Student)	_____	_____
Carl Benedict L. Elipan (Student)	_____	_____

Dedication

“Hello, world.”

39

Acknowledgment

40

“Hello, world.”

Abstract

42 Dengue fever remains a significant public health concern in the Philippines, with
43 cases rising dramatically in recent years. Nationwide outbreaks have placed immense
44 strain on healthcare systems, underscoring the need for innovative approaches to surveil-
45 lance and response. In Iloilo City, this national trend was reflected in a significant surge,
46 with the Iloilo Provincial Health Office reporting 4,585 cases and 10 fatalities as of Au-
47 gust 10, 2023—a 319% increase from the previous year’s 1,095 cases and one death. This
48 rise overwhelmed local healthcare systems, with over 76% of non-COVID-19 hospital
49 beds occupied by dengue patients. The absence of a reliable system to monitor and fore-
50 cast dengue outbreaks contributed to delayed interventions, exacerbating public health
51 risks and the burden on medical resources. To address this gap, this study developed a
52 centralized system for monitoring and modernizing data management of dengue cases in
53 public health institutions, making it more efficient and acceptable. Using data gathered
54 from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and online sources, several deep learning mod-
55 els were trained to predict dengue cases, utilizing weather variables and historical case
56 data as inputs. These models included Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), ARIMA,
57 Seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter (KF), and a hybrid KF-LSTM model. The models un-
58 derwent time series cross-validation strategies to mimic real-world conditions as closely
59 as possible and were evaluated using metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root
60 Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). The LSTM model
61 demonstrated the best performance with the lowest RMSE of 16.90, followed by the
62 hybrid KF-LSTM model at 25.56. The LSTM model was then integrated into the sys-
63 tem to provide forecasting features that could support health institutions by offering
64 actionable insights for proactive intervention strategies.

65 **Keywords:** Dengue, Deep Learning, Monitoring, Prediction

Contents

⁶⁶	1	Introduction	1
⁶⁷		1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology	1
⁶⁸		1.2 Problem Statement	3
⁶⁹		1.3 Research Objectives	4
⁷⁰		1.3.1 General Objective	4
⁷¹		1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4
⁷²		1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	5
⁷³		1.5 Significance of the Research	6
⁷⁴			
⁷⁵	2	Review of Related Literature	9
⁷⁶		2.1 Dengue	9
⁷⁷		2.2 Outbreak Definition	10

78	2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC	11
79	2.4 Deep Learning	11
80	2.5 Kalman Filter	12
81	2.6 Weather Data	13
82	2.7 Chapter Summary	14
83	3 Research Methodology	15
84	3.1 Research Activities	16
85	3.1.1 Gather Dengue Data and Climate Data to Create a Com-	
86	plete Dataset for Forecasting	16
87	3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for Dengue	
88	Case Forecasting	19
89	3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based Data An-	
90	alytics Dashboard	25
91	3.1.4 System Development Framework	25
92	3.2 Development Tools	28
93	3.2.1 Software	28
94	3.2.2 Hardware	30
95	3.2.3 Packages	30

CONTENTS ix

96	3.3 Application Requirements	32
97	3.3.1 Backend Requirements	32
98	3.3.2 User Interface Requirements	34
99	3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements	37
100	4 Results and Discussion/System Prototype	39
101	4.1 Data Gathering	39
102	4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis	40
103	4.3 Outbreak Detection	46
104	4.4 Model Training Results	47
105	4.4.1 LSTM Model	48
106	4.4.2 ARIMA Model	50
107	4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model	51
108	4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model	53
109	4.5 Model Simulation	55
110	4.6 System Prototype	56
111	4.6.1 Home Page	56
112	4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication	57

113	4.6.3 Encoder Interface	59
114	4.6.4 Admin Interface	69
115	4.7 User Testing	76
116	5 Conclusion	77
117	6 References	81
118	A Data Collection Snippets	85

¹¹⁹ List of Figures

¹²⁰	3.1 Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases	15
¹²¹	3.2 Testing Process for DengueWatch	27
¹²²	3.3 Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash	
¹²³	Database Structure	33
¹²⁴	3.4 Use Case Diagram for Admins	34
¹²⁵	3.5 Use Case Diagram for Encoder	36
¹²⁶	4.1 Snippet of the Combined Dataset	40
¹²⁷	4.2 Data Contents	41
¹²⁸	4.3 Dataset Statistics	41
¹²⁹	4.4 Trend of Dengue Cases	42
¹³⁰	4.5 Ranking of Correlations	43
¹³¹	4.6 Pre-Transform Feature Distributions	44

132	4.7 Scatterplots	44
133	4.8 Post-Transform Feature Distributions	45
134	4.9 Transformed Distributions: Scatterplots	45
135	4.10 Ranking of Correlations with New Distributions	46
136	4.11 Training Folds - Window Size 5	49
137	4.12 Testing Folds - Window Size 5	50
138	4.13 ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	51
139	4.14 Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	52
140	4.15 Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set	54
141	4.16 Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025	56
142	4.17 Home Page	57
143	4.18 Sign Up Page	58
144	4.19 Login Page	59
145	4.20 First Part of Case Report Form	60
146	4.21 Second Part of Case Report Form	61
147	4.22 Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV	62
148	4.23 Dengue Reports	63

LIST OF FIGURES

xiii

149	4.24 Detailed Case Report	64
150	4.25 Update Report Dialog	65
151	4.26 Delete Report Alert Dialog	66
152	4.27 Forecasting Page	68
153	4.28 Retraining Configurations	70
154	4.29 Start Retraining	70
155	4.30 Retraining Results	71
156	4.31 List of Verified Accounts	72
157	4.32 List of Pending Accounts	73
158	4.33 Account Details	73
159	4.34 Disease Reporting Unit Registration	74
160	4.35 List of Disease Reporting Units	75
161	4.36 Disease Reporting Unit details	75
162	A.1 Snippet of Consolidated Data	85
163	A.2 Snippet of Weather Data Collection	86
164	A.3 Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU	87
165	A.4 System Usability Score Questionnaire	88

¹⁶⁶ List of Tables

¹⁶⁷	4.1 Comparison of different models for dengue prediction	48
¹⁶⁸	4.2 Comparison of Window Sizes	48
¹⁶⁹	4.3 Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes	49
¹⁷⁰	4.4 Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold	53
¹⁷¹	4.5 Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold	55
¹⁷²	4.6 Computed System Usability Scores per Participant	76

¹⁷³ **Chapter 1**

¹⁷⁴ **Introduction**

¹⁷⁵ **1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology**

¹⁷⁶ Dengue cases surged globally in 2023 and continued to rise in 2025, with over
¹⁷⁷ five million cases and more than 5,000 deaths across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).
¹⁷⁸ The World Health Organization reported a ten-fold increase in cases from 2000
¹⁷⁹ to 2019, peaking in 2019 with the disease affecting 129 countries (WHO, 2024).
¹⁸⁰ In the Philippines, dengue remains endemic, leading to prolonged and widespread
¹⁸¹ outbreaks.

¹⁸² In Iloilo, dengue cases escalated dramatically. By August 2023, the provincial
¹⁸³ health office reported 4,585 cases and 10 deaths, marking a 319% increase from
¹⁸⁴ the previous year (Perla, 2024). Iloilo has reached the outbreak threshold, and
¹⁸⁵ local authorities are on blue alert. In Iloilo City, 649 cases were recorded in 2024,
¹⁸⁶ with two deaths. Hospital capacity is strained, with non-COVID-19 hospital bed

occupancy exceeding 76%. This highlights the increasing pressure on healthcare resources in the region.

In recent years, technology has played a growing role in improving disease surveillance across the globe. Internationally, A study published in *Frontiers in Physics* utilized the Kalman filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, Brazil(Ahmadi et al., 2021). A study also suggests that weather-based forecasting models using variables like mean temperature and cumulative rainfall can provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high sensitivity and specificity, enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance (Hii, Zhu, Ng, Ng, & Rocklöv, 2012). Locally, in Davao City, Ligue (2022) found that deep learning models can accurately predict dengue outbreaks by capturing complex, time-dependent patterns in environmental data. The study of Carvajal et. al. uses machine learning methods to reveal the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila and emphasizes the significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological factor, alongside rainfall and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et al., 2018).

Most studies remain theoretical or academic, with limited translation into practical tools that communities and local health authorities can use for early warning and response. An example of such application is RabDash, developed by the University of the Philippines Mindanao. RabdashDC (2024) is a web-based dashboard for rabies data analytics. However, while RabDash demonstrates the potential of applying advanced analytics in public health, similar systems are lacking in the context of dengue.

²¹⁰ **1.2 Problem Statement**

²¹¹ Dengue remains a critical public health challenge worldwide, with cases increasing
²¹² due to the easing of COVID-19 restrictions and heightened global mobility. While
²¹³ a temporary decline in cases was observed during the pandemic (2020–2022) due
²¹⁴ to reduced surveillance efforts, 2023 marked a resurgence, with over five million
²¹⁵ cases and more than 5,000 deaths reported across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).
²¹⁶ In Iloilo City and Province, dengue cases rose by 319% as of August 2023, over-
²¹⁷ whelming local healthcare systems. This surge strained resources, with over 76%
²¹⁸ of non-COVID-19 hospital beds occupied by dengue patients (Perla, 2024), high-
²¹⁹ lighting the urgent need for effective monitoring and predictive tools. Despite
²²⁰ all these studies, there remains a significant gap in the development of publicly
²²¹ accessible systems that apply these predictive models in real-world settings. Most
²²² existing studies remain confined to academic or theoretical contexts, with little
²²³ translation into practical tools for local communities and public health authorities.
²²⁴ In particular, there is a lack of research focused specifically on dengue prediction
²²⁵ and surveillance in Iloilo. While deep learning models have shown high accuracy
²²⁶ in other regions, their application in the local context of Iloilo is minimal. The
²²⁷ lack of a reliable system to monitor and forecast dengue outbreaks contributes to
²²⁸ delayed interventions, exacerbating public health risks and healthcare burdens in
²²⁹ the region.

²³⁰ **1.3 Research Objectives**

²³¹ **1.3.1 General Objective**

²³² This study aims to develop a centralized monitoring and analytics system for
²³³ dengue cases in Iloilo City and Province with data management and forecasting
²³⁴ capabilities. The researchers will train and compare multiple deep learning models
²³⁵ to predict dengue case trends based on climate data and historical dengue cases
²³⁶ to help public health officials in possible dengue case outbreaks.

²³⁷ **1.3.2 Specific Objectives**

²³⁸ Specifically, this study aims to:

- ²³⁹ 1. Gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and climate data
²⁴⁰ (including temperature, rainfall, wind, and humidity) from online sources,
²⁴¹ and combine and aggregate these into a unified dataset to facilitate compre-
²⁴² hensive dengue case forecasting;
- ²⁴³ 2. Train and evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases using
²⁴⁴ metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error
²⁴⁵ (RMSE), and Mean Squared Error (MSE), and determine the most accurate
²⁴⁶ forecasting approach; and
- ²⁴⁷ 3. Develop a web-based analytics dashboard that integrates the predictive
²⁴⁸ model, provides a data management system for dengue cases in Iloilo City

249 and the Province, and assess its usability and effectiveness through struc-
250 tured feedback from health professionals and policymakers.

251 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

252 This study aims to gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office
253 and climate data from online sources such as PAGASA or weatherandclimate.com.
254 These data will be preprocessed, cleaned, and combined into a unified dataset to
255 facilitate comprehensive dengue case forecasting. However, the study is limited by
256 the availability and completeness of historical data. Inconsistent or missing data
257 points may introduce biases and reduce the quality of predictions. Furthermore,
258 the granularity of the data will be in a weekly format.

259 To evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases, the study will
260 train and compare the performance of various models, using metrics like Mean
261 Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). While these models
262 aim to provide accurate forecasts, their performance is heavily influenced by the
263 quality and size of the dataset. Limited or low-quality data may lead to suboptimal
264 predictions. Additionally, the models cannot fully account for external factors
265 such as public health interventions or socio-economic conditions which may impact
266 dengue transmission dynamics.

267 The study also involves developing a web-based analytics dashboard that in-
268 tegrates predictive models and provides a data management system for dengue
269 cases in Iloilo City and the Province. This dashboard will offer public health
270 officials an interactive interface to visualize dengue trends, input new data, and

271 identify risk areas. However, its usability depends on feedback from stakeholders,
272 which may vary based on their familiarity with analytics tools. Moreover, exter-
273 nal factors such as limited internet connectivity or device availability in remote
274 areas may affect the system's adoption and effectiveness. While the dashboard
275 provides valuable insights, it cannot incorporate all factors influencing dengue
276 transmission, emphasizing the need for ongoing validation and refinement.

277 1.5 Significance of the Research

278 This study's development of an AI-based dengue forecasting and monitoring sys-
279 tem has wide-reaching significance for various stakeholders in Iloilo City:

- 280 • Public Health Agencies: Organizations like the Department of Health (DOH)
281 and local health units in Iloilo City and Province stand to benefit greatly
282 from the system. With dengue predictions, we can help these agencies opti-
283 mize their response strategies and implement targeted prevention measures
284 in high-risk areas before cases escalate.
- 285 • Local Government Units (LGUs): LGUs can use the system to support
286 their disaster management and health initiatives by proactively addressing
287 dengue outbreaks. The predictive insights allow for more efficient planning
288 and resource deployment in barangays and communities most vulnerable to
289 outbreaks, improving overall public health outcomes.
- 290 • Healthcare Facilities: Hospitals and clinics, which currently face high bed
291 occupancy rates during dengue season will benefit from early outbreak fore-

1.5. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH

7

292 casts that can help in managing patient inflow and ensuring adequate hos-
293 pital capacity.

294 • Researchers and Policymakers: This AI-driven approach contributes valua-
295 ble insights for researchers studying infectious disease patterns and policy-
296 makers focused on strengthening the national AI Roadmap. The system's
297 data can support broader initiatives for sustainable health infrastructure
298 and inform policy decisions on resource allocation for dengue control.

299 • Community Members: By reducing the frequency and severity of outbreaks,
300 this study ultimately benefits the community at large. This allows for timely
301 awareness campaigns and community engagement initiatives, empowering
302 residents with knowledge and preventative measures to protect themselves
303 and reduce the spread of dengue.

³⁰⁴ Chapter 2

³⁰⁵ Review of Related Literature

³⁰⁶ 2.1 Dengue

³⁰⁷ Dengue disease is a tropical and subtropical mosquito-borne viral illness and is a
³⁰⁸ major health concern in the Philippines (Bravo, Roque, Brett, Dizon, & L'Azou,
³⁰⁹ 2014). The majority of individuals with dengue experience no symptoms. Fever is
³¹⁰ the most common symptom, typically 4 to 7 days after being bitten by an infected
³¹¹ mosquito (Zhou & Malani, 2024). In recent years, the trend of dengue cases in
³¹² the Philippines has shown notable fluctuations, with periodic outbreaks occur-
³¹³ ring every 3 to 5 years, often influenced by climatic and environmental changes.
³¹⁴ According to the Department of Health (DOH), the number of reported cases
³¹⁵ has steadily increased over the past decades, attributed to urbanization, popula-
³¹⁶ tion growth, and inadequate vector control measures (World Health Organization
³¹⁷ (WHO), 2018). Moreover, studies suggest that El Niño and La Niña events have
³¹⁸ significant effects on dengue incidence, with warmer temperatures and increased

³¹⁹ rainfall providing favorable breeding conditions for mosquitoes (Watts, Burke,
³²⁰ Harrison, Whitmire, & Nisalak, 2020). The study of Carvajal et. al. highlights
³²¹ the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila and emphasizes the
³²² significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological factor, alongside rainfall
³²³ and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et al., 2018).

³²⁴ 2.2 Outbreak Definition

³²⁵ The definition of an outbreak is a critical factor in disease surveillance, as it
³²⁶ determines the threshold at which an unusual increase in cases is considered a
³²⁷ public health concern. Studies suggest that outbreak thresholds should be context-
³²⁸ specific, given the variability in transmission dynamics across different locations
³²⁹ (Runge-Ranzinger et al., 2016). Outbreak definitions defined using the Endemic
³³⁰ Channel often base thresholds on 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean
³³¹ number of historic dengue cases. Other studies (Hemisphere, 2015) also used an
³³² alert threshold of a 5-year mean plus 2 standard deviations. A study by (Brady,
³³³ Smith, Scott, & Hay, 2015) discussed that definitions of dengue outbreaks differ
³³⁴ significantly across regions and time, making them inconsistent and incomparable.

³³⁵ From the studies above, this research implements an outbreak definition basing
³³⁶ the threshold on 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean number of historic
³³⁷ dengue cases. It is important to note that for future outbreak definitions, addi-
³³⁸ tional local context like available hospital space etc., must be taken into account
³³⁹ for a more effective outbreak definition.

³⁴⁰ **2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC**

³⁴¹ RabDash, developed by the University of the Philippines Mindanao, is a web-
³⁴² based dashboard for rabies data analytics. It combines predictive modeling with
³⁴³ genomic data, enabling local health authorities to optimize interventions and al-
³⁴⁴ locate resources more effectively. RabDash's modules include trend visualization,
³⁴⁵ geographic hotspot mapping, and predictive forecasting, utilizing Long Short-
³⁴⁶ Term Memory (LSTM) models for time-series forecasting (RabDashDC, 2024).

³⁴⁷ For DengueWatch, RabDash serves as a strong inspiration, particularly in
³⁴⁸ its monitoring, historical trend visualization, and forecasting capabilities. These
³⁴⁹ features align well with the needs of dengue control efforts, providing real-time
³⁵⁰ insights into outbreak trends and enabling more effective, data-driven decision-
³⁵¹ making. RabDash's architecture is relevant to the DengueDash, as dengue out-
³⁵² breaks similarly require time-series forecasting models. By using LSTM, RabDash
³⁵³ effectively models trends in outbreak data, which provides a framework for adapt-
³⁵⁴ ing LSTM to dengue forecasting. Research indicates that LSTM models outper-
³⁵⁵ form traditional methods, such as ARIMA and MLP, in handling the complexities
³⁵⁶ of time-dependent epidemiological data (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

³⁵⁷ **2.4 Deep Learning**

³⁵⁸ The study of (Ligue & Ligue, 2022) highlights how data-driven models can help
³⁵⁹ predict dengue outbreaks. The authors compared traditional statistical meth-
³⁶⁰ ods, such as non-seasonal and seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average

361 (ARIMA), and traditional feed-forward network approach using a multilayer per-
362 ceptron (MLP) model with a deep learning approach using the long short-term
363 memory (LSTM) architecture in their prediction model. They found that the
364 LSTM model performs better in terms of accuracy. The LSTM model achieved a
365 much lower root mean square error (RMSE) compared to both MLP and ARIMA
366 models, proving its ability to capture complex patterns in time-series data (Ligue
367 & Ligue, 2022). This superior performance is attributed to LSTM's capacity
368 to capture complex, time-dependent relationships within the data, such as those
369 between temperature, rainfall, humidity, and mosquito populations, all of which
370 contribute to dengue incidence (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

371 2.5 Kalman Filter

372 The Kalman Filter is another powerful tool for time-series forecasting that can be
373 integrated into our analysis. It provides a recursive solution to estimating the state
374 of a linear dynamic system from a series of noisy measurements. Its application
375 in epidemiological modeling can enhance prediction accuracy by accounting for
376 uncertainties in the data(Li et al., 2022). Studies have shown that Kalman filters
377 are effective in predicting infectious disease outbreaks by refining estimates based
378 on observed data. A study published in Frontiers in Physics utilized the Kalman
379 filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, Brazil. They found that the Kalman
380 filter effectively tracked the progression of deaths and cases, providing critical in-
381 sights for public health decision-making (Ahmadini et al., 2021). Another research
382 article in PLOS ONE focused on tracking the effective reproduction number (R_t)
383 of COVID-19 using a Kalman filter. This method estimated the growth rate of

384 new infections from noisy data, demonstrating that the Kalman filter could main-
385 tain accurate estimates even when case reporting was inconsistent(Arroyo-Marioli,
386 Bullano, Kucinskas, & Rondón-Moreno, 2021).

387 This study compares ARIMA, seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter, and LSTM
388 models using collected dengue case data along with weather data to identify the
389 most effective model for real-time forecasting.

390 2.6 Weather Data

391 The relationship between weather patterns and mosquito-borne diseases is inher-
392 ently nonlinear, meaning that fluctuations in disease cases do not respond propor-
393 tionally to changes in climate variables(Colón-González, Fezzi, Lake, & Hunter,
394 2013) Weather data, such as minimum temperature and accumulated rainfall, are
395 strongly linked to dengue case fluctuations, with effects observed after several
396 weeks due to mosquito breeding and virus incubation cycles. Integrating these
397 lagged weather effects into predictive models can improve early warning systems
398 for dengue control(Cheong, Burkart, Leitão, & Lakes, 2013). A study also sug-
399 gests that weather-based forecasting models using variables like mean temperature
400 and cumulative rainfall can provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high
401 sensitivity and specificity, enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance(Hii et
402 al., 2012).

403 This study utilizes weather data, including variables such as temperature,
404 rainfall, and humidity, as inputs for our dengue forecasting model. Given the
405 strong, nonlinear relationship between climate patterns and dengue incidence,

406 these weather variables, along with their lagged effects, are essential for enhancing
407 prediction accuracy and providing timely early warnings for dengue outbreaks.

408 **2.7 Chapter Summary**

409 This chapter reviewed key literature relevant to our study, focusing on existing
410 systems, predictive modeling techniques and the role of weather data in forecast-
411 ing dengue outbreaks. We examined systems like RabDash DC, which integrates
412 predictive modeling with real-time data to inform public health decisions, provid-
413 ing a foundational structure for our Dengue Watch System. Additionally, deep
414 learning approaches, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks,
415 were highlighted for their effectiveness in time-series forecasting, while alternative
416 methods such as ARIMA and Kalman Filters were considered for their ability to
417 model complex temporal patterns and handle noisy data.

418 The literature further underscores the significance of weather variables—such
419 as temperature and rainfall—in forecasting dengue cases. Studies demonstrate
420 that these variables contribute to accurate outbreak prediction models. Lever-
421 aging these insights, our study will incorporate both weather data and historical
422 dengue case counts to build a reliable forecasting model.

⁴²³ Chapter 3

⁴²⁴ Research Methodology

⁴²⁵ This chapter lists and discusses the specific steps and activities that were per-
⁴²⁶ formed to accomplish the project. The discussion covers the activities from pre-
⁴²⁷ proposal to Final SP Writing.




Figure 3.1: Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases

⁴²⁸ This summarizes the workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue
⁴²⁹ cases. This workflow focuses on using statistical, deep learning, and probabilistic
⁴³⁰ models to forecast the number of reported dengue cases. The approach involves
⁴³¹ deploying several models for prediction, including ARIMA and Seasonal ARIMA

432 as statistical approaches, LSTM as a deep learning approach, and the Kalman
433 Filter as a probabilistic approach. These methods are compared with each other
434 to determine the most accurate model.

435 **3.1 Research Activities**

436 **3.1.1 Gather Dengue Data and Climate Data to Create a 437 Complete Dataset for Forecasting**

438 **Acquisition of Dengue Case Data**

439 The historical dengue case dataset used in this study was obtained from the Hu-
440 manitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for Health Develop-
441 ment (WVCHD) via Freedom of Information (FOI) requests. The decision to use
442 weekly intervals was driven by the need for precision and timeliness in captur-
443 ing fluctuations in dengue cases and weather conditions. Dengue transmission is
444 influenced by short-term changes in weather variables such as rainfall and temper-
445 ature, which impact mosquito breeding and virus transmission cycles. A weekly
446 granularity allowed the model to better capture these short-term trends, enabling
447 more accurate predictions and responsive public health interventions.

448 Moreover, using a weekly interval provided more data points for training the
449 models compared to a monthly format. This is particularly critical in time series
450 modeling, where larger datasets help improve the robustness of the model and its
451 ability to generalize to new data. Also, the collection of weather data was done

452 by utilizing web scraping techniques to extract weekly weather data (e.g., rainfall,
453 temperature, and humidity) from Weather Underground (wunderground.com).

454

455 **Data Fields**

456 • **Time.** Represents the specific year and week corresponding to each entry
457 in the dataset.

458 • **Rainfall.** Denotes the observed average rainfall, measured in millimeters,
459 for a specific week.

460 • **Humidity.** Refers to the observed average relative humidity, expressed as
461 a percentage, for a specific week.

462 • **Max Temperature.** Represents the observed maximum temperature, mea-
463 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

464 • **Average Temperature.** Represents the observed average temperature,
465 measured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

466 • **Min Temperature.** Represents the observed minimum temperature, mea-
467 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

468 • **Wind.** Represents the observed wind speed, measured in miles per hour
469 (mph), for a specific week.

470 • **Cases.** Refers to the number of reported dengue cases during a specific
471 week.

472 Data Integration and Preprocessing

473 The dengue case data was integrated with the weather data to create a com
474 prehensive dataset, aligning the data based on corresponding timeframes. The
475 dataset undergoed a cleaning process to address any missing values, outliers, and
476 inconsistencies to ensure its accuracy and reliability. To ensure that all features
477 and the target variable were on the same scale, a MinMaxScaler was applied to
478 normalize both the input features (climate data) and the target variable (dengue
479 cases).

480 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

- 481 • Analyzed trends, seasonality, and correlations between dengue cases and
482 weather factors.
- 483 • Created visualizations like time series plots and scatterplots to highlight
484 relationships and patterns in the data.

485 Outbreak Detection

486 To detect outbreaks, we computed the outbreak threshold value of dengue cases
487 using the formula,

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (3.1)$$

488 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

489 **3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for**
490 **Dengue Case Forecasting**

491 The deep learning models were developed and trained to forecast weekly dengue
492 cases using historical weather data (rainfall, temperature, wind, and humidity)
493 and dengue case counts. The dataset was normalized and divided into training and
494 testing sets, ensuring temporal continuity to avoid data leakage. The methodology
495 for preparing and training the model are outlined below.

496 **Data Preprocessing**

497 The raw dataset included weekly aggregated weather variables (rainfall, tempera-
498 ture, wind, humidity) and dengue case counts. The "Time" column was converted
499 to a datetime format to ensure proper temporal indexing. To standardize the data
500 for training, MinMaxScaler was employed, normalizing the feature values and tar-
501 get variable to a range of 0 to 1. This step ensured that the models could efficiently
502 process the data without being biased by feature scaling differences.

503 **LSTM Model**

504 The dataset was split into training and test sets to evaluate the model's perfor-
505 mance and generalizability:

- 506 • **Training Set:** 80% of the data (572 sequences) was used for model training,
507 enabling the LSTM to learn underlying patterns in historical dengue case
508 trends and their relationship with weather variables.

To prepare the data for LSTM, a sliding window approach was utilized. Sequences of weeks of normalized features were constructed as input, while the dengue case count for the subsequent week was set as the target variable. This approach ensured that the model leveraged temporal dependencies in the data for forecasting. To enhance the performance of the LSTM model in predicting dengue cases, Bayesian Optimization was employed using the Keras Tuner library. The tuning process aimed to minimize the validation loss (mean squared error) by adjusting key model hyper-parameters. The search space is summarized below:

519 LSTM units:

- min value: 32
 - max value: 128
 - step: 16
 - sampling: linear

524 Learning Rate:

- min value: 0.0001
 - max value: 0.01
 - step: None
 - sampling: log

529 The tuner was instantiated with:

- 530 • **max trials = 10:** Limiting the search to 10 different configurations
- 531 • **executions per trial = 3:** Running each configuration thrice to reduce variance
- 533 • **validation split = 0.2:** Reserving 20% of the training data for validation

534 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for three different window sizes of
535 data: 5, 10, and 20. This allows the model to have the optimal hyperparameters
536 used for each window size. Training was conducted over 100 epochs with early
537 stopping to prevent overfitting while maintaining computational efficiency. A
538 batch size of 1 was used, enabling the model to process individual sequences,
539 which is suitable for smaller datasets but results in longer training times. The
540 Adam optimizer, known for its adaptive learning capabilities and stability was
541 employed.

542 To validate the effectiveness of the model, cross-validation was implemented.
543 However, standard k-fold cross-validation randomly shuffles the data, which isn't
544 suitable for time series since the order of observations is important. To address
545 this, a time series-specific cross-validation strategy was used with TimeSeriesS-
546 plit from the scikit-learn library. This method creates multiple train-test splits
547 where each training set expands over time and each test set follows sequentially.
548 This approach preserves the temporal structure of the data while helping reduce
549 overfitting by validating the model across different time segments.

550 After training, predictions on both the training and test datasets were rescaled
551 to their original scale using the inverse transformation of MinMaxScaler. Model

552 performance was evaluated using the mean squared error (MSE), root mean
553 squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE).

554 **ARIMA**

555 The ARIMA model was utilized to forecast weekly dengue cases, leveraging histor-
556 ical weather data—including rainfall, maximum temperature, and humidity—as
557 exogenous variables alongside historical dengue case counts as the primary depen-
558 dent variable. The dataset was partitioned into training (80%) and testing (20%)
559 sets while maintaining temporal consistency.

560 To identify the optimal ARIMA configuration, a comprehensive grid search
561 was performed across the following parameter ranges:

- 562 • Autoregressive order (p): 0 to 3
563 • Differencing order (d): 0 to 2
564 • Moving average order (q): 0 to 3

565 Each combination of (p,d,q) was used to fit an ARIMA model, and perfor-
566 mance was evaluated based on the mean squared error (MSE) between the pre-
567 dicted and actual dengue cases on the test set. The parameter set that achieved
568 the lowest MSE was selected as the final model configuration.

569 Following model selection, the best-fit ARIMA model was retrained on the
570 training set and subsequently used to forecast dengue cases for the test period.
571 The predictions were assigned to the **PredictedCases** column in the test dataset.

572 Model performance was further assessed using key evaluation metrics, including
573 MSE, root mean squared error (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE). Visual
574 comparisons between actual and predicted dengue cases were produced through
575 line plots to better illustrate the model's forecasting accuracy.

576 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)

577 The SARIMA modeling process began with data preprocessing, which included
578 handling missing values through interpolation or imputation, and standardizing
579 features to ensure stable model training. The dataset was then split into training
580 and testing sets in an 80:20 ratio, preserving the temporal order of observations.
581 Seasonality analysis was conducted using time series decomposition and autocor-
582 relation plots, which revealed a periodicity of 52 weeks—justifying the adoption
583 of a seasonal model. To fine-tune the model, a grid search was performed over a
584 range of SARIMA parameters $(p,d,q)(P,D,Q)[S]$, while stationarity was validated
585 using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. The model was then trained
586 on the dataset using rainfall, temperature, and humidity as exogenous variables,
587 with convergence ensured by setting a maximum number of iterations. Residual
588 diagnostics were used to confirm that residuals were uncorrelated, indicating a
589 good model fit. For evaluation, forecasts were compared against actual values,
590 and results were visualized with line plots. Finally, to validate the model's gener-
591 alizability across different time periods, Time Series Cross-Validation with three
592 folds was applied. This allowed assessment of the model's performance on multi-
593 ple time segments, providing insights into its robustness in real-world forecasting
594 scenarios.

595 Kalman Filter:

596 • Input Variables: The target variable (Cases) was modeled using three re-
597 gressors: rainfall, max temperature, and humidity.

598 • Training and Testing Split: The dataset was split into 80% training and
599 20% testing to evaluate model performance.

600 • Observation Matrix: The Kalman Filter requires an observation matrix,
601 which was constructed by adding an intercept (column of ones) to the re-
602 gressors.

603 The Kalman Filter's Expectation-Maximization (EM) method was employed
604 for training, iteratively estimating model parameters over 10 iterations. After
605 training, the smoothing method was used to compute the refined state estimates
606 across the training data. Observation matrices for the test data were constructed
607 in the same manner as for the training set, ensuring compatibility with the learned
608 model parameters. On the test data, the Kalman Filter applied these parameters
609 to predict and correct the estimated dengue cases, providing more stable and
610 accurate forecasts compared to direct regression models. Additionally, a hybrid
611 Kalman Filter–LSTM (KF-LSTM) model was developed to combine the strengths
612 of both approaches. In this setup, the LSTM model was first used to predict
613 dengue cases based on historical data and weather features. The Kalman Filter
614 was then applied as a post-processing step to the LSTM predictions, smoothing
615 out noise and correcting potential errors.

616 **3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based**
617 **Data Analytics Dashboard**

618 **Dashboard Design and Development**

- 619 • Design an intuitive, user-friendly web-based dashboard incorporating:
- 620 – Interactive visualizations of yearly dengue case trends.
- 621 – Data input and update forms for dengue and weather data.
- 622 – Map display of dengue cases in each district in Iloilo City

623 **Model Integration and Deployment**

- 624 • Deploy the best-performing model within the dashboard as a backend service
625 to enable real-time or periodic forecasting.

626 **3.1.4 System Development Framework**

627 The Agile Model is the birthchild of both iterative and incremental approaches
628 in Software Engineering. It aims to be flexible and effective at the same time by
629 being adaptable to change. It's also important to note that small teams looking
630 to construct and develop projects quickly can benefit from this kind of method-
631 ology. As the Agile Method focuses on continuous testing, quality assurance is a
632 guarantee since bugs and errors are quickly identified and patched.

633 Design and Development

634 After brainstorming and researching the most appropriate type of application to
635 accommodate both the prospected users and the proposed solutions, the team
636 has decided to proceed with a web application. Given the time constraints and
637 available resources, it has been decided that the said means is the most pragmatic
638 and practical move. The next step is to select modern and stable frameworks
639 that align with the fundamental ideas learned by the researchers in the university.
640 The template obtained from WVCHD and Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and
641 Surveillance Unit was meticulously analyzed to create use cases and develop a
642 preliminary well-structured database that adheres to the requirements needed
643 to produce a quality application. The said use cases serve as the basis of general
644 features. Part by part, these are converted into code, and with the help of selected
645 libraries and packages, it resulted in the desired outcome that may still modified
646 and extended to achieve scalability.


647 Testing and Integration

Figure 3.2: Testing Process for DengueWatch

648 Implementing testing is important to validate the system's performance and ef-
649 ficacy. Thus a series of tests were conducted to identify and resolve bugs during
650 the developmental phase. Each feature was rigorously tested to ensure quality as-
651 surance, with particular emphasis on prerequisite features, as development cannot
652 progress properly if these fail. Because of this, integration between each feature
653 serves as a pillar for a cohesive user experience. Since dengue reports include
654 confidential information, anonymized historical dengue reports were used to train
655 the model and create the foundational architecture of the system. By using func-
656 tional tests, data validation and visualization can be ensured for further continual

improvements. Security testing is also important as it is needed to safeguard confidential information when the system is deployed. It includes proper authentication, permission views, and mitigating common injection attacks. Finally, a user acceptance test from the prospected users, in this case, doctors, nurses, and other health workers is crucial to assess its performance and user experience. It enables the developers to confirm if the system meets the needs of the problem.

3.2 Development Tools

3.2.1 Software

Github

GitHub is a cloud-based platform that tracks file changes using Git, an open-source version control system (*About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs*, n.d.). It is used in the project to store the application's source code, manage the system's source version control, and serve as a repository for the Latex files used in the actual research.

Visual Studio Code

Visual Studio Code is a free, lightweight, and cross-platform source code editor developed by Microsoft (*Why Visual Studio Code?*, 2021). As VS Code supports this project's programming and scripting languages, it was chosen as the primary source code editor.

676 Django

677 Django is a free and open-sourced Python-based web framework that offers an
678 abstraction to develop and maintain a secure web application. As this research
679 aims to create a well-developed and maintainable application, it is in the best
680 interest to follow an architectural pattern that developers and contributors in the
681 future can understand. Since Django adheres to Model-View-Template (MVT)
682 that promotes a clean codebase by separating data models, business logic, and
683 presentation layers, it became the primary candidate for the application's back-
684 bone.

685 Next.js

686 A report by Statista (2024) claims that React is the most popular front-end frame-
687 work among web developers. However, React has limitations that can be a nui-
688 sance in rapid software development, which includes routing and performance op-
689 timizations. This is where Next.js comes in—a framework built on top of React.
690 It offers solutions for React's deficiency, making it a rising star in the framework
691 race.

692 Postman

693 As the application heavily relies on the Application Programming Interface (API)
694 being thrown by the backend, it is a must to use a development tool that facilitates
695 the development and testing of the API. Postman is a freemium API platform
696 that offers a user-friendly interface to create and manage API requests (*What is*

⁶⁹⁷ *Postman? Postman API Platform*, n.d.).

⁶⁹⁸ 3.2.2 Hardware

⁶⁹⁹ The web application was developed on laptop computers with minimum specifications of an 11th-generation Intel i5 CPU and 16 gigabytes of RAM. Furthermore,
⁷⁰⁰ an RTX 3060 mobile GPU was also utilized to retrain the integrated model locally.
⁷⁰¹

⁷⁰² 3.2.3 Packages

⁷⁰³ Django REST Framework

⁷⁰⁴ Django Rest Framework (DRF) is a third-party package for Django that provides a
⁷⁰⁵ comprehensive suite of features to simplify the development of robust and scalable
⁷⁰⁶ Web APIs (Christie, n.d.). These services include Serialization, Authentication
⁷⁰⁷ and Permissions, Viewsets and Routers, and a Browsable API .

⁷⁰⁸ Leaflet

⁷⁰⁹ One of the features of the web application is the ability to map the number
⁷¹⁰ of cases using a Choropleth Map. Leaflet is the only free, open-sourced, and
⁷¹¹ most importantly, stable JavaScript package that can do the job. With its ultra-
⁷¹² lightweight size, it offers a comprehensive set of features that does not trade
⁷¹³ off performance and usability (*Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for*
⁷¹⁴ *interactive maps*, n.d.).

715 Chart.js

716 Another feature of the application is to provide users with informative, approach-
717 able data storytelling that is easy for everyone to understand. The transformation
718 of pure data points and statistics into figures such as charts is a big factor. Thus,
719 there is a need for a package that can handle this feature without compromising
720 the performance of the application. Chart.js is a free and open-source JavaScript
721 package that is made to meet this criteria as it supports various types of charts
722 (*Chart.js*, n.d.).

723 Tailwind CSS

724 Using plain CSS in production-quality applications can be counterproductive.
725 Therefore, CSS frameworks were developed to promote consistency and accelerate
726 the rapid development of web applications (Joel, 2021). One of these is Tailwind,
727 which offers low-level utility classes that can be applied directly to each HTML
728 element to create a custom design (*Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern web-*
729 *sites without ever leaving your HTML.*, n.d.). Given the limited timeline for this
730 project, using this framework is a wise choice due to its stability and popularity
731 among developers.

732 Shadcn

733 Shadcn offers a collection of open-source UI boilerplate components that can be
734 directly copied and pasted into one's project. With the flexibility of the provided
735 components, Shadcn allows developers to have full control over customization and

⁷³⁶ styling. Since this is built on top of Tailwind CSS and Radix UI, it is supported
⁷³⁷ by most modern frontend frameworks, including Next.js (Shadcn, n.d.).

⁷³⁸ **Zod**

⁷³⁹ Data validation is integral in this web application since it will handle crucial data
⁷⁴⁰ that will be used for analytical inferences and observations. Since Zod is primarily
⁷⁴¹ used for validating and parsing data, it ensures proper communication between
⁷⁴² the client and the server (Zod, n.d.).

⁷⁴³ **3.3 Application Requirements**

⁷⁴⁴ **3.3.1 Backend Requirements**

⁷⁴⁵ **Database Structure Design**

⁷⁴⁶ Determining how data flows and how it would be structured is crucial in creating
⁷⁴⁷ the system as it defines how extendible and flexible it would be for future features
⁷⁴⁸ and updates. Thus, creating a comprehensive map of data ensures proper nor-
⁷⁴⁹ malization that eliminates data redundancy and improves data integrity. Figure
⁷⁵⁰ 3.3 depicts the designed database schema that showcases the relationship between
⁷⁵¹ the application's entities.




Figure 3.3: Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash Database Structure

⁷⁵² **3.3.2 User Interface Requirements**

⁷⁵³ **Admin Interface**




Figure 3.4: Use Case Diagram for Admins

754 Figure 3.4 shows the actions of an admin for a specific Disease Reporting Unit
755 (DRU) and an admin for a specific Surveillance Unit can take in the application.
756 Both of them include the management of accounts, browsing records, and fore-
757 casting and retraining all the consolidated data under their supervision. Most
758 importantly, these users must verify the encoders who register under their ju-
759 risdiction before allowing their account to access the application in the name of
760 safeguarding the integrity of the data. The only advantage of the latter type of ad-
761 ministrator is that it has a one-step higher authorization as it manages the DRUs.
762 In addition, only the authorized surveillance unit administrator can register and
763 create a DRU to uphold transparency and accountability.

⁷⁶⁴ **Encoder Interface**




Figure 3.5: Use Case Diagram for Encoder

⁷⁶⁵ Figure 3.5, on the other hand, illustrates the use cases for the system's primary users. These users can register but must wait for further verification to access the application. Similar to the previous interfaces, encoders can browse and manage records, as well as forecast the consolidated cases under a specific surveillance or disease reporting unit, but they are not allowed to retrain the model. Lastly, they are the only type of user that can file and create dengue cases by filling out a form with the required details.

772 3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements**773 Password Encryption**

774 Storing passwords as plain text in the database is a disgrace and a mortal sin in
775 production. It is important to implement precautionary methods such as hashing
776 and salting, followed by encryption with a strong algorithm, to prevent bad actors
777 from using the accounts for malicious transactions. By default, Django generates
778 a unique random salt for each password and encrypts it with Password-Based Key
779 Derivation Function 2 (PBKDF2) with a SHA256 hash function. Utilizing these
780 techniques ensures that in the event of a data breach, cracking these passwords
781 would be time-consuming and useless for the attackers.

782 Authentication

783 DengueWatch utilizes JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to authenticate the user. Since
784 the mechanism operates in a stateless manner, tokens are served only after a
785 successful login, eliminating the need for the server to keep a record of the token,
786 which is vulnerable to session hijacking. In addition, these tokens are signed with
787 a secret key, ensuring they have not been tampered with.

788 Data Validation

789 Both the backend and frontend should validate the input from the user to preserve
790 data integrity. Thus, Zod is implemented in the latter to help catch invalid inputs
791 from the user. By doing this, the user can only send proper requests to the server

792 which streamlines the total workflow. On the other hand, Django has also a built-
793 in validator that checks the data type and ensures that the input matches the
794 expected format on the server side. These validation processes ensure that only
795 valid and properly formatted data is accepted, which reduces the risk of errors
796 and ensures consistency across the web application.

⁷⁹⁷ Chapter 4

⁷⁹⁸ Results and Discussion/System ⁷⁹⁹ Prototype

⁸⁰⁰ 4.1 Data Gathering

⁸⁰¹ The data for dengue case prediction was gathered from a variety of reliable sources,
⁸⁰² enabling a comprehensive dataset spanning from January 2011 to October 2024.
⁸⁰³ This dataset includes 720 rows of data, each containing weekly records of dengue
⁸⁰⁴ cases along with corresponding meteorological variables, such as rainfall, temper-
⁸⁰⁵ ature, and humidity.

- ⁸⁰⁶ 1. Dengue Case Data: The primary source of historical dengue cases came
⁸⁰⁷ from the Humanitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for
⁸⁰⁸ Health Development (WVCHD). The dataset, accessed through Freedom of
⁸⁰⁹ Information (FOI) requests, provided robust case numbers for the Western

810 Visayas region. The systematic collection of these data points was essential
 811 for establishing a reliable baseline for model training and evaluation.

812 2. Weather Data: Weekly weather data was obtained by web scraping from
 813 Weather Underground, allowing access to rainfall, temperature, wind, and
 814 humidity levels that correlate with dengue prevalence.

data.head()									
	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases	
0	2011-01-03	9.938571	29.444400	25.888890	23.888900	11.39	86.242857	5	
1	2011-01-10	8.587143	30.000000	26.705556	24.444444	7.32	88.028571	4	
2	2011-01-17	5.338571	30.000000	26.616667	25.000000	7.55	84.028571	2	
3	2011-01-24	5.410000	30.555556	26.483333	20.555556	10.67	80.971429	7	
4	2011-01-31	2.914286	28.333333	25.283333	18.650000	11.01	74.885714	2	

Figure 4.1: Snippet of the Combined Dataset

815 4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis

816 From Figure 4.2, the dataset consists of 720 weekly records with 8 columns:

- 817 • **Time.** Weekly timestamps (e.g. "2011-w1")
- 818 • **Rainfall.** Weekly average rainfall (mm)
- 819 • **MaxTemperature, AverageTemperature, MinTemperature.** Weekly
 820 temperature data (C)
- 821 • **Wind.** Wind speed (m/s)
- 822 • **Humidity.** Weekly average humidity (%)

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Time	720 non-null	datetime64[ns]
1	Rainfall	720 non-null	float64
2	MaxTemperature	720 non-null	float64
3	AverageTemperature	720 non-null	float64
4	MinTemperature	720 non-null	float64
5	Wind	720 non-null	float64
6	Humidity	720 non-null	float64
7	Cases	720 non-null	int64
dtypes: datetime64[ns](1), float64(6), int64(1)			
memory usage: 45.1 KB			

Figure 4.2: Data Contents

- 823 • **Cases.** Reported dengue cases

824 From the statistics in figure 4.3, the number of cases ranges from 0 to 319.
 825 The average number of dengue cases per week is 23.74, with a median of 12 cases
 826 and a standard deviation of 37.14. The distribution is highly skewed, with some
 827 weeks experiencing significant number of cases (up to 319 cases). Rainfall shows
 828 a wide variation (0 to 445mm), while temperature remains relatively stable, with
 829 an average of 28.1 degree celsius. Humidity levels ranges from 73% to 89% with
 830 a mean of 81.6%.

	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
count	720	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000
mean	2017-12-02 11:22:00	13.957499	32.191142	28.110319	25.038472	6.172417	81.609442	23.744444
min	2011-01-03 00:00:00	0.000000	-14.600000	24.494444	12.222222	1.910000	73.185714	0.000000
25%	2014-06-21 06:00:00	1.270000	31.666667	27.504167	25.000000	4.117500	79.885713	5.000000
50%	2017-12-07 12:00:00	4.318000	32.222222	28.161111	25.000000	5.725000	81.771429	12.000000
75%	2021-05-11 18:00:00	10.414000	32.777778	28.751389	25.555556	7.860000	83.503571	26.000000
max	2024-10-28 00:00:00	445.008000	58.333333	30.916667	32.222222	19.200000	89.571429	319.000000
std	NaN	35.448846	2.616379	0.999800	1.291659	2.446703	2.831674	37.144813

Figure 4.3: Dataset Statistics

831 Figure 4.4 illustrates the trend of weekly dengue cases over time. The data

reveals periodic spikes in the number of cases, suggesting a seasonal pattern in dengue cases. Notably, peak cases are observed during certain periods approximately 3 years, potentially aligning with specific climatic conditions such as increased rainfall or temperature changes. This underscores the importance of incorporating climate variables into the forecasting model.




Figure 4.4: Trend of Dengue Cases

Figure 4.5 shows the ranking of correlation coefficients between dengue cases and selected features, including rainfall, humidity, maximum temperature, average temperature, minimum temperature, and wind speed. Among these, rainfall exhibits the highest positive correlation with dengue cases (correlation coefficient 0.13), indicating that increased rainfall may contribute to higher cases counts. This aligns with existing studies suggesting that stagnant water from heavy rainfall creates breeding grounds for mosquitos. It is followed by humidity (0.10), suggesting that higher humidity levels may enhance mosquito reproduction, leading to more dengue cases. Temperature has a weak to moderate positive correlation with dengue cases, with maximum temperature (0.09) showing a stronger relationship than average and minimum temperature.




Figure 4.5: Ranking of Correlations

848 Figure 4.6 shows the distributions of each feature while Figure 4.7 shows scat-
849 terplots of each feature against the number of cases. The distributions of Rainfall,
850 Max Temperature, Min Temperature, and Wind appear skewed, which is common
851 for many real-world variables. This skewness can distort correlation estimates, as
852 Pearson correlation assume linear relationships and are more reliable when vari-
853 ables follow a symmetric or approximately normal distribution (Bobbitt, 2021).
854 Applying a log transformation can help normalize these distributions, improve
855 linearity, and thus lead to more meaningful and accurate correlation analysis.




Figure 4.6: Pre-Transform Feature Distributions




Figure 4.7: Scatterplots

856 After applying a log transformation, Figure 4.8 shows the new distributions for
 857 the previously skewed distributions, while Figure 4.9 shows the new scatterplots
 858 of each feature against the number of cases. Now, all distributions exhibit a
 859 somewhat normal distribution which is ideal for computing linear computations
 860 such as Pearson's correlation.




Figure 4.8: Post-Transform Feature Distributions




Figure 4.9: Transformed Distributions: Scatterplots

861 Figure 4.10 presents the recomputed correlation coefficients between dengue

cases and the log-transformed weather features. Rainfall shows the strongest correlation at 0.16, followed by Max Temperature at 0.12, and Humidity at 0.10. While other features are included, their correlation values are very small and not considered meaningful. Although the individual correlations are weak, they provide valuable signals that, when combined in a multivariate model, may contribute meaningfully to predictive performance., As a result, Rainfall, Max Temperature, and Humidity are selected as the key features for model training.




Figure 4.10: Ranking of Correlations with New Distributions

4.3 Outbreak Detection

To identify outbreaks, we calculated the outbreak threshold value using the historical mean as the endemic channel. The threshold is determined using the formula:

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (4.1)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 2(37.144813) \quad (4.2)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 74.289626 \quad (4.3)$$

$$= 98.03407 \quad (4.4)$$

872 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

873 This result indicates that dengue cases exceeding 98 in Iloilo City can be
874 considered an outbreak. However, it is important to note that this threshold
875 serves only as a baseline. Additional parameters, such as the number of hospital
876 beds available in the city, must be considered to compute a more effective threshold
877 and develop an appropriate response strategy.

878 4.4 Model Training Results

879 The models were evaluated using three metrics: MSE, RMSE, and MAE. The
880 table below provides a summary and comparative analysis of each model's results
881 across these metrics, offering insights into the strengths and limitations of each
882 forecasting technique for dengue case prediction in Iloilo City. The lower values
883 of the three metrics indicate better forecasting performance. Table 4.1 shows that
884 the models performed differently on testing data. LSTM outperformed the other
885 models with the lowest RMSE, MSE, and MAE while the other three models had
886 relatively higher values for the three metrics.

Method	LSTM	Seasonal ARIMA	ARIMA	Kalman Filter	KF-LSTM
Testing MSE	285.54	1261.20	1521.48	1474.82	785.35
Testing RMSE	16.90	34.45	39.00	38.40	25.56
Testing MAE	9.45	18.73	25.80	22.33	14.55
Best Parameters	Window Size: 5 Learning Rate: 0.01 Units: 64	(2,0,2)(0,1,1)	(1,2,2)	Observation Covariance: 10.0 Transition Covariance: 0.1 × Identity	Same as LSTM

Table 4.1: Comparison of different models for dengue prediction

887 4.4.1 LSTM Model

888 The LSTM model was tuned for the following parameters: learning rate and units.
 889 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for each window size, finding the best
 890 parameters for each window size. Further evaluating which window size is most
 891 suitable for the prediction model, Table 4.2 shows the evaluation metrics for each
 window size used in the LSTM model training.

Window Size	MSE	RMSE	MAE	R ²
5	285.54	16.90	9.45	0.83
10	334.63	18.29	9.85	0.80
20	294.85	17.17	9.35	0.83

Table 4.2: Comparison of Window Sizes

892

893 The results indicate that a window size of 5 weeks provides the most accurate
 894 predictions, as evidenced by the lowest MSE and RMSE values. Furthermore, the
 895 R² score of 0.83 indicates that 83% of the variability in the target variable (cases)
 896 is explained by the independent variables (the inputs) in the model, making it a
 897 reliable configuration overall.

898 As shown in Table 4.3, the results from time series cross-validation indicate
 899 consistent performance trends, with a window size of 5 yielding the highest average
 900 RMSE across all folds compared to the other window sizes.

901 Figure 4.11 illustrates the model's performance in predicting dengue cases

Window Size	Average RMSE	Average MAE	Average R ²
5	16.69	9.06	0.79
10	17.08	10.40	0.75
20	16.93	8.75	0.81

Table 4.3: Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes

for each fold using a window size of 5. As shown in the plot, the training set progressively increases with each fold, mimicking a real-world scenario where more data becomes available over time for dengue prediction. Figure 4.12 demonstrates that the predicted cases closely follow the trend of the actual cases, indicating that the LSTM model successfully captures the underlying patterns in the data. It is also evident that as the fold number increases and the training set grows, the accuracy of the predictions on the test set improves. Despite the test data being unseen, the model exhibits a strong ability to generalize, suggesting it effectively leverages past observations to predict future trends.




Figure 4.11: Training Folds - Window Size 5




Figure 4.12: Testing Folds - Window Size 5

911 4.4.2 ARIMA Model

912 The ARIMA model was developed to capture non-seasonal trends in the data.
 913 To determine the best model configuration, grid search was used to explore vari-
 914 ous combinations of ARIMA parameters, ultimately selecting **ARIMA(1, 2, 2)**.
 915 The model was iteratively refined over **400 iterations** to ensure convergence to
 916 an optimal solution. Figure 4.13 illustrates the comparison between actual and
 917 predicted dengue cases in the test set. As shown in the plot, the ARIMA model
 918 struggled to capture the non-linear characteristics and abrupt spikes in the data.
 919 Consequently, it failed to accurately reflect the fluctuations and outbreak patterns
 920 seen in the actual case counts.




Figure 4.13: ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

921 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
 922 forecasting capability. The ARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

- 923 • **MSE (Mean Squared Error):** 1521.48
- 924 • **RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error):** 39.01
- 925 • **MAE (Mean Absolute Error):** 25.80

926 4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model

927 To address the limitations of the ARIMA model, a Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)
 928 model was developed to capture both non-seasonal and seasonal variations in the

929 data.

930 This model incorporates seasonal parameters, which were tuned using grid
 931 search to find the best configuration: **SARIMA(2, 0, 2)(0, 1, 1)[52]**. As with
 932 ARIMA, **400 iterations** were applied to ensure a robust fit. As shown in Figure
 933 4.14, the SARIMA model demonstrates a notable improvement in performance.
 934 Unlike its non-seasonal counterpart, it effectively captures the general trend and
 935 aligns more closely with the peaks observed in the actual dengue cases, indicating
 936 its ability to model seasonal dynamics.




Figure 4.14: Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

937 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
 938 forecasting capability. The SARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

939 • **MSE:** 1109.69

940 • **RMSE:** 33.31

941 • **MAE:** 18.09

942 The lower error values, when compared to the ARIMA model, highlight the
943 SARIMA model's superior capability in forecasting dengue cases. Its effective-
944 ness in capturing seasonal patterns contributed to a more accurate representation
945 of the actual cases.

946 After training the model, the SARIMA model was validated using the same
947 Time Series Cross-Validation strategy employed in the LSTM model. Table 4.4
948 presents the performance metrics for each fold, as well as the average metrics
949 across all folds. The average RMSE and MAE values were close to those obtained
950 during the initial training phase, indicating that the SARIMA model performed
951 consistently across different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	659.68	25.68	16.00
2	2127.49	46.12	21.30
3	996.43	31.56	18.89
Average	1261.20	34.45	18.73

Table 4.4: Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold

952 4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model

953 Figure 4.15 shows the comparison between the actual dengue cases and the pre-
954 dicted values on the test set. As illustrated in the plot, the Kalman Filter model
955 demonstrates a moderate ability to follow the general trend of the actual data.

956 While it effectively captures some rising and falling patterns, it still struggles to
 957 accurately replicate the sharp peaks and extreme values found in the real case
 958 counts. This limitation is particularly noticeable during the large spikes in 2022
 959 and 2024. The model's performance was evaluated using standard regression met-
 960 rics. The results are as follows:

$$\text{MSE} = 1474.82, \quad \text{RMSE} = 38.40, \quad \text{MAE} = 22.34$$




Figure 4.15: Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set

961 The Kalman Filter was then combined with the LSTM model in order to see
 962 improvements in its predictions. Table 4.5 shows the metrics across three folds
 963 using the same Time Series Cross Validation Strategy employed in the previous
 964 models to see how it performed on different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	113.59	10.66	6.42
2	752.51	27.43	12.11
3	1489.95	38.60	25.13
Average	785.35	25.56	14.55

Table 4.5: Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold

965 As can be seen in the table above, the performance of the hybrid model demon-
 966 strated improvements in all metrics as compared to just using the Kalman Filter
 967 alone.

968 4.5 Model Simulation

969 To evaluate the LSTM model’s real-world forecasting ability, a simulation was
 970 conducted to predict dengue cases for the year 2025. The model was trained
 971 exclusively on data from 2011 to 2024, using both dengue cases and weather vari-
 972 ables. Importantly, the actual dengue case values for 2025 were never included
 973 during training. Instead, only the weather variables collected for 2025 were input
 974 into the model to generate predictions for that year. After prediction, the fore-
 975 casted dengue cases for 2025 were compared against the true observed cases to
 976 assess the model’s accuracy. Figure 4.16 shows that the predicted values closely
 977 follow the trend, although it may overestimate the dengue cases in some weeks.




Figure 4.16: Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025

978 4.6 System Prototype

979 4.6.1 Home Page

980 The Home Page is intended for all visitors of the web application. The Analytics
 981 Dashboard, which displays relevant statistics for dengue cases at a certain year
 982 and location, is the primary component highlighted, as seen in Figure 4.17. This
 983 component includes a combo chart that graphs the number of dengue cases and
 984 deaths per week in a specific year, a choropleth map that tracks the number of
 985 dengue cases per location, and various bar charts that indicate the top locations
 986 affected by dengue.




Figure 4.17: Home Page

4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication

The registration page, as shown in Figure 4.18, serves as a gateway to access the authenticated pages of the web application. Only prospective encoders can create an account since administrator accounts are only made by existing administrator accounts to protect the data's integrity in production. After registering, the "encoder account" cannot access the authorized pages yet as it needs to be verified first by an administrator managing the unit the user entered. Once verified,

the user can log in to the system through the page shown in Figure 4.19. After entering the correct credentials, which consist of an email and password, the system uses HTTP-only cookies containing JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to prevent vulnerability to Cross-site Scripting (XSS) attacks. It will then proceed to the appropriate page the type of user belongs to.

Figure 4.18: Sign Up Page




Figure 4.19: Login Page

999 4.6.3 Encoder Interface

1000 Case Report Form

1001 Figures 4.20 and 4.21 show the digitized counterpart of the form obtained from the
1002 Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit. As the system aims to sup-
1003 port expandability for future features, some fields were modified to accommodate
1004 more detailed input. It is worth noting that all of the included fields adhere to the
1005 latest Philippine Integrated Disease and Surveillance Response (PIDS) Dengue
1006 Forms, which the referenced form was based on. By doing this, if implemented
1007 on a national scale, the transition between targeted users will be easier. More-
1008 over, the case form includes the patient's basic information, dengue vaccination
1009 status, consultation details, laboratory results, and the outcome. On the other
1010 hand, encoders can also create case records using a "bulk upload" feature that

1011 makes use of a formatted CSV file template. As shown in Figure 4.22, an encoder
 1012 can download the template using the "Download Template" button, and insert
 1013 multiple records inside the file, then upload it by clicking the "Click to upload"
 1014 button. The web application automatically checks the file for data inconsistencies
 1015 and validation.

Figure 4.20: First Part of Case Report Form

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the DengueDash application. The left sidebar contains navigation links for 'Analytics', 'Forms' (selected), 'Data Tables', and 'Settings'. The top right features a 'Bulk Upload' button. The main content area is titled 'Case Report Form' and includes tabs for 'Personal Information' (selected) and 'Clinical Status'. The 'Clinical Status' tab is currently active, displaying sections for 'Consultation' and 'Laboratory Results'. In the 'Consultation' section, there are fields for 'Date Admitted/Consulted/Seen' (with a 'Pick a date' button) and 'Is Admitted?' (a dropdown menu). The 'Laboratory Results' section contains four rows, each with a test name (NS1, IgG ELISA, IgM ELISA, PCR) and a dropdown menu indicating the result status ('Pending Result'). To the right of these results are corresponding 'Date done' fields (NS1, IgG ELISA, IgM ELISA, PCR) with 'Pick a date' buttons. Below these sections is the 'Outcome' section, which includes 'Case Classification' and 'Outcome' dropdown menus. A 'Date of Death' field with a 'Pick a date' button is also present. At the bottom right are 'Previous' and 'Submit' buttons.

Figure 4.21: Second Part of Case Report Form




Figure 4.22: Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV

1016 Browsing, Update, and Deletion of Records

1017 Once the data generated from the case report form or the bulk upload is vali-
 1018 dated, it will be assigned as a new case and can be accessed through the Dengue
 1019 Reports page, as shown in Figure 4.23. The said page displays basic information
 1020 about the patient related to a specific case, including their name, address, date
 1021 of consultation, and clinical and case classifications. It is also worth noting that
 1022 it only shows cases the user is permitted to view. For example, in a local Disease
 1023 Reporting Unit (DRU) setting, the user can only access records that belong to
 1024 the same DRU. On the other hand, in a consolidated surveillance unit such as a
 1025 regional, provincial, or city quarter, its users can view all the records from all the

1026 DRUs that report to them. Moving forward, Figure 4.24 shows the detailed case
 1027 report of the patient on a particular consultation date.



The screenshot displays the DengueDash application's interface. On the left, a sidebar titled "DengueDash" lists "Modules": Accounts, DRU, Analytics, Data Tables (with "Dengue Reports" selected), and Settings. The main content area is titled "Dengue Reports" and shows a table of 10 rows of dengue cases. The columns are: Case ID, Name, Barangay, City, Date Consulted, Clinical Classification, Case Classification, and Action (with an "Open" button). The data includes cases from various barangays in Iloilo City, with dates ranging from October 31 to November 3, 2024. At the bottom, there are navigation links for "Previous", "1", "2", "...", "2137", and "Next". A footer at the bottom left shows the copyright information: "Iloilo City Epidemiological Unit © 2024".

Case ID	Name	Barangay	City	Date Consulted	Clinical Classification	Case Classification	Action
25017095	Hedges, Destiny Michelle	Palo Benedicto Rizal (Mandurias)	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-11-03	Severe dengue	Probable	<button>Open</button>
25017077	Cuevas, Robert Rebecca	Democracia	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-11-03	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017090	Middleton, Joseph Michael	Tanza-Esperanza	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-11-01	With warning signs	Suspect	<button>Open</button>
25017089	Medina, Michael Paige	Tacas	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-11-01	With warning signs	Probable	<button>Open</button>
25017081	Love, Paula Kimberly	Magsaysay	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-11-01	With warning signs	Suspect	<button>Open</button>
25017073	Smith, Anna Andrea	Desamparados	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-11-01	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017094	Morrison, Michael Sarah	El 98 Castilla (Claudio Lopez)	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-10-31	Severe dengue	Probable	<button>Open</button>
25017093	Barnes, Charles Robert	Rima-Rizal	ILOILO CITY (Capitol)	2024-10-31	With warning signs	Suspect	<button>Open</button>

Figure 4.23: Dengue Reports




Figure 4.24: Detailed Case Report

1028 To update the case, the user can click the "Update Case" button, where a
 1029 dialog will appear, and the updateable fields will be shown. It is worth noting
 1030 that in this case, only fields under Laboratory Results and Outcome are included
 1031 since they are the only ones that are time-based, where the result may change in
 1032 the future. After updating, a prompt will show confirming the action of the user.
 1033 Moving forward, to delete a case record, the user must click the "Delete Case"
 1034 button, and a prompt verifying the action will appear. After confirming, the case

4.6. SYSTEM PROTOTYPE

65

1035 will be deleted permanently.




Figure 4.25: Update Report Dialog




Figure 4.26: Delete Report Alert Dialog

1036 **Forecasting**

1037 The piece de resistance of the web application's feature is the Forecasting Page.
 1038 This is where users can forecast dengue cases for the next following weeks. To
 1039 predict, the application utilizes the exported LSTM model in a Keras format
 1040 derived from training the consolidated data from the database. It requires the
 1041 recent weekly dengue cases and weather variable data (temperature, humidity, and

rainfall) based on the window size. This allows the web application to display a line chart with the anticipated number of dengue cases over the following four weeks. Moving forward, the Forecasting page, as shown in Figure 4.27, introduces a user-friendly interface that shows the current cases for the week and the predictions for the next two weeks with a range of 90 percent to 110 percent confidence interval that is presented in a simple but organized manner. There is also a line chart that shows the number of cases from the last 5 weeks plus the forecasted weekly cases. In addition, the current weather data for a specific week is also shown, as well as the forecasted weather data fetched from the OpenWeather API. Lastly, locations where dengue cases have been reported for the current week are listed in the Location Risk Assessment component.




Figure 4.27: Forecasting Page

1053 **4.6.4 Admin Interface**

1054 **Retraining**

1055 With LSTM being the best-performing model among the models used in forecast-
1056 ing dengue cases, it is the model chosen to power the prediction and retraining
1057 of the consolidated data within the web application. Since the retraining process
1058 consumes a lot of processing power and requires a more advanced understanding
1059 of how it works, it was decided that the said feature should only be available
1060 to admin users. Furthermore, the retraining component in the Forecasting page
1061 includes three additional components that include the configuration of LSTM pa-
1062 rameters (Figure 4.28), the actual retraining of the consolidated data from the
1063 database (Figure 4.29), and the results of the retraining that shows the current
1064 and previous model metrics depending on the parameters entered (Figure 4.30).
1065 It is also worth noting that when trained, the model used a seeded number to
1066 promote reproducibility.




Figure 4.28: Retraining Configurations




Figure 4.29: Start Retraining

The screenshot shows the 'Dengue Forecasting and Retraining Dashboard' interface. The left sidebar lists modules: Accounts, DRU, Analytics (Dashboard, Forecasting), Data Tables, and Settings. The main header is 'Dengue Forecasting and Retraining Dashboard' with a sub-header 'Train the model with the latest data and forecast dengue cases'. Below this are tabs for 'Forecasting' (selected) and 'Retraining'. A navigation bar at the top includes 'Configuration', 'Training', and 'Results'. The central area is titled 'Model Results' with a subtitle 'View the model's performance metrics and charts'. It features two side-by-side tables: 'Current Model Metrics' and 'Previous Model Metrics'. The 'Current Model Metrics' table contains:

	Value
MSE:	296.999
RMSE:	17.234
MAE:	10.138
R ² :	0.826

The 'Previous Model Metrics' table contains:

	Value
MSE:	311.420
RMSE:	17.647
MAE:	9.711
R ² :	0.818

A 'Metrics' button is located above the tables, and a 'Charts' button is to its right. At the bottom left is a 'Previous' button.

Figure 4.30: Retraining Results

1067 **Managing Accounts**

1068 Proper management of accounts is important to protect the integrity and confi-
 1069 dentiality of data. Thus, it is crucial for administrators to track their users and
 1070 control the flow of information. As discussed in the user registration of encoders,
 1071 admin users from a specific DRU or surveillance have the power to grant them ac-
 1072 cess to the web application. Figure 4.32 illustrates the interface for this scenario,
 1073 as the admins can approve or reject their applications. Once approved, these users
 1074 can access the features given to encoders and may be promoted to have admin-
 1075 istrative access, as shown in Figure 4.33. When deleting an account, the user's
 1076 email will be blacklisted and illegible to use when creating another account, and
 1077 all the cases reported by this user will be soft-deleted. The same figure also shows
 1078 the expanded details of the user, which include personal information and brief
 1079 activity details within the system.

Name	Email	Role	Sex	Actions
Cheryl Hernandez King	omarpatterson@example.net	Encoder	Female	<button>Open</button>

Figure 4.31: List of Verified Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with a navigation menu:

- Modules
 - Accounts
 - Manage Accounts
 - Analytics
 - Data Tables
 - Settings

At the bottom of the sidebar, it says "CN Saint Paul's Hospital saintpaul@gmail.com".

The main content area has a breadcrumb navigation: Building Your Application > Data Fetching. The title is "Manage Accounts". Below the title is a button bar with "Verified", "Pending" (which is highlighted), and "Blacklisted". A table lists user information:

Name	Email	Sex	Created At	Actions
John David Doe	testereee@example.gov.ph	Male	2025-04-26	<button>Approve</button> <button>Delete</button>

Figure 4.32: List of Pending Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with a navigation menu:

- Modules
 - Accounts
 - Manage Accounts
 - Analytics
 - Data Tables
 - Settings

At the bottom of the sidebar, it says "CN Saint Paul's Hospital saintpaul@gmail.com".

The main content area has a breadcrumb navigation: Building Your Application > Data Fetching. The title is "User Profile". Below the title is a subtitle "View and manage user details". A table displays user details:


Name John David Doe	Email testereee@example.gov.ph
Sex Male	Role Encoder
Hospital (ORU) Saint Paul's Hospital	
Created At April 26 2025 at 16:19:07	Updated At April 26 2025 at 16:21:16
Last Login N/A	

Below the table are two buttons: "Promote to Admin" and "Delete User".

Figure 4.33: Account Details

1080 Managing DRUs

1081 Unlike the registration of encoder accounts, the creation of Disease Reporting
 1082 Units can only be done within the web application, and the user performing the
 1083 creation must be an administrator of a surveillance unit. Figure 4.34 presents the
 1084 fields the admin user must fill out, and once completed, the new entry will show
 1085 as being managed by that unit, as shown in Figure 4.35. Figure 4.36, on the other
 1086 hand, shows the details provided in the registration form as well as its creation
 1087 details. There is also an option to delete the DRU, and when invoked, all the
 1088 accounts being managed by it, and the cases reported under those accounts will
 1089 be soft-deleted.



The screenshot displays the DengueDash web application interface. On the left, a sidebar menu titled 'DengueDash' lists several modules: Accounts, DRU (selected), Analytics, Data Tables, and Settings. The main content area is titled 'Register Disease Reporting Unit' and contains the following fields:

- Name:** A text input field labeled 'Enter DRU name' with the placeholder 'The official name of the Disease Reporting Unit.'
- Address Information:**
 - Region:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select Region'.
 - Province:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select Province'.
 - City/Municipality:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select City/Municipality'.
 - Barangay:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select Barangay'.
- Street Address:** A text input field labeled 'House/Building No., Street Name'.
- Email:** A text input field containing 'example@health.gov'.
- Contact Number:** A text input field containing '+63 912 345 6789'.
- DRU Type:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select DRU type' with the placeholder 'The category that best describes this reporting unit.'

A large black button at the bottom right of the form is labeled 'Register DRU'.

Figure 4.34: Disease Reporting Unit Registration

4.6. SYSTEM PROTOTYPE

75

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with the following navigation:

- Modules
 - Accounts
 - DRU** (selected)
 - Analytics
 - Data Tables
 - Settings

Below the sidebar, the user information is displayed: CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... and iloilocesu@gmail.com.

The main content area has a breadcrumb navigation: Building Your Application > Data Fetching. The title is "Manage Disease Reporting Units". Below the title is a subtitle: "View and manage Disease Reporting Units".

DRU Name	Email	Action
Molo District Health Center	molodistricthealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Jaro 1 Health Center	jarohealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Saint Paul's Hospital	saintpaul@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>

Figure 4.35: List of Disease Reporting Units

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with the following navigation:

- Modules
 - Accounts
 - DRU** (selected)
 - Analytics
 - Data Tables
 - Settings

Below the sidebar, the user information is displayed: CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... and iloilocesu@gmail.com.

The main content area has a breadcrumb navigation: Building Your Application > Data Fetching. The title is "Disease Reporting Unit Profile". Below the title is a subtitle: "View and manage DRU details".

Name of DRU Molo District Health Center	Email molodistricthealth@gmail.com
Address M.H. Del Pilar Street, Molo, Molo, ILOILO CITY (Capital), ILOILO	Contact Number 09123456782
Region Region VI (Western Visayas)	Surveillance Unit Iloilo CESU
DRU Type CHO/MHO/PHO	

At the bottom of the profile card, there are two timestamped entries:

- Created At: April 26 2025 at 13:07:00
- Updated At: April 26 2025 at 13:07:00

A red button at the bottom right of the profile card contains the text "Delete DRU".

Figure 4.36: Disease Reporting Unit details

1090 **4.7 User Testing**

1091 To evaluate the usability of the system, the System Usability Scale (SUS) was
1092 utilized. SUS is a Likert-scale-based questionnaire comprising 10 items that are
1093 critical to assessing system usability. A total of five participants completed the sur-
1094vey. Their responses were processed following the step-by-step calculation method
1095 adopted from (Babich, 2015). The resulting usability scores for each participant
1096 are shown in Table 4.6.

Participant	Usability Score
1	95.0
2	90.0
3	85.0
4	87.5
5	85.0
Average	88.5

Table 4.6: Computed System Usability Scores per Participant

1097 The average System Usability Scale (SUS) score across systems is typically
1098 68 (Babich, 2015). In this testing, the system achieved an average SUS score
1099 of 88.5, indicating a highly positive user experience. This score suggests that
1100 participants found the system not only enjoyable to use but also intuitive enough
1101 to recommend to others. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the system is suitable
1102 for real-world applications without presenting significant complexity for first-time
1103 users.

1104 **Chapter 5**

1105 **Conclusion**

1106 The development of DengueWatch marks a transformative leap forward in public
1107 health technology, providing Iloilo City with a centralized system to combat one
1108 of the most persistent mosquito-borne diseases. Previously, data was recorded
1109 manually on paper, making tracking and analysis slow and error-prone. Dengue-
1110 Watch digitizes this process, enabling faster, more accurate monitoring. More
1111 than an academic project, DengueWatch serves as a practical solution aimed at
1112 shifting the approach from reactive outbreak response to proactive prevention. By
1113 combining deep learning models with real-time climate data integration, the sys-
1114 tem achieves a level of accuracy and usability that makes it viable for real-world
1115 deployment.

1116 At the heart of DengueWatch is a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neu-
1117 ral network, which outperformed traditional forecasting models such as ARIMA
1118 and Kalman Filter. The LSTM model achieved a Root Mean Square Error
1119 (RMSE) of 16.90, compared to 39.00 and 38.40 for ARIMA and Kalman, respec-

1120 tively—demonstrating a substantial improvement in predictive capability. This
1121 advantage stems from the LSTM’s ability to capture long-term dependencies and
1122 model nonlinear relationships between environmental factors and disease patterns.

1123 The analysis also revealed that climate indicators, particularly rainfall and
1124 humidity, play a significant role in dengue outbreaks, typically leading to a surge
1125 in cases three to five weeks after anomalies are detected. By incorporating these
1126 lagged effects, DengueWatch achieved an explanatory power of 83% ($R^2 = 0.83$),
1127 offering a game-changing advantage for early intervention and resource allocation.

1128 Usability testing further underscored DengueWatch’s readiness for real-world
1129 deployment. The system achieved an average System Usability Scale (SUS) score
1130 of 88.5, significantly above the industry benchmark of 68. This indicates that
1131 users found the system intuitive, efficient, and suitable for operational use in
1132 public health contexts. Key features such as a user-friendly dashboard, a two-week
1133 forecasting window aligned with mosquito life cycles, and automated outbreak
1134 alerts ensure that the system supports timely, effective responses.

1135 Beyond its immediate application in Iloilo City, the framework behind Dengue-
1136 Watch holds the potential for broader impact. With minor adaptations, it can
1137 be scaled nationally through integration with Department of Health surveillance
1138 systems.

1139 DengueWatch exemplifies how deep learning can bridge the gap between data
1140 science and life-saving interventions. It empowers health workers to act preemp-
1141 tively, policymakers to allocate resources strategically, and communities to en-
1142 gage in early preventive measures. As climate change accelerates the spread of
1143 vector-borne diseases, systems like DengueWatch will become indispensable in

₁₁₄₄ safeguarding public health. This system not only demonstrates the power of AI
₁₁₄₅ in epidemiological forecasting but also lays the foundation for a smarter, more
₁₁₄₆ resilient approach to combating infectious diseases in the years ahead.

¹¹⁴⁷ Chapter 6

¹¹⁴⁸ References

- ¹¹⁴⁹ About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://docs.github.com/en/get-started/start-your-journey/about-github-and-git>
- ¹¹⁵⁰ Ahmadini, A. A. H., Naeem, M., Aamir, M., Dewan, R., Alshqaq, S. S. A., & Mashwani, W. K. (2021). Analysis and forecast of the number of deaths, recovered cases, and confirmed cases from covid-19 for the top four affected countries using kalman filter. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9, 629320.
- ¹¹⁵¹ Arroyo-Marioli, F., Bullano, F., Kucinskas, S., & Rondón-Moreno, C. (2021). Tracking r of covid-19: A new real-time estimation using the kalman filter. *PloS one*, 16(1), e0244474.
- ¹¹⁵² Babich, N. (2015). *How to use the system usability scale (sus) to evaluate the usability of your website*. Usability Geek. Retrieved from <https://usabilitygeek.com/how-to-use-the-system-usability-scale-sus-to-evaluate-the-usability-of-your-website/> (Accessed: 2025-04-26)

- 1164 Bobbitt, Z. (2021, November 17). *The five assumptions for pearson correlation*. Retrieved from <https://www.statology.org/pearson-correlation-assumptions/> (Statology)
- 1165
- 1166
- 1167 Bosano, R. (2023). *Who: Ph most affected by dengue in western pacific*. Retrieved
1168 Use the date of access, from <https://news.abs-cbn.com/spotlight/12/22/23/who-ph-most-affected-by-dengue-in-western-pacific>
- 1169
- 1170 Brady, O. J., Smith, D. L., Scott, T. W., & Hay, S. I. (2015). Dengue disease
1171 outbreak definitions are implicitly variable. *Epidemics*, 11, 92–102.
- 1172 Bravo, L., Roque, V. G., Brett, J., Dizon, R., & L’Azou, M. (2014). Epidemiology
1173 of dengue disease in the philippines (2000–2011): a systematic literature
1174 review. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*, 8(11), e3027.
- 1175 Carvajal, T. M., Viacrucis, K. M., Hernandez, L. F. T., Ho, H. T., Amalin, D. M.,
1176 & Watanabe, K. (2018). Machine learning methods reveal the temporal
1177 pattern of dengue incidence using meteorological factors in metropolitan
1178 manila, philippines. *BMC infectious diseases*, 18, 1–15.
- 1179 *Chart.js*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.chartjs.org/>
- 1180 Cheong, Y. L., Burkart, K., Leitão, P. J., & Lakes, T. (2013). Assessing weather
1181 effects on dengue disease in malaysia. *International journal of environmental
1182 research and public health*, 10(12), 6319–6334.
- 1183 Christie, T. (n.d.). *Home - Django REST framework*. Retrieved from <https://www.djangoproject-rest-framework.org/>
- 1184
- 1185 Colón-González, F. J., Fezzi, C., Lake, I. R., & Hunter, P. R. (2013). The effects
1186 of weather and climate change on dengue. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*,
1187 7(11), e2503.
- 1188 Hemisphere, N. (2015). Update on the dengue situation in the western pacific
1189 region. *Update*.

- 1190 Hii, Y. L., Zhu, H., Ng, N., Ng, L. C., & Rocklöv, J. (2012). Forecast of dengue
 1191 incidence using temperature and rainfall. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*,
 1192 6(11), e1908.
- 1193 Joel, C. (2021, 10). *6 reasons to use Tailwind over traditional CSS*. Retrieved
 1194 from [https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind
 1195 -over-traditional-css-1nc3](https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind-over-traditional-css-1nc3)
- 1196 *Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for interactive maps*. (n.d.). Retrieved
 1197 from <https://leafletjs.com/>
- 1198 Li, X., Feng, S., Hou, N., Li, H., Zhang, S., Jian, Z., & Zi, Q. (2022). Applications
 1199 of kalman filtering in time series prediction. In *International conference on*
 1200 *intelligent robotics and applications* (pp. 520–531).
- 1201 Ligue, K. D. B., & Ligue, K. J. B. (2022). Deep learning approach to forecasting
 1202 dengue cases in davao city using long short-term memory (lstm). *Philippine
 1203 Journal of Science*, 151(3).
- 1204 Perla. (2024). *Iloilo beefs up efforts amid hike in dengue cases*. Retrieved Use the
 1205 date of access, from <https://www.pna.gov.ph/articles/1231208>
- 1206 RabDashDC. (2024). *Rabdash dc*. Retrieved Use the date of access, from [https:////
 1207 rabdash.com](https:////rabdash.com)
- 1208 Runge-Ranzinger, S., Kroeger, A., Olliaro, P., McCall, P. J., Sánchez Tejeda, G.,
 1209 Lloyd, L. S., ... Coelho, G. (2016). Dengue contingency planning: from
 1210 research to policy and practice. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*, 10(9),
 1211 e0004916.
- 1212 Shadcn. (n.d.). *Introduction*. Retrieved from <https://ui.shadcn.com/docs>
- 1213 *Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern websites without ever leaving your HTML*.
 1214 (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://tailwindcss.com/>
- 1215 Watts, D. M., Burke, D. S., Harrison, B. A., Whitmire, R. E., & Nisalak, A.

- 1216 (2020). Effect of temperature on the transmission of dengue virus by aedes
1217 aegypti. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 36(1),
1218 143–152.
- 1219 *What is Postman? Postman API Platform.* (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.postman.com/product/what-is-postman/>
- 1220
- 1221 *Why Visual Studio Code?* (2021, 11). Retrieved from <https://code.visualstudio.com/docs/editor/whyvscode>
- 1222
- 1223 World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Dengue and severe dengue in the
1224 philippines. *WHO Dengue Factsheet*. (Available at: <https://www.who.int>)
- 1225
- 1226 Zhou, S., & Malani, P. (2024). What is dengue? *Jama*, 332(10), 850–850.
- 1227 Zod. (n.d.). *TypeScript-first schema validation with static type inference*. Re-
1228 trieved from <https://zod.dev/?id=introduction>

1229 **Appendix A**

1230 **Data Collection Snippets**

Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
2011-w1	9.938571429	29.4444	25.88889	23.8889	11.39	86.24285714	5
2011-w2	8.587142857	30	26.70555556	24.44444444	7.32	88.02857143	4
2011-w3	5.338571429	30	26.61666667	25	7.55	84.02857143	2
2011-w4	5.41	30.55555556	26.48333333	20.55555556	10.67	80.97142857	7
2011-w5	2.914285714	28.33333333	25.28333333	18.65	11.01	74.88571429	2
2011-w6	3.44	31.11111111	27.53333333	25	3.69	85.27142857	5
2011-w7	1.444285714	30	27.06111111	25	6.69	82.1	6
2011-w8	0.7685714286	30.55555556	27.03333333	25	7.82	76.18571429	2
2011-w9	4.064285714	30.55555556	26.75	24.44444444	6.64	84.1	5
2011-w10	1.252857143	31.11111111	27.7	25.55555556	7.92	80.18571429	4
2011-w11	7.068571429	28.33333333	26.03888889	23.88888889	10.35	85.7	2
2011-w12	3.567142857	30.55555556	26.4	24.44444444	9.57	87.74285714	3
2011-w13	2.745714286	30	26.61666667	25	10.05	86.25714286	2
2011-w14	0.09285714286	30	27.44444444	25	8.25	76.32857143	4
2011-w15	1.008571429	31.11111111	26.89444444	22.77777778	7.93	80.64285714	5
2011-w16	0.8771428571	31.66666667	28.43333333	24.44444444	4.76	80.35714286	3
2011-w17	2.15	32.77777778	28.87222222	25.55555556	5.54	81.77142857	5
2011-w18	3.062857143	32.77777778	28.62777778	25	5.15	81.9	4
2011-w19	9.828571429	32.77777778	27.97222222	25	4.18	85.77142857	5
2011-w20	2.848571429	33.33333333	29.71111111	25.55555556	3.77	79.65714286	3
2011-w21	11.74142857	32.77777778	28.51111111	25	4.28	81.81428571	3
2011-w22	14.52857143	32.77777778	28.47222222	25.55555556	4.04	82.45714286	4
2011-w23	11.51714286	32.22222222	28.26111111	24.44444444	3.91	84.24285714	9
2011-w24	8.784285714	32.22222222	27.71111111	24.44444444	3.87	84.7	3
2011-w25	10.43714286	32.77777778	27.47777778	24.44444444	4.46	86.52857143	13
2011-w26	6.702857143	32.22222222	28.39444444	25	3.94	81.87142857	7
2011-w27	14.48	32.22222222	27.66666667	25	4.19	85.42857143	13
2011-w28	3.32	32.22222222	27.49444444	19.68333333	6.69	80.78571429	9
2011-w29	17.33714286	32.77777778	27.95555556	25	3.57	80.05714286	10
2011-w30	22.77	31.66666667	26.63888889	24.44444444	5.13	88.01428571	16
2011-w31	9.875714286	32.77777778	27.81111111	25	4.66	83.11428571	13

Figure A.1: Snippet of Consolidated Data




Figure A.2: Snippet of Weather Data Collection




Figure A.3: Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU

Please enter your participant number: 2

System Usability Scale (SUS)

This is a standard questionnaire that measures the overall usability of a system. Please select the answer that best expresses how you feel about each statement after using the system today.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I think I would like to use DengueWatch frequently to monitor and forecast dengue cases.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. I found DengueWatch unnecessarily complex when entering or analyzing data.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3. I thought DengueWatch was easy to use, even for someone unfamiliar with AI forecasting tools.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4. I think I would need the support of an IT or technical person to use all the features of DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5. I found the visualizations (charts, maps, graphs) in DengueWatch to be well-integrated and informative.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in how different parts of the DengueWatch system behaved.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7. I believe most public health workers would learn to use DengueWatch very quickly.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8. I found DengueWatch very cumbersome to use when entering weekly case reports or viewing forecasts.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
9. I felt confident in using DengueWatch to get the information I needed for decision-making.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				

How likely are you to recommend this website to others? (please circle your answer)

Not at all likely 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Extremely likely

Please provide important comments about the website:

Figure A.4: System Usability Score Questionnaire