

1 DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME
2 DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO
3 PROVINCE

4 A Special Problem
5 Presented to
6 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
7 College of Arts and Sciences
8 University of the Philippines Visayas
9 Miag-ao, Iloilo

10 In Partial Fulfillment
11 of the Requirements for the Degree of
12 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

13 AMODIA, Kurt Matthew A.
14 BULAONG, Glen Andrew C.
15 ELIPAN, Carl Benedict L.

16 Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
17 Adviser

18 May 17, 2025

19

Approval Sheet

20

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

22

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

23

DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO PROVINCE

25

26

Approved by:

Name

Signature

Date

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D.

(Adviser)

Ara Abigail E. Ambita

27

(Panel Member)

Christi Florence C. Cala-or

(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor

(Division Chair)

28 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
29 College of Arts and Sciences
30 University of the Philippines Visayas

31 **Declaration**

32 We, Kurt Matthew A. Amodia, Glen Andrew C. Bulaong, and Carl Benedict
33 L. Elipan, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us and
34 is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
35 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name	Signature	Date
Kurt Matthew A. Amodia (Student)	_____	_____
Glen Andrew C. Bulaong (Student)	_____	_____
Carl Benedict L. Elipan (Student)	_____	_____

Dedication

38 We dedicate this special problem to all the teachers who have guided us
39 throughout our academic journey. Your knowledge and mentorship have laid the
40 foundation for this research, and for that, we are truly grateful.

41 To our families, friends, and classmates, thank you for your unwavering sup-
42 port, encouragement, and belief in us. Your presence has been a constant source
43 of strength.

44 Most especially, we dedicate this work to the health offices and frontline per-
45 sonnel who continue to battle dengue cases with courage and dedication. Your
46 tireless efforts and sacrifices are an inspiration. We hope that this research, in its
47 own small way, can contribute to your work and make a meaningful difference in
48 your fight against this disease.

49

Acknowledgment

50 This research would not have been possible without the support and guidance
51 of several individuals and institutions.

52 First and foremost, we express our deepest gratitude to our adviser, Dr. Francis
53 Dimzon, for his invaluable insights, unwavering support, and commitment to
54 our project. His guidance was instrumental in shaping the direction of our re-
55 search.

56 We also extend our sincere thanks to the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and
57 the Iloilo Epidemiological Unit, for accomodating our inquiries and sharing vital
58 data and insights. Their cooperation played a crucial role in the success of the
59 research.

60 Our appreciation also goes to the UPV Health Services Unit, especially the
61 doctors and nurses who participated in our user testing. Your thoughtful feedback
62 provided essential perspectives that greatly contributed to the relevance of our
63 research.

64 Finally, we are greatly thankful to God Almighty, for granting us the strength,
65 perseverance, and determination to complete this research.

Abstract

67 Dengue fever remains a significant public health concern in the Philippines, with
68 cases rising dramatically in recent years. Nationwide outbreaks have placed immense
69 strain on healthcare systems, underscoring the need for innovative approaches to surveil-
70 lance and response. In Iloilo City, this national trend was reflected in a significant surge,
71 with the Iloilo Provincial Health Office reporting 4,585 cases and 10 fatalities as of Au-
72 gust 10, 2023, a 319% increase from the previous year's 1,095 cases and one death. This
73 rise overwhelmed local healthcare systems, with over 76% of non-COVID-19 hospital
74 beds occupied by dengue patients. The absence of a reliable system to monitor and fore-
75 cast dengue outbreaks contributed to delayed interventions, exacerbating public health
76 risks and the burden on medical resources. To address this gap, this study developed a
77 centralized system for monitoring and modernizing data management of dengue cases
78 in public health institutions, making it more efficient and modern. Using data gathered
79 from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and online sources, several deep learning mod-
80 els were trained to predict dengue cases, utilizing weather variables and historical case
81 data as inputs. These models included Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), ARIMA,
82 Seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter (KF), and a hybrid KF-LSTM model. The models un-
83 derwent time series cross-validation strategies to mimic real-world conditions as closely
84 as possible and were evaluated using metrics such as Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root
85 Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). The LSTM model
86 demonstrated the best performance with the lowest RMSE of 16.90, followed by the
87 hybrid KF-LSTM model at 25.56. The LSTM model was then integrated into the sys-
88 tem to provide forecasting features that could support health institutions by offering
89 actionable insights for proactive intervention strategies.

90 **Keywords:** Dengue, Deep Learning, Monitoring, Prediction

91 Contents

92	1 Introduction	1
93	1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology	1
94	1.2 Problem Statement	3
95	1.3 Research Objectives	4
96	1.3.1 General Objective	4
97	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4
98	1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	5
99	1.5 Significance of the Research	6
100	2 Review of Related Literature	9
101	2.1 Dengue	9
102	2.2 Outbreak Definition	10

103	2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC	11
104	2.4 Deep Learning	11
105	2.5 Kalman Filter	12
106	2.6 Weather Data	13
107	2.7 Chapter Summary	14
108	3 Research Methodology	15
109	3.1 Research Activities	16
110	3.1.1 Gather Dengue Data and Climate Data to Create a Com-	
111	plete Dataset for Forecasting	16
112	3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for Dengue	
113	Case Forecasting	19
114	3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based Data An-	
115	alytics Dashboard	25
116	3.1.4 System Development Framework	25
117	3.2 Development Tools	28
118	3.2.1 Software	28
119	3.2.2 Hardware	30
120	3.2.3 Packages	30

CONTENTS ix

121	3.3 Application Requirements	32
122	3.3.1 Backend Requirements	32
123	3.3.2 User Interface Requirements	34
124	3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements	37
125	4 Results and Discussion/System Prototype	39
126	4.1 Data Gathering	39
127	4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis	40
128	4.3 Outbreak Detection	46
129	4.4 Model Training Results	47
130	4.4.1 LSTM Model	48
131	4.4.2 ARIMA Model	50
132	4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model	51
133	4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model	53
134	4.5 Model Simulation	55
135	4.6 System Prototype	56
136	4.6.1 Home Page	56
137	4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication	57

138	4.6.3 Encoder Interface	59
139	4.6.4 Admin Interface	68
140	4.7 User Testing	76
141	5 Conclusion	77
142	6 References	81
143	A Data Collection Snippets	85

¹⁴⁴ List of Figures

¹⁴⁵	3.1 Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases	15
¹⁴⁶	3.2 Testing Process for DengueWatch	27
¹⁴⁷	3.3 Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash	
¹⁴⁸	Database Structure	33
¹⁴⁹	3.4 Use Case Diagram for Admins	34
¹⁵⁰	3.5 Use Case Diagram for Encoder	36
¹⁵¹	4.1 Snippet of the Combined Dataset	40
¹⁵²	4.2 Data Contents	41
¹⁵³	4.3 Dataset Statistics	41
¹⁵⁴	4.4 Trend of Dengue Cases	42
¹⁵⁵	4.5 Ranking of Correlations	43
¹⁵⁶	4.6 Pre-Transform Feature Distributions	44

157	4.7 Scatterplots	44
158	4.8 Post-Transform Feature Distributions	45
159	4.9 Transformed Distributions: Scatterplots	45
160	4.10 Ranking of Correlations with New Distributions	46
161	4.11 Training Folds - Window Size 5	49
162	4.12 Testing Folds - Window Size 5	50
163	4.13 ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	51
164	4.14 Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	52
165	4.15 Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set	54
166	4.16 Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025	56
167	4.17 Home Page	57
168	4.18 Sign Up Page	58
169	4.19 Login Page	59
170	4.20 First Part of Case Report Form	60
171	4.21 Second Part of Case Report Form	61
172	4.22 Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV	62
173	4.23 Dengue Reports	63

LIST OF FIGURES

xiii

174	4.24 Detailed Case Report	64
175	4.25 Update Report Dialog	65
176	4.26 Delete Report Alert Dialog	66
177	4.27 Forecasting Page	68
178	4.28 Retraining Configurations	69
179	4.29 Start Retraining	70
180	4.30 Retraining Results	70
181	4.31 List of Verified Accounts	72
182	4.32 List of Pending Accounts	72
183	4.33 Account Details	73
184	4.34 List of Blacklisted Accounts	73
185	4.35 Disease Reporting Unit Registration	74
186	4.36 List of Disease Reporting Units	75
187	4.37 Disease Reporting Unit details	75
188	A.1 Snippet of Consolidated Data	85
189	A.2 Snippet of Weather Data Collection	86
190	A.3 Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU	87

191	A.4 System Usability Score Questionnaire	88
-----	--	----

List of Tables

193	4.1 Comparison of different models for dengue prediction	48
194	4.2 Comparison of Window Sizes	48
195	4.3 Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes	49
196	4.4 Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold	53
197	4.5 Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold	55
198	4.6 Computed System Usability Scores per Participant	76

¹⁹⁹ **Chapter 1**

²⁰⁰ **Introduction**

²⁰¹ **1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology**

²⁰² Dengue cases surged globally in 2023 and continued to rise in 2025, with over
²⁰³ five million cases and more than 5,000 deaths across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).
²⁰⁴ The World Health Organization reported a ten-fold increase in cases from 2000
²⁰⁵ to 2019, peaking in 2019 with the disease affecting 129 countries (WHO, 2024).
²⁰⁶ In the Philippines, dengue remains endemic, leading to prolonged and widespread
²⁰⁷ outbreaks.

²⁰⁸ In Iloilo, dengue cases escalated dramatically. By August 2023, the provincial
²⁰⁹ health office reported 4,585 cases and 10 deaths, marking a 319% increase from
²¹⁰ the previous year (Perla, 2024). Iloilo has reached the outbreak threshold, and
²¹¹ local authorities are on blue alert. In Iloilo City, 649 cases were recorded in 2024,
²¹² with two deaths. Hospital capacity is strained, with non-COVID-19 hospital bed

213 occupancy exceeding 76%. This highlights the increasing pressure on healthcare
214 resources in the region.

215 In recent years, technology has played a growing role in improving disease
216 surveillance across the globe. Internationally, A study published in Frontiers
217 in Physics utilized the Kalman filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, a,
218 Brazil(Ahmadi et al., 2021). A study also suggests that weather-based fore-
219 casting models using variables like mean temperature and cumulative rainfall can
220 provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high sensitivity and specificity,
221 enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance (Hii, Zhu, Ng, Ng, & Rocklöv,
222 2012). Locally, in Davao City, Ligue (2022) found that deep learning models can
223 accurately predict dengue outbreaks by capturing complex, time-dependent pat-
224 terns in environmental data. The study of Carvajal et. al. uses machine learning
225 methods to reveal the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila
226 and emphasizes the significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological fac-
227 tor, alongside rainfall and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et
228 al., 2018).

229 Most studies remain theoretical or academic, with limited translation into
230 practical tools that communities and local health authorities can use for early
231 warning and response. An example of such application is RabDash, developed by
232 the University of the Philippines Mindanao. RabdashDC (2024) is a web-based
233 dashboard for rabies data analytics. However, while RabDash demonstrates the
234 potential of applying advanced analytics in public health, similar systems are
235 lacking in the context of dengue.

²³⁶ **1.2 Problem Statement**

²³⁷ Dengue remains a critical public health challenge worldwide, with cases increasing
²³⁸ due to the easing of COVID-19 restrictions and heightened global mobility. While
²³⁹ a temporary decline in cases was observed during the pandemic (2020–2022) due
²⁴⁰ to reduced surveillance efforts, 2023 marked a resurgence, with over five million
²⁴¹ cases and more than 5,000 deaths reported across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).
²⁴² In Iloilo City and Province, dengue cases rose by 319% as of August 2023, over-
²⁴³ whelming local healthcare systems. This surge strained resources, with over 76%
²⁴⁴ of non-COVID-19 hospital beds occupied by dengue patients (Perla, 2024), high-
²⁴⁵ lighting the urgent need for effective monitoring and predictive tools. Despite
²⁴⁶ all these studies, there remains a significant gap in the development of publicly
²⁴⁷ accessible systems that apply these predictive models in real-world settings. Most
²⁴⁸ existing studies remain confined to academic or theoretical contexts, with little
²⁴⁹ translation into practical tools for local communities and public health authorities.
²⁵⁰ In particular, there is a lack of research focused specifically on dengue prediction
²⁵¹ and surveillance in Iloilo. While deep learning models have shown high accuracy
²⁵² in other regions, their application in the local context of Iloilo is minimal. The
²⁵³ lack of a reliable system to monitor and forecast dengue outbreaks contributes to
²⁵⁴ delayed interventions, exacerbating public health risks and healthcare burdens in
²⁵⁵ the region.

²⁵⁶ **1.3 Research Objectives**

²⁵⁷ **1.3.1 General Objective**

²⁵⁸ This study aims to develop a centralized monitoring and analytics system for
²⁵⁹ dengue cases in Iloilo City and Province with data management and forecasting
²⁶⁰ capabilities. The researchers will train and compare multiple deep learning models
²⁶¹ to predict dengue case trends based on climate data and historical dengue cases
²⁶² to help public health officials in possible dengue case outbreaks.

²⁶³ **1.3.2 Specific Objectives**

²⁶⁴ Specifically, this study aims to:

- ²⁶⁵ 1. Gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and climate data
²⁶⁶ (including temperature, rainfall, wind, and humidity) from online sources,
²⁶⁷ and combine and aggregate these into a unified dataset to facilitate compre-
²⁶⁸ hensive dengue case forecasting;
- ²⁶⁹ 2. Train and evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases using
²⁷⁰ metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error
²⁷¹ (RMSE), and Mean Squared Error (MSE), and determine the most accurate
²⁷² forecasting approach; and
- ²⁷³ 3. Develop a web-based analytics dashboard that integrates the predictive
²⁷⁴ model, provides a data management system for dengue cases in Iloilo City

275 and the Province, and assess its usability and effectiveness through struc-
276 tured feedback from health professionals and policymakers.

277 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

278 This study aims to gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office
279 and climate data from online sources such as PAGASA or weatherandclimate.com.
280 These data will be preprocessed, cleaned, and combined into a unified dataset to
281 facilitate comprehensive dengue case forecasting. However, the study is limited by
282 the availability and completeness of historical data. Inconsistent or missing data
283 points may introduce biases and reduce the quality of predictions. Furthermore,
284 the granularity of the data will be in a weekly format.

285 To evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases, the study will
286 train and compare the performance of various models, using metrics like Mean
287 Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). While these models
288 aim to provide accurate forecasts, their performance is heavily influenced by the
289 quality and size of the dataset. Limited or low-quality data may lead to suboptimal
290 predictions. Additionally, the models cannot fully account for external factors
291 such as public health interventions or socio-economic conditions which may impact
292 dengue transmission dynamics.

293 The study also involves developing a web-based analytics dashboard that in-
294 tegrates predictive models and provides a data management system for dengue
295 cases in Iloilo City and the Province. This dashboard will offer public health
296 officials an interactive interface to visualize dengue trends, input new data, and

²⁹⁷ identify risk areas. However, its usability depends on feedback from stakeholders,
²⁹⁸ which may vary based on their familiarity with analytics tools. Moreover, exter-
²⁹⁹ nal factors such as limited internet connectivity or device availability in remote
³⁰⁰ areas may affect the system's adoption and effectiveness. While the dashboard
³⁰¹ provides valuable insights, it cannot incorporate all factors influencing dengue
³⁰² transmission, emphasizing the need for ongoing validation and refinement.

³⁰³ 1.5 Significance of the Research

³⁰⁴ This study's development of an AI-based dengue forecasting and monitoring sys-
³⁰⁵ tem has wide-reaching significance for various stakeholders in Iloilo City:

- ³⁰⁶ • Public Health Agencies: Organizations like the Department of Health (DOH)
³⁰⁷ and local health units in Iloilo City and Province stand to benefit greatly
³⁰⁸ from the system. With dengue predictions, we can help these agencies optimi-
³⁰⁹ zize their response strategies and implement targeted prevention measures
³¹⁰ in high-risk areas before cases escalate.
- ³¹¹ • Local Government Units (LGUs): LGUs can use the system to support
³¹² their disaster management and health initiatives by proactively addressing
³¹³ dengue outbreaks. The predictive insights allow for more efficient planning
³¹⁴ and resource deployment in barangays and communities most vulnerable to
³¹⁵ outbreaks, improving overall public health outcomes.
- ³¹⁶ • Healthcare Facilities: Hospitals and clinics, which currently face high bed
³¹⁷ occupancy rates during dengue season will benefit from early outbreak fore-

1.5. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH

7

318 casts that can help in managing patient inflow and ensuring adequate hos-
319 pital capacity.

320 • Researchers and Policymakers: This AI-driven approach contributes valua-
321 ble insights for researchers studying infectious disease patterns and policy-
322 makers focused on strengthening the national AI Roadmap. The system's
323 data can support broader initiatives for sustainable health infrastructure
324 and inform policy decisions on resource allocation for dengue control.

325 • Community Members: By reducing the frequency and severity of outbreaks,
326 this study ultimately benefits the community at large. This allows for timely
327 awareness campaigns and community engagement initiatives, empowering
328 residents with knowledge and preventative measures to protect themselves
329 and reduce the spread of dengue.

³³⁰ Chapter 2

³³¹ Review of Related Literature

³³² 2.1 Dengue

³³³ Dengue disease is a tropical and subtropical mosquito-borne viral illness and is a
³³⁴ major health concern in the Philippines (Bravo, Roque, Brett, Dizon, & L'Azou,
³³⁵ 2014). The majority of individuals with dengue experience no symptoms. Fever is
³³⁶ the most common symptom, typically 4 to 7 days after being bitten by an infected
³³⁷ mosquito (Zhou & Malani, 2024). In recent years, the trend of dengue cases in
³³⁸ the Philippines has shown notable fluctuations, with periodic outbreaks occur-
³³⁹ ring every 3 to 5 years, often influenced by climatic and environmental changes.
³⁴⁰ According to the Department of Health (DOH), the number of reported cases
³⁴¹ has steadily increased over the past decades, attributed to urbanization, popula-
³⁴² tion growth, and inadequate vector control measures (World Health Organization
³⁴³ (WHO), 2018). Moreover, studies suggest that El Niño and La Niña events have
³⁴⁴ significant effects on dengue incidence, with warmer temperatures and increased

³⁴⁵ rainfall providing favorable breeding conditions for mosquitoes (Watts, Burke,
³⁴⁶ Harrison, Whitmire, & Nisalak, 2020). The study of Carvajal et. al. highlights
³⁴⁷ the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila and emphasizes the
³⁴⁸ significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological factor, alongside rainfall
³⁴⁹ and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et al., 2018).

³⁵⁰ 2.2 Outbreak Definition

³⁵¹ The definition of an outbreak is a critical factor in disease surveillance, as it
³⁵² determines the threshold at which an unusual increase in cases is considered a
³⁵³ public health concern. Studies suggest that outbreak thresholds should be context-
³⁵⁴ specific, given the variability in transmission dynamics across different locations
³⁵⁵ (Runge-Ranzinger et al., 2016). Outbreak definitions defined using the Endemic
³⁵⁶ Channel often base thresholds on 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean
³⁵⁷ number of historic dengue cases. Other studies (Hemisphere, 2015) also used an
³⁵⁸ alert threshold of a 5-year mean plus 2 standard deviations. A study by (Brady,
³⁵⁹ Smith, Scott, & Hay, 2015) discussed that definitions of dengue outbreaks differ
³⁶⁰ significantly across regions and time, making them inconsistent and incomparable.

³⁶¹ From the studies above, this research implements an outbreak definition basing
³⁶² the threshold on 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean number of historic
³⁶³ dengue cases. It is important to note that for future outbreak definitions, addi-
³⁶⁴ tional local context like available hospital space etc., must be taken into account
³⁶⁵ for a more effective outbreak definition.

³⁶⁶ **2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC**

³⁶⁷ RabDash, developed by the University of the Philippines Mindanao, is a web-
³⁶⁸ based dashboard for rabies data analytics. It combines predictive modeling with
³⁶⁹ genomic data, enabling local health authorities to optimize interventions and al-
³⁷⁰ locate resources more effectively. RabDash's modules include trend visualization,
³⁷¹ geographic hotspot mapping, and predictive forecasting, utilizing Long Short-
³⁷² Term Memory (LSTM) models for time-series forecasting (RabDashDC, 2024).

³⁷³ For DengueWatch, RabDash serves as a strong inspiration, particularly in
³⁷⁴ its monitoring, historical trend visualization, and forecasting capabilities. These
³⁷⁵ features align well with the needs of dengue control efforts, providing real-time
³⁷⁶ insights into outbreak trends and enabling more effective, data-driven decision-
³⁷⁷ making. RabDash's architecture is relevant to the DengueDash, as dengue out-
³⁷⁸ breaks similarly require time-series forecasting models. By using LSTM, RabDash
³⁷⁹ effectively models trends in outbreak data, which provides a framework for adapt-
³⁸⁰ ing LSTM to dengue forecasting. Research indicates that LSTM models outper-
³⁸¹ form traditional methods, such as ARIMA and MLP, in handling the complexities
³⁸² of time-dependent epidemiological data (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

³⁸³ **2.4 Deep Learning**

³⁸⁴ The study of (Ligue & Ligue, 2022) highlights how data-driven models can help
³⁸⁵ predict dengue outbreaks. The authors compared traditional statistical meth-
³⁸⁶ ods, such as non-seasonal and seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average

387 (ARIMA), and traditional feed-forward network approach using a multilayer per-
388 ceptron (MLP) model with a deep learning approach using the long short-term
389 memory (LSTM) architecture in their prediction model. They found that the
390 LSTM model performs better in terms of accuracy. The LSTM model achieved a
391 much lower root mean square error (RMSE) compared to both MLP and ARIMA
392 models, proving its ability to capture complex patterns in time-series data (Ligue
393 & Ligue, 2022). This superior performance is attributed to LSTM's capacity
394 to capture complex, time-dependent relationships within the data, such as those
395 between temperature, rainfall, humidity, and mosquito populations, all of which
396 contribute to dengue incidence (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

397 2.5 Kalman Filter

398 The Kalman Filter is another powerful tool for time-series forecasting that can be
399 integrated into our analysis. It provides a recursive solution to estimating the state
400 of a linear dynamic system from a series of noisy measurements. Its application
401 in epidemiological modeling can enhance prediction accuracy by accounting for
402 uncertainties in the data(Li et al., 2022). Studies have shown that Kalman filters
403 are effective in predicting infectious disease outbreaks by refining estimates based
404 on observed data. A study published in Frontiers in Physics utilized the Kalman
405 filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, Brazil. They found that the Kalman
406 filter effectively tracked the progression of deaths and cases, providing critical in-
407 sights for public health decision-making (Ahmadini et al., 2021). Another research
408 article in PLOS ONE focused on tracking the effective reproduction number (R_t)
409 of COVID-19 using a Kalman filter. This method estimated the growth rate of

410 new infections from noisy data, demonstrating that the Kalman filter could main-
411 tain accurate estimates even when case reporting was inconsistent(Arroyo-Marioli,
412 Bullano, Kucinskas, & Rondón-Moreno, 2021).

413 This study compares ARIMA, seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter, and LSTM
414 models using collected dengue case data along with weather data to identify the
415 most effective model for real-time forecasting.

416 2.6 Weather Data

417 The relationship between weather patterns and mosquito-borne diseases is inher-
418 ently nonlinear, meaning that fluctuations in disease cases do not respond propor-
419 tionally to changes in climate variables(Colón-González, Fezzi, Lake, & Hunter,
420 2013) Weather data, such as minimum temperature and accumulated rainfall, are
421 strongly linked to dengue case fluctuations, with effects observed after several
422 weeks due to mosquito breeding and virus incubation cycles. Integrating these
423 lagged weather effects into predictive models can improve early warning systems
424 for dengue control(Cheong, Burkart, Leitão, & Lakes, 2013). A study also sug-
425 gests that weather-based forecasting models using variables like mean temperature
426 and cumulative rainfall can provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high
427 sensitivity and specificity, enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance(Hii et
428 al., 2012).

429 This study utilizes weather data, including variables such as temperature,
430 rainfall, and humidity, as inputs for our dengue forecasting model. Given the
431 strong, nonlinear relationship between climate patterns and dengue incidence,

⁴³² these weather variables, along with their lagged effects, are essential for enhancing
⁴³³ prediction accuracy and providing timely early warnings for dengue outbreaks.

⁴³⁴ 2.7 Chapter Summary

⁴³⁵ This chapter reviewed key literature relevant to our study, focusing on existing
⁴³⁶ systems, predictive modeling techniques and the role of weather data in forecast-
⁴³⁷ ing dengue outbreaks. We examined systems like RabDash DC, which integrates
⁴³⁸ predictive modeling with real-time data to inform public health decisions, provid-
⁴³⁹ ing a foundational structure for our Dengue Watch System. Additionally, deep
⁴⁴⁰ learning approaches, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks,
⁴⁴¹ were highlighted for their effectiveness in time-series forecasting, while alternative
⁴⁴² methods such as ARIMA and Kalman Filters were considered for their ability to
⁴⁴³ model complex temporal patterns and handle noisy data.

⁴⁴⁴ The literature further underscores the significance of weather variables—such
⁴⁴⁵ as temperature and rainfall—in forecasting dengue cases. Studies demonstrate
⁴⁴⁶ that these variables contribute to accurate outbreak prediction models. Lever-
⁴⁴⁷ aging these insights, our study will incorporate both weather data and historical
⁴⁴⁸ dengue case counts to build a reliable forecasting model.

⁴⁴⁹ Chapter 3

⁴⁵⁰ Research Methodology

⁴⁵¹ This chapter lists and discusses the specific steps and activities that were per-
⁴⁵² formed to accomplish the project. The discussion covers the activities from pre-
⁴⁵³ proposal to Final SP Writing.




Figure 3.1: Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases

⁴⁵⁴ This summarizes the workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue
⁴⁵⁵ cases. This workflow focuses on using statistical, deep learning, and probabilistic
⁴⁵⁶ models to forecast the number of reported dengue cases. The approach involves
⁴⁵⁷ deploying several models for prediction, including ARIMA and Seasonal ARIMA

458 as statistical approaches, LSTM as a deep learning approach, and the Kalman
459 Filter as a probabilistic approach. These methods are compared with each other
460 to determine the most accurate model.

461 **3.1 Research Activities**

462 **3.1.1 Gather Dengue Data and Climate Data to Create a 463 Complete Dataset for Forecasting**

464 **Acquisition of Dengue Case Data**

465 The historical dengue case dataset used in this study was obtained from the Hu-
466 manitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for Health Develop-
467 ment (WVCHD) via Freedom of Information (FOI) requests. The decision to use
468 weekly intervals was driven by the need for precision and timeliness in captur-
469 ing fluctuations in dengue cases and weather conditions. Dengue transmission is
470 influenced by short-term changes in weather variables such as rainfall and temper-
471 ature, which impact mosquito breeding and virus transmission cycles. A weekly
472 granularity allowed the model to better capture these short-term trends, enabling
473 more accurate predictions and responsive public health interventions.

474 Moreover, using a weekly interval provided more data points for training the
475 models compared to a monthly format. This is particularly critical in time series
476 modeling, where larger datasets help improve the robustness of the model and its
477 ability to generalize to new data. Also, the collection of weather data was done

478 by utilizing web scraping techniques to extract weekly weather data (e.g., rainfall,
479 temperature, and humidity) from Weather Underground (wunderground.com).

480

481 **Data Fields**

482 • **Time.** Represents the specific year and week corresponding to each entry
483 in the dataset.

484 • **Rainfall.** Denotes the observed average rainfall, measured in millimeters,
485 for a specific week.

486 • **Humidity.** Refers to the observed average relative humidity, expressed as
487 a percentage, for a specific week.

488 • **Max Temperature.** Represents the observed maximum temperature, mea-
489 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

490 • **Average Temperature.** Represents the observed average temperature,
491 measured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

492 • **Min Temperature.** Represents the observed minimum temperature, mea-
493 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.

494 • **Wind.** Represents the observed wind speed, measured in miles per hour
495 (mph), for a specific week.

496 • **Cases.** Refers to the number of reported dengue cases during a specific
497 week.

498 Data Integration and Preprocessing

499 The dengue case data was integrated with the weather data to create a com
500 prehensive dataset, aligning the data based on corresponding timeframes. The
501 dataset undergoed a cleaning process to address any missing values, outliers, and
502 inconsistencies to ensure its accuracy and reliability. To ensure that all features
503 and the target variable were on the same scale, a MinMaxScaler was applied to
504 normalize both the input features (climate data) and the target variable (dengue
505 cases).

506 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

- 507 • Analyzed trends, seasonality, and correlations between dengue cases and
508 weather factors.
- 509 • Created visualizations like time series plots and scatterplots to highlight
510 relationships and patterns in the data.

511 Outbreak Detection

512 To detect outbreaks, we computed the outbreak threshold value of dengue cases
513 using the formula,

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (3.1)$$

514 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

515 **3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for**
516 **Dengue Case Forecasting**

517 The deep learning models were developed and trained to forecast weekly dengue
518 cases using historical weather data (rainfall, temperature, wind, and humidity)
519 and dengue case counts. The dataset was normalized and divided into training and
520 testing sets, ensuring temporal continuity to avoid data leakage. The methodology
521 for preparing and training the model are outlined below.

522 **Data Preprocessing**

523 The raw dataset included weekly aggregated weather variables (rainfall, tempera-
524 ture, wind, humidity) and dengue case counts. The "Time" column was converted
525 to a datetime format to ensure proper temporal indexing. To standardize the data
526 for training, MinMaxScaler was employed, normalizing the feature values and tar-
527 get variable to a range of 0 to 1. This step ensured that the models could efficiently
528 process the data without being biased by feature scaling differences.

529 **LSTM Model**

530 The dataset was split into training and test sets to evaluate the model's perfor-
531 mance and generalizability:

- 532 • **Training Set:** 80% of the data (572 sequences) was used for model training,
533 enabling the LSTM to learn underlying patterns in historical dengue case
534 trends and their relationship with weather variables.

- 535 • **Test Set:** The remaining 20% of the data (148 sequences) was reserved for
536 testing

To prepare the data for LSTM, a sliding window approach was utilized. Sequences of weeks of normalized features were constructed as input, while the dengue case count for the subsequent week was set as the target variable. This approach ensured that the model leveraged temporal dependencies in the data for forecasting. To enhance the performance of the LSTM model in predicting dengue cases, Bayesian Optimization was employed using the Keras Tuner library. The tuning process aimed to minimize the validation loss (mean squared error) by adjusting key model hyper-parameters. The search space is summarized below:

545 LSTM units:

- min value: 32
 - max value: 128
 - step: 16
 - sampling: linear

550 Learning Rate:

- min value: 0.0001
 - max value: 0.01
 - step: None
 - sampling: log

555 The tuner was instantiated with:

- 556 • **max trials = 10:** Limiting the search to 10 different configurations
557 • **executions per trial = 3:** Running each configuration thrice to reduce
558 variance
559 • **validation split = 0.2:** Reserving 20% of the training data for validation

560 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for three different window sizes of
561 data: 5, 10, and 20. This allows the model to have the optimal hyperparameters
562 used for each window size. Training was conducted over 100 epochs with early
563 stopping to prevent overfitting while maintaining computational efficiency. A
564 batch size of 1 was used, enabling the model to process individual sequences,
565 which is suitable for smaller datasets but results in longer training times. The
566 Adam optimizer, known for its adaptive learning capabilities and stability was
567 employed.

568 To validate the effectiveness of the model, cross-validation was implemented.
569 However, standard k-fold cross-validation randomly shuffles the data, which isn't
570 suitable for time series since the order of observations is important. To address
571 this, a time series-specific cross-validation strategy was used with TimeSeriesS-
572 plit from the scikit-learn library. This method creates multiple train-test splits
573 where each training set expands over time and each test set follows sequentially.
574 This approach preserves the temporal structure of the data while helping reduce
575 overfitting by validating the model across different time segments.

576 After training, predictions on both the training and test datasets were rescaled
577 to their original scale using the inverse transformation of MinMaxScaler. Model

578 performance was evaluated using the mean squared error (MSE), root mean
579 squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE).

580 **ARIMA**

581 The ARIMA model was utilized to forecast weekly dengue cases, leveraging histor-
582 ical weather data—including rainfall, maximum temperature, and humidity—as
583 exogenous variables alongside historical dengue case counts as the primary depen-
584 dent variable. The dataset was partitioned into training (80%) and testing (20%)
585 sets while maintaining temporal consistency.

586 To identify the optimal ARIMA configuration, a comprehensive grid search
587 was performed across the following parameter ranges:

- 588 • Autoregressive order (p): 0 to 3
589 • Differencing order (d): 0 to 2
590 • Moving average order (q): 0 to 3

591 Each combination of (p,d,q) was used to fit an ARIMA model, and perfor-
592 mance was evaluated based on the mean squared error (MSE) between the pre-
593 dicted and actual dengue cases on the test set. The parameter set that achieved
594 the lowest MSE was selected as the final model configuration.

595 Following model selection, the best-fit ARIMA model was retrained on the
596 training set and subsequently used to forecast dengue cases for the test period.
597 The predictions were assigned to the **PredictedCases** column in the test dataset.

598 Model performance was further assessed using key evaluation metrics, including
599 MSE, root mean squared error (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE). Visual
600 comparisons between actual and predicted dengue cases were produced through
601 line plots to better illustrate the model's forecasting accuracy.

602 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)

603 The SARIMA modeling process began with data preprocessing, which included
604 handling missing values through interpolation or imputation, and standardizing
605 features to ensure stable model training. The dataset was then split into training
606 and testing sets in an 80:20 ratio, preserving the temporal order of observations.
607 Seasonality analysis was conducted using time series decomposition and autocor-
608 relation plots, which revealed a periodicity of 52 weeks—justifying the adoption
609 of a seasonal model. To fine-tune the model, a grid search was performed over a
610 range of SARIMA parameters $(p,d,q)(P,D,Q)[S]$, while stationarity was validated
611 using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. The model was then trained
612 on the dataset using rainfall, temperature, and humidity as exogenous variables,
613 with convergence ensured by setting a maximum number of iterations. Residual
614 diagnostics were used to confirm that residuals were uncorrelated, indicating a
615 good model fit. For evaluation, forecasts were compared against actual values,
616 and results were visualized with line plots. Finally, to validate the model's gener-
617 alizability across different time periods, Time Series Cross-Validation with three
618 folds was applied. This allowed assessment of the model's performance on multi-
619 ple time segments, providing insights into its robustness in real-world forecasting
620 scenarios.

621 Kalman Filter:

622 • Input Variables: The target variable (Cases) was modeled using three re-
623 gressors: rainfall, max temperature, and humidity.

624 • Training and Testing Split: The dataset was split into 80% training and
625 20% testing to evaluate model performance.

626 • Observation Matrix: The Kalman Filter requires an observation matrix,
627 which was constructed by adding an intercept (column of ones) to the re-
628 gressors.

629 The Kalman Filter's Expectation-Maximization (EM) method was employed
630 for training, iteratively estimating model parameters over 10 iterations. After
631 training, the smoothing method was used to compute the refined state estimates
632 across the training data. Observation matrices for the test data were constructed
633 in the same manner as for the training set, ensuring compatibility with the learned
634 model parameters. On the test data, the Kalman Filter applied these parameters
635 to predict and correct the estimated dengue cases, providing more stable and
636 accurate forecasts compared to direct regression models. Additionally, a hybrid
637 Kalman Filter–LSTM (KF-LSTM) model was developed to combine the strengths
638 of both approaches. In this setup, the LSTM model was first used to predict
639 dengue cases based on historical data and weather features. The Kalman Filter
640 was then applied as a post-processing step to the LSTM predictions, smoothing
641 out noise and correcting potential errors.

642 **3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based**
643 **Data Analytics Dashboard**

644 **Dashboard Design and Development**

- 645 • Design an intuitive, user-friendly web-based dashboard incorporating:
- 646 – Interactive visualizations of yearly dengue case trends.
- 647 – Data input and update forms for dengue and weather data.
- 648 – Map display of dengue cases in each district in Iloilo City

649 **Model Integration and Deployment**

- 650 • Deploy the best-performing model within the dashboard as a backend service
651 to enable real-time or periodic forecasting.

652 **3.1.4 System Development Framework**

653 The Agile Model is the birthchild of both iterative and incremental approaches
654 in Software Engineering. It aims to be flexible and effective at the same time by
655 being adaptable to change. It's also important to note that small teams looking
656 to construct and develop projects quickly can benefit from this kind of method-
657 ology. As the Agile Method focuses on continuous testing, quality assurance is a
658 guarantee since bugs and errors are quickly identified and patched.

659 Design and Development

660 After brainstorming and researching the most appropriate type of application to
661 accommodate both the prospected users and the proposed solutions, the team
662 has decided to proceed with a web application. Given the time constraints and
663 available resources, it has been decided that the said means is the most pragmatic
664 and practical move. The next step is to select modern and stable frameworks
665 that align with the fundamental ideas learned by the researchers in the university.
666 The template obtained from WVCHD and Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and
667 Surveillance Unit was meticulously analyzed to create use cases and develop a
668 preliminary well-structured database that adheres to the requirements needed
669 to produce a quality application. The said use cases serve as the basis of general
670 features. Part by part, these are converted into code, and with the help of selected
671 libraries and packages, it resulted in the desired outcome that may still modified
672 and extended to achieve scalability.


673 **Testing and Integration**

Figure 3.2: Testing Process for DengueWatch

674 Implementing testing is important to validate the system's performance and ef-
675 ficacy. Thus a series of tests were conducted to identify and resolve bugs during
676 the developmental phase. Each feature was rigorously tested to ensure quality as-
677 surance, with particular emphasis on prerequisite features, as development cannot
678 progress properly if these fail. Because of this, integration between each feature
679 serves as a pillar for a cohesive user experience. Since dengue reports include
680 confidential information, anonymized historical dengue reports were used to train
681 the model and create the foundational architecture of the system. By using func-
682 tional tests, data validation and visualization can be ensured for further continual

improvements. Security testing is also important as it is needed to safeguard confidential information when the system is deployed. It includes proper authentication, permission views, and mitigating common injection attacks. Finally, a user acceptance test from the prospected users, in this case, doctors, nurses, and other health workers is crucial to assess its performance and user experience. It enables the developers to confirm if the system meets the needs of the problem.

3.2 Development Tools

3.2.1 Software

Github

GitHub is a cloud-based platform that tracks file changes using Git, an open-source version control system (*About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs*, n.d.). It is used in the project to store the application's source code, manage the system's source version control, and serve as a repository for the Latex files used in the actual research.

Visual Studio Code

Visual Studio Code is a free, lightweight, and cross-platform source code editor developed by Microsoft (*Why Visual Studio Code?*, 2021). As VS Code supports this project's programming and scripting languages, it was chosen as the primary source code editor.

702 Django

703 Django is a free and open-sourced Python-based web framework that offers an
704 abstraction to develop and maintain a secure web application. As this research
705 aims to create a well-developed and maintainable application, it is in the best
706 interest to follow an architectural pattern that developers and contributors in the
707 future can understand. Since Django adheres to Model-View-Template (MVT)
708 that promotes a clean codebase by separating data models, business logic, and
709 presentation layers, it became the primary candidate for the application's back-
710 bone.

711 Next.js

712 A report by Statista (2024) claims that React is the most popular front-end frame-
713 work among web developers. However, React has limitations that can be a nui-
714 sance in rapid software development, which includes routing and performance op-
715 timizations. This is where Next.js comes in—a framework built on top of React.
716 It offers solutions for React's deficiency, making it a rising star in the framework
717 race.

718 Postman

719 As the application heavily relies on the Application Programming Interface (API)
720 being thrown by the backend, it is a must to use a development tool that facilitates
721 the development and testing of the API. Postman is a freemium API platform
722 that offers a user-friendly interface to create and manage API requests (*What is*

⁷²³ Postman? Postman API Platform, n.d.).

⁷²⁴ 3.2.2 Hardware

⁷²⁵ The web application was developed on laptop computers with minimum specifications of an 11th-generation Intel i5 CPU and 16 gigabytes of RAM. Furthermore,
⁷²⁶ an RTX 3060 mobile GPU was also utilized to retrain the integrated model locally.
⁷²⁷

⁷²⁸ 3.2.3 Packages

⁷²⁹ Django REST Framework

⁷³⁰ Django Rest Framework (DRF) is a third-party package for Django that provides a
⁷³¹ comprehensive suite of features to simplify the development of robust and scalable
⁷³² Web APIs (Christie, n.d.). These services include Serialization, Authentication
⁷³³ and Permissions, Viewsets and Routers, and a Browsable API .

⁷³⁴ Leaflet

⁷³⁵ One of the features of the web application is the ability to map the number
⁷³⁶ of cases using a Choropleth Map. Leaflet is the only free, open-sourced, and
⁷³⁷ most importantly, stable JavaScript package that can do the job. With its ultra-
⁷³⁸ lightweight size, it offers a comprehensive set of features that does not trade
⁷³⁹ off performance and usability (*Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for*
⁷⁴⁰ *interactive maps*, n.d.).

741 Chart.js

742 Another feature of the application is to provide users with informative, approach-
743 able data storytelling that is easy for everyone to understand. The transformation
744 of pure data points and statistics into figures such as charts is a big factor. Thus,
745 there is a need for a package that can handle this feature without compromising
746 the performance of the application. Chart.js is a free and open-source JavaScript
747 package that is made to meet this criteria as it supports various types of charts
748 (*Chart.js*, n.d.).

749 Tailwind CSS

750 Using plain CSS in production-quality applications can be counterproductive.
751 Therefore, CSS frameworks were developed to promote consistency and accelerate
752 the rapid development of web applications (Joel, 2021). One of these is Tailwind,
753 which offers low-level utility classes that can be applied directly to each HTML
754 element to create a custom design (*Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern web-*
755 *sites without ever leaving your HTML.*, n.d.). Given the limited timeline for this
756 project, using this framework is a wise choice due to its stability and popularity
757 among developers.

758 Shadcn

759 Shadcn offers a collection of open-source UI boilerplate components that can be
760 directly copied and pasted into one's project. With the flexibility of the provided
761 components, Shadcn allows developers to have full control over customization and

⁷⁶² styling. Since this is built on top of Tailwind CSS and Radix UI, it is supported
⁷⁶³ by most modern frontend frameworks, including Next.js (Shadcn, n.d.).

⁷⁶⁴ **Zod**

⁷⁶⁵ Data validation is integral in this web application since it will handle crucial data
⁷⁶⁶ that will be used for analytical inferences and observations. Since Zod is primarily
⁷⁶⁷ used for validating and parsing data, it ensures proper communication between
⁷⁶⁸ the client and the server (Zod, n.d.).

⁷⁶⁹ **3.3 Application Requirements**

⁷⁷⁰ **3.3.1 Backend Requirements**

⁷⁷¹ **Database Structure Design**

⁷⁷² Determining how data flows and how it would be structured is crucial in creating
⁷⁷³ the system as it defines how extendible and flexible it would be for future features
⁷⁷⁴ and updates. Thus, creating a comprehensive map of data ensures proper nor-
⁷⁷⁵ malization that eliminates data redundancy and improves data integrity. Figure
⁷⁷⁶ 3.3 depicts the designed database schema that showcases the relationship between
⁷⁷⁷ the application's entities.




Figure 3.3: Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash Database Structure

⁷⁷⁸ **3.3.2 User Interface Requirements**

⁷⁷⁹ **Admin Interface**




Figure 3.4: Use Case Diagram for Admins

780 Figure 3.4 shows the actions of an admin for a specific Disease Reporting Unit
781 (DRU) and an admin for a specific Surveillance Unit can take in the application.
782 Both of them include the management of accounts, browsing records, and fore-
783 casting and retraining all the consolidated data under their supervision. Most
784 importantly, these users must verify the encoders who register under their ju-
785 risdiction before allowing their account to access the application in the name of
786 safeguarding the integrity of the data. The only advantage of the latter type of ad-
787 ministrator is that it has a one-step higher authorization as it manages the DRUs.
788 In addition, only the authorized surveillance unit administrator can register and
789 create a DRU to uphold transparency and accountability.

⁷⁹⁰ **Encoder Interface**




Figure 3.5: Use Case Diagram for Encoder

⁷⁹¹ Figure 3.5, on the other hand, illustrates the use cases for the system's primary
⁷⁹² users. These users can register but must wait for further verification to access the
⁷⁹³ application. Similar to the previous interfaces, encoders can browse and manage
⁷⁹⁴ records, as well as forecast the consolidated cases under a specific surveillance or
⁷⁹⁵ disease reporting unit, but they are not allowed to retrain the model. Lastly, they
⁷⁹⁶ are the only type of user that can file and create dengue cases by filling out a form
⁷⁹⁷ with the required details.

798 3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements**799 Password Encryption**

800 Storing passwords as plain text in the database is a disgrace and a mortal sin in
801 production. It is important to implement precautionary methods such as hashing
802 and salting, followed by encryption with a strong algorithm, to prevent bad actors
803 from using the accounts for malicious transactions. By default, Django generates
804 a unique random salt for each password and encrypts it with Password-Based Key
805 Derivation Function 2 (PBKDF2) with a SHA256 hash function. Utilizing these
806 techniques ensures that in the event of a data breach, cracking these passwords
807 would be time-consuming and useless for the attackers.

808 Authentication

809 DengueWatch utilizes JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to authenticate the user. Since
810 the mechanism operates in a stateless manner, tokens are served only after a
811 successful login, eliminating the need for the server to keep a record of the token,
812 which is vulnerable to session hijacking. In addition, these tokens are signed with
813 a secret key, ensuring they have not been tampered with.

814 Data Validation

815 Both the backend and frontend should validate the input from the user to preserve
816 data integrity. Thus, Zod is implemented in the latter to help catch invalid inputs
817 from the user. By doing this, the user can only send proper requests to the server

which streamlines the total workflow. On the other hand, Django has also a built-in validator that checks the data type and ensures that the input matches the expected format on the server side. These validation processes ensure that only valid and properly formatted data is accepted, which reduces the risk of errors and ensures consistency across the web application.

⁸²³ **Chapter 4**

⁸²⁴ **Results and Discussion/System**

⁸²⁵ **Prototype**

⁸²⁶ **4.1 Data Gathering**

⁸²⁷ The data for dengue case prediction was gathered from a variety of reliable sources,
⁸²⁸ enabling a comprehensive dataset spanning from January 2011 to October 2024.
⁸²⁹ This dataset includes 720 rows of data, each containing weekly records of dengue
⁸³⁰ cases along with corresponding meteorological variables, such as rainfall, temper-
⁸³¹ ature, and humidity.

- ⁸³² 1. Dengue Case Data: The primary source of historical dengue cases came
⁸³³ from the Humanitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for
⁸³⁴ Health Development (WVCHD). The dataset, accessed through Freedom of
⁸³⁵ Information (FOI) requests, provided robust case numbers for the Western

836 Visayas region. The systematic collection of these data points was essential
 837 for establishing a reliable baseline for model training and evaluation.

838 2. Weather Data: Weekly weather data was obtained by web scraping from
 839 Weather Underground, allowing access to rainfall, temperature, wind, and
 840 humidity levels that correlate with dengue prevalence.

data.head()									
	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases	
0	2011-01-03	9.938571	29.444400	25.888890	23.888900	11.39	86.242857	5	
1	2011-01-10	8.587143	30.000000	26.705556	24.444444	7.32	88.028571	4	
2	2011-01-17	5.338571	30.000000	26.616667	25.000000	7.55	84.028571	2	
3	2011-01-24	5.410000	30.555556	26.483333	20.555556	10.67	80.971429	7	
4	2011-01-31	2.914286	28.333333	25.283333	18.650000	11.01	74.885714	2	

Figure 4.1: Snippet of the Combined Dataset

841 4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis

842 From Figure 4.2, the dataset consists of 720 weekly records with 8 columns:

- 843 • **Time.** Weekly timestamps (e.g. "2011-w1")
- 844 • **Rainfall.** Weekly average rainfall (mm)
- 845 • **MaxTemperature, AverageTemperature, MinTemperature.** Weekly
846 temperature data (C)
- 847 • **Wind.** Wind speed (m/s)
- 848 • **Humidity.** Weekly average humidity (%)

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Time	720 non-null	datetime64[ns]
1	Rainfall	720 non-null	float64
2	MaxTemperature	720 non-null	float64
3	AverageTemperature	720 non-null	float64
4	MinTemperature	720 non-null	float64
5	Wind	720 non-null	float64
6	Humidity	720 non-null	float64
7	Cases	720 non-null	int64
dtypes: datetime64[ns](1), float64(6), int64(1)			
memory usage: 45.1 KB			

Figure 4.2: Data Contents

- 849 • **Cases.** Reported dengue cases

850 From the statistics in figure 4.3, the number of cases ranges from 0 to 319.
 851 The average number of dengue cases per week is 23.74, with a median of 12 cases
 852 and a standard deviation of 37.14. The distribution is highly skewed, with some
 853 weeks experiencing significant number of cases (up to 319 cases). Rainfall shows
 854 a wide variation (0 to 445mm), while temperature remains relatively stable, with
 855 an average of 28.1 degree celsius. Humidity levels ranges from 73% to 89% with
 856 a mean of 81.6%.

	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
count	720	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000
mean	2017-12-02 11:22:00	13.957499	32.191142	28.110319	25.038472	6.172417	81.609442	23.744444
min	2011-01-03 00:00:00	0.000000	-14.600000	24.494444	12.222222	1.910000	73.185714	0.000000
25%	2014-06-21 06:00:00	1.270000	31.666667	27.504167	25.000000	4.117500	79.885713	5.000000
50%	2017-12-07 12:00:00	4.318000	32.222222	28.161111	25.000000	5.725000	81.771429	12.000000
75%	2021-05-11 18:00:00	10.414000	32.777778	28.751389	25.555556	7.860000	83.503571	26.000000
max	2024-10-28 00:00:00	445.008000	58.333333	30.916667	32.222222	19.200000	89.571429	319.000000
std	NaN	35.448846	2.616379	0.999800	1.291659	2.446703	2.831674	37.144813

Figure 4.3: Dataset Statistics

857 Figure 4.4 illustrates the trend of weekly dengue cases over time. The data

reveals periodic spikes in the number of cases, suggesting a seasonal pattern in dengue cases. Notably, peak cases are observed during certain periods approximately 3 years, potentially aligning with specific climatic conditions such as increased rainfall or temperature changes. This underscores the importance of incorporating climate variables into the forecasting model.




Figure 4.4: Trend of Dengue Cases

Figure 4.5 shows the ranking of correlation coefficients between dengue cases and selected features, including rainfall, humidity, maximum temperature, average temperature, minimum temperature, and wind speed. Among these, rainfall exhibits the highest positive correlation with dengue cases (correlation coefficient 0.13), indicating that increased rainfall may contribute to higher cases counts. This aligns with existing studies suggesting that stagnant water from heavy rainfall creates breeding grounds for mosquitos. It is followed by humidity (0.10), suggesting that higher humidity levels may enhance mosquito reproduction, leading to more dengue cases. Temperature has a weak to moderate positive correlation with dengue cases, with maximum temperature (0.09) showing a stronger relationship than average and minimum temperature.




Figure 4.5: Ranking of Correlations

874 Figure 4.6 shows the distributions of each feature while Figure 4.7 shows scat-
875 terplots of each feature against the number of cases. The distributions of Rainfall,
876 Max Temperature, Min Temperature, and Wind appear skewed, which is common
877 for many real-world variables. This skewness can distort correlation estimates, as
878 Pearson correlation assume linear relationships and are more reliable when vari-
879 ables follow a symmetric or approximately normal distribution (Bobbitt, 2021).
880 Applying a log transformation can help normalize these distributions, improve
881 linearity, and thus lead to more meaningful and accurate correlation analysis.




Figure 4.6: Pre-Transform Feature Distributions




Figure 4.7: Scatterplots

882 After applying a log transformation, Figure 4.8 shows the new distributions for
 883 the previously skewed distributions, while Figure 4.9 shows the new scatterplots
 884 of each feature against the number of cases. Now, all distributions exhibit a
 885 somewhat normal distribution which is ideal for computing linear computations
 886 such as Pearson's correlation.




Figure 4.8: Post-Transform Feature Distributions




Figure 4.9: Transformed Distributions: Scatterplots

887 Figure 4.10 presents the recomputed correlation coefficients between dengue

888 cases and the log-transformed weather features. Rainfall shows the strongest cor-
 889 relation at 0.16, followed by Max Temperature at 0.12, and Humidity at 0.10.
 890 While other features are included, their correlation values are very small and not
 891 considered meaningful. Although the individual correlations are weak, they pro-
 892 vide valuable signals that, when combined in a multivariate model, may contribute
 893 meaningfully to predictive performance., As a result, Rainfall, Max Temperature,
 894 and Humidity are selected as the key features for model training.




Figure 4.10: Ranking of Correlations with New Distributions

895 4.3 Outbreak Detection

896 To identify outbreaks, we calculated the outbreak threshold value using the histor-
 897 ical mean as the endemic channel. The threshold is determined using the formula:

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (4.1)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 2(37.144813) \quad (4.2)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 74.289626 \quad (4.3)$$

$$= 98.03407 \quad (4.4)$$

898 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

899 This result indicates that dengue cases exceeding 98 in Iloilo City can be
 900 considered an outbreak. However, it is important to note that this threshold
 901 serves only as a baseline. Additional parameters, such as the number of hospital
 902 beds available in the city, must be considered to compute a more effective threshold
 903 and develop an appropriate response strategy.

904 4.4 Model Training Results

905 The models were evaluated using three metrics: MSE, RMSE, and MAE. The
 906 table below provides a summary and comparative analysis of each model's results
 907 across these metrics, offering insights into the strengths and limitations of each
 908 forecasting technique for dengue case prediction in Iloilo City. The lower values
 909 of the three metrics indicate better forecasting performance. Table 4.1 shows that
 910 the models performed differently on testing data. LSTM outperformed the other
 911 models with the lowest RMSE, MSE, and MAE while the other three models had
 912 relatively higher values for the three metrics.

Method	LSTM	Seasonal ARIMA	ARIMA	Kalman Filter	KF-LSTM
Testing MSE	285.54	1261.20	1521.48	1474.82	785.35
Testing RMSE	16.90	34.45	39.00	38.40	25.56
Testing MAE	9.45	18.73	25.80	22.33	14.55
Best Parameters	Window Size: 5 Learning Rate: 0.01 Units: 64	(2,0,2)(0,1,1)	(1,2,2)	Observation Covariance: 10.0 Transition Covariance: 0.1 × Identity	Same as LSTM

Table 4.1: Comparison of different models for dengue prediction

913 4.4.1 LSTM Model

914 The LSTM model was tuned for the following parameters: learning rate and units.
 915 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for each window size, finding the best
 916 parameters for each window size. Further evaluating which window size is most
 917 suitable for the prediction model, Table 4.2 shows the evaluation metrics for each
 window size used in the LSTM model training.

Window Size	MSE	RMSE	MAE	R ²
5	285.54	16.90	9.45	0.83
10	334.63	18.29	9.85	0.80
20	294.85	17.17	9.35	0.83

Table 4.2: Comparison of Window Sizes

918

919 The results indicate that a window size of 5 weeks provides the most accurate
 920 predictions, as evidenced by the lowest MSE and RMSE values. Furthermore, the
 921 R² score of 0.83 indicates that 83% of the variability in the target variable (cases)
 922 is explained by the independent variables (the inputs) in the model, making it a
 923 reliable configuration overall.

924 As shown in Table 4.3, the results from time series cross-validation indicate
 925 consistent performance trends, with a window size of 5 yielding the highest average
 926 RMSE across all folds compared to the other window sizes.

927 Figure 4.11 illustrates the model's performance in predicting dengue cases

Window Size	Average RMSE	Average MAE	Average R ²
5	16.69	9.06	0.79
10	17.08	10.40	0.75
20	16.93	8.75	0.81

Table 4.3: Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes

for each fold using a window size of 5. As shown in the plot, the training set progressively increases with each fold, mimicking a real-world scenario where more data becomes available over time for dengue prediction. Figure 4.12 demonstrates that the predicted cases closely follow the trend of the actual cases, indicating that the LSTM model successfully captures the underlying patterns in the data. It is also evident that as the fold number increases and the training set grows, the accuracy of the predictions on the test set improves. Despite the test data being unseen, the model exhibits a strong ability to generalize, suggesting it effectively leverages past observations to predict future trends.




Figure 4.11: Training Folds - Window Size 5




Figure 4.12: Testing Folds - Window Size 5

937 4.4.2 ARIMA Model

938 The ARIMA model was developed to capture non-seasonal trends in the data.
 939 To determine the best model configuration, grid search was used to explore vari-
 940 ous combinations of ARIMA parameters, ultimately selecting **ARIMA(1, 2, 2)**.
 941 The model was iteratively refined over **400 iterations** to ensure convergence to
 942 an optimal solution. Figure 4.13 illustrates the comparison between actual and
 943 predicted dengue cases in the test set. As shown in the plot, the ARIMA model
 944 struggled to capture the non-linear characteristics and abrupt spikes in the data.
 945 Consequently, it failed to accurately reflect the fluctuations and outbreak patterns
 946 seen in the actual case counts.




Figure 4.13: ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

947 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
 948 forecasting capability. The ARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

- 949 • **MSE (Mean Squared Error):** 1521.48
- 950 • **RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error):** 39.01
- 951 • **MAE (Mean Absolute Error):** 25.80

952 4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model

953 To address the limitations of the ARIMA model, a Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)
 954 model was developed to capture both non-seasonal and seasonal variations in the

955 data.

956 This model incorporates seasonal parameters, which were tuned using grid
 957 search to find the best configuration: **SARIMA(2, 0, 2)(0, 1, 1)[52]**. As with
 958 ARIMA, **400 iterations** were applied to ensure a robust fit. As shown in Figure
 959 4.14, the SARIMA model demonstrates a notable improvement in performance.
 960 Unlike its non-seasonal counterpart, it effectively captures the general trend and
 961 aligns more closely with the peaks observed in the actual dengue cases, indicating
 962 its ability to model seasonal dynamics.




Figure 4.14: Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

963 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
 964 forecasting capability. The SARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

965 • **MSE:** 1109.69

966 • **RMSE:** 33.31

967 • **MAE:** 18.09

968 The lower error values, when compared to the ARIMA model, highlight the
969 SARIMA model's superior capability in forecasting dengue cases. Its effective-
970 ness in capturing seasonal patterns contributed to a more accurate representation
971 of the actual cases.

972 After training the model, the SARIMA model was validated using the same
973 Time Series Cross-Validation strategy employed in the LSTM model. Table 4.4
974 presents the performance metrics for each fold, as well as the average metrics
975 across all folds. The average RMSE and MAE values were close to those obtained
976 during the initial training phase, indicating that the SARIMA model performed
977 consistently across different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	659.68	25.68	16.00
2	2127.49	46.12	21.30
3	996.43	31.56	18.89
Average	1261.20	34.45	18.73

Table 4.4: Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold

978 4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model

979 Figure 4.15 shows the comparison between the actual dengue cases and the pre-
980 dicted values on the test set. As illustrated in the plot, the Kalman Filter model
981 demonstrates a moderate ability to follow the general trend of the actual data.

982 While it effectively captures some rising and falling patterns, it still struggles to
 983 accurately replicate the sharp peaks and extreme values found in the real case
 984 counts. This limitation is particularly noticeable during the large spikes in 2022
 985 and 2024. The model's performance was evaluated using standard regression met-
 986 rics. The results are as follows:

$$\text{MSE} = 1474.82, \quad \text{RMSE} = 38.40, \quad \text{MAE} = 22.34$$




Figure 4.15: Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set

987 The Kalman Filter was then combined with the LSTM model in order to see
 988 improvements in its predictions. Table 4.5 shows the metrics across three folds
 989 using the same Time Series Cross Validation Strategy employed in the previous
 990 models to see how it performed on different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	113.59	10.66	6.42
2	752.51	27.43	12.11
3	1489.95	38.60	25.13
Average	785.35	25.56	14.55

Table 4.5: Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold

991 As can be seen in the table above, the performance of the hybrid model demon-
 992 strated improvements in all metrics as compared to just using the Kalman Filter
 993 alone.

994 4.5 Model Simulation

995 To evaluate the LSTM model's real-world forecasting ability, a simulation was
 996 conducted to predict dengue cases for the year 2025. The model was retrained
 997 exclusively, using the parameters found from the initial training, on data from
 998 2011 to 2024, using both dengue cases and weather variables. Importantly, the
 999 actual dengue case values for 2025 were never included during training. Instead,
 1000 only the weather variables collected for 2025 were input into the model to generate
 1001 predictions for that year. After prediction, the forecasted dengue cases for 2025
 1002 were compared against the true observed cases to assess the model's accuracy.
 1003 Figure 4.16 shows that the predicted values closely follow the trend, although it
 1004 may overestimate the dengue cases in some weeks.




Figure 4.16: Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025

1005 4.6 System Prototype

1006 4.6.1 Home Page

1007 The Home Page is intended for all visitors to the web application. The Analytics
1008 Dashboard, which displays relevant statistics for dengue cases at a certain time
1009 and location, is the primary component highlighted, as seen in Figure 4.17. This
1010 component includes a combo chart that graphs the number of dengue cases and
1011 deaths per week in a specific year, a choropleth map that tracks the number of
1012 dengue cases per barangay in Iloilo Cityl and various bar charts that indicate the
1013 top barangaylocated by dengue.




Figure 4.17: Home Page

4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication

The registration page, as shown in 4.18 serves as a gateway to access the authenticated pages of the web application. Only prospective encoders can create an account since administrator accounts are only made by existing administrator accounts to protect the data's integrity in production. After registering, the "encoder account" cannot access the authorized pages yet as it needs to be verified first by an administrator managing the unit the user entered. Once verified, the

1021 user can log in to the system through the page shown in Figure 4.194.16. Af-
 1022 ter entering the correct credentials, which consist of an email and password, the
 1023 system uses HTTP-only cookies containing JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to prevent
 1024 vulnerability to Cross-site Scripting (XSS) attacks. It will then proceed to the
 1025 appropriate page for the type of user it belongs to. Logging out on the other
 1026 hand, will remove both the access and refresh tokens from the browser, and will
 1027 blacklist the latter token to make it unusable for security purposes.

DengueDash

Dashboard Login

sign Up

Create your account to get started

First Name John	Middle Name (Optional) David
Last Name Doe	Sex Select gender
Email john@example.com	Region Select region
Surveillance Unit Select surveillance unit	DRU Select DRU
Password	Confirm Password

Must be at least 8 characters long

Create Account

Already have an account? [Sign in](#)

Figure 4.18: Sign Up Page




Figure 4.19: Login Page

₁₀₂₈ **4.6.3 Encoder Interface**

₁₀₂₉ **Case Report Form**

₁₀₃₀ Figures 4.20 and 4.21 show the digitized counterpart of the form obtained from the
₁₀₃₁ Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit. As the system aims to sup-
₁₀₃₂ port expandability for future features, some fields were modified to accommodate
₁₀₃₃ more detailed input. It is worth noting that all of the included fields adhere to the
₁₀₃₄ latest Philippine Integrated Disease and Surveillance Response (PIDS) Dengue
₁₀₃₅ Forms, which the referenced form was based on. By doing this, if implemented
₁₀₃₆ on a national scale, the transition between targeted users will be easier. More-
₁₀₃₇ over, the case form includes the patient's basic information, dengue vaccination
₁₀₃₈ status, consultation details, laboratory results, and the outcome. On the other
₁₀₃₉ hand, encoders can also create case records using a "bulk upload" feature that

1040 makes use of a formatted CSV file template. As shown in Figure 4.22, an encoder
 1041 can download the template using the "Download Template" button, and insert
 1042 multiple records inside the file, then upload it by clicking the "Click to upload"
 1043 button. The web application automatically checks the file for data inconsistencies
 1044 and validation.

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the 'DengueDash' application. The left sidebar displays the navigation menu with 'Case Report Form' selected under 'Forms'. The main content area is titled 'Case Report Form' and contains several sections: 'Personal Information' (with fields for First Name, Middle Name, Last Name, Suffix, Sex, and Civil Status), 'Personal Detail' (with fields for First Name, Middle Name, Last Name, Suffix, Sex, and Civil Status), 'Address' (with fields for Region, Province, City, Barangay, Street, and House No.), and 'Vaccination' (with fields for Date of First Vaccination and Date of Last Vaccination). A 'Bulk Upload' button is located at the top right, and a 'Next' button is at the bottom right. The user's name and email are visible in the bottom left corner of the sidebar.

Figure 4.20: First Part of Case Report Form

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the DengueDash application. The left sidebar includes 'Analytics', 'Forms' (selected), 'Case Report Form' (selected), and 'Data Tables'. The top navigation bar shows 'Forms > Case Report Form'. A 'Bulk Upload' button is in the top right. The main area has tabs for 'Personal Information' (selected) and 'Clinical Status'. The 'Clinical Status' tab contains sections for 'Consultation' and 'Laboratory Results'. In 'Consultation', fields include 'Date Admitted/Consulted/Seen' (date picker) and 'Is Admitted?' (select dropdown). In 'Laboratory Results', sections are 'NS1' (Pending Result), 'IgG ELISA' (Pending Result), 'IgM ELISA' (Pending Result), and 'PCR' (Pending Result). Each section has a corresponding 'Date done' field (date picker). The 'Outcome' section includes 'Case Classification' (select dropdown) and 'Outcome' (select dropdown). A 'Date of Death' field (date picker) is also present. At the bottom are 'Previous' and 'Submit' buttons.

Figure 4.21: Second Part of Case Report Form





Figure 4.22: Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV

1045 Browsing, Update, and Deletion of Records

1046 Once the data generated from the case report form or the bulk upload is validated,
 1047 it will be assigned as a new case and can be accessed through the Dengue Reports
 1048 page, as shown in Figure 4.23. The said page displays basic information about
 1049 the patient related to a specific case, including their name, address, date of con-
 1050 sultation, and clinical and case classifications. It is also worth noting that it only
 1051 shows cases that the user is permitted to view. For example, in a local Disease
 1052 Reporting Unit (DRU) setting, the user can only access records that belong to
 1053 the same DRU. In addition, the user can also search for a case using the name, lo-
 1054 cation, date of consultation, or classifications that are associated with the specific

1055 query, making it easier to find pertinent information quickly and efficiently. On
 1056 the other hand, in a consolidated surveillance unit such as a regional, provincial,
 1057 or city quarter, its users can view all the records from all the DRUs that report to
 1058 them. Moving forward, Figure 4.24 shows the detailed case report of the patient
 1059 on a particular consultation date.



The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. The left sidebar has a navigation menu with 'Analytics', 'Forms', 'Data Tables' (selected), and 'Dengue Reports'. The main content area is titled 'Dengue Reports' and contains a search bar. Below it is a table with the following data:

Case ID	Name	Borough	City	Date Consulted	Clinical Classification	Case Classification	Action
25017062	Corey, Cassie Jonathan	Magsaysay	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017082	Kirk, Dominique Jacqueline	Rizal Poblacion II	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017067	Henderson, Joel Lindsey	Santa Rosa	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017077	Flynn, Christina Shannon	Tabuc Suba (Jaro)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017070	Green, Monique Mark	West Habog-habog	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-26	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017061	Thomas, Lisa Jared	San Pedro (Molo)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017079	Espanza, Nicole Stephanie	Tabucan	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017069	James, Emily Sara	Libertad, Santa Isabel	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-23	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>

At the bottom, there are navigation links: '< Previous', '1' (highlighted), '2', '...', '712', 'Next >'.

At the very bottom left, there is a footer with 'CN Robert Welch Johns...' and an email address 'maryjanes@example.org'.

Figure 4.23: Dengue Reports

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left, a sidebar lists modules: Analytics, Forms, Data Tables, and Dengue Reports. The main area displays a "Personal Information" section for a patient named Doe, John David, born April 29, 2025, male, married, residing at 1231 Ice Ice Baby, Bulak Sur, BATAD, ILOILO. Below this is a "Vaccination Status" section showing first and last doses on May 7, 2025, and May 13, 2025 respectively. The "Case Record #25016448" section includes fields for Date of Consultation (April 30, 2025), Patient Admitted? (No), Date Onset of Illness (April 29, 2025), and Clinical Classification (With warning signs). The "Laboratory Results" section lists pending results for NS1, IgG Elisa, IgM Elisa, and PCR. The "Outcome" section shows Case Classification as Probable and Outcome as Dead. The "Interviewer" section lists Griffin, Charles Larson as the interviewer at Saint Paul's Hospital. Buttons for "Update Case" and "Delete Case" are located in the top right of the Case Record section.

Figure 4.24: Detailed Case Report

1060 To update the case, the user can click the "Update Case" button, where a
 1061 dialog will appear, and the updateable fields will be shown. It is worth noting
 1062 that in this case, only fields under Laboratory Results and Outcome are included
 1063 since they are the only ones that are time-based, where the result may change
 1064 in the future. After updating, a prompt will show confirming the user's action.
 1065 Moving forward, to delete a case record, the user must click the "Delete Case"
 1066 button, and a prompt verifying the action will appear. After confirming, the case

1067 will be deleted permanently.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left, there's a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Analytics, Forms, Data Tables (selected), and Dengue Reports. The main area shows a 'Personal Information' section with fields for Full Name (Doe, John David), Date of Birth (May 15, 2025), Sex (Female), and Civil Status (Single). Below this is a 'Case Record' section with fields for First Dose (May 15, 2025) and Date of Consultation (May 15, 2025). A 'Laboratory Results' section lists NS1, IgG Elisa, IgM Elisa, and PCR, all with Pending Result and Date Done (N/A). An 'Outcome' section shows Outcome (Alive) and Date Done (N/A). At the bottom, there's an 'Interviewer' section with Interviewer (Griffin, Charles Larson) and DRU (Saint Paul's Hospital). A central modal dialog titled 'Update Case #25016548' is open, containing the same laboratory result fields with dropdown menus for selecting results. Buttons for 'Cancel' and 'Save Changes' are at the bottom of the modal.

Figure 4.25: Update Report Dialog




Figure 4.26: Delete Report Alert Dialog

1068 Forecasting

1069 The piece de resistance of the web application's feature is the Forecasting Page.
 1070 This is where users can forecast dengue cases for the next few weeks. To predict,
 1071 the application utilizes the exported LSTM model in a Keras format derived from
 1072 training the consolidated data from the database. The said file stores the model's
 1073 architecture and the learned parameters, which include the weights and biases, so
 1074 that it can predict cases without training the data again. Furthermore, it requires

1075 the recent weekly dengue cases and weather variable data (temperature, humidity,
1076 and rainfall) to form a sequence based on the window size. This allows the web
1077 application to display a line chart with the anticipated number of dengue cases
1078 over the following four weeks. Moving forward, the Forecasting page, as shown in
1079 Figure 4.27, introduces a user-friendly interface that shows the current cases for
1080 the week and the predictions for the next two weeks with a range of 90 percent
1081 to 110 percent confidence interval that is presented in a simple but organized
1082 manner. There is also a line chart that shows the number of cases from the last 5
1083 weeks plus the forecasted weekly cases. In addition, the current weather data for
1084 a specific week is also shown, as well as the forecasted weather data fetched from
1085 the OpenWeather API. Lastly, locations where dengue cases have been reported
1086 for the current week are listed in the Location Risk Assessment component.




Figure 4.27: Forecasting Page

1087 4.6.4 Admin Interface

1088 Retraining

1089 With LSTM being the best-performing model among the models used in forecast-
1090 ing dengue cases, it is the model chosen to power the prediction and retraining

of the consolidated data within the web application. Since the retraining process consumes a lot of processing power and requires a more advanced understanding of how it works, it was decided that the said feature should only be available to admin users of surveillance units. Furthermore, the retraining component in the Forecasting page includes three additional components that include the configuration of LSTM parameters (Figure 4.28), the actual retraining of the consolidated data from the database (Figure 4.29), and the results of the retraining that shows the current and previous model metrics depending on the parameters entered (Figure 4.30). It is also worth noting that when training, the model used a seeded number to promote reproducibility.




Figure 4.28: Retraining Configurations




Figure 4.29: Start Retraining




Figure 4.30: Retraining Results

1101 Managing Accounts

1102 Proper management of accounts is important to protect the integrity and confi-
1103 dentiality of data. Thus, it is crucial for administrators to track their users and
1104 control the flow of information. As discussed in the user registration of encoders,
1105 admin users from a specific DRU or surveillance unit have the power to grant
1106 them access to the web application. Figure 4.32 illustrates the interface for this
1107 scenario, as the admins can approve or reject their applications. Once approved,
1108 these users can access the features given to encoders and may be promoted to
1109 have administrative access, as shown in Figure 4.33. The same figure also shows
1110 the expanded details of the user, which include personal information and brief
1111 activity details within the system. When deleting an account, the user's email
1112 will be blacklisted and illegible to use when creating another account, and all the
1113 cases reported by this user will be soft-deleted. However, the blacklist status can
1114 be reverted by clicking the "Unban" button, which would make the user of the
1115 email be able to register to the web application again as shown in Figure 4.34.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with a navigation menu:

- Modules
- Accounts
 - Manage Accounts
- DRU
- Analytics
- Data Tables

Below the sidebar, there is a user profile section:

CN illo City Epidemiol...
illoceus@gmail.com

The main content area is titled "Manage Accounts" and has a subtitle "View and manage registered and pending accounts". It includes a breadcrumb navigation: Accounts > Manage. Below the subtitle are three tabs: "Verified" (selected), "Pending", and "Blacklisted". A table displays account information:

Name	Email	Role	Sex	Actions
Daniel Santiago Brandt	brandon02@example.org	Encoder	Female	<button>Open</button>

Figure 4.31: List of Verified Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface, similar to Figure 4.31, but with a different user profile at the bottom:

CN Saint Paul's Hospital
saintpaul@gmail.com

The main content area is titled "Manage Accounts" and has a subtitle "View and manage registered and pending accounts". It includes a breadcrumb navigation: Accounts > Manage. Below the subtitle are three tabs: "Verified", "Pending" (selected), and "Blacklisted". A table displays account information:

Name	Email	Sex	Created At	Actions
John David Doe	testereee@example.gov.ph	Male	2025-05-15	<button>Approve</button> <button>Delete</button>

Figure 4.32: List of Pending Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with a navigation menu:

- Modules**
- Accounts** (selected)
- Manage Accounts
- Analytics**
- Data Tables**

Below the sidebar, there is a user profile card:

User Profile
View and manage user details

Name	Charles Larson Griffin	Email	bakerwendy@example.org
Sex	Female	Role	Encoder
Hospital (DRU)	Saint Paul's Hospital		
Created At	May 5 2025 at 04:47:12	Updated At	May 15 2025 at 05:56:45
		Last Login	May 15 2025 at 16:53:47

Buttons at the bottom of the card:

- Promote to Admin
- Delete User

At the bottom left of the main area, there is a small card:

CN Saint Paul's Hospital
saintpaul@gmail.com

Figure 4.33: Account Details

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with a navigation menu:

- Modules**
- Accounts**
- Manage Accounts
- Analytics**
- Data Tables**

Below the sidebar, there is a table titled "Manage Accounts" showing a list of accounts:

Manage Accounts
View and manage registered and pending accounts

Email	Date Added	Actions
testereee@example.gov.ph	2025-05-15	Unban


At the bottom left of the main area, there is a small card:

CN Saint Paul's Hospital
saintpaul@gmail.com

Figure 4.34: List of Blacklisted Accounts

1116 Managing DRUs

1117 Unlike the registration of encoder accounts, the creation of Disease Reporting
 1118 Units can only be done within the web application, and the user performing the
 1119 creation must be an administrator of a surveillance unit. Figure 4.35 presents the
 1120 fields the admin user must fill out, and once completed, the new entry will show
 1121 as being managed by that unit, as shown in Figure 4.36. Figure 4.37, on the other
 1122 hand, shows the details provided in the registration form as well as its creation
 1123 details. There is also an option to delete the DRU, and when invoked, all the
 1124 accounts being managed by it, and the cases reported under those accounts will
 1125 be soft-deleted.



The screenshot displays the DengueDash web application interface. On the left, a sidebar menu lists 'Modules' including 'Accounts', 'DRU' (selected), 'Analytics', and 'Data Tables'. Under 'DRU', there are 'Manage DRU' and 'Add DRU' options. The main content area shows a form titled 'Register Disease Reporting Unit' with the sub-instruction 'Add a new Disease Reporting Unit to the surveillance system.' Below the title, the form fields are as follows:

- Name:** A text input field labeled 'Enter DRU name' with placeholder text 'The official name of the Disease Reporting Unit.'
- Address Information:**
 - Region:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select Region'.
 - Province:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select Province'.
 - City/Municipality:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select City/Municipality'.
 - Barangay:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select Barangay'.
- Street Address:** A text input field labeled 'House/Building No., Street Name'.
- Email:** A text input field containing 'example@health.gov'.
- Contact Number:** A text input field containing '+63 912 345 6789'.
- DRU Type:** A dropdown menu labeled 'Select DRU type' with placeholder text 'The category that best describes this reporting unit.'

A large black button at the bottom right of the form is labeled 'Register DRU'.

Figure 4.35: Disease Reporting Unit Registration

DengueDash

Modules

- Accounts
- DRU
 - Manage DRU
 - Add DRU
- Analytics
- Data Tables

CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... iloilocesu@gmail.com

Dru > Manage

Manage Disease Reporting Units

View and manage Disease Reporting Units

DRU Name	Email	Action
Molo District Health Center	molodistrictichealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Jaro 1 Health Center	jaro1health@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Saint Paul's Hospital	saintpaul@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>

Figure 4.36: List of Disease Reporting Units

DengueDash

Modules

- Accounts
- DRU
 - Manage DRU
 - Add DRU
- Analytics
- Data Tables

CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... iloilocesu@gmail.com

Dru > Manage

Disease Reporting Unit Profile

View and manage DRU details

Name of DRU Molo District Health Center	Email molodistrictichealth@gmail.com
Address M.H. Del Pilar Street, Molo, Molo, ILOILO CITY (Capital), ILOILO	Contact Number 09123456782
Region Region VI (Western Visayas)	Surveillance Unit Iloilo CESU
DRU Type CHO/MHO/PHO	
Created At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11	Updated At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11

Delete DRU

Figure 4.37: Disease Reporting Unit details

₁₁₂₆ **4.7 User Testing**

₁₁₂₇ To evaluate the usability of the system, the System Usability Scale (SUS) was
₁₁₂₈ utilized. SUS is a Likert-scale-based questionnaire comprising 10 items that are
₁₁₂₉ critical to assessing system usability. A total of five participants completed the sur-
₁₁₃₀vey. Their responses were processed following the step-by-step calculation method
₁₁₃₁adopted from (Babich, 2015). The resulting usability scores for each participant
₁₁₃₂are shown in Table 4.6.

Participant	Usability Score
1	95.0
2	90.0
3	85.0
4	87.5
5	85.0
Average	88.5

Table 4.6: Computed System Usability Scores per Participant

₁₁₃₃ The average System Usability Scale (SUS) score across systems is typically
₁₁₃₄ 68 (Babich, 2015). In this testing, the system achieved an average SUS score
₁₁₃₅ of 88.5, indicating a highly positive user experience. This score suggests that
₁₁₃₆ participants found the system not only enjoyable to use but also intuitive enough
₁₁₃₇ to recommend to others. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the system is suitable
₁₁₃₈ for real-world applications without presenting significant complexity for first-time
₁₁₃₉ users.

1140 Chapter 5

1141 Conclusion

1142 The development of DengueWatch marks a transformative leap forward in public
1143 health technology, providing Iloilo City with a centralized system to combat one
1144 of the most persistent mosquito-borne diseases. Previously, data was recorded
1145 manually on paper, making tracking and analysis slow and error-prone. Dengue-
1146 Watch digitizes this process, enabling faster, more accurate monitoring. More
1147 than an academic project, DengueWatch serves as a practical solution aimed at
1148 shifting the approach from reactive outbreak response to proactive prevention. By
1149 combining deep learning models with real-time climate data integration, the sys-
1150 tem achieves a level of accuracy and usability that makes it viable for real-world
1151 deployment.

1152 At the heart of DengueWatch is a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neu-
1153 ral network, which outperformed traditional forecasting models such as ARIMA
1154 and Kalman Filter. The LSTM model achieved a Root Mean Square Error
1155 (RMSE) of 16.90, compared to 39.00 and 38.40 for ARIMA and Kalman, respec-

1156 tively—demonstrating a substantial improvement in predictive capability. This
1157 advantage stems from the LSTM’s ability to capture long-term dependencies and
1158 model nonlinear relationships between environmental factors and disease patterns.

1159 The analysis also revealed that climate indicators, particularly rainfall and
1160 humidity, play a significant role in dengue outbreaks, typically leading to a surge
1161 in cases three to five weeks after anomalies are detected. By incorporating these
1162 lagged effects, DengueWatch achieved an explanatory power of 83% ($R^2 = 0.83$),
1163 offering a game-changing advantage for early intervention and resource allocation.

1164 Usability testing further underscored DengueWatch’s readiness for real-world
1165 deployment. The system achieved an average System Usability Scale (SUS) score
1166 of 88.5, significantly above the industry benchmark of 68. This indicates that
1167 users found the system intuitive, efficient, and suitable for operational use in
1168 public health contexts. Key features such as a user-friendly dashboard, a two-week
1169 forecasting window aligned with mosquito life cycles, and automated outbreak
1170 alerts ensure that the system supports timely, effective responses.

1171 Beyond its immediate application in Iloilo City, the framework behind Dengue-
1172 Watch holds the potential for broader impact. With minor adaptations, it can
1173 be scaled nationally through integration with Department of Health surveillance
1174 systems.

1175 DengueWatch exemplifies how deep learning can bridge the gap between data
1176 science and life-saving interventions. It empowers health workers to act preemp-
1177 tively, policymakers to allocate resources strategically, and communities to en-
1178 gage in early preventive measures. As climate change accelerates the spread of
1179 vector-borne diseases, systems like DengueWatch will become indispensable in

1180 safeguarding public health. This system not only demonstrates the power of AI
1181 in epidemiological forecasting but also lays the foundation for a smarter, more
1182 resilient approach to combating infectious diseases in the years ahead.

¹¹⁸³ Chapter 6

¹¹⁸⁴ References

- ¹¹⁸⁵ About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://docs.github.com/en/get-started/start-your-journey/about-github-and-git>
- ¹¹⁸⁶ Ahmadini, A. A. H., Naeem, M., Aamir, M., Dewan, R., Alshqaq, S. S. A., & Mashwani, W. K. (2021). Analysis and forecast of the number of deaths, recovered cases, and confirmed cases from covid-19 for the top four affected countries using kalman filter. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9, 629320.
- ¹¹⁸⁷ Arroyo-Marioli, F., Bullano, F., Kucinskas, S., & Rondón-Moreno, C. (2021). Tracking r of covid-19: A new real-time estimation using the kalman filter. *PloS one*, 16(1), e0244474.
- ¹¹⁸⁸ Babich, N. (2015). *How to use the system usability scale (sus) to evaluate the usability of your website*. Usability Geek. Retrieved from <https://usabilitygeek.com/how-to-use-the-system-usability-scale-sus-to-evaluate-the-usability-of-your-website/> (Accessed: 2025-04-26)

- 1200 Bobbitt, Z. (2021, November 17). *The five assumptions for pearson correlation*. Retrieved from <https://www.statology.org/pearson-correlation-assumptions/> (Statology)
- 1203 Bosano, R. (2023). *Who: Ph most affected by dengue in western pacific*. Retrieved
1204 Use the date of access, from <https://news.abs-cbn.com/spotlight/12/22/23/who-ph-most-affected-by-dengue-in-western-pacific>
- 1206 Brady, O. J., Smith, D. L., Scott, T. W., & Hay, S. I. (2015). Dengue disease
1207 outbreak definitions are implicitly variable. *Epidemics*, 11, 92–102.
- 1208 Bravo, L., Roque, V. G., Brett, J., Dizon, R., & L’Azou, M. (2014). Epidemiology
1209 of dengue disease in the philippines (2000–2011): a systematic literature
1210 review. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*, 8(11), e3027.
- 1211 Carvajal, T. M., Viacrucis, K. M., Hernandez, L. F. T., Ho, H. T., Amalin, D. M.,
1212 & Watanabe, K. (2018). Machine learning methods reveal the temporal
1213 pattern of dengue incidence using meteorological factors in metropolitan
1214 manila, philippines. *BMC infectious diseases*, 18, 1–15.
- 1215 *Chart.js*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.chartjs.org/>
- 1216 Cheong, Y. L., Burkart, K., Leitão, P. J., & Lakes, T. (2013). Assessing weather
1217 effects on dengue disease in malaysia. *International journal of environmental
1218 research and public health*, 10(12), 6319–6334.
- 1219 Christie, T. (n.d.). *Home - Django REST framework*. Retrieved from <https://www.djangoproject-rest-framework.org/>
- 1221 Colón-González, F. J., Fezzi, C., Lake, I. R., & Hunter, P. R. (2013). The effects
1222 of weather and climate change on dengue. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*,
1223 7(11), e2503.
- 1224 Hemisphere, N. (2015). Update on the dengue situation in the western pacific
1225 region. *Update*.

- 1226 Hii, Y. L., Zhu, H., Ng, N., Ng, L. C., & Rocklöv, J. (2012). Forecast of dengue
 1227 incidence using temperature and rainfall. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*,
 1228 6(11), e1908.
- 1229 Joel, C. (2021, 10). *6 reasons to use Tailwind over traditional CSS*. Retrieved
 1230 from [https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind
 1231 -over-traditional-css-1nc3](https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind-over-traditional-css-1nc3)
- 1232 *Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for interactive maps*. (n.d.). Retrieved
 1233 from <https://leafletjs.com/>
- 1234 Li, X., Feng, S., Hou, N., Li, H., Zhang, S., Jian, Z., & Zi, Q. (2022). Applications
 1235 of kalman filtering in time series prediction. In *International conference on*
 1236 *intelligent robotics and applications* (pp. 520–531).
- 1237 Ligue, K. D. B., & Ligue, K. J. B. (2022). Deep learning approach to forecasting
 1238 dengue cases in davao city using long short-term memory (lstm). *Philippine
 1239 Journal of Science*, 151(3).
- 1240 Perla. (2024). *Iloilo beefs up efforts amid hike in dengue cases*. Retrieved Use the
 1241 date of access, from <https://www.pna.gov.ph/articles/1231208>
- 1242 RabDashDC. (2024). *Rabdash dc*. Retrieved Use the date of access, from [https:////
 1243 rabdash.com](https:////rabdash.com)
- 1244 Runge-Ranzinger, S., Kroeger, A., Olliaro, P., McCall, P. J., Sánchez Tejeda, G.,
 1245 Lloyd, L. S., ... Coelho, G. (2016). Dengue contingency planning: from
 1246 research to policy and practice. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases*, 10(9),
 1247 e0004916.
- 1248 Shadcn. (n.d.). *Introduction*. Retrieved from <https://ui.shadcn.com/docs>
- 1249 *Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern websites without ever leaving your HTML*.
 1250 (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://tailwindcss.com/>
- 1251 Watts, D. M., Burke, D. S., Harrison, B. A., Whitmire, R. E., & Nisalak, A.

- 1252 (2020). Effect of temperature on the transmission of dengue virus by aedes
1253 aegypti. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 36(1),
1254 143–152.
- 1255 *What is Postman? Postman API Platform.* (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.postman.com/product/what-is-postman/>
- 1256
- 1257 *Why Visual Studio Code?* (2021, 11). Retrieved from <https://code.visualstudio.com/docs/editor/whyvscode>
- 1258
- 1259 World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Dengue and severe dengue in the
1260 philippines. *WHO Dengue Factsheet*. (Available at: <https://www.who.int>)
- 1261
- 1262 Zhou, S., & Malani, P. (2024). What is dengue? *Jama*, 332(10), 850–850.
- 1263 Zod. (n.d.). *TypeScript-first schema validation with static type inference*. Re-
1264 trieved from <https://zod.dev/?id=introduction>

¹²⁶⁵ **Appendix A**

¹²⁶⁶ **Data Collection Snippets**

Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
2011-w1	9.938571429	29.4444	25.88889	23.8889	11.39	86.24285714	5
2011-w2	8.587142857	30	26.70555556	24.44444444	7.32	88.02857143	4
2011-w3	5.338571429	30	26.61666667	25	7.55	84.02857143	2
2011-w4	5.41	30.55555556	26.48333333	20.55555556	10.67	80.97142857	7
2011-w5	2.914285714	28.33333333	25.28333333	18.65	11.01	74.88571429	2
2011-w6	3.44	31.11111111	27.53333333	25	3.69	85.27142857	5
2011-w7	1.444285714	30	27.06111111	25	6.69	82.1	6
2011-w8	0.7685714286	30.55555556	27.03333333	25	7.82	76.18571429	2
2011-w9	4.064285714	30.55555556	26.75	24.44444444	6.64	84.1	5
2011-w10	1.252857143	31.11111111	27.7	25.55555556	7.92	80.18571429	4
2011-w11	7.068571429	28.33333333	26.03888889	23.88888889	10.35	85.7	2
2011-w12	3.567142857	30.55555556	26.4	24.44444444	9.57	87.74285714	3
2011-w13	2.745714286	30	26.61666667	25	10.05	86.25714286	2
2011-w14	0.09285714286	30	27.44444444	25	8.25	76.32857143	4
2011-w15	1.008571429	31.11111111	26.89444444	22.77777778	7.93	80.64285714	5
2011-w16	0.8771428571	31.66666667	28.43333333	24.44444444	4.76	80.35714286	3
2011-w17	2.15	32.77777778	28.87222222	25.55555556	5.54	81.77142857	5
2011-w18	3.062857143	32.77777778	28.62777778	25	5.15	81.9	4
2011-w19	9.828571429	32.77777778	27.97222222	25	4.18	85.77142857	5
2011-w20	2.848571429	33.33333333	29.71111111	25.55555556	3.77	79.65714286	3
2011-w21	11.74142857	32.77777778	28.51111111	25	4.28	81.81428571	3
2011-w22	14.52857143	32.77777778	28.47222222	25.55555556	4.04	82.45714286	4
2011-w23	11.51714286	32.22222222	28.26111111	24.44444444	3.91	84.24285714	9
2011-w24	8.784285714	32.22222222	27.71111111	24.44444444	3.87	84.7	3
2011-w25	10.43714286	32.77777778	27.47777778	24.44444444	4.46	86.52857143	13
2011-w26	6.702857143	32.22222222	28.39444444	25	3.94	81.87142857	7
2011-w27	14.48	32.22222222	27.66666667	25	4.19	85.42857143	13
2011-w28	3.32	32.22222222	27.49444444	19.68333333	6.69	80.78571429	9
2011-w29	17.33714286	32.77777778	27.95555556	25	3.57	80.05714286	10
2011-w30	22.77	31.66666667	26.63888889	24.44444444	5.13	88.01428571	16
2011-w31	9.875714286	32.77777778	27.81111111	25	4.66	83.11428571	13

Figure A.1: Snippet of Consolidated Data




Figure A.2: Snippet of Weather Data Collection




Figure A.3: Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU

Please enter your participant number: 2

System Usability Scale (SUS)

This is a standard questionnaire that measures the overall usability of a system. Please select the answer that best expresses how you feel about each statement after using the system today.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I think I would like to use DengueWatch frequently to monitor and forecast dengue cases.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. I found DengueWatch unnecessarily complex when entering or analyzing data.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3. I thought DengueWatch was easy to use, even for someone unfamiliar with AI forecasting tools.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4. I think I would need the support of an IT or technical person to use all the features of DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5. I found the visualizations (charts, maps, graphs) in DengueWatch to be well-integrated and informative.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in how different parts of the DengueWatch system behaved.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7. I believe most public health workers would learn to use DengueWatch very quickly.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8. I found DengueWatch very cumbersome to use when entering weekly case reports or viewing forecasts.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
9. I felt confident in using DengueWatch to get the information I needed for decision-making.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				

How likely are you to recommend this website to others? (please circle your answer)

Not at all likely 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Extremely likely

Please provide important comments about the website:

Figure A.4: System Usability Score Questionnaire