

1 DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME
2 DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO
3 PROVINCE

4 A Special Problem
5 Presented to
6 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
7 College of Arts and Sciences
8 University of the Philippines Visayas
9 Miag-ao, Iloilo

10 In Partial Fulfillment
11 of the Requirements for the Degree of
12 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

13 AMODIA, Kurt Matthew A.
14 BULAONG, Glen Andrew C.
15 ELIPAN, Carl Benedict L.

16 Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
17 Adviser

18 June 2025

19

Approval Sheet

20

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

22

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

23

DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO PROVINCE

25

26

Approved by:

Name

Signature

Date

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D. _____

(Adviser)

27

Ara Abigail E. Ambita _____

(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor _____

(Division Chair)

28 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
29 College of Arts and Sciences
30 University of the Philippines Visayas

31 **Declaration**

32 We, Kurt Matthew A. Amodia, Glen Andrew C. Bulaong, and Carl Benedict
33 L. Elipan, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us and
34 is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
35 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name	Signature	Date
Kurt Matthew A. Amodia (Student)	_____	_____
Glen Andrew C. Bulaong (Student)	_____	_____
Carl Benedict L. Elipan (Student)	_____	_____

Dedication

38 We dedicate this special problem to all the teachers who have guided us
39 throughout our academic journey. Your knowledge and mentorship have laid the
40 foundation for this research, and for that, we are truly grateful.

41 To our families, friends, and classmates, thank you for your unwavering sup-
42 port, encouragement, and belief in us. Your presence has been a constant source
43 of strength.

44 Most especially, we dedicate this work to the health offices and frontline per-
45 sonnel who continue to battle dengue cases with courage and dedication. Your
46 tireless efforts and sacrifices are an inspiration. We hope that this research, in its
47 own small way, can contribute to your work and make a meaningful difference in
48 your fight against this disease.

49

Acknowledgment

50 This research would not have been possible without the support and guidance
51 of several individuals and institutions.

52 First and foremost, we express our deepest gratitude to our adviser, Dr. Francis
53 Dimzon, for his invaluable insights, unwavering support, and commitment to
54 our project. His guidance was instrumental in shaping the direction of our re-
55 search.

56 We also extend our sincere thanks to the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and
57 the Iloilo Epidemiological Unit, for accomodating our inquiries and sharing vital
58 data and insights. Their cooperation played a crucial role in the success of the
59 research.

60 Our appreciation also goes to the UPV Health Services Unit, especially the
61 doctors and nurses who participated in our user testing. Your thoughtful feedback
62 provided essential perspectives that greatly contributed to the relevance of our
63 research.

64 Finally, we are greatly thankful to God Almighty, for granting us the strength,
65 perseverance, and determination to complete this research.

Abstract

67 Dengue fever remains a significant public health concern in the Philippines, with
68 cases rising dramatically in recent years. Iloilo City experienced a surge in cases, with
69 4,585 reported cases and 10 deaths as of August 10, 2023, a 319% increase from the
70 previous year's 1,095 cases and one death. This rise overwhelmed local healthcare facil-
71 ities, with over 76% of non-COVID-19 hospital beds occupied by dengue patients. The
72 lack of a reliable monitoring and forecasting system delayed interventions, worsening
73 the public health burden. To address this, the study developed a centralized system to
74 modernize data management and monitoring of dengue cases in public health institu-
75 tions. Using data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and online sources, several
76 deep learning models were trained to forecast dengue cases on weather variables and
77 historical data. Models tested included LSTM, ARIMA, Seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Fil-
78 ter (KF), and a hybrid KF-LSTM, evaluated with time series cross-validation and error
79 metrics like MSE, RMSE, and MAE. The LSTM model performed best, achieving the
80 lowest RMSE of 20.15, followed by the hybrid KF-LSTM with 25.56. The LSTM model
81 was integrated into the system, providing forecasting capabilities to support proactive
82 interventions and better resource planning in health institutions.

83 **Keywords:** Dengue, Deep Learning, Monitoring, Prediction

⁸⁴ **Contents**

⁸⁵	1	Introduction	1
⁸⁶		1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology	1
⁸⁷		1.2 Problem Statement	3
⁸⁸		1.3 Research Objectives	4
⁸⁹		1.3.1 General Objective	4
⁹⁰		1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4
⁹¹		1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	5
⁹²		1.5 Significance of the Research	6
⁹³	2	Review of Related Literature	9
⁹⁴		2.1 Dengue	9
⁹⁵		2.2 Outbreak Definition	10

96	2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC	10
97	2.4 Deep Learning	11
98	2.5 Kalman Filter	12
99	2.6 Weather Data	13
100	2.7 Chapter Summary	14
101	3 Research Methodology	15
102	3.1 Research Activities	16
103	3.1.1 Dengue and Climate Data Collection	16
104	3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for Dengue	
105	Case Forecasting	19
106	3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based Data An-	
107	alytics Dashboard	25
108	3.1.4 System Development Framework	26
109	3.2 Development Tools	28
110	3.2.1 Software	28
111	3.2.2 Hardware	30
112	3.2.3 Packages	30
113	3.3 Application Requirements	33

CONTENTS ix

114	3.3.1 Backend Requirements	33
115	3.3.2 User Interface Requirements	34
116	3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements	37
117	4 Results and Discussion	39
118	4.1 Data Gathering	39
119	4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis	40
120	4.3 Outbreak Detection	45
121	4.4 Model Training Results	45
122	4.4.1 LSTM Model	47
123	4.4.2 ARIMA Model	49
124	4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model	50
125	4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model	52
126	4.5 Model Simulation	54
127	4.6 System Prototype	56
128	4.6.1 Home Page	56
129	4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication	57
130	4.6.3 Encoder Interface	59

131	4.6.4 Admin Interface	68
132	4.7 User Testing	76
133	5 Conclusion	77
134	6 References	79
135	A Data Collection Snippets	83

¹³⁶ List of Figures

137	3.1 Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases	16
138	3.2 Testing Process for DengueWatch	27
139	3.3 Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash Database Structure	33
140		
141	3.4 Use Case Diagram for Admins	34
142	3.5 Use Case Diagram for Encoder	36
143		
144	4.1 Trend of Dengue Cases	42
145	4.2 Rainfall and Dengue Cases Over Time	42
146	4.3 Kernel Density Estimate Plots of Meteorological Features	44
147	4.4 Training Folds - Window Size 5	48
148	4.5 Testing Folds - Window Size 5	49
149	4.6 ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	50

149	4.7 Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	51
150	4.8 Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set	53
151	4.9 Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025	55
152	4.10 Home Page	57
153	4.11 Sign Up Page	58
154	4.12 Login Page	59
155	4.13 First Part of Case Report Form	60
156	4.14 Second Part of Case Report Form	61
157	4.15 Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV	62
158	4.16 Dengue Reports	63
159	4.17 Detailed Case Report	64
160	4.18 Update Report Dialog	65
161	4.19 Delete Report Alert Dialog	66
162	4.20 Forecasting Page	68
163	4.21 Retraining Configurations	69
164	4.22 Start Retraining	70
165	4.23 Retraining Results	70

LIST OF FIGURES

xiii

166	4.24 List of Verified Accounts	72
167	4.25 List of Pending Accounts	72
168	4.26 Account Details	73
169	4.27 List of Blacklisted Accounts	73
170	4.28 Disease Reporting Unit Registration	74
171	4.29 List of Disease Reporting Units	75
172	4.30 Disease Reporting Unit details	75
173	A.1 Snippet of Consolidated Data	83
174	A.2 Snippet of Weather Data Collection	84
175	A.3 Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU	85
176	A.4 System Usability Score Questionnaire	86

List of Tables

178	3.1 Hyperparameter Tuning: Search Space and Tuner Configuration	20
179	4.1 Snippet of the combined dataset	40
180	4.2 Data Schema: Column Names, Non-Null Counts, and Data Types	41
181	4.3 Descriptive Statistics of the Combined Dataset	41
182	4.4 Comparison of different models for dengue prediction	46
183	4.5 Comparison of Window Sizes	47
184	4.6 Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes	48
185	4.7 Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold	52
186	4.8 Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold	54
187	4.9 Computed System Usability Scores per Participant	76

¹⁸⁸ **Chapter 1**

¹⁸⁹ **Introduction**

¹⁹⁰ **1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology**

¹⁹¹ Dengue cases surged globally in 2023 and continued to rise in 2025, with over
¹⁹² five million cases and more than 5,000 deaths across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).

¹⁹³ The World Health Organization reported a ten-fold increase in cases from 2000
¹⁹⁴ to 2019, peaking in 2019 with the disease affecting 129 countries (WHO, 2024).

¹⁹⁵ In the Philippines, dengue remains endemic, leading to prolonged and widespread
¹⁹⁶ outbreaks.

¹⁹⁷ In Iloilo, dengue cases escalated dramatically. By August 2023, the provincial
¹⁹⁸ health office reported 4,585 cases and 10 deaths, marking a 319% increase from
¹⁹⁹ the previous year (Perla, 2024). Iloilo has reached the outbreak threshold, and
²⁰⁰ local authorities are on blue alert. In Iloilo City, 649 cases were recorded in 2024,
²⁰¹ with two deaths. Hospital capacity is strained, with non-COVID-19 hospital bed

occupancy exceeding 76%. This highlights the increasing pressure on healthcare resources in the region.

In recent years, technology has played a growing role in improving disease surveillance across the globe. Internationally, a study published in *Frontiers in Physics* utilized the Kalman filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, Brazil(Ahmadi et al., 2021). A study also suggests that weather-based forecasting models using variables like mean temperature and cumulative rainfall can provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high sensitivity and specificity, enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance (Hii, Zhu, Ng, Ng, & Rocklöv, 2012). Locally, in Davao City, Ligue (2022) found that deep learning models can accurately predict dengue outbreaks by capturing complex, time-dependent patterns in environmental data. The study of Carvajal et. al. uses machine learning methods to reveal the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila and emphasizes the significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological factor, alongside rainfall and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et al., 2018).

Most studies remain theoretical or academic, with limited translation into practical tools that communities and local health authorities can use for early warning and response. An example of such application is RabDash, developed by the University of the Philippines Mindanao. RabdashDC (2024) is a web-based dashboard for rabies data analytics. However, while RabDash demonstrates the potential of applying advanced analytics in public health, similar systems are lacking in the context of dengue.

²²⁵ **1.2 Problem Statement**

²²⁶ Dengue remains a critical public health challenge worldwide, with cases increasing
²²⁷ due to the easing of COVID-19 restrictions and heightened global mobility. While
²²⁸ a temporary decline in cases was observed during the pandemic (2020–2022) due
²²⁹ to reduced surveillance efforts, 2023 marked a resurgence, with over five million
²³⁰ cases and more than 5,000 deaths reported across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).
²³¹ In Iloilo City and Province, dengue cases rose by 319% as of August 2023, over-
²³² whelming local healthcare systems. This surge strained resources, with over 76%
²³³ of non-COVID-19 hospital beds occupied by dengue patients (Perla, 2024), high-
²³⁴ lighting the urgent need for effective monitoring and predictive tools. Despite
²³⁵ all these studies, there remains a significant gap in the development of publicly
²³⁶ accessible systems that apply these predictive models in real-world settings. Most
²³⁷ existing studies remain confined to academic or theoretical contexts, with little
²³⁸ translation into practical tools for local communities and public health authorities.
²³⁹ In particular, there is a lack of research focused specifically on dengue prediction
²⁴⁰ and surveillance in Iloilo. While deep learning models have shown high accuracy
²⁴¹ in other regions, their application in the local context of Iloilo is minimal. The
²⁴² lack of a reliable system to monitor and forecast dengue outbreaks contributes to
²⁴³ delayed interventions, exacerbating public health risks and healthcare burdens in
²⁴⁴ the region.

²⁴⁵ **1.3 Research Objectives**

²⁴⁶ **1.3.1 General Objective**

²⁴⁷ This study aims to develop a centralized monitoring and analytics system for
²⁴⁸ dengue cases in Iloilo City and Province with data management and forecasting
²⁴⁹ capabilities. The researchers will train and compare multiple deep learning models
²⁵⁰ to predict dengue case trends based on climate data and historical dengue cases
²⁵¹ to help public health officials in possible dengue case outbreaks.

²⁵² **1.3.2 Specific Objectives**

²⁵³ Specifically, this study aims to:

- ²⁵⁴ 1. gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and climate data
²⁵⁵ (including temperature, rainfall, wind, and humidity) from online sources,
²⁵⁶ and combine and aggregate these into a unified dataset to facilitate compre-
²⁵⁷ hensive dengue case forecasting;
- ²⁵⁸ 2. train and evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases using
²⁵⁹ metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error
²⁶⁰ (RMSE), and Mean Squared Error (MSE), and determine the most accurate
²⁶¹ forecasting approach; and
- ²⁶² 3. develop a web-based analytics dashboard that integrates the predictive model,
²⁶³ provides a data management system for dengue cases in Iloilo City and the

264 Province, and assess its usability and effectiveness through structured feed-
265 back from health professionals and policymakers.

266 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

267 This study aims to gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office
268 and climate data from online sources such as PAGASA or weatherandclimate.com.
269 These data will be preprocessed, cleaned, and combined into a unified dataset to
270 facilitate comprehensive dengue case forecasting. However, the study is limited by
271 the availability and completeness of historical data. Inconsistent or missing data
272 points may introduce biases and reduce the quality of predictions. Furthermore,
273 the granularity of the data will be in a weekly format.

274 To evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases, the study will
275 train and compare the performance of various models, using metrics like Mean
276 Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). While these models
277 aim to provide accurate forecasts, their performance is heavily influenced by the
278 quality and size of the dataset. Limited or low-quality data may lead to suboptimal
279 predictions. Additionally, the models cannot fully account for external factors
280 such as public health interventions or socio-economic conditions which may impact
281 dengue transmission dynamics.

282 The study also involves developing a web-based analytics dashboard that in-
283 tegrates predictive models and provides a data management system for dengue
284 cases in Iloilo City and the Province. This dashboard will offer public health
285 officials an interactive interface to visualize dengue trends, input new data, and

286 identify risk areas. However, its usability depends on feedback from stakeholders,
287 which may vary based on their familiarity with analytics tools. Moreover, exter-
288 nal factors such as limited internet connectivity or device availability in remote
289 areas may affect the system's adoption and effectiveness. While the dashboard
290 provides valuable insights, it cannot incorporate all factors influencing dengue
291 transmission, emphasizing the need for ongoing validation and refinement.

292 **1.5 Significance of the Research**

293 This study's development of an AI-based dengue forecasting and monitoring sys-
294 tem has wide-reaching significance for various stakeholders in Iloilo City:

- 295 • Public Health Agencies: Organizations like the Department of Health (DOH)
296 and local health units in Iloilo City and Province stand to benefit greatly
297 from the system. With dengue predictions, we can help these agencies opti-
298 mize their response strategies and implement targeted prevention measures
299 in high-risk areas before cases escalate.
- 300 • Local Government Units (LGUs): LGUs can use the system to support
301 their disaster management and health initiatives by proactively addressing
302 dengue outbreaks. The predictive insights allow for more efficient planning
303 and resource deployment in barangays and communities most vulnerable to
304 outbreaks, improving overall public health outcomes.
- 305 • Healthcare Facilities: Hospitals and clinics, which currently face high bed
306 occupancy rates during dengue season will benefit from early outbreak fore-

1.5. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH

7

307 casts that can help in managing patient inflow and ensuring adequate hos-
308 pital capacity.

309 • Researchers and Policymakers: This AI-driven approach contributes valua-
310 ble insights for researchers studying infectious disease patterns and policy-
311 makers focused on strengthening the national AI Roadmap. The system's
312 data can support broader initiatives for sustainable health infrastructure
313 and inform policy decisions on resource allocation for dengue control.

314 • Community Members: By reducing the frequency and severity of outbreaks,
315 this study ultimately benefits the community at large. This allows for timely
316 awareness campaigns and community engagement initiatives, empowering
317 residents with knowledge and preventative measures to protect themselves
318 and reduce the spread of dengue.

³¹⁹ Chapter 2

³²⁰ Review of Related Literature

³²¹ 2.1 Dengue

³²² Dengue disease is a tropical and subtropical mosquito-borne viral illness and is a
³²³ major health concern in the Philippines (Bravo, Roque, Brett, Dizon, & L'Azou,
³²⁴ 2014). The majority of individuals with dengue experience no symptoms. Fever is
³²⁵ the most common symptom, typically 4 to 7 days after being bitten by an infected
³²⁶ mosquito (Zhou & Malani, 2024). In recent years, the trend of dengue cases in
³²⁷ the Philippines has shown notable fluctuations, with periodic outbreaks occur-
³²⁸ ring every 3 to 5 years, often influenced by climatic and environmental changes.
³²⁹ According to the Department of Health (DOH), the number of reported cases
³³⁰ has steadily increased over the past decades, attributed to urbanization, popula-
³³¹ tion growth, and inadequate vector control measures (World Health Organization
³³² (WHO), 2018). Moreover, studies suggest that El Niño and La Niña events have
³³³ significant effects on dengue incidence, with warmer temperatures and increased

³³⁴ rainfall providing favorable breeding conditions for mosquitoes (Watts, David M
³³⁵ and Burke, Donald S and Harrison, Bruce A and Whitmire, Ralph E and Nisalak,
³³⁶ Ananda, 2020). The study of Carvajal et. al. highlights the temporal pattern of
³³⁷ dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila and emphasizes the significance of relative
³³⁸ humidity as a key meteorological factor, alongside rainfall and temperature, in
³³⁹ influencing this pattern (Carvajal et al., 2018).

³⁴⁰ 2.2 Outbreak Definition

³⁴¹ The definition of an outbreak is a critical factor in disease surveillance, as it
³⁴² determines the threshold at which an unusual increase in cases is considered a
³⁴³ public health concern. Studies suggest that outbreak thresholds should be context-
³⁴⁴ specific, given the variability in transmission dynamics across different locations
³⁴⁵ (Runge-Ranzinger et al., 2016). Outbreak definitions defined using the Endemic
³⁴⁶ Channel often base thresholds on 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean
³⁴⁷ number of historic dengue cases. Other studies (Hemisphere, 2015) also used an
³⁴⁸ alert threshold of a 5-year mean plus 2 standard deviations. A study by (Brady,
³⁴⁹ Smith, Scott, & Hay, 2015) discussed that definitions of dengue outbreaks differ
³⁵⁰ significantly across regions and time, making them inconsistent and incomparable.

³⁵¹ 2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC

³⁵² RabDash, developed by the University of the Philippines Mindanao, is a web-
³⁵³ based dashboard for rabies data analytics. It combines predictive modeling with

354 genomic data, enabling local health authorities to optimize interventions and al-
355 locate resources more effectively. RabDash’s modules include trend visualization,
356 geographic hotspot mapping, and predictive forecasting, utilizing Long Short-
357 Term Memory (LSTM) models for time-series forecasting (RabDashDC, 2024).

358 For DengueWatch, RabDash serves as a strong inspiration, particularly in
359 its monitoring, historical trend visualization, and forecasting capabilities. These
360 features align well with the needs of dengue control efforts, providing real-time
361 insights into outbreak trends and enabling more effective, data-driven decision-
362 making. RabDash’s architecture is relevant to the DengueDash, as dengue out-
363 breaks similarly require time-series forecasting models. By using LSTM, RabDash
364 effectively models trends in outbreak data, which provides a framework for adapt-
365 ing LSTM to dengue forecasting. Research indicates that LSTM models outper-
366 form traditional methods, such as ARIMA and MLP, in handling the complexities
367 of time-dependent epidemiological data (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

368 2.4 Deep Learning

369 The study of (Ligue & Ligue, 2022) highlights how data-driven models can help
370 predict dengue outbreaks. The authors compared traditional statistical meth-
371 ods, such as non-seasonal and seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average
372 (ARIMA), and traditional feed-forward network approach using a multilayer per-
373 ceptron (MLP) model with a deep learning approach using the long short-term
374 memory (LSTM) architecture in their prediction model. They found that the
375 LSTM model performs better in terms of accuracy. The LSTM model achieved a

³⁷⁶ much lower root mean square error (RMSE) compared to both MLP and ARIMA
³⁷⁷ models, proving its ability to capture complex patterns in time-series data (Ligue
³⁷⁸ & Ligue, 2022). This superior performance is attributed to LSTM's capacity
³⁷⁹ to capture complex, time-dependent relationships within the data, such as those
³⁸⁰ between temperature, rainfall, humidity, and mosquito populations, all of which
³⁸¹ contribute to dengue incidence (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

³⁸² 2.5 Kalman Filter

³⁸³ The Kalman Filter is another powerful tool for time-series forecasting that can be
³⁸⁴ integrated into our analysis. It provides a recursive solution to estimating the state
³⁸⁵ of a linear dynamic system from a series of noisy measurements. Its application
³⁸⁶ in epidemiological modeling can enhance prediction accuracy by accounting for
³⁸⁷ uncertainties in the data(Li et al., 2022). Studies have shown that Kalman filters
³⁸⁸ are effective in predicting infectious disease outbreaks by refining estimates based
³⁸⁹ on observed data. A study published in Frontiers in Physics utilized the Kalman
³⁹⁰ filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, Brazil. They found that the Kalman
³⁹¹ filter effectively tracked the progression of deaths and cases, providing critical in-
³⁹² sights for public health decision-making (Ahmadini et al., 2021). Another research
³⁹³ article in PLOS ONE focused on tracking the effective reproduction number (R_t)
³⁹⁴ of COVID-19 using a Kalman filter. This method estimated the growth rate of
³⁹⁵ new infections from noisy data, demonstrating that the Kalman filter could main-
³⁹⁶ tain accurate estimates even when case reporting was inconsistent(Arroyo-Marioli,
³⁹⁷ Bullano, Kucinskas, & Rondón-Moreno, 2021).

398 This study compares ARIMA, seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter, and LSTM
399 models using collected dengue case data along with weather data to identify the
400 most effective model for real-time forecasting.

401 2.6 Weather Data

402 The relationship between weather patterns and mosquito-borne diseases is inher-
403 ently nonlinear, meaning that fluctuations in disease cases do not respond propor-
404 tionally to changes in climate variables(Colón-González, Fezzi, Lake, & Hunter,
405 2013) Weather data, such as minimum temperature and accumulated rainfall, are
406 strongly linked to dengue case fluctuations, with effects observed after several
407 weeks due to mosquito breeding and virus incubation cycles. Integrating these
408 lagged weather effects into predictive models can improve early warning systems
409 for dengue control(Cheong, Burkart, Leitão, & Lakes, 2013). A study also sug-
410 gests that weather-based forecasting models using variables like mean temperature
411 and cumulative rainfall can provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high
412 sensitivity and specificity, enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance(Hii et
413 al., 2012).

414 This study utilizes weather data, including variables such as temperature,
415 rainfall, and humidity, as inputs for our dengue forecasting model. Given the
416 strong, nonlinear relationship between climate patterns and dengue incidence,
417 these weather variables, along with their lagged effects, are essential for enhancing
418 prediction accuracy and providing timely early warnings for dengue outbreaks.

419 2.7 Chapter Summary

420 This chapter reviewed key literature relevant to our study, focusing on existing
421 systems, predictive modeling techniques and the role of weather data in forecast-
422 ing dengue outbreaks. We examined systems like RabDash DC, which integrates
423 predictive modeling with real-time data to inform public health decisions, provid-
424 ing a foundational structure for our Dengue Watch System. Additionally, deep
425 learning approaches, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks,
426 were highlighted for their effectiveness in time-series forecasting, while alternative
427 methods such as ARIMA and Kalman Filters were considered for their ability to
428 model complex temporal patterns and handle noisy data.

429 The literature further underscores the significance of weather variables—such
430 as temperature and rainfall—in forecasting dengue cases. Studies demonstrate
431 that these variables contribute to accurate outbreak prediction models. Lever-
432 aging these insights, our study will incorporate both weather data and historical
433 dengue case counts to build a reliable forecasting model.

⁴³⁴ Chapter 3

⁴³⁵ Research Methodology

⁴³⁶ This chapter lists and discusses the specific steps and activities that were per-
⁴³⁷ formed to accomplish the project. The discussion covers the activities from pre-
⁴³⁸ proposal to Final SP Writing.

⁴³⁹ Figure 3.1 summarizes the workflow for forecasting the number of weekly
⁴⁴⁰ dengue cases. This workflow focuses on using statistical, deep learning, and prob-
⁴⁴¹ abilistic models to forecast the number of reported dengue cases. The approach
⁴⁴² involves deploying several models for prediction, including ARIMA and Seasonal
⁴⁴³ ARIMA as statistical approaches, LSTM as a deep learning approach, and the
⁴⁴⁴ Kalman Filter as a probabilistic approach. These methods are compared with
⁴⁴⁵ each other to determine the most accurate model.




Figure 3.1: Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases

446 3.1 Research Activities

447 3.1.1 Dengue and Climate Data Collection

448 Acquisition of Dengue Case Data

449 The historical dengue case dataset used in this study was obtained from the Hu-
450 manitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for Health Develop-
451 ment (WVCHD) via Freedom of Information (FOI) requests. The decision to use
452 weekly intervals was driven by the need for precision and timeliness in captur-
453 ing fluctuations in dengue cases and weather conditions. Dengue transmission is
454 influenced by short-term changes in weather variables such as rainfall and temper-
455 ature, which impact mosquito breeding and virus transmission cycles. A weekly
456 granularity allowed the model to better capture these short-term trends, enabling
457 more accurate predictions and responsive public health interventions.

458 Moreover, using a weekly interval provided more data points for training the
459 models compared to a monthly format. This is particularly critical in time series
460 modeling, where larger datasets help improve the robustness of the model and its
461 ability to generalize to new data. Also, the collection of weather data was done
462 by utilizing web scraping techniques to extract weekly weather data (e.g., rainfall,
463 temperature, and humidity) from Weather Underground (wunderground.com).

464

465 **Data Fields**

- 466 • **Time.** Represents the specific year and week corresponding to each entry
467 in the dataset.
- 468 • **Rainfall.** Denotes the observed average rainfall, measured in millimeters,
469 for a specific week.
- 470 • **Humidity.** Refers to the observed average relative humidity, expressed as
471 a percentage, for a specific week.
- 472 • **Max Temperature.** Represents the observed maximum temperature, mea-
473 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.
- 474 • **Average Temperature.** Represents the observed average temperature,
475 measured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.
- 476 • **Min Temperature.** Represents the observed minimum temperature, mea-
477 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.
- 478 • **Wind.** Represents the observed wind speed, measured in miles per hour
479 (mph), for a specific week.

- 480 • **Cases.** Refers to the number of reported dengue cases during a specific
481 week.

482 **Data Integration and Preprocessing**

483 The dengue case data was integrated with the weather data to create a com
484 prehensive dataset, aligning the data based on corresponding timeframes. The
485 dataset undergoed a cleaning process to address any missing values, outliers, and
486 inconsistencies to ensure its accuracy and reliability. To ensure that all features
487 and the target variable were on the same scale, a MinMaxScaler was applied to
488 normalize both the input features (climate data) and the target variable (dengue
489 cases).

490 **Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)**

491 Trends, seasonality, and correlations between reported dengue cases and weather
492 factors were thoroughly analyzed to identify potential relationships in the dataset.
493 To support and illustrate these findings, a series of visualizations, including time-
494 series plots and scatterplots, were developed, to highlight key patterns and rela-
495 tionships within the dataset.

496 **Outbreak Detection**

497 To detect outbreaks, we computed the outbreak threshold value of dengue cases
498 using the formula,

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (3.1)$$

499 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

500 It is important to take note that definitions of dengue outbreaks differ significantly across regions and time. This computation is subject to changes depending 502 on how the surveillance units detect outbreaks themselves.

503 3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for 504 Dengue Case Forecasting

505 The deep learning models were developed and trained to forecast weekly dengue 506 cases using historical weather data (rainfall, temperature, wind, and humidity) 507 and dengue case counts. The dataset was normalized and divided into training and 508 testing sets, ensuring temporal continuity to avoid data leakage. The methodology 509 for preparing and training the model are outlined below.

510 Data Preprocessing

511 The raw dataset included weekly aggregated weather variables (rainfall, temperature, 512 wind, humidity) and dengue case counts. The "Time" column was converted 513 to a datetime format to ensure proper temporal indexing. To standardize the data 514 for training, MinMaxScaler was employed, normalizing the feature values and target 515 variable to a range of 0 to 1. This step ensured that the models could efficiently 516 process the data without being biased by feature scaling differences.

⁵¹⁷ **LSTM Model**

⁵¹⁸ The dataset was split into training and test sets to evaluate the model's performance and generalizability:

⁵²⁰ • **Training Set:** 80% of the data (572 sequences) was used for model training, ⁵²¹ enabling the LSTM to learn underlying patterns in historical dengue case ⁵²² trends and their relationship with weather variables.

⁵²³ • **Test Set:** The remaining 20% of the data (148 sequences) was reserved for ⁵²⁴ testing

⁵²⁵ To prepare the data for LSTM, a sliding window approach was utilized. ⁵²⁶ Sequences of weeks of normalized features were constructed as input, while the ⁵²⁷ dengue case count for the subsequent week was set as the target variable. This ⁵²⁸ approach ensured that the model leveraged temporal dependencies in the data for ⁵²⁹ forecasting. To enhance the performance of the LSTM model in predicting dengue ⁵³⁰ cases, Bayesian Optimization was employed using the Keras Tuner library. The ⁵³¹ tuning process aimed to minimize the validation loss (mean squared error) by ⁵³² adjusting key model hyper-parameters. Table 3.1 summarizes the search space ⁵³³ below:

Search Space	LSTM Units	Learning Rate
Min Value	32	0.0001
Max Value	128	0.01
Step	16	None
Sampling	Linear	Log
Tuner Configuration		
Max Trials	10	
Executions per Trial	3	
Validation Split	0.2	

Table 3.1: Hyperparameter Tuning: Search Space and Tuner Configuration

534 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for three different window sizes of
535 data: 5, 10, and 20. This allows the model to have the optimal hyperparameters
536 used for each window size. Training was conducted over 100 epochs with early
537 stopping to prevent overfitting while maintaining computational efficiency. A
538 batch size of 1 was used, enabling the model to process individual sequences,
539 which is suitable for smaller datasets but results in longer training times. The
540 Adam optimizer, known for its adaptive learning capabilities and stability was
541 employed.

542 To validate the effectiveness of the model, cross-validation was implemented.
543 However, standard k-fold cross-validation randomly shuffles the data, which isn't
544 suitable for time series since the order of observations is important. To address
545 this, a time series-specific cross-validation strategy was used with TimeSeriesS-
546 plit from the scikit-learn library. This method creates multiple train-test splits
547 where each training set expands over time and each test set follows sequentially.
548 This approach preserves the temporal structure of the data while helping reduce
549 overfitting by validating the model across different time segments.

550 After training, predictions on both the training and test datasets were rescaled
551 to their original scale using the inverse transformation of MinMaxScaler. Model
552 performance was evaluated using the mean squared error (MSE), root mean
553 squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE).

554 **ARIMA**

555 The ARIMA model was utilized to forecast weekly dengue cases, leveraging histor-
556 ical weather data—including rainfall, maximum temperature, and humidity—as

557 exogenous variables alongside historical dengue case counts as the primary dependent variable. The dataset was partitioned into training (80%) and testing (20%)
558 sets while maintaining temporal consistency.
559

560 To identify the optimal ARIMA configuration, a comprehensive grid search
561 was performed across the following parameter ranges:

- 562 • Autoregressive order (p): 0 to 3
563 • Differencing order (d): 0 to 2
564 • Moving average order (q): 0 to 3

565 Each combination of (p,d,q) was used to fit an ARIMA model, and performance
566 was evaluated based on the mean squared error (MSE) between the predicted
567 and actual dengue cases on the test set. The parameter set that achieved
568 the lowest MSE was selected as the final model configuration.

569 Following model selection, the best-fit ARIMA model was retrained on the
570 training set and subsequently used to forecast dengue cases for the test period.
571 The predictions were assigned to the **PredictedCases** column in the test dataset.
572 Model performance was further assessed using key evaluation metrics, including
573 MSE, root mean squared error (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE). Visual
574 comparisons between actual and predicted dengue cases were produced through
575 line plots to better illustrate the model's forecasting accuracy.

576 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)

577 The SARIMA modeling process began with data preprocessing, which included
578 handling missing values through interpolation or imputation, and standardizing
579 features to ensure stable model training. The dataset was then split into training
580 and testing sets in an 80:20 ratio, preserving the temporal order of observations.
581 Seasonality analysis was conducted using time series decomposition and autocor-
582 relation plots, which revealed a periodicity of 52 weeks—justifying the adoption
583 of a seasonal model. To fine-tune the model, a grid search was performed over a
584 range of SARIMA parameters $(p,d,q)(P,D,Q)[S]$, while stationarity was validated
585 using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. The model was then trained
586 on the dataset using rainfall, temperature, and humidity as exogenous variables,
587 with convergence ensured by setting a maximum number of iterations. Residual
588 diagnostics were used to confirm that residuals were uncorrelated, indicating a
589 good model fit. For evaluation, forecasts were compared against actual values,
590 and results were visualized with line plots. Finally, to validate the model's gener-
591 alizability across different time periods, Time Series Cross-Validation with three
592 folds was applied. This allowed assessment of the model's performance on multi-
593 ple time segments, providing insights into its robustness in real-world forecasting
594 scenarios.

595 Kalman Filter:

- 596 ● Input Variables: The target variable (Cases) was modeled using three re-
597 gressors: rainfall, max temperature, and humidity.
- 598 ● Training and Testing Split: The dataset was split into 80% training and

599 20% testing to evaluate model performance.

600 • Observation Matrix: The Kalman Filter requires an observation matrix,
601 which was constructed by adding an intercept (column of ones) to the re-
602 gressors.

603 The Kalman Filter's Expectation-Maximization (EM) method was employed
604 for training, iteratively estimating model parameters over 10 iterations. After
605 training, the smoothing method was used to compute the refined state estimates
606 across the training data. Observation matrices for the test data were constructed
607 in the same manner as for the training set, ensuring compatibility with the learned
608 model parameters. On the test data, the Kalman Filter applied these parameters
609 to predict and correct the estimated dengue cases, providing more stable and
610 accurate forecasts compared to direct regression models. Additionally, a hybrid
611 Kalman Filter-LSTM (KF-LSTM) model was developed to combine the strengths
612 of both approaches. In this setup, the LSTM model was first used to predict
613 dengue cases based on historical data and weather features. The Kalman Filter
614 was then applied as a post-processing step to the LSTM predictions, smoothing
615 out noise and correcting potential errors.

616 **Model Simulation:**

617 After identifying the best-performing model among all the trained deep learning
618 models, a simulation was conducted. Using the same parameters from the initial
619 training, the selected model was retrained with the original dataset along with
620 new data up to January 2025. The retrained model was then used to forecast

621 dengue cases for the period from February 2025 to May 2025. Listing 3.1 shows
 622 a code snippet of the model training.

Listing 3.1: Code Snippet for Model Training

```

623     # Fit on train set
624
625     history = model.fit(
626         X_train, y_train,
627         epochs=100,
628         batch_size=1,
629         validation_split=0.2,
630         callbacks=[early_stop],
631         verbose=1
632     )
633
634     # Predict on 2025
635
636     y_pred_test = model.predict(X_test, verbose=0)

```

635 3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based 636 Data Analytics Dashboard

637 Dashboard Design and Development

- 638 • Design an intuitive, user-friendly web-based dashboard incorporating:
 - 639 – Interactive visualizations of yearly dengue case trends.
 - 640 – Data input and update forms for dengue and weather data.
 - 641 – Map display of dengue cases in each district in Iloilo City

642 Model Integration and Deployment

- 643 • Deploy the best-performing model within the dashboard as a backend service
644 to enable real-time or periodic forecasting.

645 3.1.4 System Development Framework

646 The Agile Model is the birthchild of both iterative and incremental approaches
647 in Software Engineering. It aims to be flexible and effective at the same time by
648 being adaptable to change. It's also important to note that small teams looking
649 to construct and develop projects quickly can benefit from this kind of method-
650 ology. As the Agile Method focuses on continuous testing, quality assurance is a
651 guarantee since bugs and errors are quickly identified and patched.

652 Design and Development

653 After brainstorming and researching the most appropriate type of application to
654 accommodate both the prospected users and the proposed solutions, the team
655 has decided to proceed with a web application. Given the time constraints and
656 available resources, it has been decided that the said means is the most pragmatic
657 and practical move. The next step is to select modern and stable frameworks
658 that align with the fundamental ideas learned by the researchers in the university.
659 The template obtained from WVCHD and Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and
660 Surveillance Unit was meticulously analyzed to create use cases and develop a
661 preliminary well-structured database that adheres to the requirements needed
662 to produce a quality application. The said use cases serve as the basis of general

663 features. Part by part, these are converted into code, and with the help of selected
664 libraries and packages, it resulted in the desired outcome that may still modified
665 and extended to achieve scalability.

666 **Testing and Integration**




Figure 3.2: Testing Process for DengueWatch

667 Implementing testing is important to validate the system's performance and ef-
668 ficacy. Thus a series of tests were conducted to identify and resolve bugs during
669 the developmental phase. Each feature was rigorously tested to ensure quality as-
670 surance, with particular emphasis on prerequisite features, as development cannot
671 progress properly if these fail. Because of this, integration between each feature

672 serves as a pillar for a cohesive user experience. Since dengue reports include
673 confidential information, anonymized historical dengue reports were used to train
674 the model and create the foundational architecture of the system. By using func-
675 tional tests, data validation and visualization can be ensured for further continual
676 improvements. Security testing is also important as it is needed to safeguard
677 confidential information when the system is deployed. It includes proper authen-
678 tication, permission views, and mitigating common injection attacks. Finally, a
679 user acceptance test from the prospected users, in this case, doctors, nurses, and
680 other health workers is crucial to assess its performance and user experience. It
681 enables the developers to confirm if the system meets the needs of the problem.

682 **3.2 Development Tools**

683 **3.2.1 Software**

684 **Github**

685 GitHub is a cloud-based platform that tracks file changes using Git, an open-
686 source version control system (*About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs*, n.d.). It is
687 used in the project to store the application's source code, manage the system's
688 source version control, and serve as a repository for the Latex files used in the
689 actual research.

690 Visual Studio Code

691 Visual Studio Code is a free, lightweight, and cross-platform source code editor
692 developed by Microsoft (*Why Visual Studio Code?*, 2021). As VS Code supports
693 this project's programming and scripting languages, it was chosen as the primary
694 source code editor.

695 Django

696 Django is a free and open-sourced Python-based web framework that offers an
697 abstraction to develop and maintain a secure web application. As this research
698 aims to create a well-developed and maintainable application, it is in the best
699 interest to follow an architectural pattern that developers and contributors in the
700 future can understand. Since Django adheres to Model-View-Template (MVT)
701 that promotes a clean codebase by separating data models, business logic, and
702 presentation layers, it became the primary candidate for the application's back-
703 bone.

704 Next.js

705 A report by Statista (2024) claims that React is the most popular front-end frame-
706 work among web developers. However, React has limitations that can be a nui-
707 sance in rapid software development, which includes routing and performance op-
708 timizations. This is where Next.js comes in—a framework built on top of React.
709 It offers solutions for React's deficiency, making it a rising star in the framework
710 race.

711 Postman

712 As the application heavily relies on the Application Programming Interface (API)
713 being thrown by the backend, it is a must to use a development tool that facilitates
714 the development and testing of the API. Postman is a freemium API platform
715 that offers a user-friendly interface to create and manage API requests (*What is*
716 *Postman? Postman API Platform*, n.d.).

717 3.2.2 Hardware

718 The web application was developed on laptop computers with minimum specifica-
719 tions of an 11th-generation Intel i5 CPU and 16 gigabytes of RAM. Furthermore,
720 an RTX 3060 mobile GPU was also utilized to retrain the integrated model locally.

721 3.2.3 Packages**722 Django REST Framework**

723 Django Rest Framework (DRF) is a third-party package for Django that provides a
724 comprehensive suite of features to simplify the development of robust and scalable
725 Web APIs (Christie, n.d.). These services include Serialization, Authentication
726 and Permissions, Viewsets and Routers, and a Browsable API .

727 Leaflet

728 One of the features of the web application is the ability to map the number
729 of cases using a Choropleth Map. Leaflet is the only free, open-sourced, and
730 most importantly, stable JavaScript package that can do the job. With its ultra-
731 lightweight size, it offers a comprehensive set of features that does not trade
732 off performance and usability (*Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for*
733 *interactive maps*, n.d.).

734 Chart.js

735 Another feature of the application is to provide users with informative, approach-
736 able data storytelling that is easy for everyone to understand. The transformation
737 of pure data points and statistics into figures such as charts is a big factor. Thus,
738 there is a need for a package that can handle this feature without compromising
739 the performance of the application. Chart.js is a free and open-source JavaScript
740 package that is made to meet this criteria as it supports various types of charts
741 (*Chart.js*, n.d.).

742 Tailwind CSS

743 Using plain CSS in production-quality applications can be counterproductive.
744 Therefore, CSS frameworks were developed to promote consistency and accelerate
745 the rapid development of web applications (Joel, 2021). One of these is Tailwind,
746 which offers low-level utility classes that can be applied directly to each HTML
747 element to create a custom design (*Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern web-*

⁷⁴⁸ *sites without ever leaving your HTML.*, n.d.). Given the limited timeline for this
⁷⁴⁹ project, using this framework is a wise choice due to its stability and popularity
⁷⁵⁰ among developers.

⁷⁵¹ **Shadcn**

⁷⁵² Shadcn offers a collection of open-source UI boilerplate components that can be
⁷⁵³ directly copied and pasted into one's project. With the flexibility of the provided
⁷⁵⁴ components, Shadcn allows developers to have full control over customization and
⁷⁵⁵ styling. Since this is built on top of Tailwind CSS and Radix UI, it is supported
⁷⁵⁶ by most modern frontend frameworks, including Next.js (Shadcn, n.d.).

⁷⁵⁷ **Zod**

⁷⁵⁸ Data validation is integral in this web application since it will handle crucial data
⁷⁵⁹ that will be used for analytical inferences and observations. Since Zod is primarily
⁷⁶⁰ used for validating and parsing data, it ensures proper communication between
⁷⁶¹ the client and the server (Zod, n.d.).

762 3.3 Application Requirements

763 3.3.1 Backend Requirements

764 Database Structure Design

765 Determining how data flows and how it would be structured is crucial in creating
 766 the system as it defines how extendible and flexible it would be for future features
 767 and updates. Thus, creating a comprehensive map of data ensures proper nor-
 768 malization that eliminates data redundancy and improves data integrity. Figure
 769 3.3 depicts the designed database schema that showcases the relationship between
 770 the application's entities.




Figure 3.3: Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash Database Structure

⁷⁷¹ **3.3.2 User Interface Requirements**

⁷⁷² **Admin Interface**




Figure 3.4: Use Case Diagram for Admins

⁷⁷³ Figure 3.4 shows the actions of an admin for a specific Disease Reporting Unit
⁷⁷⁴ (DRU) and an admin for a specific Surveillance Unit can take in the application.
⁷⁷⁵ Both of them include the management of accounts, browsing records, and fore-
⁷⁷⁶ casting and retraining all the consolidated data under their supervision. Most
⁷⁷⁷ importantly, these users must verify the encoders who register under their ju-
⁷⁷⁸ risdiction before allowing their account to access the application in the name of
⁷⁷⁹ safeguarding the integrity of the data. The only advantage of the latter type of ad-
⁷⁸⁰ ministrator is that it has a one-step higher authorization as it manages the DRUs.
⁷⁸¹ In addition, only the authorized surveillance unit administrator can register and
⁷⁸² create a DRU to uphold transparency and accountability.

⁷⁸³ **Encoder Interface**




Figure 3.5: Use Case Diagram for Encoder

⁷⁸⁴ Figure 3.5, on the other hand, illustrates the use cases for the system's primary
⁷⁸⁵ users. These users can register but must wait for further verification to access the
⁷⁸⁶ application. Similar to the previous interfaces, encoders can browse and manage
⁷⁸⁷ records, as well as forecast the consolidated cases under a specific surveillance or
⁷⁸⁸ disease reporting unit, but they are not allowed to retrain the model. Lastly, they
⁷⁸⁹ are the only type of user that can file and create dengue cases by filling out a form
⁷⁹⁰ with the required details.

791 3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements**792 Password Encryption**

793 Storing passwords as plain text in the database is a disgrace and a mortal sin in
794 production. It is important to implement precautionary methods such as hashing
795 and salting, followed by encryption with a strong algorithm, to prevent bad actors
796 from using the accounts for malicious transactions. By default, Django generates
797 a unique random salt for each password and encrypts it with Password-Based Key
798 Derivation Function 2 (PBKDF2) with a SHA256 hash function. Utilizing these
799 techniques ensures that in the event of a data breach, cracking these passwords
800 would be time-consuming and useless for the attackers.

801 Authentication

802 DengueWatch utilizes JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to authenticate the user. Since
803 the mechanism operates in a stateless manner, tokens are served only after a
804 successful login, eliminating the need for the server to keep a record of the token,
805 which is vulnerable to session hijacking. In addition, these tokens are signed with
806 a secret key, ensuring they have not been tampered with.

807 Data Validation

808 Both the backend and frontend should validate the input from the user to preserve
809 data integrity. Thus, Zod is implemented in the latter to help catch invalid inputs
810 from the user. By doing this, the user can only send proper requests to the server

which streamlines the total workflow. On the other hand, Django has also a built-in validator that checks the data type and ensures that the input matches the expected format on the server side. These validation processes ensure that only valid and properly formatted data is accepted, which reduces the risk of errors and ensures consistency across the web application.

⁸¹⁶ **Chapter 4**

⁸¹⁷ **Results and Discussion**

⁸¹⁸ **4.1 Data Gathering**

⁸¹⁹ The data for dengue case prediction was gathered from a variety of reliable sources,
⁸²⁰ enabling a comprehensive dataset spanning from January 2011 to October 2024.
⁸²¹ This dataset includes 720 rows of data, each containing weekly records of dengue
⁸²² cases along with corresponding meteorological variables, such as rainfall, temper-
⁸²³ ature, and humidity.

⁸²⁴ 1. Dengue Case Data: The primary source of historical dengue cases came
⁸²⁵ from the Humanitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for
⁸²⁶ Health Development (WVCHD). The dataset, accessed through Freedom of
⁸²⁷ Information (FOI) requests, provided robust case numbers for the Western
⁸²⁸ Visayas region. The systematic collection of these data points was essential
⁸²⁹ for establishing a reliable baseline for model training and evaluation.

830 2. Weather Data: Weekly weather data was obtained by web scraping from
 831 Weather Underground, allowing access to rainfall, temperature, wind, and
 832 humidity levels that correlate with dengue prevalence.

Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
2011-01-03	9.938571	29.444400	25.888890	23.888900	11.39	86.242857	5
2011-01-10	8.587143	30.000000	26.705556	24.444444	7.32	88.028571	4
2011-01-17	5.338571	30.000000	26.616667	25.000000	7.55	84.028571	2
2011-01-24	5.410000	30.555556	26.483333	20.555556	10.67	80.971429	7
2011-01-31	2.914286	28.333333	25.283333	18.650000	11.01	74.885714	2

Table 4.1: Snippet of the combined dataset

833 4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis

834 From Table 4.2, the dataset consists of 720 weekly records with 8 columns:

- 835 • **Time.** Weekly timestamps (e.g. “2011-w1”)
- 836 • **Rainfall.** Weekly average rainfall (mm)
- 837 • **MaxTemperature, AverageTemperature, MinTemperature.** Weekly
 838 temperature data (°C)
- 839 • **Wind.** Wind speed (m/s)
- 840 • **Humidity.** Weekly average humidity (%)
- 841 • **Cases.** Reported dengue cases

842 From the statistics in Table 4.3, the number of cases ranges from 0 to 319.

843 The average number of dengue cases per week is 23.74, with a median of 12 cases
 844 and a standard deviation of 37.14. The distribution is highly skewed, with some

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Data Type
0	Time	720 non-null	datetime64[ns]
1	Rainfall	720 non-null	float64
2	MaxTemperature	720 non-null	float64
3	AverageTemperature	720 non-null	float64
4	MinTemperature	720 non-null	float64
5	Wind	720 non-null	float64
6	Humidity	720 non-null	float64
7	Cases	720 non-null	int64

Table 4.2: Data Schema: Column Names, Non-Null Counts, and Data Types

845 weeks experiencing significant number of cases (up to 319 cases). Rainfall shows
 846 a wide variation (0 to 445mm), while temperature remains relatively stable, with
 847 an average of 28.1 degree celsius. Humidity levels ranges from 73% to 89% with
 848 a mean of 81.6%.

Statistic	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
Count	720	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000
Mean	2017-12-02 11:22:00	13.957499	32.191142	28.110319	25.038472	6.172417	81.609442	23.744444
Min	2011-01-03 00:00:00	0.000000	-14.600000	24.494444	12.222222	1.910000	73.185714	0.000000
25%	2014-06-21 06:00:00	1.270000	31.666667	27.504167	25.000000	4.117500	79.885713	5.000000
50% (Median)	2017-12-07 12:00:00	4.318000	32.222222	28.161111	25.000000	5.725000	81.771429	12.000000
75%	2021-05-11 18:00:00	10.414000	32.777778	28.751389	25.555556	7.860000	83.503571	26.000000
Max	2024-10-28 00:00:00	445.008000	58.333333	30.916667	32.222222	19.200000	89.571429	319.000000
Std Dev	N/A	35.448846	2.616379	0.999800	1.291659	2.446703	2.831674	37.144813

Table 4.3: Descriptive Statistics of the Combined Dataset

849 Figure 4.1 illustrates the trend of weekly dengue cases over time. The data
 850 reveals periodic spikes in the number of cases, suggesting a seasonal pattern in
 851 dengue cases. Notably, peak cases are observed during certain periods approx-
 852 imately 3 years, potentially aligning with specific climatic conditions such as
 853 increased rainfall or temperature changes. This underscores the importance of
 854 incorporating climate variables into the forecasting model.

855 Figure 4.2 presents a time series subplot that combines rainfall and dengue
 856 cases to highlight potential non-linear associations between the two variables. In
 857 this figure, raw rainfall data is represented by blue scatter points (aligned with




Figure 4.1: Trend of Dengue Cases

the left y-axis), while a blue solid line traces its 4-week rolling average to reveal underlying trends. Simultaneously, the red dashed line illustrates the smoothed trajectory of dengue cases (aligned with the right y-axis), also using a 4-week rolling average to reduce short-term fluctuations and emphasize longer-term patterns.

Notably, the plot suggests a recurring pattern. Periods of increased rainfall often precede or coincide with spikes in dengue cases. This observed relationship supports existing literature which proposes that higher rainfall contributes to the proliferation of mosquito breeding sites, particularly in stagnant water, thereby elevating the risk of dengue transmission.




Figure 4.2: Rainfall and Dengue Cases Over Time

The KDE plots in Figure 4.3 illustrate the distributions of meteorological vari-

ables during outbreak and normal dengue weeks. The x-axes represent the actual values of each feature, while the y-axes show density, indicating how frequently values occur within each category. The graphs reveal that outbreak weeks tend to have moderately higher rainfall than weeks with no outbreak. This is evident in the way the curve for outbreak weeks is positioned slightly to the right of the curve for normal weeks. In terms of temperature, the distributions for both normal and outbreak weeks appear very similar; however, upon closer inspection, the curve for maximum temperature shows a slightly higher density at higher values during outbreak weeks. The same is true for humidity, with outbreak weeks showing greater density at higher humidity levels. These patterns suggest that dengue outbreaks are more likely to occur during warm, humid periods with relatively high rainfall. Based on these observations, rainfall, maximum temperature, and humidity were selected as the meteorological features for training the predictive models.




Figure 4.3: Kernel Density Estimate Plots of Meteorological Features

883 4.3 Outbreak Detection

884 To identify outbreaks, the researchers calculated the outbreak threshold value
885 using the historical mean as the endemic channel. The threshold is determined
886 using the formula:

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (4.1)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 2(37.144813) \quad (4.2)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 74.289626 \quad (4.3)$$

$$= 98.03407 \quad (4.4)$$

887 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

888 This result indicates that dengue cases exceeding 98 in Iloilo City can be
889 considered an outbreak. However, it is important to note that this threshold
890 serves only as a baseline.

891 4.4 Model Training Results

892 The models were evaluated using three commonly used regression metrics: Mean
893 Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute
894 Error (MAE). These metrics help assess how accurately each model forecasts
895 dengue cases based on historical data. Table 4.4 presents a comparative analysis

896 of the models using these metrics.

- 897 • **MSE** represents the average of the squared differences between predicted
898 and actual values. It penalizes larger errors more heavily.
- 899 • **RMSE**, the square root of MSE, provides a more interpretable value in the
900 same units as the target (i.e., number of dengue cases).
- 901 • **MAE** calculates the average magnitude of the errors without considering
902 their direction, giving a more straightforward understanding of the average
903 prediction error.

904 In simpler terms, lower values in these metrics indicate that the model is
905 making more accurate predictions.

Method	LSTM	Seasonal ARIMA	ARIMA	Kalman Filter	KF-LSTM
Testing MSE	406.03	1261.20	1521.48	1474.82	785.35
Testing RMSE	20.15	34.45	39.00	38.40	25.56
Testing MAE	12.61	18.73	25.80	22.33	14.55
Best Parameters	Window Size: 5 Learning Rate: 0.01 Units: 64	(2,0,2)(0,1,1)	(1,2,2)	Observation Covariance: 10.0 Transition Covariance: 0.1 × Identity	Same as LSTM

Table 4.4: Comparison of different models for dengue prediction

906 As shown in Table 4.4, the LSTM model consistently achieved the lowest MSE
907 (406.03), RMSE (20.15), and MAE (12.61) among all evaluated models. This
908 suggests that, on average, the LSTM’s predictions were about 12 to 20 cases away
909 from the actual values, which is a strong indication of reliability for practical use
910 in public health decision-making.

911 In contrast, traditional time series models like Seasonal ARIMA and ARIMA
912 showed higher errors, indicating less accurate predictions. For example, the Sea-
913 sonal ARIMA model had an RMSE of 34.45, which implies that its forecasts devi-

914 ated from actual dengue case counts by around 34 cases on average, a significant
915 discrepancy for health officials planning resource allocation.

916 The Kalman Filter and hybrid KF-LSTM models showed moderate perfor-
917 mance. Although they did not outperform LSTM, the hybrid model (KF-LSTM)
918 still reduced errors compared to the standalone Kalman Filter.

919 These results highlight the potential of LSTM-based models to provide timely
920 and accurate forecasts that can support early intervention, resource planning, and
921 policy formulation to combat dengue outbreaks in Iloilo City.

922 4.4.1 LSTM Model

923 The LSTM model was tuned for the following parameters: learning rate and units.
924 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for each window size, finding the best
925 parameters for each window size. Further evaluating which window size is most
926 suitable for the prediction model, Table 4.5 shows the evaluation metrics for each
window size used in the LSTM model training.

Window Size	MSE	RMSE	MAE	R ²
5	406.03	20.15	12.61	0.76
10	1037.77	32.21	26.79	0.39
20	427.39	20.67	13.61	0.75

Table 4.5: Comparison of Window Sizes

927

928 The results indicate that a window size of 5 weeks provides the most accurate
929 predictions, as evidenced by the lowest MSE and RMSE values. Furthermore, the
930 R² score of 0.76 indicates that 76% of the variability in the target variable (cases)
931 is explained by the independent variables (the inputs) in the model, making it a

932 reliable configuration overall.

933 As shown in Table 4.6, the results from time series cross-validation indicate
 934 consistent performance trends, with a window size of 5 yielding the highest average
 935 RMSE across all folds compared to the other window sizes.

Window Size	Average RMSE	Average MAE	Average R ²
5	16.69	9.06	0.79
10	17.08	10.40	0.75
20	16.93	8.75	0.81

Table 4.6: Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes

936 Figure 4.4 illustrates the model's performance in predicting dengue cases for
 937 each fold using a window size of 5. As shown in the plot, the training set pro-
 938 gressively increases with each fold, mimicking a real-world scenario where more
 939 data becomes available over time for dengue prediction. Figure 4.5 demonstrates
 940 that the predicted cases closely follow the trend of the actual cases, indicating
 941 that the LSTM model successfully captures the underlying patterns in the data.
 942 It is also evident that as the fold number increases and the training set grows, the
 943 accuracy of the predictions on the test set improves. Despite the test data being
 944 unseen, the model exhibits a strong ability to generalize, suggesting it effectively
 945 leverages past observations to predict future trends.




Figure 4.4: Training Folds - Window Size 5




Figure 4.5: Testing Folds - Window Size 5

946 4.4.2 ARIMA Model

947 The ARIMA model was developed to capture non-seasonal trends in the data.
 948 To determine the best model configuration, grid search was used to explore vari-
 949 ous combinations of ARIMA parameters, ultimately selecting **ARIMA(1, 2, 2)**.
 950 The model was iteratively refined over **400 iterations** to ensure convergence to
 951 an optimal solution. Figure 4.6 illustrates the comparison between actual and
 952 predicted dengue cases in the test set. As shown in the plot, the ARIMA model
 953 struggled to capture the non-linear characteristics and abrupt spikes in the data.
 954 Consequently, it failed to accurately reflect the fluctuations and outbreak patterns
 955 seen in the actual case counts.




Figure 4.6: ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

956 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
 957 forecasting capability. The ARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

- 958 • **MSE (Mean Squared Error):** 1521.48
- 959 • **RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error):** 39.01
- 960 • **MAE (Mean Absolute Error):** 25.80

961 4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model

962 To address the limitations of the ARIMA model, a Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)
 963 model was developed to capture both non-seasonal and seasonal variations in the

964 data.

965 This model incorporates seasonal parameters, which were tuned using grid
966 search to find the best configuration: **SARIMA(2, 0, 2)(0, 1, 1)[52]**. As with
967 ARIMA, **400 iterations** were applied to ensure a robust fit. As shown in Figure
968 4.7, the SARIMA model demonstrates a notable improvement in performance.
969 Unlike its non-seasonal counterpart, it effectively captures the general trend and
970 aligns more closely with the peaks observed in the actual dengue cases, indicating
971 its ability to model seasonal dynamics.




Figure 4.7: Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

972 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
973 forecasting capability. The SARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

974 • **MSE:** 1109.69

975 • **RMSE:** 33.31

976 • **MAE:** 18.09

977 The lower error values, when compared to the ARIMA model, highlight the
 978 SARIMA model's superior capability in forecasting dengue cases. Its effective-
 979 ness in capturing seasonal patterns contributed to a more accurate representation
 980 of the actual cases.

981 After training the model, the SARIMA model was validated using the same
 982 Time Series Cross-Validation strategy employed in the LSTM model. Table 4.7
 983 presents the performance metrics for each fold, as well as the average metrics
 984 across all folds. The average RMSE and MAE values were close to those obtained
 985 during the initial training phase, indicating that the SARIMA model performed
 986 consistently across different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	659.68	25.68	16.00
2	2127.49	46.12	21.30
3	996.43	31.56	18.89
Average	1261.20	34.45	18.73

Table 4.7: Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold

987 4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model

988 Figure 4.8 shows the comparison between the actual dengue cases and the pre-
 989 dicted values on the test set. As illustrated in the plot, the Kalman Filter model
 990 demonstrates a moderate ability to follow the general trend of the actual data.

991 While it effectively captures some rising and falling patterns, it still struggles to
 992 accurately replicate the sharp peaks and extreme values found in the real case
 993 counts. This limitation is particularly noticeable during the large spikes in 2022
 994 and 2024. The model's performance was evaluated using standard regression met-
 995 rics. The results are as follows:

$$\text{MSE} = 1474.82, \quad \text{RMSE} = 38.40, \quad \text{MAE} = 22.34$$




Figure 4.8: Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set

996 The Kalman Filter was then combined with the LSTM model in order to see
 997 improvements in its predictions. Table 4.8 shows the metrics across three folds
 998 using the same Time Series Cross Validation Strategy employed in the previous
 999 models to see how it performed on different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	113.59	10.66	6.42
2	752.51	27.43	12.11
3	1489.95	38.60	25.13
Average	785.35	25.56	14.55

Table 4.8: Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold

1000 As can be seen in the table above, the performance of the hybrid model demon-
 1001 strated improvements in all metrics as compared to just using the Kalman Filter
 1002 alone.

1003 4.5 Model Simulation

1004 To evaluate the LSTM model’s real-world forecasting ability, a simulation was
 1005 conducted to predict dengue cases for the year 2025. The model was retrained
 1006 exclusively, using the parameters found from the initial training, on data from 2011
 1007 to January 2025, using both dengue cases and weather variables. Importantly, the
 1008 actual dengue case values for 2025 were never included during training. Instead,
 1009 only the weather variables collected for 2025 were input into the model to generate
 1010 predictions for that year. After prediction, the forecasted dengue cases for 2025
 1011 were compared against the true observed cases to assess the model’s accuracy.
 1012 Figure 4.9 shows that the predicted values closely follow the trend, although it
 1013 may overestimate the dengue cases in some weeks.




Figure 4.9: Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025

1014 Retraining the model is essential to ensure it remains accurate and responsive
 1015 to the evolving trends of dengue case patterns over time. Ideally, the model should
 1016 be updated whenever new data becomes available to capture recent dynamics.
 1017 However, given the computational cost associated with retraining, a more practical
 1018 approach is to update the model on a monthly basis. This allows the incorporation
 1019 of approximately four weeks' worth of new data, providing a meaningful update
 1020 to the model's predictive capabilities without excessive resource consumption.
 1021 Furthermore, this schedule aligns with the typical data release cycle of provincial
 1022 health offices, which, based on the researchers' experience, usually occurs monthly.
 1023 This balance between accuracy and efficiency ensures that the model remains both
 1024 up-to-date and manageable in real-world deployment.

₁₀₂₅ **4.6 System Prototype**

₁₀₂₆ **4.6.1 Home Page**

₁₀₂₇ The Home Page is intended for all visitors to the web application. The Analytics
₁₀₂₈ Dashboard, which displays relevant statistics for dengue cases at a certain time
₁₀₂₉ and location, is the primary component highlighted, as seen in Figure 4.10. This
₁₀₃₀ component includes a combo chart that graphs the number of dengue cases and
₁₀₃₁ deaths per week in a specific year, a choropleth map that tracks the number of
₁₀₃₂ dengue cases per barangay in a location, and various bar charts that indicate the
₁₀₃₃ top constituent places affected by dengue.




Figure 4.10: Home Page

1034 4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication

1035 The registration page, as shown in 4.11 serves as a gateway to access the au-
 1036 thenticated pages of the web application. Only prospective encoders can create
 1037 an account since administrator accounts are only made by existing administra-
 1038 tor accounts to protect the data's integrity in production. After registering, the
 1039 "encoder account" cannot access the authorized pages yet as it needs to be veri-
 1040 fied first by an administrator managing the unit the user entered. Once verified,

the user can log in to the system through the page shown in Figure 4.12. After entering the correct credentials, which consist of an email and password, the system uses HTTP-only cookies containing JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to prevent vulnerability to Cross-site Scripting (XSS) attacks. It will then proceed to the appropriate page for the type of user it belongs to. Logging out on the other hand, will remove both the access and refresh tokens from the browser, and will blacklist the latter token to make it unusable for security purposes.

The screenshot shows the 'Sign Up' page of the DengueDash application. At the top, there is a dark header bar with the 'DengueDash' logo on the left and 'Dashboard' and 'Login' links on the right. Below the header, the main form has a light gray background. The title 'Sign Up' is centered at the top of the form, followed by the sub-instruction 'Create your account to get started'. The form contains several input fields: 'First Name' (John), 'Middle Name (Optional)' (David), 'Last Name' (Doe), 'Sex' (Select gender), 'Email' (john@example.com), 'Region' (Select region), 'Surveillance Unit' (Select surveillance unit), 'DRU' (Select DRU), 'Password' (a placeholder field with the note 'Must be at least 8 characters long'), and 'Confirm Password' (an empty field). At the bottom of the form is a large black button labeled 'Create Account'. Below this button, a small link says 'Already have an account? Sign in'.

Figure 4.11: Sign Up Page




Figure 4.12: Login Page

1048 4.6.3 Encoder Interface

1049 Case Report Form

1050 Figures 4.13 and 4.14 show the digitized counterpart of the form obtained from the
1051 Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit. As the system aims to sup-
1052 port expandability for future features, some fields were modified to accommodate
1053 more detailed input. It is worth noting that all of the included fields adhere to the
1054 latest Philippine Integrated Disease and Surveillance Response (PIDS) Dengue
1055 Forms, which the referenced form was based on. By doing this, if implemented
1056 on a national scale, the transition between targeted users will be easier. More-
1057 over, the case form includes the patient's basic information, dengue vaccination
1058 status, consultation details, laboratory results, and the outcome. On the other
1059 hand, encoders can also create case records using a "bulk upload" feature that

1060 makes use of a formatted CSV file template. As shown in Figure 4.15, an encoder
 1061 can download the template using the "Download Template" button, and insert
 1062 multiple records inside the file, then upload it by clicking the "Click to upload"
 1063 button. The web application automatically checks the file for data inconsistencies
 1064 and validation.

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the 'DengueDash' application. The left sidebar displays navigation links for 'Analytics', 'Forms' (selected), and 'Data Tables'. The main content area is titled 'Case Report Form' and contains several sections:

- Personal Information**: Contains fields for First Name, Middle Name, Last Name, Suffix, Sex (dropdown), Civil Status (dropdown), and Date of Birth (date picker).
- Personal Detail**: Contains fields for First Name, Middle Name, Last Name, Suffix, Sex (dropdown), Civil Status (dropdown), and Date of Birth (date picker).
- Address**: Contains fields for Region (dropdown), Province (dropdown), City (dropdown), Barangay (dropdown), Street, and House No.
- Vaccination**: Contains fields for Date of First Vaccination (date picker) and Date of Last Vaccination (date picker).

A 'Bulk Upload' button is located at the top right of the form area. A user profile is visible on the far left.

Figure 4.13: First Part of Case Report Form

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the DengueDash application. The left sidebar contains navigation links for 'Analytics', 'Forms' (selected), 'Case Report Form' (selected), and 'Data Tables'. The main content area has a header 'Case Report Form' with a 'Bulk Upload' button. Below the header, there are two tabs: 'Personal Information' (selected) and 'Clinical Status'. The 'Clinical Status' tab is currently active, showing sections for 'Consultation' and 'Laboratory Results'. In the 'Consultation' section, fields include 'Date Admitted/Consulted/Seen' (with a 'Pick a date' button) and 'Is Admitted?' (with a 'Select' dropdown). In the 'Laboratory Results' section, there are four groups: 'NS1' (Pending Result), 'IgG ELISA' (Pending Result), 'IgM ELISA' (Pending Result), and 'PCR' (Pending Result). Each group has a corresponding 'Date done' field (e.g., 'Date done (NS1)', 'Date done (IgG ELISA)', etc.) with a 'Pick a date' button. At the bottom of the form are 'Previous' and 'Submit' buttons. A user profile is visible on the far left.

Figure 4.14: Second Part of Case Report Form





Figure 4.15: Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV

1065 Browsing, Update, and Deletion of Records

1066 Once the data generated from the case report form or the bulk upload is validated,
 1067 it will be assigned as a new case and can be accessed through the Dengue Reports
 1068 page, as shown in Figure 4.16. The said page displays basic information about
 1069 the patient related to a specific case, including their name, address, date of con-
 1070 sultation, and clinical and case classifications. It is also worth noting that it only
 1071 shows cases that the user is permitted to view. For example, in a local Disease
 1072 Reporting Unit (DRU) setting, the user can only access records that belong to
 1073 the same DRU. On the other hand, in a consolidated surveillance unit such as a
 1074 regional, provincial, or city quarter, its users can view all the records from all the

1075 DRUs that report to them. In addition, the user can also search for a case using
 1076 the name, location, date of consultation, or classifications that are associated with
 1077 the specific query, making it easier to find pertinent information quickly and effi-
 1078 ciently. Moving forward, Figure 4.17 shows the detailed case report of the patient
 1079 on a particular consultation date.



Case ID	Name	Barangay	City	Date Consulted	Clinical Classification	Case Classification	Action
25017062	Corey, Cassie Jonathan	Magsaysay	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017082	Kirk, Dominique Jacqueline	Rizal Palapala II	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017067	Henderson, Joel Lindsey	Santa Rosa	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017077	Flynn, Christina Shannon	Tabuc Suba (Jaro)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017070	Green, Monique Mark	West Habog-habog	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-26	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017061	Thomas, Lisa Jared	San Pedro (Molo)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017079	Esparza, Nicole Stephanie	Tabucan	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017069	James, Emily Sara	Libertad, Santa Isabel	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-23	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>

< Previous 1 2 ... 712 Next >

CN Robert Welch Johns... maryjanes@example.org

Figure 4.16: Dengue Reports

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left, a sidebar lists modules: Analytics, Forms, Data Tables, and Dengue Reports. The main area displays a "Personal Information" section with fields for Full Name (Doe, John David), Date of Birth (April 29, 2025), Sex (Male), and Civil Status (Married). Below it is a "Vaccination Status" section with First Dose (May 7, 2025) and Last Dose (May 13, 2025). The central part of the screen is titled "Case Record #25016448". It contains sections for "Case Record", "Laboratory Results", "Outcome", and "Interviewer". In the "Case Record" section, there are fields for Date of Consultation (April 30, 2025), Patient Admitted? (No), Date Onset of Illness (April 29, 2025), and Clinical Classification (With warning signs). The "Laboratory Results" section lists pending results for NS1, IgG Elisa, IgM Elisa, and PCR. The "Outcome" section shows Case Classification (Probable) and Outcome (Dead). The "Interviewer" section lists the interviewer as Griffin, Charles Larson, with DRU (Saint Paul's Hospital). At the top right of the main area are "Update Case" and "Delete Case" buttons.

Figure 4.17: Detailed Case Report

1080 To update the case, the user can click the "Update Case" button, where a
 1081 dialog will appear, and the updateable fields will be shown. It is worth noting
 1082 that in this case, only fields under Laboratory Results and Outcome are included
 1083 since they are the only ones that are time-based, where the result may change
 1084 in the future. After updating, a prompt will show confirming the user's action.
 1085 Moving forward, to delete a case record, the user must click the "Delete Case"
 1086 button, and a prompt verifying the action will appear. After confirming, the case

1087 will be deleted permanently.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left, there's a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Analytics, Forms, Data Tables, and Dengue Reports. The 'Dengue Reports' section is expanded, showing a list of cases. One case, 'Case Record #25016548', is selected and shown in a modal dialog. The dialog has tabs for 'Personal Information', 'Vaccination Status', 'Case Record', 'Laboratory Results', 'Outcome', and 'Interviewer'. The 'Laboratory Results' tab is active, displaying results for NS1, IgG Elisa, IgM Elisa, and PCR. The 'Outcome' tab shows the case is 'Confirmed' and 'Alive'. The 'Interviewer' tab shows 'Griffin, Charles Larson' as the interviewer at 'Saint Paul's Hospital'. At the bottom of the dialog, there are 'Cancel' and 'Save Changes' buttons.

Figure 4.18: Update Report Dialog




Figure 4.19: Delete Report Alert Dialog

1088 Forecasting

1089 The piece de resistance of the web application's feature is the Forecasting Page.
 1090 This is where users can forecast dengue cases for the next few weeks. To predict,
 1091 the application utilizes the exported LSTM model in a Keras format derived from
 1092 training the consolidated data from the database. The said file stores the model's
 1093 architecture and the learned parameters, which include the weights and biases, so
 1094 that it can predict cases without training the data again. Furthermore, it requires

1095 the recent weekly dengue cases and weather variable data (temperature, humidity,
1096 and rainfall) to form a sequence based on the window size. This allows the web
1097 application to display a line chart with the anticipated number of dengue cases
1098 over the following four weeks. Moving forward, the Forecasting page, as shown in
1099 Figure 4.20, introduces a user-friendly interface that shows the current cases for
1100 the week and the predictions for the next two weeks with a range of 90 percent
1101 to 110 percent confidence interval that is presented in a simple but organized
1102 manner. There is also a line chart that shows the number of cases from the last 5
1103 weeks plus the forecasted weekly cases. In addition, the current weather data for
1104 a specific week is also shown, as well as the forecasted weather data fetched from
1105 the OpenWeather API. Lastly, locations where dengue cases have been reported
1106 for the current week are listed in the Location Risk Assessment component.




Figure 4.20: Forecasting Page

1107 4.6.4 Admin Interface

1108 Retraining

1109 With LSTM being the best-performing model among the models used in forecast-
 1110 ing dengue cases, it is the model chosen to power the prediction and retraining

of the consolidated data within the web application. Since the retraining process consumes a lot of processing power and requires a more advanced understanding of how it works, it was decided that the said feature should only be available to admin users of surveillance units. Furthermore, the retraining component in the Forecasting page includes three additional components that include the configuration of LSTM parameters (Figure 4.21), the actual retraining of the consolidated data from the database (Figure 4.22), and the results of the retraining that shows the current and previous model metrics depending on the parameters entered (Figure 4.23). It is also worth noting that when training, the model used a seeded number to promote reproducibility.




Figure 4.21: Retraining Configurations




Figure 4.22: Start Retraining




Figure 4.23: Retraining Results

1121 Managing Accounts

1122 Proper management of accounts is important to protect the integrity and confi-
1123 dentiality of data. Thus, it is crucial for administrators to track their users and
1124 control the flow of information. As discussed in the user registration of encoders,
1125 admin users from a specific DRU or surveillance unit have the power to grant
1126 them access to the web application. Figure 4.25 illustrates the interface for this
1127 scenario, as the admins can approve or reject their applications. Once approved,
1128 these users can access the features given to encoders and may be promoted to
1129 have administrative access, as shown in Figure 4.26. The same figure also shows
1130 the expanded details of the user, which include personal information and brief
1131 activity details within the system. When deleting an account, the user’s email
1132 will be blacklisted and illegible to use when creating another account, and all the
1133 cases reported by this user will be soft-deleted. However, the blacklist status can
1134 be reverted by clicking the ”Unban” button, which would make the user of the
1135 email be able to register to the web application again as shown in Figure 4.27.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with a navigation menu:

- Modules**
- Accounts** (selected)
- Manage Accounts**
- DRU**
- Analytics**
- Data Tables**

Below the sidebar, there is a user profile section:

CN: iloilo City Epidemiol...
iloiloeusu@gmail.com

The main content area is titled "Manage Accounts" and has a subtitle "View and manage registered and pending accounts". It includes a filter bar with tabs: **Verified** (selected), **Pending**, and **Blacklisted**. A table displays account details:

Name	Email	Role	Sex	Actions
Daniel Santiago Brandt	brandon02@example.org	Encoder	Female	Open

Figure 4.24: List of Verified Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface, similar to Figure 4.24 but with different account status.

The sidebar and user profile section are identical to Figure 4.24.

The main content area is titled "Manage Accounts" and has a subtitle "View and manage registered and pending accounts". It includes a filter bar with tabs: **Verified**, **Pending** (selected), and **Blacklisted**. A table displays account details:

Name	Email	Sex	Created At	Actions
John David Doe	testereee@example.gov.ph	Male	2025-05-15	Approve Delete

Figure 4.25: List of Pending Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Accounts (selected), Analytics, and Data Tables. Under 'Accounts', there are 'Manage Accounts' and a dropdown menu. The main content area is titled 'User Profile' with the sub-titles 'View and manage user details'. It displays the following information:

Name	Charles Larson Griffin	Email	bakerwendy@example.org
Sex	Female	Role	Encoder
Hospital (DRU)	Saint Paul's Hospital		

Below this, timestamped log entries show 'Created At' (May 5 2025 at 04:47:12), 'Updated At' (May 15 2025 at 05:56:45), and 'Last Login' (May 15 2025 at 16:53:47). At the bottom are two buttons: 'Promote to Admin' and 'Delete User'.

In the bottom left corner of the main window, there is a small sidebar with 'CN' and 'Saint Paul's Hospital' followed by an email address.

Figure 4.26: Account Details

The screenshot shows the 'Manage Accounts' page in the DengueDash application. The sidebar is identical to Figure 4.26. The main content area is titled 'Manage Accounts' with the subtitle 'View and manage registered and pending accounts'. Below this, there are three tabs: 'Verified' (selected), 'Pending', and 'Blacklisted'. The 'Blacklisted' tab is currently active, showing a table with the following data:

Email	Date Added	Actions
testereee@example.gov.ph	2025-05-15	<button>Unban</button>

In the bottom left corner of the main window, there is a small sidebar with 'CN' and 'Saint Paul's Hospital' followed by an email address.

Figure 4.27: List of Blacklisted Accounts

1136 Managing DRUs

1137 Unlike the registration of encoder accounts, the creation of Disease Reporting
1138 Units can only be done within the web application, and the user performing the
1139 creation must be an administrator of a surveillance unit. Figure 4.28 presents the
1140 fields the admin user must fill out, and once completed, the new entry will show
1141 as being managed by that unit, as shown in Figure 4.29. Figure 4.30, on the other
1142 hand, shows the details provided in the registration form as well as its creation
1143 details. There is also an option to delete the DRU, and when invoked, all the
1144 accounts being managed by it, and the cases reported under those accounts will
1145 be soft-deleted.

The screenshot shows the 'DengueDash' web application interface. On the left, there is a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Accounts, DRU (selected), Analytics, and Data Tables. The main content area has a title 'Register Disease Reporting Unit' and a sub-instruction 'Add a new Disease Reporting Unit to the surveillance system.' Below the title are several input fields:

- Name:** A text input field with placeholder 'Enter DRU name' and a note 'The official name of the Disease Reporting Unit.'
- Address Information:** Two dropdown menus: 'Region' (placeholder 'Select Region') and 'Province' (placeholder 'Select Province').
- City/Municipality:** A dropdown menu with placeholder 'select city/Municipality'.
- barangay:** A dropdown menu with placeholder 'select barangay'.
- Street Address:** A text input field with placeholder 'House/Building No., Street Name'.
- Email:** A text input field with placeholder 'example@health.gov'.
- Contact Number:** A text input field with placeholder '+63 912 345 6789'.
- DRU Type:** A dropdown menu with placeholder 'Select DRU type' and a note 'The category that best describes this reporting unit.'

At the bottom right of the form is a large black button labeled 'Register DRU'.

Figure 4.28: Disease Reporting Unit Registration

4.6. SYSTEM PROTOTYPE

75

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with navigation links: 'Accounts', 'DRU' (selected), 'Analytics', and 'Data Tables'. The main content area has a header 'Manage Disease Reporting Units' and a sub-header 'View and manage Disease Reporting Units'. It displays a table with three rows of DRU information:

DRU Name	Email	Action
Molo District Health Center	molodistricthealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Jaro 1 Health Center	jaro1health@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Saint Paul's Hospital	saintpaul@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>

At the bottom left of the main area, there is a user profile placeholder: 'CN Iloilo City Epidemiol...' and 'iloiloesus@gmail.com'.

Figure 4.29: List of Disease Reporting Units

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with navigation links: 'Accounts', 'DRU' (selected), 'Analytics', and 'Data Tables'. The main content area has a header 'Disease Reporting Unit Profile' and a sub-header 'View and manage DRU details'. It displays a table with various DRU details:

Name of DRU Molo District Health Center	Email molodistricthealth@gmail.com
Address M.H. Del Pilar Street, Molo, Molo, ILOILO CITY (Capital), ILOILO	Contact Number 09123456782
Region Region VI (Western Visayas)	Surveillance Unit Iloilo CESU
DRU Type CHO/MHO/PHO	
Created At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11	Updated At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11

At the bottom right of the main area, there is a red button with the text 'Delete DRU'.

Figure 4.30: Disease Reporting Unit details

1146 4.7 User Testing

1147 To evaluate the usability of the system, the System Usability Scale (SUS) was
1148 utilized. SUS is a Likert-scale-based questionnaire comprising 10 items that are
1149 critical to assessing system usability. A total of five participants completed the sur-
1150vey. Their responses were processed following the step-by-step calculation method
1151 adopted from (Babich, n.d.). The resulting usability scores for each participant
1152 are shown in Table 4.9.

Participant	Usability Score
1	95.0
2	90.0
3	85.0
4	87.5
5	85.0
Average	88.5

Table 4.9: Computed System Usability Scores per Participant

1153 The average System Usability Scale (SUS) score across systems is typically
1154 68 (Babich, n.d.). In this testing, the system achieved an average SUS score
1155 of 88.5, indicating a highly positive user experience. This score suggests that
1156 participants found the system not only enjoyable to use but also intuitive enough
1157 to recommend to others. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the system is suitable
1158 for real-world applications without presenting significant complexity for first-time
1159 users.

¹¹⁶⁰ Chapter 5

¹¹⁶¹ Conclusion

¹¹⁶² The development of DengueWatch marks a transformative leap forward in public
¹¹⁶³ health technology, providing Iloilo City with a centralized system to combat one
¹¹⁶⁴ of the most persistent mosquito-borne diseases. Previously, data was recorded
¹¹⁶⁵ manually on paper, making tracking and analysis slow and error-prone. Dengue-
¹¹⁶⁶ Watch digitizes this process, enabling faster, more accurate monitoring. More
¹¹⁶⁷ than an academic project, DengueWatch serves as a practical solution aimed at
¹¹⁶⁸ shifting the approach from reactive outbreak response to proactive prevention. By
¹¹⁶⁹ combining deep learning models with real-time climate data integration, the sys-
¹¹⁷⁰ tem achieves a level of accuracy and usability that makes it viable for real-world
¹¹⁷¹ deployment.

¹¹⁷² At the heart of DengueWatch is a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural
¹¹⁷³ network, which outperformed traditional forecasting models such as ARIMA and
¹¹⁷⁴ Kalman Filter. The LSTM model achieved a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of
¹¹⁷⁵ 20.15, followed by the hybrid KF-LSTM model with an RMSE of 25.56, demon-

strating a substantial improvement in predictive capability. Consequently, the LSTM model was selected for integration into the DengueWatch system. Re-training the model monthly strikes a balance between maintaining accuracy and managing computational costs. It allows the model to incorporate new trends from the latest four weeks of data and aligns with the typical monthly data release schedule of provincial health offices.

Usability testing further underscored DengueWatch's readiness for real-world deployment. The system achieved an average System Usability Scale (SUS) score of 88.5, significantly above the industry benchmark of 68. This indicates that users found the system intuitive, efficient, and suitable for operational use in public health contexts. Key features such as a user-friendly dashboard and a two-week forecasting window ensure that the system supports timely, effective responses.

Beyond its immediate application in Iloilo City, the framework behind DengueWatch holds the potential for broader impact. With minor adaptations, it can be scaled nationally through integration with Department of Health surveillance systems.

₁₁₉₃ **Chapter 6**

₁₁₉₄ **References**

- ₁₁₉₅ *About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs.* (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://docs.github.com/en/get-started/start-your-journey/about-github-and-git>
- ₁₁₉₆ Ahmadini, A. A. H., Naeem, M., Aamir, M., Dewan, R., Alshqaq, S. S. A., & Mashwani, W. K. (2021). Analysis and Forecast of the Number of Deaths, Recovered Cases, and Confirmed Cases from COVID-19 for the Top Four Affected Countries Using Kalman Filter. *Frontiers in Physics*, *9*, 629320.
- ₁₁₉₇ Arroyo-Marioli, F., Bullano, F., Kucinskas, S., & Rondón-Moreno, C. (2021). Tracking R of COVID-19: A New Real-Time Estimation Using the Kalman Filter. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(1), e0244474.
- ₁₁₉₈ Babich, N. (n.d.). *How to Use the System Usability Scale (SUS) to Evaluate the Usability of Your Website, year=2015.* Usability Geek. Retrieved from <https://usabilitygeek.com/how-to-use-the-system-usability-scale-sus-to-evaluate-the-usability-of-your-website/> (Accessed: 2025-04-26)

- 1210 Bobbitt, Z. (2021, November 17). *The Five Assumptions for Pearson Correla-
1211 tion*. Retrieved from [https://www.statology.org/pearson-correlation-
1213 -assumptions/](https://www.statology.org/pearson-correlation-
1212 -assumptions/) (Statology)
- 1214 Bosano, R. (2023). WHO: PH Most Affected by Dengue in Western Pacific.
1215 Retrieved Use the date of access, from [https://news.abs-cbn.com/
1218 spotlight/12/22/23/who-ph-most-affected-by-dengue-in-western-
1219 -pacific](https://news.abs-cbn.com/
1216 spotlight/12/22/23/who-ph-most-affected-by-dengue-in-western-
1217 -pacific)
- 1220 Brady, O. J., Smith, D. L., Scott, T. W., & Hay, S. I. (2015). Dengue Disease
1221 Outbreak Definitions Are Implicitly Variable. *Epidemics*, 11, 92–102.
- 1222 Bravo, L., Roque, V. G., Brett, J., Dizon, R., & L’Azou, M. (2014). Epidemiology
1223 of Dengue Disease in the Philippines (2000–2011): A Systematic Literature
1224 Review. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 8(11), e3027.
- 1225 Carvajal, T. M., Viacrucis, K. M., Hernandez, L. F. T., Ho, H. T., Amalin, D. M.,
1226 & Watanabe, K. (2018). Machine Learning Methods Reveal the Temporal
1227 Pattern of Dengue Incidence Using Meteorological Factors in Metropolitan
1228 Manila, Philippines. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 18, 1–15.
- 1229 Chart.js. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.chartjs.org/>
- 1230 Cheong, Y. L., Burkart, K., Leitão, P. J., & Lakes, T. (2013). Assessing Weather
1231 Effects on Dengue Disease in Malaysia. *International Journal of Environ-
1232 mental Research and Public Health*, 10(12), 6319–6334.
- 1233 Christie, T. (n.d.). *Home - Django REST framework*. Retrieved from [https://www.djangoproject-rest-framework.org/](https://
1234 www.djangoproject-rest-framework.org/)
- 1235 Colón-González, F. J., Fezzi, C., Lake, I. R., & Hunter, P. R. (2013). The
1236 Effects of Weather and Climate Change on Dengue. *PLOS Neglected Tropical
1237 Diseases*, 7(11), e2503.
- 1238 Hemisphere, N. (2015). Update on the Dengue Situation in the Western Pacific

- 1236 Region. *Update*.
- 1237 Hii, Y. L., Zhu, H., Ng, N., Ng, L. C., & Rocklöv, J. (2012). Forecast of Dengue
 1238 Incidence Using Temperature and Rainfall. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 6(11), e1908.
- 1239
- 1240 Htoon, K. S. (2021, December 13). *Log Transformation: Purpose and In-*
 1241 terpretation. [https://medium.com/@kyawsawtoon/log-transformation](https://medium.com/@kyawsawtoon/log-transformation-purpose-and-interpretation-9444b4b049c9)
 1242 -purpose-and-interpretation-9444b4b049c9. (Medium)
- 1243 Joel, C. (2021, 10). *6 reasons to use Tailwind over traditional CSS*. Re-
 1244 trieved from [https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind](https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind-over-traditional-css-1nc3)
 1245 -over-traditional-css-1nc3
- 1246 Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for interactive maps. (n.d.). Retrieved
 1247 from <https://leafletjs.com/>
- 1248 Li, X., Feng, S., Hou, N., Li, H., Zhang, S., Jian, Z., & Zi, Q. (2022). Applications
 1249 of Kalman Filtering in Time Series Prediction. In *International conference*
 1250 on *intelligent robotics and applications* (pp. 520–531).
- 1251 Ligue, K. D. B., & Ligue, K. J. B. (2022). Deep Learning Approach to Forecast-
 1252 ing Dengue Cases in Davao City Using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM).
 1253 *Philippine Journal of Science*, 151(3).
- 1254 Perla. (2024). *Iloilo Beef Up Efforts Amid Hike in Dengue Cases*. Retrieved Use
 1255 the date of access, from <https://www.pna.gov.ph/articles/1231208>
- 1256 RabDashDC. (2024). *RabDash DC*. Retrieved Use the date of access, from
 1257 <https://rabdash.com>
- 1258 Runge-Ranzinger, S., Kroeger, A., Olliaro, P., McCall, P. J., Sánchez Tejeda, G.,
 1259 Lloyd, L. S., . . . Coelho, G. (2016). Dengue Contingency Planning: From
 1260 Research to Policy and Practice. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 10(9),
 1261 e0004916.

- 1262 Shadcn. (n.d.). *Introduction*. Retrieved from <https://ui.shadcn.com/docs>
- 1263 *Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern websites without ever leaving your HTML*.
- 1264 (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://tailwindcss.com/>
- 1265 Watts, David M and Burke, Donald S and Harrison, Bruce A and Whitmire, Ralph
- 1266 E and Nisalak, Ananda. (2020). Effect of temperature on the transmission
- 1267 of dengue virus by **aedes aegypti**. *The American Journal of Tropical*
- 1268 *Medicine and Hygiene*, 36(1), 143–152.
- 1269 *What is Postman? Postman API Platform*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.postman.com/product/what-is-postman/>
- 1270
- 1271 *Why Visual Studio Code?* (2021, 11). Retrieved from <https://code.visualstudio.com/docs/editor/whyvscode>
- 1272
- 1273 World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Dengue and severe dengue in the
- 1274 philippines. *WHO Dengue Factsheet*. (Available at: <https://www.who.int>)
- 1275
- 1276 Zhou, S., & Malani, P. (2024). What Is Dengue? *JAMA*, 332(10), 850–850.
- 1277 Zod. (n.d.). *TypeScript-First Schema Validation with Static Type Inference*. Re-
- 1278 trieved from <https://zod.dev/?id=introduction> (Accessed: 2025-04-
- 1279 26)

¹²⁸⁰ **Appendix A**

¹²⁸¹ **Data Collection Snippets**

Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
2011-w1	9.938571429	29.4444	25.88889	23.8889	11.39	86.24285714	5
2011-w2	8.587142857	30	26.70555556	24.44444444	7.32	88.02857143	4
2011-w3	5.338571429	30	26.61666667	25	7.55	84.02857143	2
2011-w4	5.41	30.55555556	26.48333333	20.55555556	10.67	80.97142857	7
2011-w5	2.914285714	28.33333333	25.28333333	18.65	11.01	74.88571429	2
2011-w6	3.44	31.11111111	27.53333333	25	3.69	85.27142857	5
2011-w7	1.444285714	30	27.06111111	25	6.69	82.1	6
2011-w8	0.7685714286	30.55555556	27.03333333	25	7.82	76.18571429	2
2011-w9	4.064285714	30.55555556	26.75	24.44444444	6.64	84.1	5
2011-w10	1.252857143	31.11111111	27.7	25.55555556	7.92	80.18571429	4
2011-w11	7.068571429	28.33333333	26.03888889	23.88888889	10.35	85.7	2
2011-w12	3.567142857	30.55555556	26.4	24.44444444	9.57	87.74285714	3
2011-w13	2.745714286	30	26.61666667	25	10.05	86.25714286	2
2011-w14	0.09285714286	30	27.44444444	25	8.25	76.32857143	4
2011-w15	1.008571429	31.11111111	26.89444444	22.77777778	7.93	80.64285714	5
2011-w16	0.8771428571	31.66666667	28.43333333	24.44444444	4.76	80.35714286	3
2011-w17	2.15	32.77777778	28.87222222	25.55555556	5.54	81.77142857	5
2011-w18	3.062857143	32.77777778	28.62777778	25	5.15	81.9	4
2011-w19	9.828571429	32.77777778	27.97222222	25	4.18	85.77142857	5
2011-w20	2.848571429	33.33333333	29.71111111	25.55555556	3.77	79.65714286	3
2011-w21	11.74142857	32.77777778	28.51111111	25	4.28	81.81428571	3
2011-w22	14.52857143	32.77777778	28.47222222	25.55555556	4.04	82.45714286	4
2011-w23	11.51714286	32.22222222	28.26111111	24.44444444	3.91	84.24285714	9
2011-w24	8.784285714	32.22222222	27.71111111	24.44444444	3.87	84.7	3
2011-w25	10.43714286	32.77777778	27.47777778	24.44444444	4.46	86.52857143	13
2011-w26	6.702857143	32.22222222	28.39444444	25	3.94	81.87142857	7
2011-w27	14.48	32.22222222	27.66666667	25	4.19	85.42857143	13
2011-w28	3.32	32.22222222	27.49444444	19.68333333	6.69	80.78571429	9
2011-w29	17.33714286	32.77777778	27.95555556	25	3.57	80.05714286	10
2011-w30	22.77	31.66666667	26.63888889	24.44444444	5.13	88.01428571	16
2011-w31	9.875714286	32.77777778	27.81111111	25	4.66	83.11428571	13

Figure A.1: Snippet of Consolidated Data




Figure A.2: Snippet of Weather Data Collection




Figure A.3: Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU

Please enter your participant number: 2

System Usability Scale (SUS)

This is a standard questionnaire that measures the overall usability of a system. Please select the answer that best expresses how you feel about each statement after using the system today.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I think I would like to use DengueWatch frequently to monitor and forecast dengue cases.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. I found DengueWatch unnecessarily complex when entering or analyzing data.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3. I thought DengueWatch was easy to use, even for someone unfamiliar with AI forecasting tools.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4. I think I would need the support of an IT or technical person to use all the features of DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5. I found the visualizations (charts, maps, graphs) in DengueWatch to be well-integrated and informative.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in how different parts of the DengueWatch system behaved.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7. I believe most public health workers would learn to use DengueWatch very quickly.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8. I found DengueWatch very cumbersome to use when entering weekly case reports or viewing forecasts.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
9. I felt confident in using DengueWatch to get the information I needed for decision-making.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				

How likely are you to recommend this website to others? (please circle your answer)

Not at all likely 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Extremely likely

Please provide important comments about the website:

Figure A.4: System Usability Score Questionnaire