

**DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME
DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO
PROVINCE**

4 A Special Problem
5 Presented to
6 the Faculty of the Division of Physical Sciences and
7 College of Arts and Sciences
8 University of the Philippines Visayas
9 Miag-ao, Iloilo

10 In Partial Fulfillment
11 of the Requirements for the Degree of
12 Bachelor of Science in Computer Science by

13 AMODIA, Kurt Matthew A.
14 BULAONG, Glen Andrew C.
15 ELIPAN, Carl Benedict L.

16 Francis DIMZON, Ph.D.
17 Adviser

June 2025

19

Approval Sheet

20

The Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and
Sciences, University of the Philippines Visayas

22

certifies that this is the approved version of the following special problem:

23

DENGUEWATCH: A SYSTEM FOR REAL-TIME DENGUE MONITORING AND FORECASTING IN ILOILO PROVINCE

25

26

Approved by:

Name

Signature

Date

Francis D. Dimzon, Ph.D. _____
(Adviser)

27

Ara Abigail E. Ambita _____
(Panel Member)

Kent Christian A. Castor _____
(Division Chair)

28 Division of Physical Sciences and Mathematics
29 College of Arts and Sciences
30 University of the Philippines Visayas

31 **Declaration**

32 We, Kurt Matthew A. Amodia, Glen Andrew C. Bulaong, and Carl Benedict
33 L. Elipan, hereby certify that this Special Problem has been written by us and
34 is the record of work carried out by us. Any significant borrowings have been
35 properly acknowledged and referred.

Name	Signature	Date
Kurt Matthew A. Amodia (Student)	_____	_____
Glen Andrew C. Bulaong (Student)	_____	_____
Carl Benedict L. Elipan (Student)	_____	_____

Dedication

38 We dedicate this special problem to all the teachers who have guided us
39 throughout our academic journey. Your knowledge and mentorship have laid the
40 foundation for this research, and for that, we are truly grateful.

41 To our families, friends, and classmates, thank you for your unwavering sup-
42 port, encouragement, and belief in us. Your presence has been a constant source
43 of strength.

44 Most especially, we dedicate this work to the health offices and frontline per-
45 sonnel who continue to battle dengue cases with courage and dedication. Your
46 tireless efforts and sacrifices are an inspiration. We hope that this research, in its
47 own small way, can contribute to your work and make a meaningful difference in
48 your fight against this disease.

49

Acknowledgment

50 This research would not have been possible without the support and guidance
51 of several individuals and institutions.

52 First and foremost, we express our deepest gratitude to our adviser, Dr. Francis
53 Dimzon, for his invaluable insights, unwavering support, and commitment to
54 our project. His guidance was instrumental in shaping the direction of our re-
55 search.

56 We also extend our sincere thanks to the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and
57 the Iloilo Epidemiological Unit, for accomodating our inquiries and sharing vital
58 data and insights. Their cooperation played a crucial role in the success of the
59 research.

60 Our appreciation also goes to the UPV Health Services Unit, especially the
61 doctors and nurses who participated in our user testing. Your thoughtful feedback
62 provided essential perspectives that greatly contributed to the relevance of our
63 research.

64 Finally, we are greatly thankful to God Almighty, for granting us the strength,
65 perseverance, and determination to complete this research.

Abstract

67 Dengue fever remains a significant public health concern in the Philippines, with
68 cases rising dramatically in recent years. Iloilo City experienced a surge in cases, with
69 4,585 reported cases and 10 deaths as of August 10, 2023, a 319% increase from the
70 previous year's 1,095 cases and one death. This rise overwhelmed local healthcare facil-
71 ities, with over 76% of non-COVID-19 hospital beds occupied by dengue patients. The
72 lack of a reliable monitoring and forecasting system delayed interventions, worsening
73 the public health burden. To address this, the study developed a centralized system to
74 modernize data management and monitoring of dengue cases in public health institu-
75 tions. Using data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and online sources, several
76 deep learning models were trained to forecast dengue cases on weather variables and
77 historical data. Models tested included LSTM, ARIMA, Seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Fil-
78 ter (KF), and a hybrid KF-LSTM, evaluated with time series cross-validation and error
79 metrics like MSE, RMSE, and MAE. The LSTM model performed best, achieving the
80 lowest RMSE of 20.15, followed by the hybrid KF-LSTM with 25.56. The LSTM model
81 was integrated into the system, providing forecasting capabilities to support proactive
82 interventions and better resource planning in health institutions.

83 **Keywords:** Dengue, Deep Learning, Monitoring, Prediction

⁸⁴ **Contents**

⁸⁵	1	Introduction	1
⁸⁶		1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology	1
⁸⁷		1.2 Problem Statement	3
⁸⁸		1.3 Research Objectives	4
⁸⁹		1.3.1 General Objective	4
⁹⁰		1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4
⁹¹		1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research	5
⁹²		1.5 Significance of the Research	6
⁹³	2	Review of Related Literature	9
⁹⁴		2.1 Dengue	9
⁹⁵		2.2 Outbreak Definition	10

96	2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC	10
97	2.4 Deep Learning	11
98	2.5 Kalman Filter	12
99	2.6 Weather Data	13
100	2.7 Chapter Summary	14
101	3 Research Methodology	15
102	3.1 Research Activities	16
103	3.1.1 Dengue and Climate Data Collection	16
104	3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for Dengue	
105	Case Forecasting	19
106	3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based Data An-	
107	alytics Dashboard	25
108	3.1.4 System Development Framework	26
109	3.2 Development Tools	28
110	3.2.1 Software	28
111	3.2.2 Hardware	30
112	3.2.3 Packages	30
113	3.3 Application Requirements	33

CONTENTS

ix

114	3.3.1 Backend Requirements	33
115	3.3.2 User Interface Requirements	34
116	3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements	37
117	4 Results and Discussion	39
118	4.1 Data Gathering	39
119	4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis	40
120	4.3 Outbreak Detection	44
121	4.4 Model Training Results	44
122	4.4.1 LSTM Model	46
123	4.4.2 ARIMA Model	48
124	4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model	49
125	4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model	51
126	4.5 Model Simulation	53
127	4.6 System Prototype	55
128	4.6.1 Home Page	55
129	4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication	56
130	4.6.3 Encoder Interface	58

131	4.6.4 Admin Interface	67
132	4.7 User Testing	75
133	5 Conclusion	77
134	6 References	79
135	A Data Collection Snippets	83

¹³⁶ List of Figures

137	3.1 Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases	16
138	3.2 Testing Process for DengueWatch	27
139	3.3 Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash Database Structure	33
140		
141	3.4 Use Case Diagram for Admins	34
142	3.5 Use Case Diagram for Encoder	36
143		
144	4.1 Trend of Dengue Cases	42
145	4.2 Feature-Cases Vs Time	43
146	4.3 Training Folds - Window Size 5	47
147	4.4 Testing Folds - Window Size 5	48
148	4.5 ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	49
	4.6 Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set	50

149	4.7 Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set	52
150	4.8 Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025	54
151	4.9 Home Page	56
152	4.10 Sign Up Page	57
153	4.11 Login Page	58
154	4.12 First Part of Case Report Form	59
155	4.13 Second Part of Case Report Form	60
156	4.14 Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV	61
157	4.15 Dengue Reports	62
158	4.16 Detailed Case Report	63
159	4.17 Update Report Dialog	64
160	4.18 Delete Report Alert Dialog	65
161	4.19 Forecasting Page	67
162	4.20 Retraining Configurations	68
163	4.21 Start Retraining	69
164	4.22 Retraining Results	69
165	4.23 List of Verified Accounts	71

LIST OF FIGURES

xiii

166	4.24 List of Pending Accounts	71
167	4.25 Account Details	72
168	4.26 List of Blacklisted Accounts	72
169	4.27 Disease Reporting Unit Registration	73
170	4.28 List of Disease Reporting Units	74
171	4.29 Disease Reporting Unit details	74
172	A.1 Snippet of Consolidated Data	83
173	A.2 Snippet of Weather Data Collection	84
174	A.3 Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU	85
175	A.4 System Usability Score Questionnaire	86

¹⁷⁶ List of Tables

¹⁷⁷	3.1 Hyperparameter Tuning: Search Space and Tuner Configuration	20
¹⁷⁸	4.1 Snippet of the combined dataset	40
¹⁷⁹	4.2 Data Schema: Column Names, Non-Null Counts, and Data Types	41
¹⁸⁰	4.3 Descriptive Statistics of the Combined Dataset	41
¹⁸¹	4.4 Comparison of different models for dengue prediction	45
¹⁸²	4.5 Comparison of Window Sizes	46
¹⁸³	4.6 Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes	47
¹⁸⁴	4.7 Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold	51
¹⁸⁵	4.8 Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold	53
¹⁸⁶	4.9 Computed System Usability Scores per Participant	75

¹⁸⁷ Chapter 1

¹⁸⁸ Introduction

¹⁸⁹ 1.1 Overview of the Current State of Technology

¹⁹⁰ Dengue cases surged globally in 2023 and continued to rise in 2025, with over
¹⁹¹ five million cases and more than 5,000 deaths across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).

¹⁹² The World Health Organization reported a ten-fold increase in cases from 2000
¹⁹³ to 2019, peaking in 2019 with the disease affecting 129 countries (WHO, 2024).

¹⁹⁴ In the Philippines, dengue remains endemic, leading to prolonged and widespread
¹⁹⁵ outbreaks.

¹⁹⁶ In Iloilo, dengue cases escalated dramatically. By August 2023, the provincial
¹⁹⁷ health office reported 4,585 cases and 10 deaths, marking a 319% increase from
¹⁹⁸ the previous year (Perla, 2024). Iloilo has reached the outbreak threshold, and
¹⁹⁹ local authorities are on blue alert. In Iloilo City, 649 cases were recorded in 2024,
²⁰⁰ with two deaths. Hospital capacity is strained, with non-COVID-19 hospital bed

201 occupancy exceeding 76%. This highlights the increasing pressure on healthcare
202 resources in the region.

203 In recent years, technology has played a growing role in improving disease
204 surveillance across the globe. Internationally, a study published in *Frontiers*
205 in Physics utilized the Kalman filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará,
206 Brazil(Ahmadi et al., 2021). A study also suggests that weather-based fore-
207 casting models using variables like mean temperature and cumulative rainfall can
208 provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high sensitivity and specificity,
209 enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance (Hii, Zhu, Ng, Ng, & Rocklöv,
210 2012). Locally, in Davao City, Ligue (2022) found that deep learning models can
211 accurately predict dengue outbreaks by capturing complex, time-dependent pat-
212 terns in environmental data. The study of Carvajal et. al. uses machine learning
213 methods to reveal the temporal pattern of dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila
214 and emphasizes the significance of relative humidity as a key meteorological fac-
215 tor, alongside rainfall and temperature, in influencing this pattern (Carvajal et
216 al., 2018).

217 Most studies remain theoretical or academic, with limited translation into
218 practical tools that communities and local health authorities can use for early
219 warning and response. An example of such application is RabDash, developed by
220 the University of the Philippines Mindanao. RabdashDC (2024) is a web-based
221 dashboard for rabies data analytics. However, while RabDash demonstrates the
222 potential of applying advanced analytics in public health, similar systems are
223 lacking in the context of dengue.

²²⁴ 1.2 Problem Statement

²²⁵ Dengue remains a critical public health challenge worldwide, with cases increasing
²²⁶ due to the easing of COVID-19 restrictions and heightened global mobility. While
²²⁷ a temporary decline in cases was observed during the pandemic (2020–2022) due
²²⁸ to reduced surveillance efforts, 2023 marked a resurgence, with over five million
²²⁹ cases and more than 5,000 deaths reported across 80 countries (Bosano, 2023).
²³⁰ In Iloilo City and Province, dengue cases rose by 319% as of August 2023, over-
²³¹ whelming local healthcare systems. This surge strained resources, with over 76%
²³² of non-COVID-19 hospital beds occupied by dengue patients (Perla, 2024), high-
²³³ lighting the urgent need for effective monitoring and predictive tools. Despite
²³⁴ all these studies, there remains a significant gap in the development of publicly
²³⁵ accessible systems that apply these predictive models in real-world settings. Most
²³⁶ existing studies remain confined to academic or theoretical contexts, with little
²³⁷ translation into practical tools for local communities and public health authorities.
²³⁸ In particular, there is a lack of research focused specifically on dengue prediction
²³⁹ and surveillance in Iloilo. While deep learning models have shown high accuracy
²⁴⁰ in other regions, their application in the local context of Iloilo is minimal. The
²⁴¹ lack of a reliable system to monitor and forecast dengue outbreaks contributes to
²⁴² delayed interventions, exacerbating public health risks and healthcare burdens in
²⁴³ the region.

²⁴⁴ **1.3 Research Objectives**

²⁴⁵ **1.3.1 General Objective**

²⁴⁶ This study aims to develop a centralized monitoring and analytics system for
²⁴⁷ dengue cases in Iloilo City and Province with data management and forecasting
²⁴⁸ capabilities. The researchers will train and compare multiple deep learning models
²⁴⁹ to predict dengue case trends based on climate data and historical dengue cases
²⁵⁰ to help public health officials in possible dengue case outbreaks.

²⁵¹ **1.3.2 Specific Objectives**

²⁵² Specifically, this study aims to:

- ²⁵³ 1. gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office and climate data
²⁵⁴ (including temperature, rainfall, wind, and humidity) from online sources,
²⁵⁵ and combine and aggregate these into a unified dataset to facilitate compre-
²⁵⁶ hensive dengue case forecasting;
- ²⁵⁷ 2. train and evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases using
²⁵⁸ metrics such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error
²⁵⁹ (RMSE), and Mean Squared Error (MSE), and determine the most accurate
²⁶⁰ forecasting approach; and
- ²⁶¹ 3. develop a web-based analytics dashboard that integrates the predictive model,
²⁶² provides a data management system for dengue cases in Iloilo City and the

263 Province, and assess its usability and effectiveness through structured feed-
264 back from health professionals and policymakers.

265 1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Research

266 This study aims to gather dengue data from the Iloilo Provincial Health Office
267 and climate data from online sources such as PAGASA or weatherandclimate.com.
268 These data will be preprocessed, cleaned, and combined into a unified dataset to
269 facilitate comprehensive dengue case forecasting. However, the study is limited by
270 the availability and completeness of historical data. Inconsistent or missing data
271 points may introduce biases and reduce the quality of predictions. Furthermore,
272 the granularity of the data will be in a weekly format.

273 To evaluate deep learning models for predicting dengue cases, the study will
274 train and compare the performance of various models, using metrics like Mean
275 Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). While these models
276 aim to provide accurate forecasts, their performance is heavily influenced by the
277 quality and size of the dataset. Limited or low-quality data may lead to suboptimal
278 predictions. Additionally, the models cannot fully account for external factors
279 such as public health interventions or socio-economic conditions which may impact
280 dengue transmission dynamics.

281 The study also involves developing a web-based analytics dashboard that in-
282 tegrates predictive models and provides a data management system for dengue
283 cases in Iloilo City and the Province. This dashboard will offer public health
284 officials an interactive interface to visualize dengue trends, input new data, and

285 identify risk areas. However, its usability depends on feedback from stakeholders,
286 which may vary based on their familiarity with analytics tools. Moreover, exter-
287 nal factors such as limited internet connectivity or device availability in remote
288 areas may affect the system's adoption and effectiveness. While the dashboard
289 provides valuable insights, it cannot incorporate all factors influencing dengue
290 transmission, emphasizing the need for ongoing validation and refinement.

291 **1.5 Significance of the Research**

292 This study's development of an AI-based dengue forecasting and monitoring sys-
293 tem has wide-reaching significance for various stakeholders in Iloilo City:

- 294 • Public Health Agencies: Organizations like the Department of Health (DOH)
295 and local health units in Iloilo City and Province stand to benefit greatly
296 from the system. With dengue predictions, we can help these agencies opti-
297 mize their response strategies and implement targeted prevention measures
298 in high-risk areas before cases escalate.
- 299 • Local Government Units (LGUs): LGUs can use the system to support
300 their disaster management and health initiatives by proactively addressing
301 dengue outbreaks. The predictive insights allow for more efficient planning
302 and resource deployment in barangays and communities most vulnerable to
303 outbreaks, improving overall public health outcomes.
- 304 • Healthcare Facilities: Hospitals and clinics, which currently face high bed
305 occupancy rates during dengue season will benefit from early outbreak fore-

1.5. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH

7

306 casts that can help in managing patient inflow and ensuring adequate hos-
307 pital capacity.

308 • Researchers and Policymakers: This AI-driven approach contributes valua-
309 ble insights for researchers studying infectious disease patterns and policy-
310 makers focused on strengthening the national AI Roadmap. The system's
311 data can support broader initiatives for sustainable health infrastructure
312 and inform policy decisions on resource allocation for dengue control.

313 • Community Members: By reducing the frequency and severity of outbreaks,
314 this study ultimately benefits the community at large. This allows for timely
315 awareness campaigns and community engagement initiatives, empowering
316 residents with knowledge and preventative measures to protect themselves
317 and reduce the spread of dengue.

³¹⁸ Chapter 2

³¹⁹ Review of Related Literature

³²⁰ 2.1 Dengue

³²¹ Dengue disease is a tropical and subtropical mosquito-borne viral illness and is a
³²² major health concern in the Philippines (Bravo, Roque, Brett, Dizon, & L'Azou,
³²³ 2014). The majority of individuals with dengue experience no symptoms. Fever is
³²⁴ the most common symptom, typically 4 to 7 days after being bitten by an infected
³²⁵ mosquito (Zhou & Malani, 2024). In recent years, the trend of dengue cases in
³²⁶ the Philippines has shown notable fluctuations, with periodic outbreaks occur-
³²⁷ ring every 3 to 5 years, often influenced by climatic and environmental changes.
³²⁸ According to the Department of Health (DOH), the number of reported cases
³²⁹ has steadily increased over the past decades, attributed to urbanization, popula-
³³⁰ tion growth, and inadequate vector control measures (World Health Organization
³³¹ (WHO), 2018). Moreover, studies suggest that El Niño and La Niña events have
³³² significant effects on dengue incidence, with warmer temperatures and increased

³³³ rainfall providing favorable breeding conditions for mosquitoes (Watts, David M
³³⁴ and Burke, Donald S and Harrison, Bruce A and Whitmire, Ralph E and Nisalak,
³³⁵ Ananda, 2020). The study of Carvajal et. al. highlights the temporal pattern of
³³⁶ dengue cases in Metropolitan Manila and emphasizes the significance of relative
³³⁷ humidity as a key meteorological factor, alongside rainfall and temperature, in
³³⁸ influencing this pattern (Carvajal et al., 2018).

³³⁹ 2.2 Outbreak Definition

³⁴⁰ The definition of an outbreak is a critical factor in disease surveillance, as it
³⁴¹ determines the threshold at which an unusual increase in cases is considered a
³⁴² public health concern. Studies suggest that outbreak thresholds should be context-
³⁴³ specific, given the variability in transmission dynamics across different locations
³⁴⁴ (Runge-Ranzinger et al., 2016). Outbreak definitions defined using the Endemic
³⁴⁵ Channel often base thresholds on 2 standard deviations (SD) above the mean
³⁴⁶ number of historic dengue cases. Other studies (Hemisphere, 2015) also used an
³⁴⁷ alert threshold of a 5-year mean plus 2 standard deviations. A study by (Brady,
³⁴⁸ Smith, Scott, & Hay, 2015) discussed that definitions of dengue outbreaks differ
³⁴⁹ significantly across regions and time, making them inconsistent and incomparable.

³⁵⁰ 2.3 Existing System: RabDash DC

³⁵¹ RabDash, developed by the University of the Philippines Mindanao, is a web-
³⁵² based dashboard for rabies data analytics. It combines predictive modeling with

353 genomic data, enabling local health authorities to optimize interventions and al-
354 locate resources more effectively. RabDash’s modules include trend visualization,
355 geographic hotspot mapping, and predictive forecasting, utilizing Long Short-
356 Term Memory (LSTM) models for time-series forecasting (RabDashDC, 2024).

357 For DengueWatch, RabDash serves as a strong inspiration, particularly in
358 its monitoring, historical trend visualization, and forecasting capabilities. These
359 features align well with the needs of dengue control efforts, providing real-time
360 insights into outbreak trends and enabling more effective, data-driven decision-
361 making. RabDash’s architecture is relevant to the DengueDash, as dengue out-
362 breaks similarly require time-series forecasting models. By using LSTM, RabDash
363 effectively models trends in outbreak data, which provides a framework for adapt-
364 ing LSTM to dengue forecasting. Research indicates that LSTM models outper-
365 form traditional methods, such as ARIMA and MLP, in handling the complexities
366 of time-dependent epidemiological data (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

367 2.4 Deep Learning

368 The study of (Ligue & Ligue, 2022) highlights how data-driven models can help
369 predict dengue outbreaks. The authors compared traditional statistical meth-
370 ods, such as non-seasonal and seasonal autoregressive integrated moving average
371 (ARIMA), and traditional feed-forward network approach using a multilayer per-
372 ceptron (MLP) model with a deep learning approach using the long short-term
373 memory (LSTM) architecture in their prediction model. They found that the
374 LSTM model performs better in terms of accuracy. The LSTM model achieved a

375 much lower root mean square error (RMSE) compared to both MLP and ARIMA
376 models, proving its ability to capture complex patterns in time-series data (Ligue
377 & Ligue, 2022). This superior performance is attributed to LSTM's capacity
378 to capture complex, time-dependent relationships within the data, such as those
379 between temperature, rainfall, humidity, and mosquito populations, all of which
380 contribute to dengue incidence (Ligue & Ligue, 2022).

381 2.5 Kalman Filter

382 The Kalman Filter is another powerful tool for time-series forecasting that can be
383 integrated into our analysis. It provides a recursive solution to estimating the state
384 of a linear dynamic system from a series of noisy measurements. Its application
385 in epidemiological modeling can enhance prediction accuracy by accounting for
386 uncertainties in the data(Li et al., 2022). Studies have shown that Kalman filters
387 are effective in predicting infectious disease outbreaks by refining estimates based
388 on observed data. A study published in Frontiers in Physics utilized the Kalman
389 filter to predict COVID-19 deaths in Ceará, Brazil. They found that the Kalman
390 filter effectively tracked the progression of deaths and cases, providing critical in-
391 sights for public health decision-making (Ahmadini et al., 2021). Another research
392 article in PLOS ONE focused on tracking the effective reproduction number (R_t)
393 of COVID-19 using a Kalman filter. This method estimated the growth rate of
394 new infections from noisy data, demonstrating that the Kalman filter could main-
395 tain accurate estimates even when case reporting was inconsistent(Arroyo-Marioli,
396 Bullano, Kucinskas, & Rondón-Moreno, 2021).

397 This study compares ARIMA, seasonal ARIMA, Kalman Filter, and LSTM
398 models using collected dengue case data along with weather data to identify the
399 most effective model for real-time forecasting.

400 2.6 Weather Data

401 The relationship between weather patterns and mosquito-borne diseases is inher-
402 ently nonlinear, meaning that fluctuations in disease cases do not respond propor-
403 tionally to changes in climate variables(Colón-González, Fezzi, Lake, & Hunter,
404 2013) Weather data, such as minimum temperature and accumulated rainfall, are
405 strongly linked to dengue case fluctuations, with effects observed after several
406 weeks due to mosquito breeding and virus incubation cycles. Integrating these
407 lagged weather effects into predictive models can improve early warning systems
408 for dengue control(Cheong, Burkart, Leitão, & Lakes, 2013). A study also sug-
409 gests that weather-based forecasting models using variables like mean temperature
410 and cumulative rainfall can provide early warnings of dengue outbreaks with high
411 sensitivity and specificity, enabling predictions up to 16 weeks in advance(Hii et
412 al., 2012).

413 This study utilizes weather data, including variables such as temperature,
414 rainfall, and humidity, as inputs for our dengue forecasting model. Given the
415 strong, nonlinear relationship between climate patterns and dengue incidence,
416 these weather variables, along with their lagged effects, are essential for enhancing
417 prediction accuracy and providing timely early warnings for dengue outbreaks.

418 2.7 Chapter Summary

419 This chapter reviewed key literature relevant to our study, focusing on existing
420 systems, predictive modeling techniques and the role of weather data in forecast-
421 ing dengue outbreaks. We examined systems like RabDash DC, which integrates
422 predictive modeling with real-time data to inform public health decisions, provid-
423 ing a foundational structure for our Dengue Watch System. Additionally, deep
424 learning approaches, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks,
425 were highlighted for their effectiveness in time-series forecasting, while alternative
426 methods such as ARIMA and Kalman Filters were considered for their ability to
427 model complex temporal patterns and handle noisy data.

428 The literature further underscores the significance of weather variables—such
429 as temperature and rainfall—in forecasting dengue cases. Studies demonstrate
430 that these variables contribute to accurate outbreak prediction models. Lever-
431 aging these insights, our study will incorporate both weather data and historical
432 dengue case counts to build a reliable forecasting model.

⁴³³ **Chapter 3**

⁴³⁴ **Research Methodology**

⁴³⁵ This chapter lists and discusses the specific steps and activities that were per-
⁴³⁶ formed to accomplish the project. The discussion covers the activities from pre-
⁴³⁷ proposal to Final SP Writing.

⁴³⁸ Figure 3.1 summarizes the workflow for forecasting the number of weekly
⁴³⁹ dengue cases. This workflow focuses on using statistical, deep learning, and prob-
⁴⁴⁰ abilistic models to forecast the number of reported dengue cases. The approach
⁴⁴¹ involves deploying several models for prediction, including ARIMA and Seasonal
⁴⁴² ARIMA as statistical approaches, LSTM as a deep learning approach, and the
⁴⁴³ Kalman Filter as a probabilistic approach. These methods are compared with
⁴⁴⁴ each other to determine the most accurate model.




Figure 3.1: Workflow for forecasting the number of weekly dengue cases

⁴⁴⁵ 3.1 Research Activities

⁴⁴⁶ 3.1.1 Dengue and Climate Data Collection

⁴⁴⁷ Acquisition of Dengue Case Data

⁴⁴⁸ The historical dengue case dataset used in this study was obtained from the Hu-
⁴⁴⁹ manitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for Health Develop-
⁴⁵⁰ ment (WVCHD) via Freedom of Information (FOI) requests. The decision to use
⁴⁵¹ weekly intervals was driven by the need for precision and timeliness in captur-
⁴⁵² ing fluctuations in dengue cases and weather conditions. Dengue transmission is
⁴⁵³ influenced by short-term changes in weather variables such as rainfall and temper-
⁴⁵⁴ ature, which impact mosquito breeding and virus transmission cycles. A weekly
⁴⁵⁵ granularity allowed the model to better capture these short-term trends, enabling
⁴⁵⁶ more accurate predictions and responsive public health interventions.

457 Moreover, using a weekly interval provided more data points for training the
458 models compared to a monthly format. This is particularly critical in time series
459 modeling, where larger datasets help improve the robustness of the model and its
460 ability to generalize to new data. Also, the collection of weather data was done
461 by utilizing web scraping techniques to extract weekly weather data (e.g., rainfall,
462 temperature, and humidity) from Weather Underground (wunderground.com).

463

464 **Data Fields**

- 465 • **Time.** Represents the specific year and week corresponding to each entry
466 in the dataset.
- 467 • **Rainfall.** Denotes the observed average rainfall, measured in millimeters,
468 for a specific week.
- 469 • **Humidity.** Refers to the observed average relative humidity, expressed as
470 a percentage, for a specific week.
- 471 • **Max Temperature.** Represents the observed maximum temperature, mea-
472 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.
- 473 • **Average Temperature.** Represents the observed average temperature,
474 measured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.
- 475 • **Min Temperature.** Represents the observed minimum temperature, mea-
476 sured in degrees Celsius, for a specific week.
- 477 • **Wind.** Represents the observed wind speed, measured in miles per hour
478 (mph), for a specific week.

- 479 • **Cases.** Refers to the number of reported dengue cases during a specific
480 week.

481 **Data Integration and Preprocessing**

482 The dengue case data was integrated with the weather data to create a com
483 prehensive dataset, aligning the data based on corresponding timeframes. The
484 dataset undergoed a cleaning process to address any missing values, outliers, and
485 inconsistencies to ensure its accuracy and reliability. To ensure that all features
486 and the target variable were on the same scale, a MinMaxScaler was applied to
487 normalize both the input features (climate data) and the target variable (dengue
488 cases).

489 **Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)**

490 Trends, seasonality, and correlations between reported dengue cases and weather
491 factors were thoroughly analyzed to identify potential relationships in the dataset.
492 To support and illustrate these findings, a series of visualizations, including time-
493 series plots and scatterplots, were developed, to highlight key patterns and rela-
494 tionships within the dataset.

495 **Outbreak Detection**

496 To detect outbreaks, we computed the outbreak threshold value of dengue cases
497 using the formula,

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (3.1)$$

498 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

499 It is important to take note that definitions of dengue outbreaks differ signifi-
500 cantly across regions and time. This computation is subject to changes depending
501 on how the surveillance units detect outbreaks themselves.

502 **3.1.2 Develop and Evaluate Deep Learning Models for** 503 **Dengue Case Forecasting**

504 The deep learning models were developed and trained to forecast weekly dengue
505 cases using historical weather data (rainfall, temperature, wind, and humidity)
506 and dengue case counts. The dataset was normalized and divided into training and
507 testing sets, ensuring temporal continuity to avoid data leakage. The methodology
508 for preparing and training the model are outlined below.

509 **Data Preprocessing**

510 The raw dataset included weekly aggregated weather variables (rainfall, tempera-
511 ture, wind, humidity) and dengue case counts. The "Time" column was converted
512 to a datetime format to ensure proper temporal indexing. To standardize the data
513 for training, MinMaxScaler was employed, normalizing the feature values and tar-
514 get variable to a range of 0 to 1. This step ensured that the models could efficiently
515 process the data without being biased by feature scaling differences.

⁵¹⁶ **LSTM Model**

⁵¹⁷ The dataset was split into training and test sets to evaluate the model's performance and generalizability:

⁵¹⁹ • **Training Set:** 80% of the data (572 sequences) was used for model training,
⁵²⁰ enabling the LSTM to learn underlying patterns in historical dengue case
⁵²¹ trends and their relationship with weather variables.

⁵²² • **Test Set:** The remaining 20% of the data (148 sequences) was reserved for
⁵²³ testing

⁵²⁴ To prepare the data for LSTM, a sliding window approach was utilized. Sequences of weeks of normalized features were constructed as input, while the ⁵²⁵ dengue case count for the subsequent week was set as the target variable. This ⁵²⁶ approach ensured that the model leveraged temporal dependencies in the data for ⁵²⁷ forecasting. To enhance the performance of the LSTM model in predicting dengue ⁵²⁸ cases, Bayesian Optimization was employed using the Keras Tuner library. The ⁵²⁹ tuning process aimed to minimize the validation loss (mean squared error) by ⁵³⁰ adjusting key model hyper-parameters. Table 3.1 summarizes the search space ⁵³¹ below:

Search Space	LSTM Units	Learning Rate
Min Value	32	0.0001
Max Value	128	0.01
Step	16	None
Sampling	Linear	Log
Tuner Configuration		
Max Trials	10	
Executions per Trial	3	
Validation Split	0.2	

Table 3.1: Hyperparameter Tuning: Search Space and Tuner Configuration

533 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for three different window sizes of
534 data: 5, 10, and 20. This allows the model to have the optimal hyperparameters
535 used for each window size. Training was conducted over 100 epochs with early
536 stopping to prevent overfitting while maintaining computational efficiency. A
537 batch size of 1 was used, enabling the model to process individual sequences,
538 which is suitable for smaller datasets but results in longer training times. The
539 Adam optimizer, known for its adaptive learning capabilities and stability was
540 employed.

541 To validate the effectiveness of the model, cross-validation was implemented.
542 However, standard k-fold cross-validation randomly shuffles the data, which isn't
543 suitable for time series since the order of observations is important. To address
544 this, a time series-specific cross-validation strategy was used with TimeSeriesS-
545 plit from the scikit-learn library. This method creates multiple train-test splits
546 where each training set expands over time and each test set follows sequentially.
547 This approach preserves the temporal structure of the data while helping reduce
548 overfitting by validating the model across different time segments.

549 After training, predictions on both the training and test datasets were rescaled
550 to their original scale using the inverse transformation of MinMaxScaler. Model
551 performance was evaluated using the mean squared error (MSE), root mean
552 squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE).

553 ARIMA

554 The ARIMA model was utilized to forecast weekly dengue cases, leveraging histor-
555 ical weather data—including rainfall, maximum temperature, and humidity—as

556 exogenous variables alongside historical dengue case counts as the primary dependent
557 variable. The dataset was partitioned into training (80%) and testing (20%)
558 sets while maintaining temporal consistency.

559 To identify the optimal ARIMA configuration, a comprehensive grid search
560 was performed across the following parameter ranges:

- 561 • Autoregressive order (p): 0 to 3
562 • Differencing order (d): 0 to 2
563 • Moving average order (q): 0 to 3

564 Each combination of (p,d,q) was used to fit an ARIMA model, and performance
565 was evaluated based on the mean squared error (MSE) between the predicted
566 and actual dengue cases on the test set. The parameter set that achieved
567 the lowest MSE was selected as the final model configuration.

568 Following model selection, the best-fit ARIMA model was retrained on the
569 training set and subsequently used to forecast dengue cases for the test period.
570 The predictions were assigned to the **PredictedCases** column in the test dataset.
571 Model performance was further assessed using key evaluation metrics, including
572 MSE, root mean squared error (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE). Visual
573 comparisons between actual and predicted dengue cases were produced through
574 line plots to better illustrate the model's forecasting accuracy.

575 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)

576 The SARIMA modeling process began with data preprocessing, which included
577 handling missing values through interpolation or imputation, and standardizing
578 features to ensure stable model training. The dataset was then split into training
579 and testing sets in an 80:20 ratio, preserving the temporal order of observations.
580 Seasonality analysis was conducted using time series decomposition and autocor-
581 relation plots, which revealed a periodicity of 52 weeks—justifying the adoption
582 of a seasonal model. To fine-tune the model, a grid search was performed over a
583 range of SARIMA parameters $(p,d,q)(P,D,Q)[S]$, while stationarity was validated
584 using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test. The model was then trained
585 on the dataset using rainfall, temperature, and humidity as exogenous variables,
586 with convergence ensured by setting a maximum number of iterations. Residual
587 diagnostics were used to confirm that residuals were uncorrelated, indicating a
588 good model fit. For evaluation, forecasts were compared against actual values,
589 and results were visualized with line plots. Finally, to validate the model's gener-
590 alizability across different time periods, Time Series Cross-Validation with three
591 folds was applied. This allowed assessment of the model's performance on multi-
592 ple time segments, providing insights into its robustness in real-world forecasting
593 scenarios.

594 Kalman Filter:

- 595 • Input Variables: The target variable (Cases) was modeled using three re-
596 gressors: rainfall, max temperature, and humidity.
- 597 • Training and Testing Split: The dataset was split into 80% training and

598 20% testing to evaluate model performance.

599 • Observation Matrix: The Kalman Filter requires an observation matrix,
600 which was constructed by adding an intercept (column of ones) to the re-
601 gressors.

602 The Kalman Filter’s Expectation-Maximization (EM) method was employed
603 for training, iteratively estimating model parameters over 10 iterations. After
604 training, the smoothing method was used to compute the refined state estimates
605 across the training data. Observation matrices for the test data were constructed
606 in the same manner as for the training set, ensuring compatibility with the learned
607 model parameters. On the test data, the Kalman Filter applied these parameters
608 to predict and correct the estimated dengue cases, providing more stable and
609 accurate forecasts compared to direct regression models. Additionally, a hybrid
610 Kalman Filter–LSTM (KF-LSTM) model was developed to combine the strengths
611 of both approaches. In this setup, the LSTM model was first used to predict
612 dengue cases based on historical data and weather features. The Kalman Filter
613 was then applied as a post-processing step to the LSTM predictions, smoothing
614 out noise and correcting potential errors.

615 **Model Simulation:**

616 After identifying the best-performing model among all the trained deep learning
617 models, a simulation was conducted. Using the same parameters from the initial
618 training, the selected model was retrained with the original dataset along with
619 new data up to January 2025. The retrained model was then used to forecast

620 dengue cases for the period from February 2025 to May 2025. Listing 3.1 shows
621 a code snippet of the model training.

Listing 3.1: Code Snippet for Model Training

```
622     # Fit on train set
623
624     history = model.fit(
625         X_train, y_train,
626         epochs=100,
627         batch_size=1,
628         validation_split=0.2,
629         callbacks=[early_stop],
630         verbose=1
631     )
632
633     # Predict on 2025
634
635     y_pred_test = model.predict(X_test, verbose=0)
```

634 3.1.3 Integrate the Predictive Model into a Web-Based 635 Data Analytics Dashboard

636 Dashboard Design and Development

- 637 • Design an intuitive, user-friendly web-based dashboard incorporating:
 - 638 – Interactive visualizations of yearly dengue case trends.
 - 639 – Data input and update forms for dengue and weather data.
 - 640 – Map display of dengue cases in each district in Iloilo City

641 Model Integration and Deployment

- 642 • Deploy the best-performing model within the dashboard as a backend service
643 to enable real-time or periodic forecasting.

644 3.1.4 System Development Framework

645 The Agile Model is the birthchild of both iterative and incremental approaches
646 in Software Engineering. It aims to be flexible and effective at the same time by
647 being adaptable to change. It's also important to note that small teams looking
648 to construct and develop projects quickly can benefit from this kind of method-
649 ology. As the Agile Method focuses on continuous testing, quality assurance is a
650 guarantee since bugs and errors are quickly identified and patched.

651 Design and Development

652 After brainstorming and researching the most appropriate type of application to
653 accommodate both the prospected users and the proposed solutions, the team
654 has decided to proceed with a web application. Given the time constraints and
655 available resources, it has been decided that the said means is the most pragmatic
656 and practical move. The next step is to select modern and stable frameworks
657 that align with the fundamental ideas learned by the researchers in the university.
658 The template obtained from WVCHD and Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and
659 Surveillance Unit was meticulously analyzed to create use cases and develop a
660 preliminary well-structured database that adheres to the requirements needed
661 to produce a quality application. The said use cases serve as the basis of general

662 features. Part by part, these are converted into code, and with the help of selected
663 libraries and packages, it resulted in the desired outcome that may still modified
664 and extended to achieve scalability.

665 **Testing and Integration**




Figure 3.2: Testing Process for DengueWatch

666 Implementing testing is important to validate the system's performance and ef-
667 ficacy. Thus a series of tests were conducted to identify and resolve bugs during
668 the developmental phase. Each feature was rigorously tested to ensure quality as-
669 surance, with particular emphasis on prerequisite features, as development cannot
670 progress properly if these fail. Because of this, integration between each feature

671 serves as a pillar for a cohesive user experience. Since dengue reports include
672 confidential information, anonymized historical dengue reports were used to train
673 the model and create the foundational architecture of the system. By using func-
674 tional tests, data validation and visualization can be ensured for further continual
675 improvements. Security testing is also important as it is needed to safeguard
676 confidential information when the system is deployed. It includes proper authen-
677 tication, permission views, and mitigating common injection attacks. Finally, a
678 user acceptance test from the prospected users, in this case, doctors, nurses, and
679 other health workers is crucial to assess its performance and user experience. It
680 enables the developers to confirm if the system meets the needs of the problem.

681 **3.2 Development Tools**

682 **3.2.1 Software**

683 **Github**

684 GitHub is a cloud-based platform that tracks file changes using Git, an open-
685 source version control system (*About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs*, n.d.). It is
686 used in the project to store the application's source code, manage the system's
687 source version control, and serve as a repository for the Latex files used in the
688 actual research.

689 Visual Studio Code

690 Visual Studio Code is a free, lightweight, and cross-platform source code editor
691 developed by Microsoft (*Why Visual Studio Code?*, 2021). As VS Code supports
692 this project's programming and scripting languages, it was chosen as the primary
693 source code editor.

694 Django

695 Django is a free and open-sourced Python-based web framework that offers an
696 abstraction to develop and maintain a secure web application. As this research
697 aims to create a well-developed and maintainable application, it is in the best
698 interest to follow an architectural pattern that developers and contributors in the
699 future can understand. Since Django adheres to Model-View-Template (MVT)
700 that promotes a clean codebase by separating data models, business logic, and
701 presentation layers, it became the primary candidate for the application's back-
702 bone.

703 Next.js

704 A report by Statista (2024) claims that React is the most popular front-end frame-
705 work among web developers. However, React has limitations that can be a nui-
706 sance in rapid software development, which includes routing and performance op-
707 timizations. This is where Next.js comes in—a framework built on top of React.
708 It offers solutions for React's deficiency, making it a rising star in the framework
709 race.

710 Postman

711 As the application heavily relies on the Application Programming Interface (API)
712 being thrown by the backend, it is a must to use a development tool that facilitates
713 the development and testing of the API. Postman is a freemium API platform
714 that offers a user-friendly interface to create and manage API requests (*What is*
715 *Postman? Postman API Platform*, n.d.).

716 3.2.2 Hardware

717 The web application was developed on laptop computers with minimum specifica-
718 tions of an 11th-generation Intel i5 CPU and 16 gigabytes of RAM. Furthermore,
719 an RTX 3060 mobile GPU was also utilized to retrain the integrated model locally.

720 3.2.3 Packages**721 Django REST Framework**

722 Django Rest Framework (DRF) is a third-party package for Django that provides a
723 comprehensive suite of features to simplify the development of robust and scalable
724 Web APIs (Christie, n.d.). These services include Serialization, Authentication
725 and Permissions, Viewsets and Routers, and a Browsable API .

726 Leaflet

727 One of the features of the web application is the ability to map the number
728 of cases using a Choropleth Map. Leaflet is the only free, open-sourced, and
729 most importantly, stable JavaScript package that can do the job. With its ultra-
730 lightweight size, it offers a comprehensive set of features that does not trade
731 off performance and usability (*Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for*
732 *interactive maps*, n.d.).

733 Chart.js

734 Another feature of the application is to provide users with informative, approach-
735 able data storytelling that is easy for everyone to understand. The transformation
736 of pure data points and statistics into figures such as charts is a big factor. Thus,
737 there is a need for a package that can handle this feature without compromising
738 the performance of the application. Chart.js is a free and open-source JavaScript
739 package that is made to meet this criteria as it supports various types of charts
740 (*Chart.js*, n.d.).

741 Tailwind CSS

742 Using plain CSS in production-quality applications can be counterproductive.
743 Therefore, CSS frameworks were developed to promote consistency and accelerate
744 the rapid development of web applications (Joel, 2021). One of these is Tailwind,
745 which offers low-level utility classes that can be applied directly to each HTML
746 element to create a custom design (*Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern web-*

⁷⁴⁷ *sites without ever leaving your HTML.*, n.d.). Given the limited timeline for this
⁷⁴⁸ project, using this framework is a wise choice due to its stability and popularity
⁷⁴⁹ among developers.

⁷⁵⁰ **Shadcn**

⁷⁵¹ Shadcn offers a collection of open-source UI boilerplate components that can be
⁷⁵² directly copied and pasted into one's project. With the flexibility of the provided
⁷⁵³ components, Shadcn allows developers to have full control over customization and
⁷⁵⁴ styling. Since this is built on top of Tailwind CSS and Radix UI, it is supported
⁷⁵⁵ by most modern frontend frameworks, including Next.js (Shadcn, n.d.).

⁷⁵⁶ **Zod**

⁷⁵⁷ Data validation is integral in this web application since it will handle crucial data
⁷⁵⁸ that will be used for analytical inferences and observations. Since Zod is primarily
⁷⁵⁹ used for validating and parsing data, it ensures proper communication between
⁷⁶⁰ the client and the server (Zod, n.d.).

⁷⁶¹ **3.3 Application Requirements**

⁷⁶² **3.3.1 Backend Requirements**

⁷⁶³ **Database Structure Design**

⁷⁶⁴ Determining how data flows and how it would be structured is crucial in creating
⁷⁶⁵ the system as it defines how extendible and flexible it would be for future features
⁷⁶⁶ and updates. Thus, creating a comprehensive map of data ensures proper nor-
⁷⁶⁷ malization that eliminates data redundancy and improves data integrity. Figure
⁷⁶⁸ 3.3 depicts the designed database schema that showcases the relationship between
⁷⁶⁹ the application's entities.




Figure 3.3: Entity-Relationship Database Schema Hybrid Diagram for DengueDash Database Structure

⁷⁷⁰ **3.3.2 User Interface Requirements**

⁷⁷¹ **Admin Interface**




Figure 3.4: Use Case Diagram for Admins

772 Figure 3.4 shows the actions of an admin for a specific Disease Reporting Unit
773 (DRU) and an admin for a specific Surveillance Unit can take in the application.
774 Both of them include the management of accounts, browsing records, and fore-
775 casting and retraining all the consolidated data under their supervision. Most
776 importantly, these users must verify the encoders who register under their ju-
777 risdiction before allowing their account to access the application in the name of
778 safeguarding the integrity of the data. The only advantage of the latter type of ad-
779 ministrator is that it has a one-step higher authorization as it manages the DRUs.
780 In addition, only the authorized surveillance unit administrator can register and
781 create a DRU to uphold transparency and accountability.

⁷⁸² **Encoder Interface**




Figure 3.5: Use Case Diagram for Encoder

⁷⁸³ Figure 3.5, on the other hand, illustrates the use cases for the system's primary
⁷⁸⁴ users. These users can register but must wait for further verification to access the
⁷⁸⁵ application. Similar to the previous interfaces, encoders can browse and manage
⁷⁸⁶ records, as well as forecast the consolidated cases under a specific surveillance or
⁷⁸⁷ disease reporting unit, but they are not allowed to retrain the model. Lastly, they
⁷⁸⁸ are the only type of user that can file and create dengue cases by filling out a form
⁷⁸⁹ with the required details.

790 **3.3.3 Security and Validation Requirements**

791 **Password Encryption**

792 Storing passwords as plain text in the database is a disgrace and a mortal sin in
793 production. It is important to implement precautionary methods such as hashing
794 and salting, followed by encryption with a strong algorithm, to prevent bad actors
795 from using the accounts for malicious transactions. By default, Django generates
796 a unique random salt for each password and encrypts it with Password-Based Key
797 Derivation Function 2 (PBKDF2) with a SHA256 hash function. Utilizing these
798 techniques ensures that in the event of a data breach, cracking these passwords
799 would be time-consuming and useless for the attackers.

800 **Authentication**

801 DengueWatch utilizes JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to authenticate the user. Since
802 the mechanism operates in a stateless manner, tokens are served only after a
803 successful login, eliminating the need for the server to keep a record of the token,
804 which is vulnerable to session hijacking. In addition, these tokens are signed with
805 a secret key, ensuring they have not been tampered with.

806 **Data Validation**

807 Both the backend and frontend should validate the input from the user to preserve
808 data integrity. Thus, Zod is implemented in the latter to help catch invalid inputs
809 from the user. By doing this, the user can only send proper requests to the server

which streamlines the total workflow. On the other hand, Django has also a built-in validator that checks the data type and ensures that the input matches the expected format on the server side. These validation processes ensure that only valid and properly formatted data is accepted, which reduces the risk of errors and ensures consistency across the web application.

⁸¹⁵ **Chapter 4**

⁸¹⁶ **Results and Discussion**

⁸¹⁷ **4.1 Data Gathering**

⁸¹⁸ The data for dengue case prediction was gathered from a variety of reliable sources,
⁸¹⁹ enabling a comprehensive dataset spanning from January 2011 to October 2024.
⁸²⁰ This dataset includes 720 rows of data, each containing weekly records of dengue
⁸²¹ cases along with corresponding meteorological variables, such as rainfall, temper-
⁸²² ature, and humidity.

⁸²³ 1. Dengue Case Data: The primary source of historical dengue cases came
⁸²⁴ from the Humanitarian Data Exchange and the Western Visayas Center for
⁸²⁵ Health Development (WVCHD). The dataset, accessed through Freedom of
⁸²⁶ Information (FOI) requests, provided robust case numbers for the Western
⁸²⁷ Visayas region. The systematic collection of these data points was essential
⁸²⁸ for establishing a reliable baseline for model training and evaluation.

829 2. Weather Data: Weekly weather data was obtained by web scraping from
 830 Weather Underground, allowing access to rainfall, temperature, wind, and
 831 humidity levels that correlate with dengue prevalence.

Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
2011-01-03	9.938571	29.444400	25.888890	23.888900	11.39	86.242857	5
2011-01-10	8.587143	30.000000	26.705556	24.444444	7.32	88.028571	4
2011-01-17	5.338571	30.000000	26.616667	25.000000	7.55	84.028571	2
2011-01-24	5.410000	30.555556	26.483333	20.555556	10.67	80.971429	7
2011-01-31	2.914286	28.333333	25.283333	18.650000	11.01	74.885714	2

Table 4.1: Snippet of the combined dataset

832 4.2 Exploratory Data Analysis

833 From Table 4.2, the dataset consists of 720 weekly records with 8 columns:

- 834 • **Time.** Weekly timestamps (e.g. “2011-w1”)
- 835 • **Rainfall.** Weekly average rainfall (mm)
- 836 • **MaxTemperature, AverageTemperature, MinTemperature.** Weekly
 837 temperature data (°C)
- 838 • **Wind.** Wind speed (m/s)
- 839 • **Humidity.** Weekly average humidity (%)
- 840 • **Cases.** Reported dengue cases

841 From the statistics in Table 4.3, the number of cases ranges from 0 to 319.

842 The average number of dengue cases per week is 23.74, with a median of 12 cases
 843 and a standard deviation of 37.14. The distribution is highly skewed, with some

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Data Type
0	Time	720 non-null	datetime64[ns]
1	Rainfall	720 non-null	float64
2	MaxTemperature	720 non-null	float64
3	AverageTemperature	720 non-null	float64
4	MinTemperature	720 non-null	float64
5	Wind	720 non-null	float64
6	Humidity	720 non-null	float64
7	Cases	720 non-null	int64

Table 4.2: Data Schema: Column Names, Non-Null Counts, and Data Types

844 weeks experiencing significant number of cases (up to 319 cases). Rainfall shows
 845 a wide variation (0 to 445mm), while temperature remains relatively stable, with
 846 an average of 28.1 degree celsius. Humidity levels ranges from 73% to 89% with
 847 a mean of 81.6%.

Statistic	Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
Count	720	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000	720.000000
Mean	2017-12-02 11:22:00	13.957499	32.191142	28.110319	25.038472	6.172417	81.609442	23.744444
Min	2011-01-03 00:00:00	0.000000	-14.600000	24.494444	12.222222	1.910000	73.185714	0.000000
25%	2014-06-21 06:00:00	1.270000	31.666667	27.504167	25.000000	4.117500	79.885713	5.000000
50% (Median)	2017-12-07 12:00:00	4.318000	32.222222	28.161111	25.000000	5.725000	81.771429	12.000000
75%	2021-05-11 18:00:00	10.414000	32.777778	28.751389	25.555556	7.860000	83.503571	26.000000
Max	2024-10-28 00:00:00	445.008000	58.333333	30.916667	32.222222	19.200000	89.571429	319.000000
Std Dev	N/A	35.448846	2.616379	0.999800	1.291659	2.446703	2.831674	37.144813

Table 4.3: Descriptive Statistics of the Combined Dataset

848 Figure 4.1 illustrates the trend of weekly dengue cases over time. The data
 849 reveals periodic spikes in the number of cases, suggesting a seasonal pattern in
 850 dengue cases. Notably, peak cases are observed during certain periods approx-
 851 imately 3 years, potentially aligning with specific climatic conditions such as
 852 increased rainfall or temperature changes. This underscores the importance of
 853 incorporating climate variables into the forecasting model.

854 Figure 4.2 shows the subplots of each features against dengue cases to display
 855 possible non-linear relationships. Each subplot shows:

- 856 • Raw values (scatter dots) of a weather feature (blue, left y-axis).




Figure 4.1: Trend of Dengue Cases

- 857 • Smoothed trends (blue line) via a 4-week rolling average.
- 858 • Smoothed dengue cases (red dashed line, right y-axis).

859 The subplots reveal that dengue cases tend to increase following peaks in rain-
 860 fall, indicating that heavy rainfall may create favorable breeding conditions for
 861 mosquitoes. This suggests a nonlinear relationship between rainfall and dengue
 862 incidence. Regarding Max Temperature, dengue case peaks appear to correspond
 863 with either peaks or troughs in maximum temperature, implying a potential con-
 864 nection between these variables. In contrast, Average Temperature and Min Tem-
 865 perature remain relatively stable over time, showing no clear association with
 866 spikes in dengue cases. Similarly, wind speed does not exhibit a noticeable rela-
 867 tionship with case fluctuations. Lastly, periods of high dengue incidence coincide
 868 with sustained high humidity levels, indicating a stronger potential correlation
 869 compared to wind or temperature alone. Based on these observations, rainfall,
 870 maximum temperature, and humidity emerge as the most promising features to
 871 include in the model training.




Figure 4.2: Feature-Cases Vs Time

872 4.3 Outbreak Detection

873 Add commentMore actions To identify outbreaks, we calculated the outbreak
 874 threshold value using the historical mean as the endemic channel. The threshold
 875 is determined using the formula:

$$\text{Outbreak Threshold Value} = \mu + 2\sigma \quad (4.1)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 2(37.144813) \quad (4.2)$$

$$= 23.744444 + 74.289626 \quad (4.3)$$

$$= 98.03407 \quad (4.4)$$

876 where μ is the historical mean and σ is the standard deviation.

877 This result indicates that dengue cases exceeding 98 in Iloilo City can be
 878 considered an outbreak. However, it is important to note that this threshold
 879 serves only as a baseline.

880 4.4 Model Training Results

881 The models were evaluated using three commonly used regression metrics: Mean
 882 Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and Mean Absolute
 883 Error (MAE). These metrics help assess how accurately each model forecasts
 884 dengue cases based on historical data. Table 4.4 presents a comparative analysis

885 of the models using these metrics.

- 886 • **MSE** represents the average of the squared differences between predicted
887 and actual values. It penalizes larger errors more heavily.
- 888 • **RMSE**, the square root of MSE, provides a more interpretable value in the
889 same units as the target (i.e., number of dengue cases).
- 890 • **MAE** calculates the average magnitude of the errors without considering
891 their direction, giving a more straightforward understanding of the average
892 prediction error.

893 In simpler terms, lower values in these metrics indicate that the model is
894 making more accurate predictions.

Method	LSTM	Seasonal ARIMA	ARIMA	Kalman Filter	KF-LSTM
Testing MSE	406.03	1261.20	1521.48	1474.82	785.35
Testing RMSE	20.15	34.45	39.00	38.40	25.56
Testing MAE	12.61	18.73	25.80	22.33	14.55
Best Parameters	Window Size: 5 Learning Rate: 0.01 Units: 64	(2,0,2)(0,1,1)	(1,2,2)	Observation Covariance: 10.0 Transition Covariance: 0.1 × Identity	Same as LSTM

Table 4.4: Comparison of different models for dengue prediction

895 As shown in Table 4.4, the LSTM model consistently achieved the lowest MSE
896 (406.03), RMSE (20.15), and MAE (12.61) among all evaluated models. This
897 suggests that, on average, the LSTM’s predictions were about 12 to 20 cases away
898 from the actual values, which is a strong indication of reliability for practical use
899 in public health decision-making.

900 In contrast, traditional time series models like Seasonal ARIMA and ARIMA
901 showed higher errors, indicating less accurate predictions. For example, the Sea-
902 sonal ARIMA model had an RMSE of 34.45, which implies that its forecasts devi-

903 ated from actual dengue case counts by around 34 cases on average, a significant
 904 discrepancy for health officials planning resource allocation.

905 The Kalman Filter and hybrid KF-LSTM models showed moderate perfor-
 906 mance. Although they did not outperform LSTM, the hybrid model (KF-LSTM)
 907 still reduced errors compared to the standalone Kalman Filter.

908 These results highlight the potential of LSTM-based models to provide timely
 909 and accurate forecasts that can support early intervention, resource planning, and
 910 policy formulation to combat dengue outbreaks in Iloilo City.

911 4.4.1 LSTM Model

912 The LSTM model was tuned for the following parameters: learning rate and units.
 913 The hyperparameter tuning was conducted for each window size, finding the best
 914 parameters for each window size. Further evaluating which window size is most
 915 suitable for the prediction model, Table 4.5 shows the evaluation metrics for each
 window size used in the LSTM model training.

Window Size	MSE	RMSE	MAE	R ²
5	406.03	20.15	12.61	0.76
10	1037.77	32.21	26.79	0.39
20	427.39	20.67	13.61	0.75

Table 4.5: Comparison of Window Sizes

916

917 The results indicate that a window size of 5 weeks provides the most accurate
 918 predictions, as evidenced by the lowest MSE and RMSE values. Furthermore, the
 919 R² score of 0.76 indicates that 76% of the variability in the target variable (cases)
 920 is explained by the independent variables (the inputs) in the model, making it a

921 reliable configuration overall.

922 As shown in Table 4.6, the results from time series cross-validation indicate
 923 consistent performance trends, with a window size of 5 yielding the highest average
 924 RMSE across all folds compared to the other window sizes.

Window Size	Average RMSE	Average MAE	Average R ²
5	16.69	9.06	0.79
10	17.08	10.40	0.75
20	16.93	8.75	0.81

Table 4.6: Time-Series Cross Validation Results: Comparison of Window Sizes

925 Figure 4.3 illustrates the model's performance in predicting dengue cases for
 926 each fold using a window size of 5. As shown in the plot, the training set pro-
 927 gressively increases with each fold, mimicking a real-world scenario where more
 928 data becomes available over time for dengue prediction. Figure 4.4 demonstrates
 929 that the predicted cases closely follow the trend of the actual cases, indicating
 930 that the LSTM model successfully captures the underlying patterns in the data.
 931 It is also evident that as the fold number increases and the training set grows, the
 932 accuracy of the predictions on the test set improves. Despite the test data being
 933 unseen, the model exhibits a strong ability to generalize, suggesting it effectively
 934 leverages past observations to predict future trends.




Figure 4.3: Training Folds - Window Size 5




Figure 4.4: Testing Folds - Window Size 5

935 4.4.2 ARIMA Model

936 The ARIMA model was developed to capture non-seasonal trends in the data.
 937 To determine the best model configuration, grid search was used to explore vari-
 938 ous combinations of ARIMA parameters, ultimately selecting **ARIMA(1, 2, 2)**.
 939 The model was iteratively refined over **400 iterations** to ensure convergence to
 940 an optimal solution. Figure 4.5 illustrates the comparison between actual and
 941 predicted dengue cases in the test set. As shown in the plot, the ARIMA model
 942 struggled to capture the non-linear characteristics and abrupt spikes in the data.
 943 Consequently, it failed to accurately reflect the fluctuations and outbreak patterns
 944 seen in the actual case counts.




Figure 4.5: ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

945 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
 946 forecasting capability. The ARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

- 947 • **MSE (Mean Squared Error):** 1521.48
- 948 • **RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error):** 39.01
- 949 • **MAE (Mean Absolute Error):** 25.80

950 4.4.3 Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Model

951 To address the limitations of the ARIMA model, a Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA)
 952 model was developed to capture both non-seasonal and seasonal variations in the

953 data.

954 This model incorporates seasonal parameters, which were tuned using grid
 955 search to find the best configuration: **SARIMA(2, 0, 2)(0, 1, 1)[52]**. As with
 956 ARIMA, **400 iterations** were applied to ensure a robust fit. As shown in Figure
 957 4.6, the SARIMA model demonstrates a notable improvement in performance.
 958 Unlike its non-seasonal counterpart, it effectively captures the general trend and
 959 aligns more closely with the peaks observed in the actual dengue cases, indicating
 960 its ability to model seasonal dynamics.




Figure 4.6: Seasonal ARIMA Prediction Results for Test Set

961 The model's performance was assessed using regression metrics to evaluate its
 962 forecasting capability. The SARIMA model yielded the following error metrics:

963 • **MSE:** 1109.69

964 • **RMSE:** 33.31

965 • **MAE:** 18.09

966 The lower error values, when compared to the ARIMA model, highlight the
967 SARIMA model's superior capability in forecasting dengue cases. Its effective-
968 ness in capturing seasonal patterns contributed to a more accurate representation
969 of the actual cases.

970 After training the model, the SARIMA model was validated using the same
971 Time Series Cross-Validation strategy employed in the LSTM model. Table 4.7
972 presents the performance metrics for each fold, as well as the average metrics
973 across all folds. The average RMSE and MAE values were close to those obtained
974 during the initial training phase, indicating that the SARIMA model performed
975 consistently across different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	659.68	25.68	16.00
2	2127.49	46.12	21.30
3	996.43	31.56	18.89
Average	1261.20	34.45	18.73

Table 4.7: Comparison of SARIMA performance for each fold

976 4.4.4 Kalman Filter Model

977 Figure 4.7 shows the comparison between the actual dengue cases and the pre-
978 dicted values on the test set. As illustrated in the plot, the Kalman Filter model
979 demonstrates a moderate ability to follow the general trend of the actual data.

980 While it effectively captures some rising and falling patterns, it still struggles to
 981 accurately replicate the sharp peaks and extreme values found in the real case
 982 counts. This limitation is particularly noticeable during the large spikes in 2022
 983 and 2024. The model's performance was evaluated using standard regression met-
 984 rics. The results are as follows:

$$\text{MSE} = 1474.82, \quad \text{RMSE} = 38.40, \quad \text{MAE} = 22.34$$




Figure 4.7: Kalman Filter Prediction Results for Test Set

985 The Kalman Filter was then combined with the LSTM model in order to see
 986 improvements in its predictions. Table 4.8 shows the metrics across three folds
 987 using the same Time Series Cross Validation Strategy employed in the previous
 988 models to see how it performed on different time segments.

Fold	MSE	RMSE	MAE
1	113.59	10.66	6.42
2	752.51	27.43	12.11
3	1489.95	38.60	25.13
Average	785.35	25.56	14.55

Table 4.8: Comparison of KF-LSTM performance for each fold

989 As can be seen in the table above, the performance of the hybrid model demon-
 990 strated improvements in all metrics as compared to just using the Kalman Filter
 991 alone.

992 4.5 Model Simulation

993 To evaluate the LSTM model's real-world forecasting ability, a simulation was
 994 conducted to predict dengue cases for the year 2025. The model was retrained
 995 exclusively, using the parameters found from the initial training, on data from 2011
 996 to January 2025, using both dengue cases and weather variables. Importantly, the
 997 actual dengue case values for 2025 were never included during training. Instead,
 998 only the weather variables collected for 2025 were input into the model to generate
 999 predictions for that year. After prediction, the forecasted dengue cases for 2025
 1000 were compared against the true observed cases to assess the model's accuracy.
 1001 Figure 4.8 shows that the predicted values closely follow the trend, although it
 1002 may overestimate the dengue cases in some weeks.




Figure 4.8: Predicted vs Actual Dengue Cases 2025

1003 Retraining the model is essential to ensure it remains accurate and responsive
 1004 to the evolving trends of dengue case patterns over time. Ideally, the model should
 1005 be updated whenever new data becomes available to capture recent dynamics.
 1006 However, given the computational cost associated with retraining, a more practical
 1007 approach is to update the model on a monthly basis. This allows the incorporation
 1008 of approximately four weeks' worth of new data, providing a meaningful update
 1009 to the model's predictive capabilities without excessive resource consumption.
 1010 Furthermore, this schedule aligns with the typical data release cycle of provincial
 1011 health offices, which, based on the researchers' experience, usually occurs monthly.
 1012 This balance between accuracy and efficiency ensures that the model remains both
 1013 up-to-date and manageable in real-world deployment.

₁₀₁₄ **4.6 System Prototype**

₁₀₁₅ **4.6.1 Home Page**

₁₀₁₆ The Home Page is intended for all visitors to the web application. The Analytics
₁₀₁₇ Dashboard, which displays relevant statistics for dengue cases at a certain time
₁₀₁₈ and location, is the primary component highlighted, as seen in Figure 4.9. This
₁₀₁₉ component includes a combo chart that graphs the number of dengue cases and
₁₀₂₀ deaths per week in a specific year, a choropleth map that tracks the number of
₁₀₂₁ dengue cases per barangay in a location, and various bar charts that indicate the
₁₀₂₂ top constituent places affected by dengue.




Figure 4.9: Home Page

1023 4.6.2 User Registration, Login, and Authentication

1024 The registration page, as shown in 4.10 serves as a gateway to access the au-
 1025 thenticated pages of the web application. Only prospective encoders can create
 1026 an account since administrator accounts are only made by existing administra-
 1027 tor accounts to protect the data's integrity in production. After registering, the
 1028 "encoder account" cannot access the authorized pages yet as it needs to be veri-
 1029 fied first by an administrator managing the unit the user entered. Once verified,

1030 the user can log in to the system through the page shown in Figure 4.11. After
1031 entering the correct credentials, which consist of an email and password, the
1032 system uses HTTP-only cookies containing JSON Web Tokens (JWT) to prevent
1033 vulnerability to Cross-site Scripting (XSS) attacks. It will then proceed to the
1034 appropriate page for the type of user it belongs to. Logging out on the other
1035 hand, will remove both the access and refresh tokens from the browser, and will
1036 blacklist the latter token to make it unusable for security purposes.

The screenshot shows the 'Sign Up' page of the DengueDash application. At the top, there is a dark header bar with the 'DengueDash' logo on the left and 'Dashboard' and 'Login' links on the right. Below the header, the main form has a light gray background. The title 'Sign Up' is centered at the top of the form, followed by the sub-instruction 'Create your account to get started'. The form consists of several input fields arranged in a grid:

First Name	Middle Name (Optional)
John	David
Last Name	Sex
Doe	Select gender
Email	Region
john@example.com	Select region
Surveillance Unit	DRU
Select surveillance unit	Select DRU
Password	Confirm Password
Must be at least 8 characters long	

At the bottom of the form is a large black button labeled 'Create Account'. Below this button, a small link reads 'Already have an account? Sign in'.

Figure 4.10: Sign Up Page




Figure 4.11: Login Page

¹⁰³⁷ 4.6.3 Encoder Interface

¹⁰³⁸ Case Report Form

¹⁰³⁹ Figures 4.12 and 4.13 show the digitized counterpart of the form obtained from the
¹⁰⁴⁰ Iloilo Provincial Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit. As the system aims to sup-
¹⁰⁴¹ port expandability for future features, some fields were modified to accommodate
¹⁰⁴² more detailed input. It is worth noting that all of the included fields adhere to the
¹⁰⁴³ latest Philippine Integrated Disease and Surveillance Response (PIDS) Dengue
¹⁰⁴⁴ Forms, which the referenced form was based on. By doing this, if implemented
¹⁰⁴⁵ on a national scale, the transition between targeted users will be easier. More-
¹⁰⁴⁶ over, the case form includes the patient's basic information, dengue vaccination
¹⁰⁴⁷ status, consultation details, laboratory results, and the outcome. On the other
¹⁰⁴⁸ hand, encoders can also create case records using a "bulk upload" feature that

1049 makes use of a formatted CSV file template. As shown in Figure 4.14, an encoder
1050 can download the template using the "Download Template" button, and insert
1051 multiple records inside the file, then upload it by clicking the "Click to upload"
1052 button. The web application automatically checks the file for data inconsistencies
1053 and validation.

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the 'DengueDash' application. The left sidebar displays navigation links for 'Analytics', 'Forms' (selected), and 'Data Tables'. The main content area is titled 'Case Report Form' and contains three tabs: 'Personal Information' (selected), 'Clinical Status', and 'Vaccination'. The 'Personal Information' tab includes sections for 'Personal Detail' (with fields for First Name, Middle Name, Last Name, Suffix, Sex, Date of Birth, and Civil Status), 'Address' (with fields for Region, Province, City, Barangay, Street, and House No.), and 'Vaccination' (with fields for Date of First Vaccination and Date of Last Vaccination). A 'Bulk Upload' button is located at the top right of the form area. On the far left, a user profile is visible: CN Charles Larson Griffin, bakerwendy@example.com.

Figure 4.12: First Part of Case Report Form

The screenshot shows the 'Case Report Form' page within the DengueDash application. The left sidebar includes 'Analytics', 'Forms' (selected), 'Case Report Form' (highlighted in blue), and 'Data Tables'. The top navigation bar shows 'Forms > Case Report Form'. A 'Bulk Upload' button is in the top right. The main area has tabs for 'Personal Information' (selected) and 'Clinical Status'. The 'Clinical Status' tab contains sections for 'Consultation' and 'Laboratory Results'. In 'Consultation', fields include 'Date Admitted/Consulted/Seen' (date picker) and 'Is Admitted?' (select dropdown). In 'Laboratory Results', sections are provided for NS1 (Pending Result), IgG ELISA (Pending Result), IgM ELISA (Pending Result), and PCR (Pending Result), each with a date picker for 'Date done'. Below these are sections for 'Outcome' (Case Classification and Outcome) and 'Date of Death' (date picker). At the bottom are 'Previous' and 'Submit' buttons.

Figure 4.13: Second Part of Case Report Form





Figure 4.14: Bulk Upload of Cases using CSV

1054 Browsing, Update, and Deletion of Records

1055 Once the data generated from the case report form or the bulk upload is validated,
 1056 it will be assigned as a new case and can be accessed through the Dengue Reports
 1057 page, as shown in Figure 4.15. The said page displays basic information about
 1058 the patient related to a specific case, including their name, address, date of con-
 1059 sultation, and clinical and case classifications. It is also worth noting that it only
 1060 shows cases that the user is permitted to view. For example, in a local Disease
 1061 Reporting Unit (DRU) setting, the user can only access records that belong to
 1062 the same DRU. On the other hand, in a consolidated surveillance unit such as a
 1063 regional, provincial, or city quarter, its users can view all the records from all the

1064 DRUs that report to them. In addition, the user can also search for a case using
 1065 the name, location, date of consultation, or classifications that are associated with
 1066 the specific query, making it easier to find pertinent information quickly and effi-
 1067 ciently. Moving forward, Figure 4.16 shows the detailed case report of the patient
 1068 on a particular consultation date.



Case ID	Name	Barangay	City	Date Consulted	Clinical Classification	Case Classification	Action
25017062	Corey, Cassie Jonathan	Magsawayay	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017082	Kirk, Dominique Jacqueline	Rizal Palapala II	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-28	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017067	Henderson, Joel Lindsey	Santa Rosa	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017077	Flynn, Christina Shannon	Tabuc Suba (Jaro)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-27	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017070	Green, Monique Mark	West Habog-habog	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-26	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017061	Thomas, Lisa Jared	San Pedro (Molo)	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017079	Esparza, Nicole Stephanie	Tabucan	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-24	Severe dengue	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>
25017069	James, Emily Sara	Libertad, Santa Isabel	ILOILO CITY (Capital)	2024-12-23	With warning signs	Confirmed	<button>Open</button>

< Previous 1 2 ... 712 Next >

CN Robert Welch Johns... moryjames@example.org

Figure 4.15: Dengue Reports

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left, a sidebar lists modules: Analytics, Forms, Data Tables, and Dengue Reports. The main area displays a "Personal Information" section with fields for Full Name (Doe, John David), Date of Birth (April 29, 2025), Sex (Male), and Civil Status (Married). Below it is a "Vaccination Status" section with First Dose (May 7, 2025) and Last Dose (May 13, 2025). The "Case Record #25016448" section contains fields for Date of Consultation (April 30, 2025), Patient Admitted? (No), Date Onset of Illness (April 29, 2025), and Clinical Classification (With warning signs). The "Laboratory Results" section lists pending results for NS1, IgG Elisa, IgM Elisa, and PCR. The "Outcome" section shows Case Classification (Probable) and Outcome (Dead). The "Interviewer" section lists the interviewer as Griffin, Charles Larson, and the facility as Saint Paul's Hospital. At the top right of the main area are "Update Case" and "Delete Case" buttons.

Figure 4.16: Detailed Case Report

1069 To update the case, the user can click the "Update Case" button, where a
 1070 dialog will appear, and the updateable fields will be shown. It is worth noting
 1071 that in this case, only fields under Laboratory Results and Outcome are included
 1072 since they are the only ones that are time-based, where the result may change
 1073 in the future. After updating, a prompt will show confirming the user's action.
 1074 Moving forward, to delete a case record, the user must click the "Delete Case"
 1075 button, and a prompt verifying the action will appear. After confirming, the case

1076 will be deleted permanently.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left, there's a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Analytics, Forms, Data Tables, and Dengue Reports. The 'Dengue Reports' section is expanded, showing a list of cases. One case, 'Case Record #25016548', is selected and shown in a modal dialog. The dialog has tabs for 'Personal Information', 'Vaccination Status', 'Case Record', 'Laboratory Results', 'Outcome', and 'Interviewer'. The 'Laboratory Results' tab is active, displaying results for NS1, IgG Elisa, IgM Elisa, and PCR. The 'Outcome' tab shows the case is 'Confirmed' and 'Alive'. The 'Interviewer' tab shows 'Griffin, Charles Larson' as the interviewer at 'Saint Paul's Hospital'. At the bottom of the dialog, there are 'Cancel' and 'Save Changes' buttons.

Figure 4.17: Update Report Dialog




Figure 4.18: Delete Report Alert Dialog

1077 Forecasting

1078 The piece de resistance of the web application's feature is the Forecasting Page.
 1079 This is where users can forecast dengue cases for the next few weeks. To predict,
 1080 the application utilizes the exported LSTM model in a Keras format derived from
 1081 training the consolidated data from the database. The said file stores the model's
 1082 architecture and the learned parameters, which include the weights and biases, so
 1083 that it can predict cases without training the data again. Furthermore, it requires

the recent weekly dengue cases and weather variable data (temperature, humidity, and rainfall) to form a sequence based on the window size. This allows the web application to display a line chart with the anticipated number of dengue cases over the following four weeks. Moving forward, the Forecasting page, as shown in Figure 4.19, introduces a user-friendly interface that shows the current cases for the week and the predictions for the next two weeks with a range of 90 percent to 110 percent confidence interval that is presented in a simple but organized manner. There is also a line chart that shows the number of cases from the last 5 weeks plus the forecasted weekly cases. In addition, the current weather data for a specific week is also shown, as well as the forecasted weather data fetched from the OpenWeather API. Lastly, locations where dengue cases have been reported for the current week are listed in the Location Risk Assessment component.




Figure 4.19: Forecasting Page

1096 4.6.4 Admin Interface

1097 Retraining

1098 With LSTM being the best-performing model among the models used in forecast-
 1099 ing dengue cases, it is the model chosen to power the prediction and retraining

of the consolidated data within the web application. Since the retraining process consumes a lot of processing power and requires a more advanced understanding of how it works, it was decided that the said feature should only be available to admin users of surveillance units. Furthermore, the retraining component in the Forecasting page includes three additional components that include the configuration of LSTM parameters (Figure 4.20), the actual retraining of the consolidated data from the database (Figure 4.21), and the results of the retraining that shows the current and previous model metrics depending on the parameters entered (Figure 4.22). It is also worth noting that when training, the model used a seeded number to promote reproducibility.




Figure 4.20: Retraining Configurations

4.6. SYSTEM PROTOTYPE

69




Figure 4.21: Start Retraining




Figure 4.22: Retraining Results

1110 Managing Accounts

1111 Proper management of accounts is important to protect the integrity and confi-
1112 dentiality of data. Thus, it is crucial for administrators to track their users and
1113 control the flow of information. As discussed in the user registration of encoders,
1114 admin users from a specific DRU or surveillance unit have the power to grant
1115 them access to the web application. Figure 4.24 illustrates the interface for this
1116 scenario, as the admins can approve or reject their applications. Once approved,
1117 these users can access the features given to encoders and may be promoted to
1118 have administrative access, as shown in Figure 4.25. The same figure also shows
1119 the expanded details of the user, which include personal information and brief
1120 activity details within the system. When deleting an account, the user’s email
1121 will be blacklisted and illegible to use when creating another account, and all the
1122 cases reported by this user will be soft-deleted. However, the blacklist status can
1123 be reverted by clicking the ”Unban” button, which would make the user of the
1124 email be able to register to the web application again as shown in Figure 4.26.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with 'Modules' and 'Accounts' expanded, showing 'Manage Accounts'. Below the sidebar, there's a user profile for 'Iloilo City Epidemiol...' with the email 'iloiloeusu@gmail.com'. The main content area is titled 'Manage Accounts' and shows a table of registered accounts. The table has columns for Name, Email, Role, Sex, and Actions. One row is visible: 'Daniel Santiago Brandt' with email 'brandon02@example.org', role 'Encoder', sex 'Female', and an 'Open' button in the Actions column. At the top of the main content area, there are tabs for 'Verified', 'Pending', and 'Blacklisted', with 'Verified' selected.

Figure 4.23: List of Verified Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with 'Modules' and 'Accounts' expanded, showing 'Manage Accounts'. Below the sidebar, there's a user profile for 'Saint Paul's Hospital' with the email 'saintpaul@gmail.com'. The main content area is titled 'Manage Accounts' and shows a table of pending accounts. The table has columns for Name, Email, Sex, Created At, and Actions. One row is visible: 'John David Doe' with email 'testereee@example.gov.ph', sex 'Male', created at '2025-05-15', and buttons for 'Approve' and 'Delete' in the Actions column. At the top of the main content area, there are tabs for 'Verified', 'Pending', and 'Blacklisted', with 'Pending' selected.

Figure 4.24: List of Pending Accounts

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with a logo, the title "DengueDash", and a "Modules" section containing "Accounts" (selected), "Manage Accounts", "Analytics", and "Data Tables". At the bottom of the sidebar is a user info card: "CN: Saint Paul's Hospital" and "Email: saintpaul@gmail.com". The main content area has a header "User Profile" and a sub-header "View and manage user details". It displays the following user information:

Name	Charles Larson Griffin	Email	bakerwendy@example.org
Sex	Female	Role	Encoder
Hospital (DRU)	Saint Paul's Hospital		

Below this are timestamped log entries: "Created At: May 5 2025 at 04:47:12", "Updated At: May 15 2025 at 05:56:45", and "Last Login: May 15 2025 at 16:53:47". At the bottom are two buttons: "Promote to Admin" and "Delete User".

Figure 4.25: Account Details

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. The sidebar is identical to Figure 4.25. The main content area has a header "Manage Accounts" and a sub-header "View and manage registered and pending accounts". Below this is a filter bar with three tabs: "Verified" (selected), "Pending", and "Blacklisted". A table lists the accounts:

Email	Date Added	Actions
testereee@example.gov.ph	2025-05-15	<button>Unban</button>

Figure 4.26: List of Blacklisted Accounts

1125 **Managing DRUs**

1126 Unlike the registration of encoder accounts, the creation of Disease Reporting
1127 Units can only be done within the web application, and the user performing the
1128 creation must be an administrator of a surveillance unit. Figure 4.27 presents the
1129 fields the admin user must fill out, and once completed, the new entry will show
1130 as being managed by that unit, as shown in Figure 4.28. Figure 4.29, on the other
1131 hand, shows the details provided in the registration form as well as its creation
1132 details. There is also an option to delete the DRU, and when invoked, all the
1133 accounts being managed by it, and the cases reported under those accounts will
1134 be soft-deleted.

The screenshot shows the DengueDash application interface. On the left is a sidebar with 'Modules' listed: Accounts, DRU (selected), Analytics, and Data Tables. The main area has a breadcrumb navigation: Dru > Add. The title is 'Register Disease Reporting Unit'. Below the title is a sub-instruction: 'Add a new Disease Reporting Unit to the surveillance system.' The form consists of several input fields:

- Name:** A text input field with placeholder 'Enter DRU name' and a note: 'The official name of the Disease Reporting Unit.'
- Address Information:** Two dropdown menus: 'Region' (placeholder 'Select Region') and 'Province' (placeholder 'Select Province').
- City/Municipality:** A dropdown menu with placeholder 'select city/Municipality'.
- Barangay:** A dropdown menu with placeholder 'select barangay'.
- Street Address:** A text input field with placeholder 'House/Building No., Street Name'.
- Email:** A text input field with placeholder 'example@health.gov'.
- Contact Number:** A text input field with placeholder '+63 912 345 6789'.
- DRU Type:** A dropdown menu with placeholder 'Select DRU type' and a note: 'The category that best describes this reporting unit.'

A large black 'Register DRU' button is located at the bottom right of the form area. At the bottom left of the page, there is a footer with 'CN Illoilo City Epidemiol...' and an email address 'illoilcesu@gmail.com'.

Figure 4.27: Disease Reporting Unit Registration

DengueDash

Modules

- Accounts
- DRU
 - Manage DRU
 - Add DRU
- Analytics
- Data Tables

CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... iloilocesu@gmail.com

Manage Disease Reporting Units

View and manage Disease Reporting Units

DRU Name	Email	Action
Molo District Health Center	molodistricthealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Jaro Health Center	jarohealth@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>
Saint Paul's Hospital	saintpaul@gmail.com	<button>Open</button>

Figure 4.28: List of Disease Reporting Units

DengueDash

Modules

- Accounts
- DRU
 - Manage DRU
 - Add DRU
- Analytics
- Data Tables

CN Iloilo City Epidemiol... iloilocesu@gmail.com

Disease Reporting Unit Profile

View and manage DRU details

Name of DRU Molo District Health Center	Email molodistricthealth@gmail.com
Address M.H. Del Pilar Street, Molo, Molo, ILOILO CITY (Capital), ILOILO	Contact Number 09123456782
Region Region VI (Western Visayas)	Surveillance Unit Iloilo CESU
DRU Type CHO/MHO/PHO	
Created At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11	Updated At May 5 2025 at 04:47:11

Delete DRU

Figure 4.29: Disease Reporting Unit details

4.7 User Testing

To evaluate the usability of the system, the System Usability Scale (SUS) was utilized. SUS is a Likert-scale-based questionnaire comprising 10 items that are critical to assessing system usability. A total of five participants completed the survey. Their responses were processed following the step-by-step calculation method adopted from (Babich, n.d.). The resulting usability scores for each participant are shown in Table 4.9.

Participant	Usability Score
1	95.0
2	90.0
3	85.0
4	87.5
5	85.0
Average	88.5

Table 4.9: Computed System Usability Scores per Participant

The average System Usability Scale (SUS) score across systems is typically 68 (Babich, n.d.). In this testing, the system achieved an average SUS score of 88.5, indicating a highly positive user experience. This score suggests that participants found the system not only enjoyable to use but also intuitive enough to recommend to others. Furthermore, it demonstrates that the system is suitable for real-world applications without presenting significant complexity for first-time users.

₁₁₄₉ **Chapter 5**

₁₁₅₀ **Conclusion**

₁₁₅₁ The development of DengueWatch marks a transformative leap forward in public
₁₁₅₂ health technology, providing Iloilo City with a centralized system to combat one
₁₁₅₃ of the most persistent mosquito-borne diseases. Previously, data was recorded
₁₁₅₄ manually on paper, making tracking and analysis slow and error-prone. Dengue-
₁₁₅₅ Watch digitizes this process, enabling faster, more accurate monitoring. More
₁₁₅₆ than an academic project, DengueWatch serves as a practical solution aimed at
₁₁₅₇ shifting the approach from reactive outbreak response to proactive prevention. By
₁₁₅₈ combining deep learning models with real-time climate data integration, the sys-
₁₁₅₉ tem achieves a level of accuracy and usability that makes it viable for real-world
₁₁₆₀ deployment.

₁₁₆₁ At the heart of DengueWatch is a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural
₁₁₆₂ network, which outperformed traditional forecasting models such as ARIMA and
₁₁₆₃ Kalman Filter. The LSTM model achieved a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of
₁₁₆₄ 20.15, followed by the hybrid KF-LSTM model with an RMSE of 25.56, demon-

strating a substantial improvement in predictive capability. Consequently, the LSTM model was selected for integration into the DengueWatch system. Re-training the model monthly strikes a balance between maintaining accuracy and managing computational costs. It allows the model to incorporate new trends from the latest four weeks of data and aligns with the typical monthly data release schedule of provincial health offices.

Usability testing further underscored DengueWatch's readiness for real-world deployment. The system achieved an average System Usability Scale (SUS) score of 88.5, significantly above the industry benchmark of 68. This indicates that users found the system intuitive, efficient, and suitable for operational use in public health contexts. Key features such as a user-friendly dashboard and a two-week forecasting window ensure that the system supports timely, effective responses.

Beyond its immediate application in Iloilo City, the framework behind DengueWatch holds the potential for broader impact. With minor adaptations, it can be scaled nationally through integration with Department of Health surveillance systems.

¹¹⁸² Chapter 6

¹¹⁸³ References

- ¹¹⁸⁴ About GitHub and Git - GitHub Docs. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://docs.github.com/en/get-started/start-your-journey/about-github-and-git>
- ¹¹⁸⁵ Ahmadini, A. A. H., Naeem, M., Aamir, M., Dewan, R., Alshqaq, S. S. A., & Mashwani, W. K. (2021). Analysis and Forecast of the Number of Deaths, Recovered Cases, and Confirmed Cases from COVID-19 for the Top Four Affected Countries Using Kalman Filter. *Frontiers in Physics*, 9, 629320.
- ¹¹⁸⁶ Arroyo-Marioli, F., Bullano, F., Kucinskas, S., & Rondón-Moreno, C. (2021). Tracking R of COVID-19: A New Real-Time Estimation Using the Kalman Filter. *PLOS ONE*, 16(1), e0244474.
- ¹¹⁸⁷ Babich, N. (n.d.). *How to Use the System Usability Scale (SUS) to Evaluate the Usability of Your Website, year=2015*. Usability Geek. Retrieved from <https://usabilitygeek.com/how-to-use-the-system-usability-scale-sus-to-evaluate-the-usability-of-your-website/> (Accessed: 2025-04-26)

- 1199 Bobbitt, Z. (2021, November 17). *The Five Assumptions for Pearson Correlation*. Retrieved from <https://www.statology.org/pearson-correlation-assumptions/> (Statology)
- 1200
1201
1202 Bosano, R. (2023). WHO: PH Most Affected by Dengue in Western Pacific. Retrieved Use the date of access, from <https://news.abs-cbn.com/spotlight/12/22/23/who-ph-most-affected-by-dengue-in-western-pacific>
- 1203
1204
1205
1206 Brady, O. J., Smith, D. L., Scott, T. W., & Hay, S. I. (2015). Dengue Disease Outbreak Definitions Are Implicitly Variable. *Epidemics*, 11, 92–102.
- 1207
1208 Bravo, L., Roque, V. G., Brett, J., Dizon, R., & L’Azou, M. (2014). Epidemiology of Dengue Disease in the Philippines (2000–2011): A Systematic Literature Review. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 8(11), e3027.
- 1209
1210
1211 Carvajal, T. M., Viacrucis, K. M., Hernandez, L. F. T., Ho, H. T., Amalin, D. M., & Watanabe, K. (2018). Machine Learning Methods Reveal the Temporal Pattern of Dengue Incidence Using Meteorological Factors in Metropolitan Manila, Philippines. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 18, 1–15.
- 1212
1213
1214
1215 Chart.js. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.chartjs.org/>
- 1216 Cheong, Y. L., Burkart, K., Leitão, P. J., & Lakes, T. (2013). Assessing Weather Effects on Dengue Disease in Malaysia. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 10(12), 6319–6334.
- 1217
1218
1219 Christie, T. (n.d.). *Home - Django REST framework*. Retrieved from <https://www.djangoproject-rest-framework.org/>
- 1220
1221 Colón-González, F. J., Fezzi, C., Lake, I. R., & Hunter, P. R. (2013). The Effects of Weather and Climate Change on Dengue. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 7(11), e2503.
- 1222
1223
1224 Hemisphere, N. (2015). Update on the Dengue Situation in the Western Pacific

- 1225 Region. *Update*.
- 1226 Hii, Y. L., Zhu, H., Ng, N., Ng, L. C., & Rocklöv, J. (2012). Forecast of Dengue
 1227 Incidence Using Temperature and Rainfall. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 6(11), e1908.
- 1228
- 1229 Htoon, K. S. (2021, December 13). *Log Transformation: Purpose and In-*
 1230 *terpretation*. [https://medium.com/@kyawsawtoon/log-transformation](https://medium.com/@kyawsawtoon/log-transformation-purpose-and-interpretation-9444b4b049c9)
 1231 -purpose-and-interpretation-9444b4b049c9. (Medium)
- 1232 Joel, C. (2021, 10). *6 reasons to use Tailwind over traditional CSS*. Re-
 1233 trieved from [https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind](https://dev.to/charliejoel/6-reasons-to-use-tailwind-over-traditional-css-1nc3)
 1234 -over-traditional-css-1nc3
- 1235 Leaflet — an open-source JavaScript library for interactive maps. (n.d.). Retrieved
 1236 from <https://leafletjs.com/>
- 1237 Li, X., Feng, S., Hou, N., Li, H., Zhang, S., Jian, Z., & Zi, Q. (2022). Applications
 1238 of Kalman Filtering in Time Series Prediction. In *International conference*
 1239 on *intelligent robotics and applications* (pp. 520–531).
- 1240 Ligue, K. D. B., & Ligue, K. J. B. (2022). Deep Learning Approach to Forecast-
 1241 ing Dengue Cases in Davao City Using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM).
 1242 *Philippine Journal of Science*, 151(3).
- 1243 Perla. (2024). *Iloilo Beef Up Efforts Amid Hike in Dengue Cases*. Retrieved Use
 1244 the date of access, from <https://www.pna.gov.ph/articles/1231208>
- 1245 RabDashDC. (2024). *RabDash DC*. Retrieved Use the date of access, from
 1246 <https://rabdash.com>
- 1247 Runge-Ranzinger, S., Kroeger, A., Olliaro, P., McCall, P. J., Sánchez Tejeda, G.,
 1248 Lloyd, L. S., . . . Coelho, G. (2016). Dengue Contingency Planning: From
 1249 Research to Policy and Practice. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 10(9),
 1250 e0004916.

- 1251 Shadcn. (n.d.). *Introduction*. Retrieved from <https://ui.shadcn.com/docs>
- 1252 *Tailwind CSS - Rapidly build modern websites without ever leaving your HTML*.
- 1253 (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://tailwindcss.com/>
- 1254 Watts, David M and Burke, Donald S and Harrison, Bruce A and Whitmire, Ralph
- 1255 E and Nisalak, Ananda. (2020). Effect of temperature on the transmission
- 1256 of dengue virus by **aedes aegypti**. *The American Journal of Tropical*
- 1257 *Medicine and Hygiene*, 36(1), 143–152.
- 1258 *What is Postman? Postman API Platform*. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.postman.com/product/what-is-postman/>
- 1259
- 1260 *Why Visual Studio Code?* (2021, 11). Retrieved from <https://code.visualstudio.com/docs/editor/whyvscode>
- 1261
- 1262 World Health Organization (WHO). (2018). Dengue and severe dengue in the
- 1263 philippines. *WHO Dengue Factsheet*. (Available at: <https://www.who.int>)
- 1264
- 1265 Zhou, S., & Malani, P. (2024). What Is Dengue? *JAMA*, 332(10), 850–850.
- 1266 Zod. (n.d.). *TypeScript-First Schema Validation with Static Type Inference*. Re-
- 1267 trieved from <https://zod.dev/?id=introduction> (Accessed: 2025-04-
- 1268 26)

¹²⁶⁹ **Appendix A**

¹²⁷⁰ **Data Collection Snippets**

Time	Rainfall	MaxTemperature	AverageTemperature	MinTemperature	Wind	Humidity	Cases
2011-w1	9.938571429	29.4444	25.88889	23.8889	11.39	86.24285714	5
2011-w2	8.587142857	30	26.70555556	24.44444444	7.32	88.02857143	4
2011-w3	5.338571429	30	26.61666667	25	7.55	84.02857143	2
2011-w4	5.41	30.55555556	26.48333333	20.55555556	10.67	80.97142857	7
2011-w5	2.914285714	28.33333333	25.28333333	18.65	11.01	74.88571429	2
2011-w6	3.44	31.11111111	27.53333333	25	3.69	85.27142857	5
2011-w7	1.444285714	30	27.06111111	25	6.69	82.1	6
2011-w8	0.7685714286	30.55555556	27.03333333	25	7.82	76.18571429	2
2011-w9	4.064285714	30.55555556	26.75	24.44444444	6.64	84.1	5
2011-w10	1.252857143	31.11111111	27.7	25.55555556	7.92	80.18571429	4
2011-w11	7.068571429	28.33333333	26.03888889	23.88888889	10.35	85.7	2
2011-w12	3.567142857	30.55555556	26.4	24.44444444	9.57	87.74285714	3
2011-w13	2.745714286	30	26.61666667	25	10.05	86.25714286	2
2011-w14	0.09285714286	30	27.44444444	25	8.25	76.32857143	4
2011-w15	1.008571429	31.11111111	26.89444444	22.77777778	7.93	80.64285714	5
2011-w16	0.8771428571	31.66666667	28.43333333	24.44444444	4.76	80.35714286	3
2011-w17	2.15	32.77777778	28.87222222	25.55555556	5.54	81.77142857	5
2011-w18	3.062857143	32.77777778	28.62777778	25	5.15	81.9	4
2011-w19	9.828571429	32.77777778	27.97222222	25	4.18	85.77142857	5
2011-w20	2.848571429	33.33333333	29.71111111	25.55555556	3.77	79.65714286	3
2011-w21	11.74142857	32.77777778	28.51111111	25	4.28	81.81428571	3
2011-w22	14.52857143	32.77777778	28.47222222	25.55555556	4.04	82.45714286	4
2011-w23	11.51714286	32.22222222	28.26111111	24.44444444	3.91	84.24285714	9
2011-w24	8.784285714	32.22222222	27.71111111	24.44444444	3.87	84.7	3
2011-w25	10.43714286	32.77777778	27.47777778	24.44444444	4.46	86.52857143	13
2011-w26	6.702857143	32.22222222	28.39444444	25	3.94	81.87142857	7
2011-w27	14.48	32.22222222	27.66666667	25	4.19	85.42857143	13
2011-w28	3.32	32.22222222	27.49444444	19.68333333	6.69	80.78571429	9
2011-w29	17.33714286	32.77777778	27.95555556	25	3.57	80.05714286	10
2011-w30	22.77	31.66666667	26.63888889	24.44444444	5.13	88.01428571	16
2011-w31	9.875714286	32.77777778	27.81111111	25	4.66	83.11428571	13

Figure A.1: Snippet of Consolidated Data




Figure A.2: Snippet of Weather Data Collection




Figure A.3: Letter of Approval for User Testing in UPV HSU

Please enter your participant number: 2

System Usability Scale (SUS)

This is a standard questionnaire that measures the overall usability of a system. Please select the answer that best expresses how you feel about each statement after using the system today.

	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Neutral	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I think I would like to use DengueWatch frequently to monitor and forecast dengue cases.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. I found DengueWatch unnecessarily complex when entering or analyzing data.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3. I thought DengueWatch was easy to use, even for someone unfamiliar with AI forecasting tools.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4. I think I would need the support of an IT or technical person to use all the features of DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5. I found the visualizations (charts, maps, graphs) in DengueWatch to be well-integrated and informative.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in how different parts of the DengueWatch system behaved.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7. I believe most public health workers would learn to use DengueWatch very quickly.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8. I found DengueWatch very cumbersome to use when entering weekly case reports or viewing forecasts.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
9. I felt confident in using DengueWatch to get the information I needed for decision-making.	<input type="checkbox"/>				
10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with DengueWatch.	<input type="checkbox"/>				

How likely are you to recommend this website to others? (please circle your answer)

Not at all likely 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Extremely likely

Please provide important comments about the website:

Figure A.4: System Usability Score Questionnaire