Solicitation Number: 80JSC023R0007

Procurement Title: Neutral Buoyancy Laboratory (NBL) Operations Contract II (NOC II)

#	Category	Section	Page Number	Туре	Question/Comment	Answer
19	Other Section L Proposal Instructions	NBL NOC II SOW 1.13 L.15.i, L.21.2.(c)	C-17 L-11, L-26	Clarity	SOW 1.13 requires a Quality Plan and Reports (DRD-NOCII- 13). The DRD states the Quality Plan is due with the proposal. Will the Government consider excluding the Quality Plan from the 65 page limit? L.15.i specifies an electronic submission of the proposal using NASA's Enterprise File Sharing and Sync Box (EFSS). However, L.21.2.(c) requires offerors to submit a printed copy of the Attachment L-9, Technical Resources Summary Template (TRST). Please clarify the requirements for TRST submittal.	Reference NOC II dRFP Comment Dispositions Set 1, Question 1. Submission of DRD-NOCII-12 Quality Plan and Reports will be due at Contract Start + 30 Days; therefore the page limitation will not apply This update has been incorporated in the Final RFP. L.21.2 (c), Resources, has been updated to remove the requirement for submission of a printed copy of the Technical Resources Summary Template (TRST). The TRST shall be submitted electronically with the rest of the proposal.
20	Other	Attachment J-6	J-6-2 and J-6-	Other	Section 7. IDIQs doesn't have the same subsection requirements of Section 5. Core Projects (Estimating, Mgmt, Implementation) yet the list of	All section 7 IDIQ references to SOW Section 1.16 Configuration Management, have been consolidated to only reference section 1.16.

					required SOW references is even longer for Section 7. Will the Government consider reducing the SOW references for Section 7?	Additionally, section 7.1 Facility Projects and 7.2 Mockup Projects have otherwise been updated to match sections 5.1 Facility Projects and 5.2 Mockup Projects, with the only difference being that sections 7.1 and 7.2 also include a reference to SOW Section 3.0 IDIQ.
21	Other	Attachment J-21	J-21.8 thru J- 21.10	Clarity	Standard Labor Categories may have error in identifying Exempt Labor Categories as Non-Exempt. Please clarify the classification of Standard Labor Categories as Exempt or Non-Exempt. The following positions are classified as Non-Exempt but appear to be Exempt positions: IT II, IT I, Business Specialist II, Business Specialist I, Technical Professional III, Technical Professional III, Technical Professional III, Technical Professional III.	The Standard Labor Categories IT II, IT I, Business Specialist II, Business Specialist I, Technical Professional III, Technical Professional II, Technical Professional I were incorrectly listed as Non-Exempt in the Draft RFP. They have been corrected to Exempt in the Final RFP.