Report Types	Partr	ners	Beth-Israel Deaconess Center (BI	Med	Univers (UPMC	sity of Pitts	burgh M	led Center	Total
		harge maries		Summaries	Dischar (UPMC	ge Summa CD)		Patient Notes (UPMCP)	
Training		97	7	3		98		81	349
Testing		133	12	23		102		119	477
Concept 7	Гуре	Count in	Partners	Count in E	BIDMC	Count in I	JPMCD	Count in U	JPMCP
		Training	Testing	Training	Testing	Training	Testing	g Training	Testing
Tests		1571	3258	3036	5967	1217	1357	1544	2317
Treatmen	ts	1768	3784	3073	5560	2308	2118	1347	2098
Problems		2885	5545	4187	7047	2728	2653	2167	3305
Assertion	Type	Count	in Partners	Count in	BIDMC	Count in	UPMD	Count in	UPMP
	71	Trainir			Testing	Training	Testin	g Training	Testing
Absent		658	1115	938	1479	378	408	561	607
Associate		31	45	57	86	3	13	0	1
with some	eone								
else		-					1.5		
Condition		38	74	35	74	16	16	14	7
Hypotheti	ical	165	156	217	289	242	257	26	15
Present		1901	3887	2712	4735	1974	1855		2548
Possible		91	268	218	384	114	104	112	127
Relation	Гуре		Partners	Count in 1		Count in		Count in	
		Training		Training	Testing	Training	Testin		Testing
PIP		236	638	519	810	319	293	165	245
TeCP		68	171	98	167	82	70	55	180
TeRP	ΓeRP 42		1132	564	928	398	402	343	571
TrAP			773	583	959	349	319	189	436
TrCP			179	117	163	80	61	32	41
TrIP	TrIP		60	34	92	43	38	13	8
TrNAP		21	44	41	68	23	30	21	49
TrWP		7	60	17	49	23	22	9	12

Table 1: Number of reports and samples in each class of concepts, assertions, and relations in the 2010 i2b2/VA challenge data.

	Jiang et	Kang et	Gurulingappa et	Patrick et	Torii &	Jonnalagadda &	Sasaki et	Roberts et	Pai et
	al.	al.	al.	al.	Liu	Gonzalez	al.	al.	al.
deBruijn et al.	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Jiang et al.		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Kang et al.			NS	NS	*	*	*	*	*
Gurulingappa et al.				NS	*	*	*	*	*
Patrick et al.					*	*	*	*	*
Torii & Liu						NS	*	*	*
Jonnalagadda &							*	*	*
Gonzalez								•	
Sasaki et al.								*	*
Roberts et al.									*

Table 3: Statistical significance of exact F-measures in the concept extraction task. * indicates that the system along the row is significantly different from the system along the column at p=0.05. NS means "not significant difference" at p=0.05. Only the upper diagonal is marked.

System	Medical	Problems	S	Treatment	S		Tests		
	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F
deBruijn et al.	0.847	0.868	0.857	0.825	0.862	0.843	0.834	0.877	0.855
Jiang et al.	0.834	0.861	0.847	0.800	0.859	0.828	0.801	0.881	0.839
Kang et al.	0.831	0.811	0.821	0.792	0.833	0.812	0.801	0.862	0.830
Gurulingappa									
et al.	0.807	0.839	0.823	0.771	0.842	0.805	0.799	0.853	0.826
Patrick et al.	0.799	0.835	0.817	0.775	0.856	0.814	0.789	0.862	0.824
Torii and Liu	0.784	0.860	0.821	0.758	0.859	0.806	0.761	0.863	0.809
Jonnalagadda									
and Gonzalez	0.792	0.824	0.808	0.776	0.832	0.803	0.791	0.844	0.817
Sasaki et al.	0.786	0.814	0.799	0.738	0.834	0.783	0.790	0.863	0.825
Roberts et al.	0.787	0.813	0.800	0.754	0.807	0.779	0.804	0.810	0.807
Pai et al.	0.776	0.805	0.790	0.738	0.805	0.770	0.770	0.836	0.802

Table 4: Exact metrics.

System	Medical	Problems	3	Treatment	S		Tests		
	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F
deBruijn et al.	0.915	0.938	0.926	0.887	0.927	0.906	0.884	0.930	0.906
Jiang et al.	0.905	0.934	0.919	0.863	0.927	0.894	0.848	0.933	0.888
Kang et al.	0.909	0.887	0.898	0.861	0.906	0.883	0.854	0.919	0.886
Gurulingappa									
et al.	0.890	0.925	0.907	0.840	0.918	0.877	0.859	0.917	0.887
Patrick et al.	0.878	0.917	0.897	0.834	0.921	0.875	0.839	0.916	0.876
Torii and Liu	0.871	0.955	0.911	0.831	0.942	0.883	0.828	0.939	0.880
Jonnalagadda									
and Gonzalez	0.880	0.914	0.897	0.849	0.910	0.878	0.856	0.913	0.884
Sasaki et al.	0.866	0.897	0.881	0.799	0.903	0.848	0.838	0.915	0.875
Roberts et al.	0.877	0.906	0.891	0.832	0.890	0.860	0.872	0.879	0.876
Pai et al.	0.870	0.902	0.886	0.819	0.894	0.855	0.826	0.897	0.860

Table 5: Inexact metrics.

	Clark at al	Demner-	Jiang et	Grouin	Divita et	Cohen	Roberts	Anick	Chang
	Clark et al	Demner- Fushman et al.	al.	et al.	al.	et al.	et al.	et al.	et al.
deBruijn et al.	NS	NS	NS	*	*	*	*	*	*
Clark et al.		NS	NS	NS	NS	*	*	*	*
Demner-Fushman et al.			NS	NS	NS	*	*	*	*
Jiang et al.				NS	NS	NS	NS	*	*
Grouin et al.					NS	NS	NS	*	*
Divita et al.						NS	NS	*	*
Cohen et al.							NS	NS	*
Roberts et al.								NS	*
Anick et al.									NS

Table 7: Statistical significance of F-measures in the assertion classification task. * indicates that the system along the row is significantly different from the system along the column at p=0.05. NS means "not significant difference" at p=0.05. Only the upper diagonal is marked.

	deBruijn	Grouin	Patrick	Jonnalagadda &	Divita et	Solt et	Demner-	Anick	Cohen
	et al.	et al.	et al.	Gonzalez et al.	al.	al.	Fushman et al.	et al.	et al.
Roberts et al.	NS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
deBruijn et al.		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Grouin et al.			NS	NS	*	*	*	*	*
Patrick et al.				NS	NS	*	*	*	*
Jonnalagadda &					NS	*	*	*	*
Gonzalez					110		•		
Divita et al.						*	*	*	*
Solt et al.							NS	NS	*
Demner-								NS	NS
Fushman et al.								110	110
Anick et al.									NS

Table 8: Statistical significance of F-measures in the relation classification task. * indicates that the system along the row is significantly different from the system along the column at p=0.05. NS means "not significant difference" at p=0.05. Only the upper diagonal is marked.

System	Present	t		Absent		Possible		Conditi	ional		Hypoth	etical		Associated with someone else		1		
	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F
deBruijn et al.	0.981	0.939	0.959	0.934	0.951	0.942	0.530	0.818	0.643	0.152	0.963	0.263	0.861	0.909	0.884	0.724	0.955	0.824
Clark et al.	0.980	0.937	0.958	0.920	0.955	0.937	0.532	0.772	0.630	0.287	0.803	0.422	0.859	0.924	0.890	0.779	0.983	0.869
Demner- Fushman et al.	0.983	0.932	0.957	0.923	0.958	0.940	0.509	0.815	0.626	0.257	0.759	0.384	0.792	0.937	0.859	0.766	0.917	0.835
Jiang et al.	0.980	0.933	0.956	0.917	0.959	0.938	0.529	0.792	0.634	0.269	0.780	0.400	0.798	0.885	0.839	0.779	0.942	0.853
Grouin et al.	0.970	0.942	0.956	0.947	0.931	0.939	0.538	0.738	0.622	0.240	0.746	0.363	0.830	0.928	0.876	0.779	0.856	0.816
Divita et al.	0.979	0.931	0.954	0.930	0.943	0.936	0.453	0.800	0.579	0.222	0.905	0.357	0.830	0.928	0.876	0.786	1.000	0.880
Cohen et al.	0.975	0.934	0.954	0.926	0.944	0.935	0.514	0.759	0.613	0.298	0.850	0.442	0.816	0.930	0.869	0.510	0.673	0.580
Roberts et al.	0.978	0.934	0.955	0.908	0.950	0.929	0.519	0.769	0.619	0.263	0.672	0.378	0.801	0.868	0.833	0.738	0.856	0.793
Anick et al.	0.964	0.940	0.952	0.934	0.935	0.935	0.515	0.696	0.592	0.216	0.487	0.300	0.824	0.810	0.817	0.793	0.913	0.849
Chang et al.	0.966	0.933	0.949	0.924	0.937	0.930	0.579	0.753	0.654	0.252	0.494	0.333	0.707	0.842	0.769	0.807	0.813	0.810
T	11 0	Day alac	1.		4.	1	4.											

Table 9: Per class results on assertion classification.

System	Medical F Relations	roblem—T	reatment	Medical F Relations	Problem—T	est	Medical Problem—Medical Problem Relations			
	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	
Roberts et al.	0.686	0.672	0.679	0.833	0.798	0.815	0.726	0.664	0.694	
deBruijn et al.	0.583	0.750	0.656	0.789	0.843	0.815	0.712	0.691	0.701	
Grouin et al.	0.646	0.647	0.647	0.801	0.792	0.797	0.645	0.670	0.657	
Patrick et al.	0.599	0.671	0.633	0.774	0.813	0.793	0.627	0.677	0.651	
Jonnalagadda and										
Gonzalez	0.679	0.581	0.626	0.828	0.765	0.795	0.730	0.586	0.650	
Divita et al.	0.582	0.704	0.637	0.782	0.794	0.788	0.534	0.710	0.610	
Solt et al.	0.629	0.621	0.625	0.779	0.801	0.790	0.711	0.469	0.565	
Demner-Fushman et al.	0.612	0.642	0.626	0.677	0.835	0.748	0.533	0.662	0.591	
Anick et al.	0.619	0.596	0.608	0.787	0.744	0.765	0.502	0.631	0.559	
Cohen et al.	0.578	0.606	0.591	0.781	0.750	0.765	0.492	0.627	0.552	

Table 10: Per relation type results on relation classification.

System	TrIP			TrWP			TrCP			TrAP			TrNAP		
	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F	R	P	F
Roberts et al.	0.298	0.562	0.389	0.035	0.278	0.062	0.565	0.542	0.554	0.814	0.707	0.757	0.199	0.432	0.272
deBruijn et															
al.	0.177	0.833	0.292	0.000	NaN	0.000	0.327	0.747	0.455	0.730	0.748	0.739	0.126	0.774	0.216
Grouin et al.	0.414	0.458	0.435	0.168	0.774	0.276	0.435	0.550	0.486	0.760	0.676	0.715	0.251	0.495	0.333
Patrick et al.	0.157	0.861	0.265	0.028	0.800	0.054	0.480	0.495	0.487	0.725	0.699	0.712	0.131	0.556	0.212
Jonnalagadd															
a &															
Gonzalez	0.207	0.612	0.309	0.007	0.200	0.014	0.457	0.537	0.494	0.835	0.589	0.691	0.147	0.400	0.215
Divita et al.	0.197	0.780	0.315	0.035	0.833	0.067	0.367	0.715	0.485	0.719	0.702	0.710	0.105	0.690	0.182
Solt et al.	0.313	0.591	0.409	0.056	0.667	0.103	0.493	0.389	0.435	0.743	0.685	0.713	0.220	0.316	0.259
Demner-															
Fushman et															
al.	0.369	0.635	0.467	0.126	0.346	0.185	0.491	0.536	0.512	0.712	0.675	0.693	0.199	0.376	0.260
Anick et al.	0.237	0.528	0.328	0.014	0.182	0.026	0.561	0.442	0.495	0.731	0.632	0.678	0.157	0.517	0.241
Cohen et al.	0.096	0.576	0.165	0.007	0.200	0.014	0.356	0.608	0.449	0.729	0.608	0.663	0.052	0.435	0.094

Table 11: Per class results on medical problem—treatment relations.

System	TeRP			TeCP			
	R	P	F	R	P	F	
Roberts et al.	0.906	0.825	0.864	0.456	0.594	0.516	
deBruijn et al.	0.880	0.842	0.861	0.316	0.857	0.462	
Grouin et al.	0.881	0.813	0.846	0.391	0.612	0.477	
Patrick et al.	0.840	0.840	0.840	0.430	0.614	0.506	
Jonnalagadda and Gonzalez	0.911	0.784	0.843	0.400	0.596	0.479	
Divita et al.	0.886	0.793	0.837	0.245	0.818	0.377	
Solt et al.	0.826	0.842	0.834	0.536	0.577	0.556	
Demner-Fushman et al.	0.733	0.872	0.796	0.393	0.594	0.473	
Anick et al.	0.848	0.765	0.804	0.475	0.597	0.529	
Cohen et al.	0.861	0.766	0.810	0.369	0.599	0.457	

Table 12: Per class results on medical problem—test relations.

System	PIP		
	R	P	F
Roberts et al.	0.726	0.664	0.694
deBruijn et al.	0.712	0.691	0.701
Grouin et al.	0.645	0.670	0.657
Patrick et al.	0.627	0.677	0.651
Jonnalagadda and Gonzalez	0.730	0.586	0.650
Divita et al.	0.534	0.710	0.610
Solt et al.	0.711	0.469	0.565
Demner-Fushman et al.	0.533	0.662	0.591
Anick et al.	0.502	0.631	0.559
Cohen et al.	0.492	0.627	0.552

Table 13: Per class results on medical problem—medical problem relations.