

# Virtualization of a Real-Time Operating System for Robot Control with a Focus on Real-Time Compliance

Halil Pamuk, BSc.

Sebastian Rauh, MSc. Beng.



# Introduction

- Robots perform time-critical tasks
- Real-time = deterministic and predictable
- Delays → catastrophic consequences
- General-Purpose Operating System vs. Real-Time Operating System




Figure 1: Non-preemptible Kernel [1]




Figure 2: Preemptible Kernel [1]

# Problem and Task Definition


Virtualization of Real-Time Operating Systems	
Advantages	Disadvantages
Scalability & flexibility	Increased overhead and latency
Cheaper	Performance variability
Remote management	Complexity

- **Research Question:** Is it possible, and if so, how can the latency of a real-time operating system virtualization be reduced using Yocto, Xenomai, and QEMU to a level that is closer to that of bare metal (below 50  $\mu$ s)?

# Application Context and Conditions


- This work was written at SIGMATEK GmbH & Co KG
- **Host OS:** Ubuntu 22.04.4 LTS, PREEMPT-RT
- **Guest OS:** Salamander 4
  - Built with Yocto [2]
  - Virtualized through Quick Emulator (QEMU) [3]
  - Hard real-time with Xenomai 3 [4]
- Trace-cmd [5] and Kernelshark [6] for kernel tracing and visualization

# Methodology



# Results – Latency Comparison

- 10 minutes with a sampling period of 100  $\mu\text{s}$ , priority of 99



Version	Latency ( $\mu\text{s}$ )			Overruns
	Min	Avg	Max	
Bare Metal	0.613	1.380	10.709	0
Untuned Virtualization	2.536	8.940	707.622	43
After BIOS Configurations	0.969	3.948	457.545	22
After Kernel Configurations	2.545	4.811	21.694	0
After Host Configurations	2.591	4.834	18.441	0
After QEMU Configurations	2.614	4.779	17.134	0

Table 1: Comparison of Latency Results

# Results – Robotic Application

- Difference between command issuance time and signal arrival at PWM
- 1,000 samples

Version	Latency (ms)			Std Dev (ms)
	Min	Avg	Max	
Bare Metal	1.211	1.347	1.49	0.082
Untuned Virtualization	3.1	24.603	129.46	13.876
Tuned Virtualization	1.219	2.62	3.988	0.812

Table 2: Comparison of Robotic Application Latency Results

# Discussion

## ✓ Latency Comparison

- Worst latency decreased from 707.622  $\mu\text{s}$  to 17.134  $\mu\text{s}$
- Close to bare metal's 10.709  $\mu\text{s}$
- No overruns
- Goal achieved

## ✓ Robotic Application

- Worst latency dropped from 129 ms to 3.988 ms
- Close to bare metal's 1.49 ms
- Tunings validated



# Outlook

- Additional configurations
- Other hypervisors and virtualization technologies
- More testing under workloads

# References

- [1] <https://ubuntu.com/blog/what-is-real-time-linux-ii>
- [2] <https://docs.yoctoproject.org/>
- [3] <https://www.qemu.org/>
- [4] <https://xenomai.org/>
- [5] <https://trace-cmd.org/>
- [6] <https://kernelshark.org/>

# Salamander 4 Bare Metal




Figure 12: Latency Distribution of Salamander 4 Bare Metal

Table 2: Latency Parameters of Bare Metal

Param	Samples	Average (μs)	Std Dev (μs)
min	599	0.711	0.454
avg	5,999,988	1.019	0.150
max	599	3.528	0.895

Table 3: Minimum, Average, and Maximum Latency with Overrun Counts of Bare Metal

Lat Min (μs)	Lat Avg (μs)	Lat Max (μs)	Overruns
0.613	1.380	10.709	0

# Salamander 4 Untuned Virtualization




Figure 13: Latency Distribution of Salamander 4 Untuned Virtualization

Table 4: Latency Parameters of Untuned Virtualization

Param	Samples	Average ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Std Dev ( $\mu\text{s}$ )
min	599	3.713	1.355
avg	5,999,922	8.247	2.521
max	599	45.705	52.196

Table 5: Minimum, Average, and Maximum Latency with Overrun Counts of Untuned Virtualization

Lat Min ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Lat Avg ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Lat Max ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Overruns
2.536	8.940	707.622	43

# Salamander 4 Bare Metal vs. Virtualization




Figure 14: Initial Comparison of Latency Distribution between Bare Metal and Virtualization

# Salamander 4 Virtualization BIOS Configurations

Table 6: BIOS Configurations for Real-Time Performance

Option	Status
Hyper Threading	Disabled
Intel SpeedStep®	Disabled
Intel® Speed Shift Technology	Disabled
C-States	Disabled
VT-d	Enabled

# Salamander 4 Virtualization after BIOS Configurations




Figure 15: Latency Distribution of Salamander 4 Virtualization after tuning BIOS Configurations

Table 7: Latency Parameters after BIOS Configurations

Param	Samples	Average ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Std Dev ( $\mu\text{s}$ )
min	599	1.419	0.507
avg	5,999,885	3.398	1.251
max	599	74.015	26.590

Table 8: Minimum, Average, and Maximum Latency with Overrun Counts after BIOS Configurations

Lat Min ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Lat Avg ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Lat Max ( $\mu\text{s}$ )	Overruns
0.969	3.948	457.545	22

# Salamander 4 Virtualization Kernel Configurations

```
1 GRUB_CMDLINE_LINUX="isolcpus=4 rcu_nocbs=4 rcu_nocb_poll nohz_full=4
    default_hugepagesz=1G hugepagesz=1G hugepages=8 intel_iommu=on
    rdt=l3cat nmi_watchdog=0 idle=poll clocksource=tsc tsc=reliable audit=0
    skew_tick=1 intel_pstate=disable intel.max_cstate=0
    intel_idle.max_cstate=0 processor.max_cstate=0
    processor_idle.max_cstate=0 nosoftlockup no_timer_check nospectre_v2
    spectre_v2_user=off kvm.kvmclock_periodic_sync=N kvm_intel.ple_gap=0
    irqaffinity=0"
```

Code 5: Kernel Configurations for Real-Time Performance



# Salamander 4 Virtualization after Kernel Configurations




Figure 16: Latency Distribution of Salamander 4 Virtualization after tuning Kernel Configurations

Table 9: Latency Parameters after Kernel Configurations

Param	Samples	Average (μs)	Std Dev (μs)
min	599	3.356	0.551
avg	5,999,972	4.036	0.290
max	599	13.484	1.454

Table 10: Minimum, Average, and Maximum Latency with Overrun Counts after Kernel Configurations

Lat Min (μs)	Lat Avg (μs)	Lat Max (μs)	Overruns
2.545	4.811	21.694	0

# Salamander 4 Virtualization Host Configurations

CPU Affinity and Isolation

Interrupt Affinity

RT-Priority

Disable RT Throttling

Disable Timer Migration

Set Device Driver Work Queue

Disable RCU CPU Stall Warnings

Stop Services

Disable Machine Check

Boot into Text-Based Environment

# Salamander 4 Virtualization after Host Configurations




Figure 17: Latency Distribution of Salamander 4 Virtualization after tuning Host Configurations

Table 13: Latency Parameters for Host Configurations

Param	Samples	Average ( $\mu$ s)	Std Dev ( $\mu$ s)
min	599	2.998	0.242
avg	5,999,972	4.043	0.255
max	599	12.124	1.828

Table 14: Minimum, Average, and Maximum Latency with Overrun Counts after Host Configurations

Lat Min ( $\mu$ s)	Lat Avg ( $\mu$ s)	Lat Max ( $\mu$ s)	Overruns
2.591	4.834	18.441	0

# Salamander 4 Virtualization QEMU Configurations

```
1      #!/bin/sh
2
3      if [ ! -d drive-c/ ]; then
4          echo "Filling drive-c/"
5          mkdir drive-c/
6          tar -C drive-c/ -xf stek-drive-c-image-sigmatek-core2.tar.gz
7      fi
8
9      exec taskset -c 4 chrt -f 99 qemu-system-x86_64 -M pc,accel=kvm -kernel
10         ./bzImage \
11         -m 2048 -drive
12             file=salamander-image-sigmatek-core2.ext4,format=raw,media=disk \
13         -append "console=ttyS0 console=tty1 root=/dev/sda rw panic=1
14             sigmatek_lrt.QEMU=1 ip=dhcp rootfstype=ext4 schedstats=enable nohlt
15             idle=poll quiet xeno_hal.smi=1 xenomai.smi=1 threadirqs" \
16         -net nic,model=e1000,netdev=e1000 -netdev bridge,id=e1000,br=nm-bridge \
17         -fsdev local,security_model=none,id=fsdev0,path=drive-c -device
18             virtio-9p-pci,id=fs0,fsdev=fsdev0,mount_tag=/mnt/drive-C \
19         -device vhost-vsock-pci,guest-cid=3,id=vsock0 \
20         -drive if=pflash,format=qcow2,file=ovmf.code.qcow2 \
21         -object memory-backend-ram,id=ram0,size=4G,prealloc=on \
22         -mem-prealloc -mem-path /dev/hugepages \
23         -device vfio-pci,host=03:00.0 \
24         -no-reboot -nographic
```

Tune LAPIC Timer Advance

Set QEMU Options for Real-Time VM

Code 10: Final QEMU Script for Salamander 4 Virtualization with PCI Configuration

# Priorities for Different Scheduling Policies

Table 11: Minimum and Maximum Priorities for Different Scheduling Policies

Scheduling Policy	Min Priority	Max Priority
SCHED_OTHER	0	0
SCHED_FIFO	1	99
SCHED_RR	1	99
SCHED_BATCH	0	0
SCHED_IDLE	0	0
SCHED_DEADLINE	0	0

# Salamander 4 Virtualization after QEMU Configurations




Figure 18: Latency Distribution of Salamander 4 Virtualization after tuning QEMU Configurations

Table 16: Latency Parameters after QEMU Configurations

Param	Samples	Average (μs)	Std Dev (μs)
min	599	3.125	0.432
avg	5,999,973	4.018	0.291
max	599	15.883	0.351

Table 17: Minimum, Average, and Maximum Latency with Overrun Counts after QEMU Configurations

Lat Min (μs)	Lat Avg (μs)	Lat Max (μs)	Overruns
2.614	4.779	17.134	0

# Robot



Figure 19: Mini-Robot of the Experiment [60]



Wire Color	Description
Brown	Ground wire connected to the ground of system
Red	Powers the motor typically +5V is used
Orange	PWM signal is given in through this wire to drive the motor

Figure 20: MG996R Servo Motor [62]

# Servo Motor with PWM module




Figure 23: Connection between PW 022 and Mini-Robot [65]



# Servo Motor Function




Figure 21: Controlling the MG996R Servo Motor [63]

# Robotic Application Setups




Figure 24: Setup of Salamander 4 Bare Metal




Figure 25: Setup of Salamander 4 Virtualization

# Robotic Application Flowchart




Figure 26: Flowchart of Robotic Application

# Results of Robotic Application






Figure 31: Comparison of Robotic Application Latency after Configurations

# Host Operating System

Table 1: Host Operating System Configuration

<b>CPU</b>	Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8700 CPU @ 3.20GHz, 6 cores
<b>Memory</b>	4 × 8GB DDR4-2666/2400 MHz, 32GB
<b>GPU</b>	Intel UHD Graphics 630 GPU
<b>Storage</b>	500GB NVMe SSD
<b>BIOS</b>	Dell Version 1.29.0
<b>OS</b>	Ubuntu 22.04.4 LTS
<b>Kernel Version</b>	6.8.0-40-generic

# Xenomai Approaches



# QEMU Script

```
1  #!/bin/sh
2
3  if [ ! -d drive-c/ ]; then
4      echo "Filling drive-c/"
5      mkdir drive-c/
6      tar -C drive-c/ -xf stek-drive-c-image-sigmatek-core2.tar.gz
7  fi
8
9  exec qemu-system-x86_64 -M pc,accel=kvm -kernel ./bzImage \
10 -m 2048 -drive
    file=salamander-image-sigmatek-core2.ext4,format=raw,media=disk \
11 -append "console=ttyS0 console=tty1 root=/dev/sda rw panic=1
    sigmatek_lrt.QEMU=1 ip=dhcp rootfstype=ext4" \
12 -net nic,model=e1000,netdev=e1000 -netdev bridge,id=e1000,br=nm-bridge \
13 -fsdev local,security_model=none,id=fsdev0,path=drive-c -device
    virtio-9p-pci,id=fs0,fsdev=fsdev0,mount_tag=/mnt/drive-C \
14 -device vhost-vsock-pci,guest-cid=3,id=vsock0 \
15 -drive if=pflash,format=qcow2,file=ovmf.code.qcow2 \
16 -no-reboot -nographic
```

Code 3: QEMU Script for starting Salamander 4 Virtualization

# Trace-cmd

```
1  # Vsocks settings
2  CONFIG_VSOCKETS=m
3  CONFIG_VHOST_VSOCK=m
4  CONFIG_VIRTIO_VSOCKETS=m
5  CONFIG_VIRTIO_VSOCKETS_COMMON=m
6  CONFIG_VSOCKETS_DIAG=m
7  CONFIG_VSOCKETS_LOOPBACK=m
8  # Tracing settings
9  CONFIG_TRACING=y
10 CONFIG_FTRACE=y
11 CONFIG_FUNCTION_TRACER=y
12 CONFIG_FUNCTION_GRAPH_TRACER=y
13 CONFIG_DYNAMIC_FTRACE=y
14 CONFIG_DYNAMIC_FTRACE_WITH_REGS=y
15 CONFIG_DYNAMIC_FTRACE_WITH_DIRECT_CALLS=y
16 CONFIG_DYNAMIC_FTRACE_WITH_ARGS=y
17 CONFIG_SCHED_TRACER=y
18 CONFIG_FTRACE_SYSCALLS=y
19 CONFIG_TRACER_SNAPSHOT=y
20 CONFIG_KPROBE_EVENTS=y
21 CONFIG_UPROBE_EVENTS=y
22 CONFIG_BPF_EVENTS=y
23 CONFIG_DYNAMIC_EVENTS=y
24 CONFIG_PROBE_EVENTS=y
25 CONFIG_SYNTH_EVENTS=y
26 CONFIG_HIST_TRIGGERS=y
```

Code 4: Kernel Flags for Vsocks and Tracing



# Trace-cmd

- `sudo trace-cmd record -e all -A @3:823 -name Salamander4 -e all`
- `sudo trace-cmd record -e kvm:kvm_entry -e kvm:kvm_exit -A @3:823 -name Salamander4 -e all`
- `sudo trace-cmd record -e kvm -e sched -e irq -e -A @3:823 -name Salamander4 -e all`

# Kernelshark




Figure 10: Guest vCPUs plotted on top of Host Threads in KernelShark