

1. ■ RA

 $\pi_{sname}(\pi_{sid}((\pi_{pid}\sigma_{color='red'}Parts)\bowtie Catalog)\bowtie Suppliers)$

■ SQL

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} SELECT & S.sname \\ FROM & Suppliers S, Parts P, Catalog C \\ WHERE & P.color='red' AND & C.pid=P.pid AND & C.sid=S.sid \\ \end{tabular}$

2. ■ RA

 $\pi_{sid}(\pi_{pid}(\sigma_{color='red' \vee color='green'}Parts) \bowtie catalog)$

■ SQL

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathtt{SELECT} & C.sid \\ \mathtt{FROM} & Catalog \ C, \ Parts \ P \\ \mathtt{WHERE} & (P.color = \text{`red' OR } P.color = \text{`green'}) \\ & \mathtt{AND} & P.pid = C.pid \end{array}$

3. ■ RA

 $\rho(R1, \pi_{sid}((\pi_{pid}\sigma_{color='red'}Parts) \bowtie Catalog)))$ $\rho(R2, \pi_{sid}\sigma_{address='221PackerStreet'}Suppliers)$ $R1 \cup R2$

```
\label{eq:where P.color} \text{WHERE} \quad \text{P.color} = \text{`red'} \; \text{AND} \; \; \text{P.pid} = \text{C.pid}
                     AND EXISTS (SELECT P2.pid
                                                    Parts P2, Catalog C2
                                         FROM
                                         \label{eq:color} {\tt WHERE} \quad {\tt P2.color} = {\tt `green'} \; {\tt AND} \; \; {\tt C2.sid} = {\tt C.sid}
                                                     AND P2.pid = C2.pid)

 ■

         RA
                                         (\pi_{sid,pid}Catalog)/(\pi_{pid}Parts)
          SQL
          SELECT C.sid
          FROM
                     Catalog C
          WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT P.pid
                                        FROM
                                                   Parts P
                                        WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT C1.sid
                                                                                  Catalog C1
                                                                      FROM
                                                                      WHERE C1.sid = C.sid
                                                                                  \mathtt{AND} \ \mathrm{C1.pid} = \mathrm{P.pid}))
6. ■ RA
                                  (\pi_{sid,pid}Catalog)/(\pi_{pid}\sigma_{color='red'}Parts)
```

SELECT C.sid

Parts P, Catalog C

FROM

Relational Algebra and Calculus

 SQL SELECT C.sid FROM Catalog C WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT P.pid FROM Parts P $\ \, \text{WHERE} \quad \text{P.color} = \text{`red'} \\$ AND (NOT EXISTS (SELECT C1.sid FROM Catalog C1 ${\tt WHERE} \quad C1.sid = C.sid \; {\tt AND}$ C1.pid = P.pid)))7. ■ RA $(\pi_{sid,pid}Catalog)/(\pi_{pid}\sigma_{color='red'\vee color='green'}Parts)$ SQLSELECT C.sid FROM Catalog C WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT P.pid FROM Parts P WHERE (P.color = 'red' OR P.color = 'green') AND (NOT EXISTS (SELECT C1.sid FROM Catalog C1 WHERE C1.sid = C.sid AND C1.pid = P.pid)))8. ■ RA $\rho(R1, ((\pi_{sid,pid}Catalog)/(\pi_{pid}\sigma_{color='red'}Parts)))$ $\rho(R2, ((\pi_{sid,pid}Catalog)/(\pi_{pid}\sigma_{color='green'}Parts)))$ $R1 \cup R2$

```
\operatorname{SQL}
        SELECT C.sid
                 Catalog C
        FROM
                 (NOT EXISTS (SELECT P.pid
        WHERE
                                  FROM Parts P
                                  {\tt WHERE \quad P.color = `red' \; AND}
                                  (NOT EXISTS (SELECT C1.sid
                                                  FROM
                                                           Catalog C1
                                                  WHERE C1.sid = C.sid AND
                                                           C1.pid = P.pid))))
                 OR ( NOT EXISTS (SELECT P1.pid
                                      FROM
                                               Parts P1
                                      WHERE P1.color = 'green' AND
                                      (NOT EXISTS (SELECT C2.sid
                                                                Catalog C2
                                                       FROM
                                                       {\tt WHERE} \quad C2.sid = C.sid \; {\tt AND}
                                                                C2.pid = P1.pid))))
9. ■ RA
                \rho(R1,Catalog)
                \rho(R2, Catalog)
                \pi_{R1.sid,R2.sid}(\sigma_{R1.pid=R2.pid \land R1.sid \neq R2.sid \land R1.cost > R2.cost}(R1 \times R2))
```

```
SQL
           SELECT C1.sid, C2.sid
           FROM
                      Catalog C1, Catalog C2
           WHERE C1.pid = C2.pid AND C1.sid \neq C2.sid
                      \mathtt{AND} \ \mathrm{C1.cost} > \mathrm{C2.cost}
10. ■
           RA
                                  \rho(R1, Catalog)
                                  \rho(R2, Catalog)
                                  \pi_{R1.pid}\sigma_{R1.pid=R2.pid \land R1.sid \neq R2.sid}(R1 \times R2)
           \operatorname{SQL}
           {\tt SELECT~C.pid}
           FROM
                      Catalog C
           WHERE
                     EXISTS (SELECT C1.sid
                                 FROM
                                            Catalog C1
                                 WHERE C1.pid = C.pid AND C1.sid \neq C.sid)
11.
           RA
                        \rho(R1, \pi_{sid}\sigma_{sname='YosemiteSham'}Suppliers)
                        \rho(R2, R1 \bowtie Catalog)
                        \rho(R3, R2)
                        \rho(R4(1 \rightarrow sid, 2 \rightarrow pid, 3 \rightarrow cost), \sigma_{R3.cost < R2.cost}(R3 \times R2))
                        \pi_{pid}(R2 - \pi_{sid,pid,cost}R4)
```

;

■ SQL

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} SELECT & C.pid \\ FROM & Catalog C, Suppliers S \\ WHERE & S.sname = 'Yosemite Sham' AND & C.sid = S.sid \\ & AND & C.cost \ge ALL & (Select C2.cost \\ & & FROM & Catalog C2, Suppliers S2 \\ & & WHERE S2.sname = 'Yosemite Sham' \\ & & AND & C2.sid = S2.sid) \\ \end{tabular}$

Exercise 4.5 Consider the following relations containing airline flight information:

```
Flights(<u>fino:</u> integer, from: string, to: string,

<u>distance:</u> integer, departs: time, arrives: time)

Aircraft(<u>aid:</u> integer, aname: string, cruisingrange: integer)

Certified(<u>eid:</u> integer, aid: integer)

Employees(eid: integer, ename: string, salary: integer)
```

Note that the Employees relation describes pilots and other kinds of employees as well; every pilot is certified for some aircraft (otherwise, he or she would not qualify as a pilot), and only pilots are certified to fly.

Write the following queries in relational algebra, tuple relational calculus, and domain relational calculus. Note that some of these queries may not be expressible in relational algebra (and, therefore, also not expressible in tuple and domain relational calculus)! For such queries, informally explain why they cannot be expressed. (See the exercises at the end of Chapter 5 for additional queries over the airline schema.)

- 1. Find the eids of pilots certified for some Boeing aircraft.
- 2. Find the *names* of pilots certified for some Boeing aircraft.
- 3. Find the *aids* of all aircraft that can be used on non-stop flights from Bonn to Madras.
- 4. Identify the flights that can be piloted by every pilot whose salary is more than \$100,000.
- 5. Find the names of pilots who can operate planes with a range greater than 3,000 miles but are not certified on any Boeing aircraft.

- 6. Find the eids of employees who make the highest salary.
- 7. Find the eids of employees who make the second highest salary.
- 8. Find the eids of employees who are certified for the largest number of aircraft.
- 9. Find the eids of employees who are certified for exactly three aircraft.
- 10. Find the total amount paid to employees as salaries.
- 11. Is there a sequence of flights from Madison to Timbuktu? Each flight in the sequence is required to depart from the city that is the destination of the previous flight; the first flight must leave Madison, the last flight must reach Timbuktu, and there is no restriction on the number of intermediate flights. Your query must determine whether a sequence of flights from Madison to Timbuktu exists for any input Flights relation instance.

Answer 4.5 In the answers below RA refers to Relational Algebra, TRC refers to Tuple Relational Calculus and DRC refers to Domain Relational Calculus.

1. ■ RA

 $\pi_{eid}(\sigma_{aname=`Boeing'}(Aircraft \bowtie Certified))$

■ SQL

SELECT C.eid FROM Aircraft A, Certified C WHERE A.aid = C.aid AND A.aname = 'Boeing'

2. ■ RA

 $\pi_{ename}(\sigma_{aname=`Boeing'}(Aircraft\bowtie Certified\bowtie Employees))$

\blacksquare SQL

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} SELECT & E.ename \\ FROM & Aircraft A, Certified C, Employees E \\ WHERE & A.aid = C.aid \begin{tabular}{lll} AND & A.aname = 'Boeing' \begin{tabular}{lll} AND & E.eid = C.eid \end{tabular}$

3. ■ RA

 $\rho(BonnToMadrid,\sigma_{from=`Bonn'\land to=`Madrid'}(Flights))\\ \pi_{aid}(\sigma_{cruisingrange>distance}(Aircraft\times BonnToMadrid))$

■ SQL

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathtt{SELECT} & A.\mathtt{aid} \\ \mathtt{FROM} & \mathtt{Aircraft} \ A, \ \mathtt{Flights} \ \mathtt{F} \\ \mathtt{WHERE} & \mathtt{F.from} = \mathrm{`Bonn'} \ \mathtt{AND} \ \mathtt{F.to} = \mathrm{`Madrid'} \ \mathtt{AND} \\ & A.\mathtt{cruisingrange} > \mathtt{F.distance} \\ \end{array}$

4. ■ RA

 $\pi_{flno}(\sigma_{distance < cruising range \land salary > 100,000}(Flights \bowtie Aircraft \bowtie Certified \bowtie Employees)))$

■ SQL

SELECT E.ename

FROM Aircraft A, Certified C, Employees E, Flights F

 $\mbox{WHERE} \quad A.aid = C.aid \mbox{ AND } E.eid = C.eid \mbox{ AND }$

distance < cruising
range AND salary > 100,000

5. $RA \quad \rho(R1, \pi_{eid}(\sigma_{cruisingrange}) (Aircraft \bowtie Certified)))$ $\pi_{ename}(Employees \bowtie (R1 - \pi_{eid}(\sigma_{aname} + Boeing'(Aircraft \bowtie Certified))))$

=

■ SQL

SELECT E.ename

FROM Certified C, Employees E, Aircraft A

 $\mbox{WHERE} \quad A.\mbox{aid} = C.\mbox{aid AND} \ E.\mbox{eid} = C.\mbox{eid AND} \ A.\mbox{cruisingrange} > 3000$

AND E.eid NOT IN (SELECT C2.eid

FROM Certified C2, Aircraft A2

WHERE C2.aid = A2.aid AND A2.aname = 'Boeing')

6. ■ RA

The approach to take is first find all the employees who do not have the highest salary. Subtract these from the original list of employees and what is left is the highest paid employees.

```
\rho(E1, Employees) \\ \rho(E2, Employees) \\ \rho(E3, \pi_{E2.eid}(E1 \bowtie_{E1.salary > E2.salary} E2) \\ (\pi_{eid}E1) - E3
```

■ SQL

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \text{SELECT} & \text{E.eid} \\ \text{FROM} & \text{Employees E} \\ \text{WHERE} & \text{E.salary} = \left( \begin{array}{ll} \text{Select MAX (E2.salary)} \\ & \text{FROM} \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array}
```

7. ■ RA

The approach taken is similar to the solution for the previous exercise. First find all the employees who do not have the highest salary. Remove these from the original list of employees and what is left is the highest paid employees. Remove the highest paid employees from the original list. What is left is the second highest paid employees together with the rest of the employees. Then find the highest paid employees of this new list. This is the list of the second highest paid employees.

```
\rho(E1, Employees) \\ \rho(E2, Employees) \\ \rho(E3, \pi_{E2.eid}(E1\bowtie_{E1.salary>E2.salary} E2) \\ \rho(E4, E2\bowtie E3) \\ \rho(E5, E2\bowtie E3) \\ \rho(E6, \pi_{E5.eid}(E4\bowtie_{E1.salary>E5.salary} E5) \\ (\pi_{eid}E3) - E6
```

■ SQL

8. This cannot be expressed in relational algebra (or calculus) because there is no operator to count, and this query requires the ability to count up to a number that depends on the data. The query can however be expressed in SQL as follows:

9. ■ RA

The approach behind this query is to first find the employees who are certified for at least three aircraft (they appear at least three times in the Certified relation). Then find the employees who are certified for at least four aircraft. Subtract the second from the first and what is left is the employees who are certified for exactly three aircraft.

```
\begin{split} &\rho(R1,Certified) \\ &\rho(R2,Certified) \\ &\rho(R3,Certified) \\ &\rho(R4,Certified) \\ &\rho(R5,\pi_{eid}(\sigma_{(R1.eid=R2.eid=R3.eid)\land(R1.aid\neq R2.aid\neq R3.aid)}(R1\times R2\times R3))) \\ &\rho(R6,\pi_{eid}(\sigma_{(R1.eid=R2.eid=R3.eid=R4.eid)\land(R1.aid\neq R2.aid\neq R3.aid\neq R4.aid)}) \end{split}
```

Relational Algebra and Calculus

```
\begin{array}{l} (R1 \times R2 \times R3 \times R4))) \\ R5 - R6 \end{array}
```

■ SQL

This could also be done in SQL using COUNT.

10. This cannot be expressed in relational algebra (or calculus) because there is no operator to sum values. The query can however be expressed in SQL as follows:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathtt{SELECT} & \mathtt{SUM} \; (\mathtt{E.salaries}) \\ \mathtt{FROM} & \mathtt{Employees} \; \mathtt{E} \end{array}
```

11. This cannot be expressed in relational algebra or relational calculus or SQL. The problem is that there is no restriction on the number of intermediate flights. All of the query methods could find if there was a flight directly from Madison to Timbuktu and if there was a sequence of two flights that started in Madison and ended in Timbuktu. They could even find a sequence of n flights that started in Madison and ended in Timbuktu as long as there is a static (i.e., data-independent) upper bound on the number of intermediate flights. (For large n, this would of course be long and impractical, but at least possible.) In this query, however, the upper bound is not static but dynamic (based upon the set of tuples in the Flights relation).

In summary, if we had a static upper bound (say k), we could write an algebra or SQL query that repeatedly computes (upto k) joins on the Flights relation. If the upper bound is dynamic, then we cannot write such a query because k is not known when writing the query.