# Multiplayer Reach-Avoid Games, Single Defender versus Two Attackers

Abstract—We consider a multiplayer reach-avoid game with an equal number of attackers and defenders moving with simple dynamics on a two-dimensional domain possibly with obstacles. The attacking team attempts to win the game by sending at least m attackers to a certain target location quickly while the defenders aim to capture the attackers to prevent the attacking team from reaching its goal. The analysis of problems like this plays an important role in collision avoidance, motion planning, and aircraft control, among other applications. Computing optimal solutions for such multiplayer games is intractable due to the curse of dimensionality. This paper provides a first attempt to address such computational intractability by combining maximum matching in graph theory with the classical Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs approach. In addition, our solution provides an initial step to take cooperation into account by computing maximum matching in real time.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

We consider a reach-avoid game between two attackers,  $P_{A_1}, P_{A_2}$ , and a defenders,  $P_D$ . Each player is confined in a bounded, open domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , which can be partitioned as follows:  $\Omega = \Omega_{free} \cup \Omega_{obs}$ .  $\Omega_{free}$  is a compact set representing the free space in which the players can move, while  $\Omega_{obs} = \Omega \setminus \Omega_{free}$  represents the obstacles that obstruct movement in the domain. Let  $x_{A_1}, x_{A_2}, x_D \in \mathbb{R}^2$  denote the states of the players  $P_{A_1}, P_{A_2}, P_D$ , respectively. Initial conditions of the players are denoted by  $x_{A_1}^0, x_{A_2}^0, x_D \in \Omega_{free}$ . We assume that the dynamics of the players are defined by the following decoupled system for t > 0:

$$\dot{x}_{A_1}(t) = v_{A_1}a_1(t), \quad x_{A_1}(0) = x_{A_1}^0, 
\dot{x}_{A_2}(t) = v_{A_2}a_2(t), \quad x_{A_2}(0) = x_{A_2}^0, 
\dot{x}_D(t) = v_Dd(t), \qquad x_D(0) = x_D^0$$
(1)

where  $a_1(\cdot), a_2(\cdot), d(\cdot)$  represent the control functions of  $P_{A_1}, P_{A_2}$ , and  $P_D$ , respectively. The attackers  $P_{A_1}, P_{A_2}$  have respective maximum speeds  $v_{A_1}$  and  $v_{A_2}$  and the defender  $P_D$  has maximum speed  $v_D$ . We assume that the control functions  $a_1(\cdot), a_2(\cdot), d(\cdot)$  are drawn from the set  $\Sigma = \{\sigma \colon [0,\infty) \to \overline{B}_n \mid \sigma \text{ is measurable}\}$ , where  $\overline{B}_n$  denotes the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . As a clarification on the notation and terminology, the control functions (with a dot notation, e.g.  $a_i(\cdot), d_i(\cdot), u(\cdot)$  etc. ) which are the entire control trajectories, are distinguished from the control inputs (such as  $a_i, a_i(t), d_i, d_i(t)$  etc. ) which are the instantaneous control inputs. Furthermore, given  $x_{A_i}^0 \in \Omega_{free}$ , we define

This work has been supported in part by NSF under CPS:ActionWebs (CNS-931843), by ONR under the HUNT (N0014-08-0696) and SMARTS (N00014-09-1-1051) MURIs and by grant N00014-12-1-0609, by AFOSR under the CHASE MURI (FA9550-10-1-0567).

the admissible control function set for  $P_{A_i}$  to be the the set of all control functions such that  $x_{A_i}(t) \in \Omega_{free}, \forall i, \forall t \geq 0$ . The admissible control function set for defenders  $P_{D_i}, i=1,2,\ldots,N$  is defined similarly, given that  $x_{D_i}^0 \in \Omega_{free}$ . The joint state of all the players is denoted by  $\mathbf{x}=(x_{A_1},\ldots x_{A_N},x_{D_1},\ldots ,x_{D_N})$ . The joint initial condition is denoted by  $\mathbf{x}^0=(x_{A_1}^0,\ldots ,x_{A_N}^0,x_{D_1}^0,\ldots ,x_{D_N}^0)$ . In this reach-avoid game, the attacking team aims to

In this reach-avoid game, the attacking team aims to reach the target  $\mathcal{T} \subset \Omega_{free}$ , a compact subset of the domain, without getting captured by the defenders. The capture conditions are formally described by the capture sets  $\mathcal{C}_{ij} \subset \Omega^{2N}$  for the pairs of the players  $\left(P_{A_i}, P_{D_j}\right), i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ . In general,  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$  can be an arbitrary compact subset of  $\Omega^{2N}$ , which represents the set of the joint player states  $\mathbf{x}$  at which  $P_{A_i}$  is captured by  $P_{D_j}$ . Hence, in the general case, the interpretation of capture is given by the set  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$ , which in turn depends on the specific situation one wishes to model. In this paper, we define the capture sets to be  $\mathcal{C}_{ij} = \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^{2N} \mid \|x_{A_i} - x_{D_j}\|_2 \leq R_C\right\}$ , the interpretation of which is that  $P_{A_i}$  is captured by  $P_{D_j}$  if  $P_{A_i}$ 's position is within  $R_C$  of  $P_{D_i}$ 's position.

In our multiplayer reach-avoid game, the team of the attackers  $\{P_{A_i}\}_{i=1}^N$  wins when at least m attackers reach the target  $\mathcal{T}$  without being captured. The team of the defenders  $\{P_{D_i}\}_{i=1}^N$  wins the game if they can delay at least N-m+1 attackers from reaching the target indefinitely. An illustration of the game setup is shown in Figure  $\ref{eq:month}$ ?

With the above definitions, we now state the main question that this paper answers. Given the minimum number m of the attackers that need to reach the target, the joint initial state  $\mathbf{x^0}$  of all the players in domain  $\Omega$  with obstacles  $\Omega_{obs}$ , the target set  $\mathcal{T}$ , and the capture sets  $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$ , can the defenders be guaranteed to win?

## III. SOLUTION

## IV. COMPUTATION RESULTS

## V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

### REFERENCES

- H. Huang, J. Ding, W. Zhang, and C. Tomlin, "A differential game approach to planning in adversarial scenarios: A case study on capturethe-flag," in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2011 IEEE International Conference on, 2011, pp. 1451–1456.
- [2] Z. Zhou, R. Takei, H. Huang, and C. Tomlin, "A general, open-loop formulation for reach-avoid games," in *Decision and Control (CDC)*, 2012 IEEE 51st Annual Conference on, 2012, pp. 6501–6506.