A Unified Framework for Stochastic Models of Urban Growth

Working Paper

JUSTE RAIMBAULT

Tuesday 28th April

Abstract

Various stochastic models aiming to reproduce population patterns on large temporal and spatial scales (city systems) have been discussed across various fields of the litterature, from economics to geography, including models proposed by physicists. We propose a general framework that allows to include different famous models (in particular Gibrat, Simon and Preferential Attachment model) within an unified vision. It brings first an insight into epistemological debates on the relevance of models. Furthermore, bridges between models lead to the possible transfer of analytical results to some models that are not directly tractable.

1 Introduction

1.1 Context

General biblio.

Precise type of models: mathematical models; stay to a certain level of tractability as essence of our approach is link between models. No clear definition, includes all models that can be linked in the sense of *Generalization/Particularization/Limit case/?*.

1.2 Notations

2 Framework

2.1 Formulation

2.1.1 Presentation

What we propose as a framework can be understood as a meta-model in the sense of [Cottineau et al., 2015], i.e. an modular general modeling process within each model can be understood as a limit case or as a specific case of another model. More simply it should be a diagram of formal relations between models. The ontological aspect is also tackled by embedding the diagram into an ontological state space (which discretization corresponds to the "bricks" of the incremental construction of [Cottineau et al., 2015]). It constructs a sort of model classification.

2.1.2 Models Included

The following models are included in our framework. The list is arbitrary but aims to offer a broad view of disciplines concerned

2.1.3 Thematic Classification

2.1.4 Framework Formulation

Diagram linking various models; first embedded into time/population plane, cases Discrete/Continuous. Other aspects more sparse (ex. spatialization); how represent it?

2.2 Models formulation

2.3 Derivations

2.3.1 Generalization of Preferential Attachment

See [Yamasaki et al., 2006].

2.3.2 Link between Gibrat and Preferential Attachment Models

Let consider a strictly positive growth Gibrat model given by $P_i(t) = R_i(t) \cdot P_i(t-1)$ with $R_i(t) > 1$, $\mu_i(t) = \mathbb{E}[R_i(t)]$ and $\sigma_i(t) = \mathbb{E}[R_i(t)^2]$. On the other hand, we take a simple preferential attachment, with fixed attachment probability $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and new arrivants number m > 0. We derive that Gibrat model can be statistically equivalent to a limit of the preferential attachment model, assuming that the moment-generating function of $R_i(t)$ exists. Classical distributions that could be used in that case, e.g. log-normal distribution, are entirely defined by two first moments, making this assumption reasonable.

Lemma 2.1 The limit of a Preferential Attachment model when $\lambda \ll 1$ is a linear-growth Gibrat model, with limit parameters $\mu_i(t) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{m \cdot (t-1)}$.

Proof Starting with first moment, we denote $\bar{P}_i(t) = \mathbb{E}[P_i(t)]$. Independance of Gibrat growth rate yields directly $\bar{P}_i(t) = \mathbb{E}[R_i(t)] \cdot \bar{P}_i(t-1)$. Starting for the preferential attachment model, we have $\bar{P}_i(t) = \mathbb{E}[P_i(t)] = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} k \, \mathbb{P}[P_i(t) = k]$. But

$$\{P_i(t) = k\} = \bigcup_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \left(\{P_i(t-1) = k - \delta\} \cap \{P_i \leftarrow P_i + 1\}^{\delta} \right)$$

where the second event corresponds to city i being increased δ times between t-1 and t (note that events are empty for $\delta \geq k$). Thus, being careful on the conditional nature of preferential attachment formulation, stating that $\mathbb{P}[\{P_i \leftarrow P_i + 1\} | P_i(t-1) = p] = \lambda \cdot \frac{p}{P(t-1)}$ (total population P(t) assumed deterministic), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}[\{P_i \leftarrow P_i + 1\}] = \sum_{p} \mathbb{P}[\{P_i \leftarrow P_i + 1\} | P_i(t - 1) = p] \cdot \mathbb{P}[P_i(t - 1) = p]$$

$$= \sum_{p} \lambda \cdot \frac{p}{P(t - 1)} \mathbb{P}[P_i(t - 1) = p] = \lambda \cdot \frac{\bar{P}_i(t - 1)}{P(t - 1)}$$

It gives therefore, knowing that $P(t-1) = P_0 + m \cdot (t-1)$ and denoting $q = \lambda \cdot \frac{\bar{P}_i(t-1)}{P_0 + m \cdot (t-1)}$

$$\bar{P}_{i}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} k \cdot \left(\lambda \cdot \frac{\bar{P}_{i}(t-1)}{P_{0} + m \cdot (t-1)}\right)^{\delta} \cdot \mathbb{P}[P_{i}(t-1) = k - \delta]$$

$$= \sum_{\delta'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} \left(k' + \delta'\right) \cdot q^{\delta'} \cdot \mathbb{P}[P_{i}(t-1) = k']$$

$$= \sum_{\delta'=0}^{\infty} q^{\delta'} \cdot \left(\delta' + \bar{P}_{i}(t-1)\right) = \frac{q}{(1-q)^{2}} + \frac{\bar{P}_{i}(t-1)}{(1-q)} = \frac{\bar{P}_{i}(t-1)}{1-q} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\bar{P}_{i}(t-1)} \frac{q}{(1-q)}\right]$$

J. Raimbault 2

As it is not expected to have $\bar{P}_i(t) \ll P(t)$ (fat tail distributions), a limit can be taken only through λ . Taking $\lambda \ll 1$ yields, as $0 < \bar{P}_i(t)/P(t) < 1$, that $q = \lambda \cdot \frac{\bar{P}_i(t-1)}{P_0 + m \cdot (t-1)} \ll 1$ and thus we can expand in first order of q, what gives $\bar{P}_i(t) = \bar{P}_i(t-1) \cdot \left[1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\bar{P}_i(t-1)}\right)q + o(q)\right]$

$$\bar{P}_i(t) \simeq \left[1 + \frac{\lambda}{P_0 + m \cdot (t-1)}\right] \cdot \bar{P}_i(t-1)$$

It means that this limit is equivalent in expectancy to a Gibrat model with $\mu_i(t) = \mu(t) = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{P_0 + m \cdot (t-1)}$.

For the second moment, we can do an analog computation. We have still $\mathbb{E}[P_i(t)^2] = \mathbb{E}[R_i(t)^2] \cdot \mathbb{E}[P_i(t-1)^2]$ and $\mathbb{E}[P_i(t)^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} k^2 \mathbb{P}[P_i(t) = k]$. We obtain the same way

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\big[P_i(t)^2\big] &= \sum_{\delta'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} \left(k' + \delta'\right)^2 \cdot q^{\delta'} \cdot \mathbb{P}\big[P_i(t-1) = k'\big] = \sum_{\delta'=0}^{\infty} q^{\delta'} \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}\big[P_i(t-1)^2\big] + 2\delta'\bar{P}_i(t-1) + \delta'^2\right) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}\big[P_i(t-1)^2\big]}{1-q} + \frac{2q\bar{P}_i(t-1)}{(1-q)^2} + \frac{q(q+1)}{(1-q)^3} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\big[P_i(t-1)^2\big]}{1-q} \left[1 + \frac{q}{\mathbb{E}[P_i(t-1)^2]} \left(\frac{2\bar{P}_i(t-1)}{1-q} + \frac{(1+q)}{(1-q)^2}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

2.3.3 Link between Gibrat and Simon

2.3.4 Link between Favaro-Pumain and Gibrat

[Favaro and Pumain, 2011]

2.3.5 Link between Bettencourt-West and Simon

[Bettencourt et al., 2008]

2.3.6 Other Models

[Gabaix, 1999]: Economic model giving a Simon equivalent formulation. Finds that in upper tail, proportional growth process occurs. We find the same result as a consequence of 2.3.3.

3 Application

4 Discussion

Conclusion

References

[Bettencourt et al., 2008] Bettencourt, L. M., Lobo, J., and West, G. B. (2008). Why are large cities faster? universal scaling and self-similarity in urban organization and dynamics. *The European Physical Journal B-Condensed Matter and Complex Systems*, 63(3):285–293.

[Cottineau et al., 2015] Cottineau, C., Chapron, P., and Reuillon, R. (2015). An incremental method for building and evaluating agent-based models of systems of cities.

[Favaro and Pumain, 2011] Favaro, J.-M. and Pumain, D. (2011). Gibrat revisited: An urban growth model incorporating spatial interaction and innovation cycles. *Geographical Analysis*, 43(3):261–286.

J. Raimbault

[Gabaix, 1999] Gabaix, X. (1999). Zipf's law for cities: an explanation. Quarterly journal of Economics, pages 739–767.

[Yamasaki et al., 2006] Yamasaki, K., Matia, K., Buldyrev, S. V., Fu, D., Pammolli, F., Riccaboni, M., and Stanley, H. E. (2006). Preferential attachment and growth dynamics in complex systems. *Physical Review E*, 74(3):035103.

J. Raimbault 4