

We are the frontier

Working Paper - Essay

JUSTE RAIMBAULT

Date

Abstract

Complexity Has Come of Age Beyond “fashionable” positions that can be the consequence of a blind following [Dirk, 1999], or more ambivalent, of a marketing strategy as the fight for funds is becoming a huge obstacle for research [Bollen et al., 2014], Science of Complexity is taking a hole new place in the academic landscape. As an informal mix of epistemological positions, methods, and fields of applications, it relies on *unconventionnal* paradigms such as the centrality of emergence and self-organization in most of phenomena of the real world, which make it lie on a frontier of knowledge closer of us than we can think, as Laughlin develops in [Laughlin, 2006]. *Detail concepts ?*. Such concepts are indeed not new, as they were already enlightened by Anderson [Anderson et al., 1972]. Even cybernetics can be related to complexity by seing it as a bridge between technics and cognitive science [Wiener, 1948]. Later, synergetics [Haken, 1980] paved the way for a theoretical approach of collective phenomena in physics. Reasons for the recent growth of works claiming a CS approach may be various. The explosion of computing power is surely one because of the central role of numerical simulations [Varenne, 2010]. They could also be the related epistemological progresses : apparition of the notion of perspectivism [Giere, 2010], finer reflexions around the notion of model [Varenne et al., 2013] [note : beware of a chicken-egg type problem on the relation between scientific and epistemological progress]. The theoretical and empirical potentialities of such approach play surely a role in their success, as confirmed in various domains of application (see [Newman, 2011] for a general survey), as for example Network Science [Barabasi, 2002] ; Neuroscience [Koch and Laurent, 1999] ; Social Sciences ; Geography [Manson, 2001][Pumain, 1997] ; Finance with the rising importance of econophysics [Stanley et al., 1999].

Conflicting Complexities and Cultural Differences Yet this scientific evolution that some see as a revolution [Colander, 2003], or even as *a new kind of science* [Wolfram, 2002], could face intrinsic difficulties due to behaviors and a-priori of researchers as human beings. More precisely, the need of interdisciplinarity that makes the strength of Complexity Science may be one of its greatest weaknesses, since the highly partitioned structure of science organization has sometimes negative impacts on works involving different disciplines. We do not tackle the issue of overpublication, competition, indexes, which is more linked to a question of open science and its ethics, also of high importance but of an other nature. That barrier we are dealing with and we might struggle to triumph of, is the impact of certains *cultural disciplinary differences* and outcoming conflicts on views and approaches. We shall now develop some concrete example that lead to such considerations when encountered. They are of many different natures and concern different disciplines, such that it would not be honnest to assume that the issue is not general. Each come from personnal research experience

Physics reinvents geography.

Economic Geography or Geographical Economics ?

Agent-based Modeling in Economy Finance

The drama of scientific misunderstandings is that they can indeed annihilate progresses by interpreting as a falsification some work that answers to a totally different question. The example of a recent work on top-income inequalities given in [Aghion et al., 2015], which conclusions are presented as opposed from the one obtained by Piketty [Piketty, 2013], follows such a scheme.

Keep It Complex, Stupid

Means Are Here, Let Use Them

References

- [Aghion et al., 2015] Aghion, P., Akcigit, U., Bergeaud, A., Blundell, R., and Hémous, D. (2015). Innovation and top income inequality.
- [Anderson et al., 1972] Anderson, P. W. et al. (1972). More is different. *Science*, 177(4047):393–396.
- [Barabasi, 2002] Barabasi, A.-L. (2002). Linked: How everything is connected to everything else and what it means. *Plume Editors*.
- [Bollen et al., 2014] Bollen, J., Crandall, D., Junk, D., Ding, Y., and Börner, K. (2014). From funding agencies to scientific agency. *EMBO reports*, 15(2):131–133.
- [Colander, 2003] Colander, D. (2003). The complexity revolution and the future of economics. Technical report, Middlebury College, Department of Economics.
- [Dirk, 1999] Dirk, L. (1999). A measure of originality the elements of science. *Social Studies of Science*, 29(5):765–776.
- [Giere, 2010] Giere, R. N. (2010). *Scientific perspectivism*. University of Chicago Press.
- [Haken, 1980] Haken, H. (1980). Synergetics. *Naturwissenschaften*, 67(3):121–128.
- [Koch and Laurent, 1999] Koch, C. and Laurent, G. (1999). Complexity and the nervous system. *Science*, 284(5411):96–98.
- [Laughlin, 2006] Laughlin, R. B. (2006). *A different universe: Reinventing physics from the bottom down*. Basic Books.
- [Manson, 2001] Manson, S. M. (2001). Simplifying complexity: a review of complexity theory. *Geoforum*, 32(3):405–414.
- [Newman, 2011] Newman, M. (2011). Complex systems: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1112.1440*.
- [Piketty, 2013] Piketty, T. (2013). *Le capital au XXIe siècle*. Seuil.
- [Pumain, 1997] Pumain, D. (1997). Pour une théorie évolutive des villes. *Espace géographique*, 26(2):119–134.
- [Stanley et al., 1999] Stanley, H. E., Amaral, L. A. N., Canning, D., Gopikrishnan, P., Lee, Y., and Liu, Y. (1999). Econophysics: Can physicists contribute to the science of economics? *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 269(1):156–169.
- [Varenne, 2010] Varenne, F. (2010). Les simulations computationnelles dans les sciences sociales. *Nouvelles Perspectives en Sciences Sociales*, 5(2):17–49.
- [Varenne et al., 2013] Varenne, F., Silberstein, M., et al. (2013). *Modéliser & simuler. Epistémologies et pratiques de la modélisation et de la simulation, tome 1*.
- [Wiener, 1948] Wiener, N. (1948). *Cybernetics*. Hermann Paris.
- [Wolfram, 2002] Wolfram, S. (2002). *A new kind of science*, volume 5. Wolfram media Champaign.