

Coupled Territorial and Network Dynamics : from Modeling to Theory

Communication Proposal, JIG 2016

JUSTE RAIMBAULT^{1,2}

(1) UMR CNRS 8504 Géographie-cités and (2) UMR-T IFSTTAR 9403 LVMT

Keywords : *Transportation Network, Territorial Systems, Co-evolution, Models of Simulation, Systems Theory*

The relation between transportation networks and territorial development is central to most approaches in territorial sciences, but remains poorly understood in a quantitative way : the example of *the myth of structural effects of transportation infrastructures* (Offner, 1993) shows that simple causal assumptions do not hold when trying to explain the *co-evolution* between transportation networks and localized components of territorial systems. Dynamical modeling including both evolutions has been emphasized as a cornerstone for a better understanding of involved processes in the case of cities systems on long time scales ((Bretagnolle, 2009), p. 162-163). However, a broad interdisciplinary state-of-the-art, based on algorithmic systematic review, done in (Raimbault, 2015) shows the quasi-absence of models of that type (e.g. LUTI models at a middle scale where networks are considered static (Iacono et al., 2008), whereas network growth models on a longer time scale (Xie and Levinson, 2009)). The purpose of this communication is in a first part to develop results obtained by such agent-based toy-models of simulation, and then to propose a theoretical framework constructed from conclusions of models explorations.

A first family of models explores a weak coupling between a population density generation model generalizing the diffusion-limited aggregation model (Batty, 2006), and network generation heuristics for which biological network generation (Tero et al., 2010) and generalized gravity potential rupture are tested. Density model is explored and calibrated alone for morphological objectives through intensive computation, against real data from European density grid, which patterns are well reproduced by the calibrated model. Generated density grids are then used as a basis for network generation, which provide a broad spectrum of values for network measures and correlations between morphological and network measures. It shows that the inclusion of transportation network *is not necessary* to reproduce typical patterns of urban growth, but that explanation of processes and interdependence mechanisms can only be done through more complex models. We develop then a model at the metropolitan scale aiming to involve stronger coupling and more complex mechanisms, in particular a game-theory-based governance process capturing the feedback of the territory on the network. The partial validation on stylized facts at different scales (e.g. land-use evolution patterns, network shape) and the exploration of parameter space suggest targeted experiments such as the comparison of governance systems, and pave the way to more operational similar dynamic models.

The conclusions of these first modeling experiments unveil or confirm requirements of a theoretical framework for territorial systems modeling. They include in particular a framing of the notion of coupling between subsystems, a precise definition of scale and an emphasis on emergence to take into account multi-scale aspects of systems, the superposition of heterogeneous views and components of a system. Starting from a perspectivist point of view (Giere, 2010), we consider a system as a set of perspectives consisting in ontological sets (Livet et al., 2010) associated with dataflow machines (Golden et al., 2012). Formal pre-orders between subsets of ontologies, constructed from emergence relations (Bedau, 2002), yield after a canonical reduction an unique forest representing the structure of the system. Strong coupled components reside within nodes, whereas a temporal scale and “thematic” scale (scale for a state variable) can be associated to each node of the forest by construction from dataflow machines timescales. This framework is formally self-consistent and meets our requirements. Its application should in future work guide the construction of operational models of co-evolution.

References

- Batty, M. (2006). Hierarchy in cities and city systems. In *Hierarchy in natural and social sciences*, pages 143–168. Springer.
- Bedau, M. (2002). Downward causation and the autonomy of weak emergence. *Principia: an international journal of epistemology*, 6(1):5–50.
- Bretagnolle, A. (2009). *Villes et réseaux de transport : des interactions dans la longue durée, France, Europe, États-Unis*. Hdr, Université Panthéon-Sorbonne - Paris I.
- Giere, R. N. (2010). *Scientific perspectivism*. University of Chicago Press.
- Golden, B., Aiguier, M., and Krob, D. (2012). Modeling of complex systems ii: A minimalist and unified semantics for heterogeneous integrated systems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 218(16):8039–8055.
- Iacono, M., Levinson, D., and El-Geneidy, A. (2008). Models of transportation and land use change: a guide to the territory. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 22(4):323–340.
- Livet, P., Muller, J.-P., Phan, D., and Sanders, L. (2010). Ontology, a mediator for agent-based modeling in social science. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 13(1):3.
- Offner, J.-M. (1993). Les “effets structurants” du transport: mythe politique, mystification scientifique. *Espace géographique*, 22(3):233–242.
- Raimbault, J. (2015). Models coupling urban growth and transportation network growth: An algorithmic systematic review approach. *Plurimondi. An International Forum for Research and Debate on Human Settlements*, 7(15).
- Tero, A., Takagi, S., Saigusa, T., Ito, K., Bebber, D. P., Fricker, M. D., Yumiki, K., Kobayashi, R., and Nakagaki, T. (2010). Rules for biologically inspired adaptive network design. *Science*, 327(5964):439–442.
- Xie, F. and Levinson, D. (2009). Modeling the growth of transportation networks: A comprehensive review. *Networks and Spatial Economics*, 9(3):291–307.