

A Theoretical Framework for the Study of Socio-Technical Systems

Working Paper

JUSTE RAIMBAULT

18th November 2015

Abstract

Introduction

Scientific Context

The structural misunderstandings between Social Sciences and Humanities on one side, and so-called Exact Sciences on the other side, far from being a generality, seems to have however a significant impact on the structure of scientific knowledge [Hidalgo, 2015]. In particular, the place of theory (and indeed the signification of this term itself) in the elaboration of knowledge has a totally different place, partly because of the different *perceived complexities*¹ of studied objects : for example, mathematical constructions and by extent theoretical physics are *simple* in the sense that they are mostly entirely analytically solvable, whereas Social Science subjects such as humans or society (to give a *cliché* exemple) are *complex* in the sense of complex systems², thus a stronger need of a constructed theoretical (generally empirically based) framework to identify and define the objects of research that are necessarily more arbitrary in the framing of their boundaries, relations and processes, because of the multitude of possible viewpoints : Pumain suggests indeed in [Pumain, 2005] a new approach to complexity deeply rooted in social sciences that “would be measured by the diversity of disciplines needed to elaborate a notion”. These differences in backgrounds are naturally desirable in the spectrum of science, but things can get nasty when playing on “common” terrains, typically complex systems problematics as already detailed, as the exemple of geographical urban systems has recently shown [Dupuy and Benguigui, 2015]. Complex System Science³ is presented by some as a “new kind of Science” [Wolfram, 2002], and would at least be a symptom of a shift in scientific practices, from analytical and “exact” approaches to computational and evidence-based approaches [Arthur, 2015], but what is sure is that it brings, together with new methodologies, new scientific fields in the sense of converging approaches

¹We used the term *perceived* as most of systems studied by physics might be described as simple whereas they are intrinsically complex and indeed not well understood [Laughlin, 2006].

²for which no unified definition exists but of which fields of application range broadly from neuroscience to quantitative finance, including e.g. quantitative sociology, quantitative geography, integrative biology, etc. [Newman, 2011], and for which study various complementary approaches may be applied, such as Dynamical Systems, Agent-based Modeling, Random Matrix Theory

³that we deliberately call that way although there is a running debate on whether it can be seen as a Science in itself or more as a different way to do Science.

Objectives

Construction of the theory

Perspectives and Ontologies

The starting point of the theory construction is a perspectivist epistemological approach on systems [Giere, 2010]. To sum up, it interprets any scientific approach as a perspective, in which someone pursues some objective and uses what is called *a model* to reach it. The model is nothing more than a scientific medium. Varenne developed [Varenne, 2010] model typologies that can be interpreted as a refinement of this theory. Let for now relax this possible precision and use perspectives as proxies of the undefined objects and concepts. Indeed, different views on the same object (being complementary or diverging) have the property to share at least the object in itself, thus the proposition to define objects (and more generally systems) from a set of perspectives on them, that verify some properties that we formalize in the following.

A perspective is defined in our case as a dataflow machine M (the model) in the sense of [Golden et al., 2012], to which is associated an ontology O in the sense of [Livet et al., 2010]. We include only two aspect (the model and the objetu represented) of Giere’s theory, making the assumption that purpose and user of the perspective are indeed contained in the ontology.

Definition 1. A perspective on a system is given by a dataflow machine (I, O, \mathbb{T}) and an associated ontology O

Application : co-evolution of subsystems

The particular case of geographical systems

[Dollfus and Dastès, 1975]

Modularity and co-evolving subsystems

Discussion

Conclusion

References

- [Arthur, 2015] Arthur, W. B. (2015). Complexity and the shift in modern science. Conference on Complex Systems, Tempe, Arizona.
- [Dollfus and Dastès, 1975] Dollfus, O. and Dastès, F. D. (1975). Some remarks on the notions of ‘structure’ and ‘system’ in geography. *Geoforum*, 6(2):83–94.
- [Dupuy and Benguigui, 2015] Dupuy, G. and Benguigui, L. G. (2015). Sciences urbaines: interdisciplinarités passive, naïve, transitive, offensive. *Métropoles*, (16).
- [Giere, 2010] Giere, R. N. (2010). *Scientific perspectivism*. University of Chicago Press.
- [Golden et al., 2012] Golden, B., Aiguier, M., and Krob, D. (2012). Modeling of complex systems ii: A minimalist and unified semantics for heterogeneous integrated systems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 218(16):8039–8055.
- [Hidalgo, 2015] Hidalgo, C. A. (2015). Disconnected! The parallel streams of network literature in the natural and social sciences. *ArXiv e-prints*.

- [Laughlin, 2006] Laughlin, R. B. (2006). *A different universe: Reinventing physics from the bottom down*. Basic Books.
- [Livet et al., 2010] Livet, P., Muller, J.-P., Phan, D., and Sanders, L. (2010). Ontology, a mediator for agent-based modeling in social science. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 13(1):3.
- [Newman, 2011] Newman, M. (2011). Complex systems: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1112.1440*.
- [Pumain, 2005] Pumain, D. (2005). Cumulativité des connaissances. *Revue européenne des sciences sociales. European Journal of Social Sciences*, (XLIII-131):5–12.
- [Varenne, 2010] Varenne, F. (2010). Framework for m&s with agents in regard to agent simulations in social sciences. *Activity-Based Modeling and Simulation*, pages 53–84.
- [Wolfram, 2002] Wolfram, S. (2002). *A new kind of science*, volume 5. Wolfram media Champaign.