



E-RECRUITMENT – HOW IT CONTRIBUTES TO GENDER INEQUALITY

Presented by:

Yifan CAO and Toni LAW

Content

- **Introduction**
- **Hypothesis**
- **Related Work**
- **Framework**
- **Input**
- **Processing**
- **Discussion**

Introduction

Previous literature have focused on:

- Efficiency and accuracy of hiring process
- The perspective of recruiters

Our research aims to:

- To identify bias (gender bias)
- To improve in reliability

Theory & Hypothesis (Expectation of theory)

Theory:

Gender bias and gender inequality in the workplace is positively correlated

Hypothesis:

Gender inequality in job attainment is associated with gender bias during the E-recruitment process

Related work- Inequality in employment

Gender bias in employment:

Investigate 14 cities 316 law firm in America
To find out possibility of hiring in elite labor market:
higher class men > higher class women

Hierarchy bias in employment:

A qualitative case study of how elite reproduction occurs in hiring.


From 2006 to 2008, 120 semistructured interviews (40 interviews per firm type) with professionals involved in undergraduate and graduate hiring in top-tier consulting, banking, and law firms.

“I originally intended to study gender in hiring. After the initial coding rounds, however, it became clear that culture and socioeconomic status were highly salient bases of evaluation and stratification in these firms.”

Lauren A. Rivera, & András Tilcsik. (2016). Class Advantage, Commitment Penalty: The Gendered Effect of Social *Class Signals in an Elite Labor Market*. *American Sociological Review*, 81(6), 1097-1131.

Rivera, L. A. (2015). *Pedigree*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Related work - Finding features of E-recruitment



Chiang, Johannes Kuo-Hui, & Suen, Hung-Yue. (2015). Self-presentation and hiring recommendations in online communities: Lessons from LinkedIn. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 48, 516-524.

Marler, J. H., & Boudreau, J. W. (2017). An evidence-based review of HR Analytics. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(1), 3–26. doi:10.1080/09585192.2016.1244699

Jansen, Anne, König, Cornelius J, Stadelmann, Eveline H, & Kleinmann, Martin. (2012). Applicants' Self-Presentational Behavior: What Do Recruiters Expect and What Do They Get? *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 11(2), 77-85.

Related works - Computational method

[Big data contributions to human resource management: a systematic review](#)


Table 2. Distribution of documents per year and cluster. (Table view)

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
HR research and practice				3	1	1	5
Selecting, hiring			3	1			4
Assessment, development				1	2	1	4
Information, learning, knowledge	2	2	5	2	5	3	19
Strategic, efficiency, performance	1	1	2	2	2	1	9
Total	4	3	10	9	10	6	41

e.g. 1) Predicting the Probability and Salary to Get Data Science Job in Top Companies by Gradient Boosting Decision Tree (GBDT algorithm)

Data features from the LinkedIn profile of candidate (above 18 features):

- Username: name of the candidate which will not use in this study
- Summary: self-written personal summary
- Education: University name, degree, and campus performance summary
- Skillsets: names of skills with endorsement value from first-degree connections
- Organizations: professional and academic organizations
- Publications and patents: intellectual property record
- Recommendations: comments on historical performance from very close first degree connections
- Certification and courses: record of training and coursework history
- Current job: company name, job title, responsibility, location, occupation time
- Past jobs: company name, job title, responsibility, location, occupation time



Marivate, V., & Moorosi, N. (2017). Employment relations: A data driven analysis of job markets using online job boards and online professional networks. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Web Intelligence*. doi:10.1145/3106426.3115589

Garcia-Arroyo, J., & Osca, A. (2019). Big data contributions to human resource management: a systematic review. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 1–26.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1674357>

Hazra, S., & Sanyal, S. (2016). Recruitment Prediction Using Id3 Decision Tree. *International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development*, 3(10). doi:10.21090/ijaerd.031010

Situ, W., Zheng, L., Yu, X., & Daneshmand, M. (2017). Predicting the Probability and Salary to Get Data Science Job in Top Companies. *Industrial and Systems Engineering Research Conference*.


Related works - Computational method

e.g. 2) Recruitment Prediction Using ID3 Decision Tree

TABLE 1

Attributes and their possible values

PARAMETERS	DESCRIPTION	POSSIBLE VALUES
Years of experience	Shows the number of years of experience of the candidate.	Numeric (≥ 0)
Employed	Checks if the candidate is currently employed.	{ Y (Yes), N (No) }
Previous employers	Lists the number of previous employers.	Numeric (≥ 0)
Level of education	Shows the highest level of degree pursued by the candidate.	{ BS (Bachelors), MS (Masters), PhD (Post graduate) }
Top tier institute	Checks if the candidate pursued his/her education from a reputed institution.	{ Y (Yes), N (No) }
Research papers	Lists the number of research papers published by the candidate.	Numeric (≥ 0)
Internships	Shows the number of internships done by the candidate.	Numeric (≥ 0)
Current salary	The salary which the candidate has been receiving on his/her current job.	Numeric (≥ 0)



Marivate, V., & Moorosi, N. (2017). Employment relations: A data driven analysis of job markets using online job boards and online professional networks. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Web Intelligence*. doi:10.1145/3106426.3115589

Garcia-Arroyo, J., & Osca, A. (2019). Big data contributions to human resource management: a systematic review. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1674357>

Hazra, S., & Sanyal, S. (2016). Recruitment Prediction Using Id3 Decision Tree. *International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development*, 3(10). doi:10.21090/ijaerd.031010

Situ, W., Zheng, L., Yu, X., & Daneshmand, M. (2017). Predicting the Probability and Salary to Get Data Science Job in Top Companies. *Industrial and Systems Engineering Research Conference*.

Gap and framework

Current computational method of E-recruitment study always:

- focusing on features
- solve the problems of enterprises
- fulfill automatic match systems
- emphasize efficiency


previous computaional studies on E-recruitment tend to be utilitarian, besides help job-hunters to find a suitable job, there are more information we can study from data.



While traditional method of E-recruitment study highlight:

- social fairness
- opportunity equality
- bias in algorithm
- the situation of disadvantaged groups

Frame work



Input- Data describe

Anonymous data from profiles scraped on LinkedIn. Contains data from about 60000 profiles with 52 features. Includes all their work history, gender, ethnicity, number of followers as well as analysis of their photo and name.

In original Data files each row contains:

- Profile data
- Job data (Company_name, Employment status)
- Name analysis (Race, Gender, Age)
- Profile picture analysis (Attractiveness, Health, Emotionality)

However the dataset was unequal especially in ethnicity & gender:

White 76% Asian 16%
Male 76% Female 24%

Data manipulation

	avg_n_pos_per_prev_tenure	avg_pos_len	avg_prev_tenure_len	n_prev_tenures	tenure_len	age	n_followers
count	62674.000000	62674.000000	62674.000000	62674.000000	62674.000000	62674.000000	62612.000000
mean	1.194420	765.494312	1100.858561	4.302119	961.743434	44.071074	1226.329713
std	0.506835	750.559928	985.960617	38.679425	1088.256794	11.108183	6411.388029
min	1.000000	-120.000000	0.000000	0.000000	-120.000000	1.000000	0.000000
25%	1.000000	274.000000	537.000000	2.000000	304.000000	37.000000	405.000000
50%	1.000000	578.000000	882.800000	3.000000	640.000000	44.000000	725.000000
75%	1.200000	1035.000000	1400.000000	4.000000	1217.000000	51.000000	1244.000000
max	15.000000	21884.000000	39781.000000	4930.000000	21884.000000	791.000000	530566.000000

RangeIndex: 62674 entries, 0 to 62673
Data columns (total 11 columns):
 # Column Non-Null Count Dtype


 0 avg_n_pos_per_prev_tenure 62674 non-null float64
 1 avg_pos_len 62674 non-null float64
 2 avg_prev_tenure_len 62674 non-null float64
 3 n_pos 62674 non-null object
 4 n_prev_tenures 62674 non-null int64
 5 tenure_len 62674 non-null int64
 6 age 62674 non-null float64
 7 ethnicity 62674 non-null object
 8 gender 62674 non-null object
 9 n_followers 62612 non-null float64
 10 c_name 62668 non-null object
 dtypes: float64(5), int64(2), object(4)
 memory usage: 5.3+ MB

Prior Observation

-
**Why use
nonlinear
model**


$$r_{xy} = \frac{\text{Cov } xy}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

Pearson's correlation



Prior Observation- Poisson Distribution

$$P(X = x) = e^{-u} \frac{\mu^x}{x!} \quad x \in R$$



Future work-

Data

Wrangling and Cleaning

To observe whether gender inequality exists in top high-tech industry E-recruitment, we revised the data in 3 dimensions:

- 1) Reduce male profiles, especially those don't work in high-tech companies, until the proportion of male and female approaches the same.
- 2) Select target top high-tech corporations, which are Microsoft, IBM, Google, Adobe and some other similar companies.
- 3) One-hot encoding for object type features

- Binary Classification (0,1)

- 1) Try to use Decision Tree and Random Forest as model to predict the Probability of getting Job in Top high-tech Companies.
 - 2) Analyze the significance of features and find out which variable affect model performance most, especially the gender bias.
- Evaluation and validation

Suggestion -



Machine Learning

Design and Algorithm

(Recruiter's perspective)

- **Design puzzle or riddle to test candidates aptitude and problems solving skills**
- **Interview candidate with machine learning algorithm (*HireVue, Inc.*)**
 - assess their responses by using *Natural Language Processing(NLP)*
 - assess body language by *machine vision*
- **Assess candidates' soft skills using dictionary method**

Machine learning limitations



3 methods to find better fit: Regularization, Boosting and Bagging(random forest).

Big data – limitation

- **E-recruitment is 85% of all recruitment**
- **Data of what platform candidate obtained job offer is missing**

Thank you for listening!

Q&A