

Solution to Take-Home Final Examination

Instructor: Anthony Man–Cho So

Due: 11:59pm, December 22, 2020

IMPORTANT: Please remember to observe the rules as stated on the course website. In particular, you must work out the problems on your own.

SOLVE THE FOLLOWING PROBLEMS:

Problem 1 (10pts). Let $C, A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{S}^n$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be given. Write down the dual of the following SDP:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \quad & \text{tr}(CX) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \text{tr}(A_i X) \leq b_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & X \succeq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplify your answer as much as possible and show all your work.

ANSWER: Let $u_i \geq 0$, where $i = 1, \dots, m$, be the multiplier associated with the constraint $\text{tr}(A_i X) \leq b_i$ and $S \succeq \mathbf{0}$ be the multiplier associated with the constraint $X \succeq \mathbf{0}$. Then, the Lagrangian dual of the given SDP can be written as

$$\sup_{u \geq \mathbf{0}, S \succeq \mathbf{0}} \inf_{X \in \mathcal{S}^n} \left\{ \text{tr}(CX) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i (\text{tr}(A_i X) - b_i) - \text{tr}(SX) \right\} \quad (SD)$$

Now, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{X \in \mathcal{S}^n} \left\{ \text{tr}(CX) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i (\text{tr}(A_i X) - b_i) - \text{tr}(SX) \right\} &= -b^T u + \inf_{X \in \mathcal{S}^n} \left\{ \text{tr} \left[\left(C + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i A_i - S \right) X \right] \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} -b^T u & \text{if } C + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i A_i - S = \mathbf{0}, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (SD) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \quad & b^T u \\ \text{subject to} \quad & C - \sum_{i=1}^m u_i A_i \succeq \mathbf{0}, \\ & u \leq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2 (15pts).

- (a) **(5pts).** Let $P \in \mathcal{S}_{++}^n$ and $Q \in \mathcal{S}^n$ be given. Show that $P \succeq Q$ if and only if $I \succeq P^{-1/2} Q P^{-1/2}$. Here, recall that $P^{1/2}$ is the positive definite square root of P ; i.e., $P = P^{1/2} P^{1/2}$ with $P^{1/2} \succ \mathbf{0}$.

ANSWER: Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
P \succeq Q &\iff u^T(P - Q)u \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
&\iff (P^{1/2}u)^T(I - P^{-1/2}QP^{-1/2})(P^{1/2}u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
&\iff v^T(I - P^{-1/2}QP^{-1/2})v \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
&\iff I - P^{-1/2}QP^{-1/2} \succeq \mathbf{0},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second-to-last equivalence follows from the fact that $P^{1/2}$ is full rank and hence $\text{range}(P^{1/2}) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (b) **(10pts).** Let $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n$ be given affine functions with $\mathcal{A}_0(x) \succ \mathbf{0}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and $\gamma > 0$ be a given constant. Show that the constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left((\mathcal{A}_0(x))^{-1/2} \mathcal{A}_i(x) (\mathcal{A}_0(x))^{-1/2} \right)^2 \preceq \gamma^2 I$$

can be formulated as a linear matrix inequality.

ANSWER: The given constraint can be written as

$$I - (\gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x))^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_m(x) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_m(x) \end{bmatrix} (\gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x))^{-1/2} \succeq \mathbf{0}.$$

By the result in (a), the above is equivalent to

$$\gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) - \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_m(x) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{A}_m(x) \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0}.$$

Using the Schur complement, the above is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) & \mathcal{A}_1(x) & \cdots & \mathcal{A}_m(x) \\ \mathcal{A}_1(x) & \gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \mathcal{A}_m(x) & & & \gamma \mathcal{A}_0(x) \end{bmatrix} \succeq \mathbf{0},$$

which is a linear matrix inequality because $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m$ are affine functions.

Problem 3 (20pts). Let $g_1, \dots, g_m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuously differentiable convex functions. Consider the problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \max\{g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x)\} \tag{1}$$

Show that $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an optimal solution to Problem (1) if and only if there exists a vector $u^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* \nabla g_j(x^*) &= \mathbf{0}, \quad u^* \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* = 1, \\
u_j^* &= 0 \quad \text{if } g_j(x^*) < \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.
\end{aligned}$$

ANSWER: Problem (1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && z \\ & \text{subject to} && g_j(x) \leq z \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

Note that the objective function $(x, z) \mapsto z$ is convex, and for $i = 1, \dots, m$, the function $(x, z) \mapsto g_i(x) - z$ is continuously differentiable and convex. Hence, the above formulation is a convex optimization problem. Moreover, given any $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if we let $\bar{z} = \max\{g_1(\bar{x}), \dots, g_m(\bar{x})\} + 1$, then $g_i(\bar{x}) < \bar{z}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. This shows that Problem (P) satisfies the Slater condition. Hence, by Theorems 4 and 6 of Handout 7, $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an optimal solution to Problem (1) if and only if there exists a $(u^*, z^*) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* \begin{bmatrix} \nabla g_j(x^*) \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{a}$$

$$u_j^*(g_j(x^*) - z^*) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m, \tag{b}$$

$$g_j(x^*) \leq z^* \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m, \tag{c}$$

$$u^* \geq \mathbf{0}. \tag{d}$$

To complete the proof, it remains to show that $z^* = \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}$. From (c), we clearly have $z^* \geq \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}$. On the other hand, using (a), (b), and (d), we obtain

$$z^* = \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* g_j(x^*) \leq \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\} \sum_{j=1}^m u_j^* = \max\{g_1(x^*), \dots, g_m(x^*)\}.$$

Problem 4 (25pts). Let $A \in \mathcal{S}^n$ be given. Let λ_1 be the largest eigenvalue of A and v_1 be a unit-length eigenvector associated with λ_1 . Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max && x^T A x \\ & \text{subject to} && \|x\|_2^2 = 1, \\ & && v_1^T x = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- (a) **(10pts).** Write down the first-order optimality conditions of Problem (2) and explain why they are necessary for optimality.

ANSWER: Let $\theta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ be the multipliers associated with the constraints $\|x\|_2^2 = 1$ and $v_1^T x = 0$, respectively. Then, the first-order optimality conditions of Problem (2) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -2Ax + 2\theta x + \gamma v_1 &= \mathbf{0}, & (i) \\ \|x\|_2^2 &= 1, & (ii) \\ v_1^T x &= 0. & (iii) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an optimal solution to Problem (2). Note that both constraints of Problem (2) are active at \bar{x} , and their gradients are given by $2\bar{x}$ and v_1 . Since $\bar{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $v_1^T \bar{x} = 0$, the vectors $2\bar{x}$ and v_1 are linearly independent. It follows from Theorem 3 of Handout 7 that the conditions (i)–(iii) above are necessary for optimality.

- (b) **(15pts)**. Let λ_2 be the optimal value of and v_2 be an optimal solution to Problem (2). Using the result in (a), show that λ_2 is the second largest eigenvalue of A and v_2 is an eigenvector associated with λ_2 .

ANSWER: Using (i), (iii), and the fact that $Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$ with $\|v_1\|_2^2 = 1$, we have

$$\gamma = \gamma v_1^T v_1 = 2v_1^T (A - \theta I)x = 2\lambda v_1^T x = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain from (i), (ii) that $Ax = \theta x$ (i.e., (θ, x) is an eigenpair of A) and $\theta = x^T Ax$. Since the eigenvectors of A form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , the solution $x = v_2$ is optimal for Problem (2) with an objective value of $\theta = \lambda_2$.

Remark: Here, by “second largest eigenvalue” we allow for the possibility that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, because in this case we still have $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and the eigenspace corresponding to λ_1 is at least 2-dimensional.

Problem 5 (30pts). Let $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, where $i = 1, \dots, N$, be given. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|_2^2 + e^T \xi \\ & \text{subject to} && \xi_i \geq 1 - y_i(\beta^T x_i + \beta_0) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \\ & && \xi \geq \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here, the decision variables are $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

- (a) **(15pts)**. Write down the first-order optimality conditions of Problem (3) and explain why they are both necessary and sufficient for optimality.

ANSWER: It is easy to verify that the objective function of Problem (3) is convex in (β, β_0, ξ) . Moreover, all the constraints of Problem (3) are linear in (β, β_0, ξ) . It follows that (3) is a convex optimization problem. By Theorem 5 of Handout 7, the first-order conditions of Problem (3), which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ e \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^N u_i \begin{bmatrix} y_i x_i \\ y_i \\ e_i \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ 0 \\ e_i \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}, \\ & u_i(1 - y_i(\beta^T x_i + \beta_0) - \xi_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \\ & \xi_i - 1 + y_i(\beta^T x_i + \beta_0) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \\ & \xi, u, v \geq \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

are necessary for optimality.

To prove sufficiency, in view of Theorem 6 of Handout 7, it remains to show that Problem (3) has an optimal solution. Towards that end, we first observe that Problem (3) is feasible (take any $\bar{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\bar{\beta}_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and choose a sufficiently large $\bar{\xi}_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$ so that the constraints of Problem (3) are all satisfied). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \neq \mathbf{0}$, for otherwise $\beta^* = \mathbf{0}$, $\beta_0^* \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi^* = e$ is an optimal solution to Problem (3). We may also assume that

$$I_+ = \{i : y_i > 0\} \neq \emptyset, \quad I_- = \{i : y_i < 0\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Indeed, if, say, $I_+ = \emptyset$, then by taking $\beta^* = \mathbf{0}$ and a sufficiently small β_0^* , we can set $\xi_i^* = 0$ whenever $y_i < 0$ and $\xi_i^* = 1$ whenever $y_i = 0$. Note that such a choice of $(\beta^*, \beta_0^*, \xi^*)$ is optimal. A similar argument takes care of the case where $I_- = \emptyset$.

Now, let $(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\beta}_0, \bar{\xi})$ be an arbitrary feasible solution to Problem (3) and \bar{v} be the corresponding objective value. Then, we only need to consider those solutions (β, β_0, ξ) that satisfy $\|\beta\|_2^2 \leq 2\bar{v}$ and $\|\xi\|_1 \leq \bar{v}$ when solving Problem (3). Moreover, from the constraints

$$\xi_i \geq 1 - y_i(\beta^T x_i + \beta_0) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

we see that

$$\max_{i \in I_+} \frac{\xi_i + 1 - y_i \beta^T x_i}{y_i} \leq \beta_0 \leq \min_{i \in I_-} \frac{\xi_i - 1 + y_i \beta^T x_i}{|y_i|}.$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{\xi_i - 1 + y_i \beta^T x_i}{|y_i|} \leq \bar{M} \triangleq \frac{1}{\min_{i \in I_-} |y_i|} \left[\bar{v} - 1 + \sqrt{2\bar{v}} \left(\max_{i \in I_-} |y_i| \right) \left(\max_{i \in I_-} \|x_i\|_2 \right) \right] \quad \text{for } i \in I_-$$

and

$$\frac{\xi_i + 1 - y_i \beta^T x_i}{y_i} \geq \underline{M} \triangleq \frac{1}{\max_{i \in I_+} y_i} \left[-\bar{v} + 1 - \sqrt{2\bar{v}} \left(\max_{i \in I_+} |y_i| \right) \left(\max_{i \in I_+} \|x_i\|_2 \right) \right] \quad \text{for } i \in I_+.$$

Summarizing the above observations, we deduce that Problem (3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|_2^2 + e^T \xi \\ & \text{subject to} && \xi_i \geq 1 - y_i(\beta^T x_i + \beta_0) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \\ & && \xi \geq \mathbf{0}, \\ & && \|\beta\|_2^2 \leq 2\bar{v}, \quad -\underline{M} \leq \beta_0 \leq \bar{M}, \quad \|\xi\|_1 \leq \bar{v}. \end{aligned} \tag{C}$$

The upshot of the above formulation is that its feasible region is compact. Hence, by Weierstrass' theorem, we conclude that Problem (C), and hence Problem (3), has an optimal solution.

- (b) **(10pts).** Write down the Lagrangian dual of Problem (3) by dualizing all the constraints in (3). Simplify your answer as much as possible and show all your work.

ANSWER: The Lagrangian dual of Problem (3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{u, v \geq \mathbf{0}} \inf_{\beta, \beta_0, \xi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|_2^2 + e^T \xi + \sum_{i=1}^N u_i (1 - y_i(\beta^T x_i + \beta_0) - \xi_i) - v^T \xi \right\} \\ & = \sup_{u, v \geq \mathbf{0}} \left\{ e^T u + \inf_{\beta, \beta_0, \xi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_i \beta^T x_i - \beta_0 y^T u + (e - u - v)^T \xi \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\inf_{\beta, \beta_0, \xi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_i \beta^T x_i - \beta_0 y^T u + (e - u - v)^T \xi \right\}$$

$$= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_i x_i \right\|_2^2 & \text{if } y^T u = 0 \text{ and } e - u - v = \mathbf{0}, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that the Lagrangian dual of Problem (3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && e^T u - \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_i x_i \right\|_2^2 \\ & \text{subject to} && y^T u = 0, \\ & && \mathbf{0} \leq u \leq e. \end{aligned} \tag{LD}$$

- (c) **(5pts).** Is the duality gap between Problem (3) and its Lagrangian dual derived in (b) zero? Explain.

ANSWER: By the result in (a), Problem (3) has an optimal solution. Hence, by Corollary 3 of Handout 7, the duality gap between (3) and (LD) is zero.