

Section	Criteria	Points
1. Implementation (60 Points)		
A. Grand Canonical Monte Carlo Simulation (40 Points)		
	Correct Initialization of the 2D Lattice	10
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Properly sets up a 2D square lattice – Initializes lattice sites (<i>e.g.</i>, as empty or occupied) 	
	Implementation of Adsorption and Desorption Moves	10
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Correctly codes the adsorption of nitrogen and hydrogen – Implements desorption moves 	
	Acceptance Criteria Using the Metropolis Algorithm	10
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Accurately calculates the change in grand potential for moves – Applies the Metropolis criterion for move acceptance 	
	Handling of Interaction Energies Between Species	10
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Incorporates interaction energies (ϵ_{NN}, ϵ_{HH}, ϵ_{NH}) – Ensures interactions affect the adsorption/desorption processes correctly 	
B. Use of Provided Pseudocode and Code Snippet (10 Points)		
	Adherence to Pseudocode Structure	6
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Follows the logical flow outlined in the pseudocode – Adapts the pseudocode appropriately to the problem specifics 	
	Effective Use of Code Snippet for Testing	4
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Utilizes the snippet to verify implementation correctness – Demonstrates understanding by modifying and expanding the snippet as needed 	
C. Simulation of Parameter Sets (10 Points)		
	Correctly Simulates Each Parameter Set (2 Points Each)	10
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Ideal Mixture of Nitrogen and Hydrogen – Repulsive Interactions between Nitrogen and Hydrogen – Attractive Interactions between Nitrogen and Hydrogen – Immiscible Nitrogen and Hydrogen – "Like Dissolves Unlike" Scenario 	
2. Phase Diagrams (50 Points)		
A. Exploration of Parameter Space (10 Points)		
	Use of Provided <code>mus_A</code> and <code>Ts</code> Arrays	6
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Correctly implements the arrays to vary μ_{H} and T – Explores a sufficient range to capture different phases 	

(Continued on next page)

(Continued from previous page)

Section	Criteria	Points
	Efficient Parameter Space Exploration <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Ensures simulations run efficiently without unnecessary computations– Uses appropriate step sizes in the arrays	4
	B. Generation of Phase Diagrams (30 Points)	
	Plotting Mean Coverage vs. μ_{H} and T <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Accurate plots for nitrogen coverage (4 Points)– Accurate plots for hydrogen coverage (4 Points)– Accurate plots for total coverage (4 Points)	12
	Inclusion of Color Bars Indicating Coverage Values <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Color bars included in all phase diagrams (4 Points)– Proper labeling and scaling of color bars (4 Points)	8
	Clarity and Presentation of Phase Diagrams <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Axes labeled with correct units and variables (4 Points)– Legible legends and titles for all plots (4 Points)– Consistent formatting across all diagrams (2 Points)	10
	C. Lattice Configurations Visualization (10 Points)	
	Visual Representation for Each Parameter Set <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Clear images showing lattice states (4 Points)– Visualization highlights differences between parameter sets (6 Points)	10

3. Analysis. (50 Points)

A. Adsorption Behavior Analysis (20 Points)

Discussion of Nitrogen and Hydrogen Adsorption <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Insightful interpretation of nitrogen adsorption trends (6 Points)– Insightful interpretation of hydrogen adsorption trends (6 Points)	12
--	----

Adsorption Under Different Conditions <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Analyzes how temperature and chemical potential affect adsorption– Explains observed phenomena using thermodynamic principles	8
--	---

B. Comparison Between Parameter Sets (20 Points)

Identification of Key Differences <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Highlights how interaction energies influence adsorption– Compares coverage levels across different scenarios	12
--	----

Explanation of Observed Differences <ul style="list-style-type: none">– Provides theoretical justification for differences	8
--	---

(Continued on next page)

(Continued from previous page)

Section	Criteria	Points
	– References specific data from simulations	
	C. Implications for Ammonia Synthesis (10 Points)	
	Connection to Industrial Process	6
	– Explains how adsorption behavior impacts ammonia production	
	– Relates findings to catalyst efficiency and reaction rates	
	Optimization Strategies	4
	– Suggests methods to enhance ammonia synthesis based on results	
	– Considers practical implementation in industrial settings	
<hr/>		
4. Report (30 Points)		
	A. Quality of Writing (10 Points)	
	Clarity and Conciseness	4
	– Presents information logically and coherently	
	– Avoids unnecessary jargon and explains technical terms	
	Grammar and Spelling	2
	– Minimal grammatical errors	
	– Proper punctuation and spelling throughout	
	Structure and Organization	4
	– Includes introduction, methodology, results, discussion, conclusion	
	– Uses headings and subheadings effectively	
	B. Inclusion of Figures and Lattice Configurations (10 Points)	
	All Required Figures Included	6
	– Phase diagrams for each parameter set	
	– Lattice configuration images where appropriate	
	Figure Quality and Formatting	4
	– High-resolution images	
	– Figures are properly labeled and referenced in the text	
	C. Physical Interpretation and Implications (10 Points)	
	Explanation of Results	6
	– Interprets simulation data in the context of physical chemistry	
	– Discusses the significance of adsorption patterns	
	Implications for Ammonia Synthesis Process	4
	– Links simulation outcomes to real-world applications	

(Continued on next page)

(Continued from previous page)

Section	Criteria	Points
	– Provides thoughtful insights into industrial relevance	
<hr/>		
5. Submission (10 Points)		
A. GitHub Repository (6 Points)		
	Code Organization and Documentation	4
	– Code is well-organized into directories/files	
	– Includes comments and documentation for understanding	
	Report Inclusion	2
	– Report is included in the repository as a PDF	
B. Submission of Repository Link (4 Points)		
	Timely Submission	2
	– Repository link submitted before the deadline	
	Accessibility	2
	– Repository is public	
	– Link directs to the correct repository	
<hr/>		