

I develop *machine learning methods for reasoning about real-world events*.

The ability to reason about real-world events is crucial for effective decision-making in various domains, including social media and healthcare. For example, reasoning about user activities on social media platforms, such as their posts, likes, and shares, can provide insights for predicting and responding to social events, as well as identifying fake content and malicious users. In healthcare, reasoning about medical events like diagnosis and treatments can assist in planning future hospital visits and designing personalized exercise routines. I envision a future where computers empowered by machine learning can reason about real-world events. In pursuit of this vision, my research is dedicated to addressing key problems in the following areas:

- *Event sequence modeling.* Modeling event sequences can discover how past events influence future events, thus providing valuable insights for predicting the future. For example, by learning from historical financial data, a model may identify useful patterns such as “airline stocks often rise after oil price drops”.

In this area, my research has introduced a family of *neural and neuro-symbolic* models, which are able to capture complex patterns in real data. On a range of real-world datasets including MIMIC Clinical Database [21], my models have achieved significant improvements over previous state-of-the-art models in predicting future events given the histories (e.g., when will a patient come to the hospital and which department will they visit given their previous electronic health records). In addition, my research leverages principles from *classical statistics* to discover opportunities for *accelerating* both the training and inference processes of these neural models. Please see §1.

- *Natural language understanding.* Knowledge about real-world events and their relations is commonly documented by human experts in text forms, such as textbooks and news articles. Natural language technologies can empower computers to acquire such knowledge and apply it to real-world reasoning.

In this area, my research develops deliberative reasoning methods that integrate *modern language models (LMs)* with *classical logical inference methods*, which combine the desirable properties of both paradigms and thus can outperform each individual paradigm on complex reasoning tasks. For example, my LEAP [6], a multi-step logical reasoning system, embeds LMs into a symbolic deduction framework that performs explicit lookahead planning. At each step of reasoning, LEAP draws proposals of decisions from an LM, and ranks them through anticipating future outcomes of each proposal. It significantly outperforms the methods that use LMs as independent problem solvers. Please see §2.

- *World model learning.* Understanding real-world dynamics is an essential property of intelligent agents for complex real-world applications. I have planned future research in pursuit of world models, including projects that embed *large language models (LLMs)* into *classical reinforcement learning (RL) frameworks*, empowering LLMs to learn *world dynamics* through real-world interventions. Please see §3.

In addressing research problems, I like to take *probabilistic* approaches, identifying the underlying generative dynamics and formalizing them through principled mathematics. Success hinges on designing effective model architectures, formulating appropriate training objectives, and developing efficient algorithms for training and inference. Alongside emphasizing scientific rigor and elegance, I place a strong emphasis on the practical applicability of my work. I take care to ensure that my methods are designed in a way that allows them to be seamlessly integrated into large-scale production settings. In particular, my methods for event sequence modeling have been integrated into real-world products such as Alipay, the world’s largest mobile digital payment platform, which serves more than one billion users. This emphasis has also fostered extensive collaboration with leading tech companies and has attracted research funding from them.

1 Neural Probabilistic Methods for Event Sequence Modeling

The topic of my PhD thesis is event sequence modeling, with a particular emphasis on parameterizing the distribution $p(\text{sequence of events})$. This problem is central in the field because having knowledge of

$p(\text{sequence of events})$ enables various valuable probabilistic inferences. These inferences include predicting future events based on past events by querying $p(\text{future events} \mid \text{past events})$ and filling in missing events based on observed events by referring to $p(\text{unobserved events} \mid \text{observed events})$. For example, by analyzing future hospital visit trajectories drawn from $p(\text{future visits} \mid \text{a patient's past visits})$, one can address a range of questions such as “When will the patient’s next visit occur?” (by averaging the times of the first future visits across all trajectories) and “How likely is the patient to survive the next three months?” (by determining the frequency of death events within the next three months from sampled future trajectories).

Effective neural probabilistic models. We¹ have developed the neural Hawkes process [14], which stands as one of the pioneering neural event sequence models. This model employs a novel *continuous-time* LSTM to capture the complex patterns in which past events may influence the future. It has exhibited strong performance in modeling diverse real-world datasets. Moreover, it has become a standard baseline for comparing new methods and has frequently been integrated as a core component in larger systems [18, 19]. Subsequently, we introduced the neural Datalog through time [11], a neural-symbolic extension of the neural Hawkes process. This model demonstrates superior generalization capabilities in large real-world domains of many types of events. It achieves this by leveraging a temporal deductive database to efficiently and precisely track domain-specific facts, as well as configuring a structurally sparse neural architecture based on the database’s topology. Additionally, we developed Transformer versions of both the neural Hawkes process and neural Datalog through time [10], which enhance performance and efficiency.

Efficient training and inference algorithms. Training a neural event sequence model and performing inference with it present non-trivial challenges. While maximum likelihood estimation serves as a standard training method for generative probabilistic models, estimating the likelihood can be computationally expensive for event sequence models. To tackle this issue, we developed a novel training method [12] based on the principle of noise-contrastive estimation. The parameter estimates obtained from this new method provably maximize the likelihood while bypassing the need for direct likelihood estimation. As a result, it significantly reduces the computational cost compared to maximum likelihood estimation in practice. Utilizing this approach, we developed an energy-based event sequence model [9], for which the likelihood is intractable to compute directly. This model showcases remarkable performance in long-horizon prediction tasks. As for inference, we introduced the first general sequential Monte Carlo method [13] that efficiently approximates $p(\text{unobserved events} \mid \text{observed events})$.

Event-based decision making. An exciting application of event sequence models is their integration into reinforcement learning frameworks to learn intervention policies. For example, in an education technology system, these models can capture user activities, such as lessons and tests taken, as well as performance. By incorporating the model into a policy learning process, the system can effectively assign appropriate new lessons and tests to each user. In such scenarios, all observations and actions are time-stamped events, which means that the agent needs to determine not only what to do (e.g., lesson assignments) but also when to schedule these actions for each user. Timing plays a crucial role: presenting a new lesson too soon may intimidate the user and diminish enjoyment, while delaying it excessively might hinder learning progress. In our research, we have demonstrated the utility of event sequence models in both classical model-based reinforcement learning frameworks [3, 7] and the emerging goal-conditioned framework [5].

2 Reasoning with Large Language Models

Since starting my current research assistant professor position, I have been working on harnessing and enhancing the reasoning capabilities of LLMs. This project is inspired by the remarkable success of pretrained LLMs. Considering that these models acquire knowledge from their training corpora and demonstrate reasoning abilities, it seems plausible to leverage such capabilities for reasoning about real-world events. For

¹Throughout this statement, “we” refers to “my collaborators and I” that coauthored the paper being discussed in the context.

example, the Wikipedia article discussing the 2010 Deepwater Horizon oil spill illustrates how this incident adversely impacted wildlife throughout the Gulf of Mexico. By comprehending this article, a language model may generalize its knowledge and predict the effects of a new oil spill event.

Event prediction with language models. Our work [4] first demonstrates that the reasoning capabilities of LLMs can indeed be harnessed to improve the prediction accuracy of event sequence models. We designed a modeling and prediction framework in which an LLM performs abductive reasoning to assist an event sequence model. In this framework, the pretrained event sequence model proposes predictions for future events. Instructed by a few expert-annotated demonstrations, the language model learns to suggest possible causes for each proposal. A search module then identifies previous events that match the suggested causes, and a scoring function evaluates whether the retrieved events could plausibly cause the proposal. In extensive experiments, this framework significantly outperform state-of-the-art event sequence models.

System 2 reasoning for language models. Despite the above initial success, I found that LLMs behave similar to System 1, generating responses based on patterns in the training data without deep understanding or reasoning. However, there is often a need for them to engage in deliberative System 2 thinking. Thus, my research focuses on developing System 2 reasoning methods for LLMs.

One approach is to design new language model architectures. In this regard, we have developed a novel language model called Lookahead Transformer (System 2) [1]. This model estimates the next-token distribution by generating multiple continuations of the past, using an ordinary Transformer model (System 1), and attending to these extended strings. Essentially, our model engages in a form of planning by examining these continuations to consider the potential sentences resulting from different choices of the next token. Our model has demonstrated promising performance across multiple tasks. Furthermore, we have planned various possible extensions to further enhance its performance and efficiency.

Another approach is to develop new frameworks that combine a System 1 language model with a deliberative inference algorithm. In this context, we have devised a novel framework (System 2) [6] that employs language models (System 1) to engage in multi-step logical reasoning, integrating explicit planning into its inference procedure. By incorporating explicit planning, our framework enables informed reasoning decisions at each step, considering the future consequences of the possible choices. Notably, our framework outperforms strong competing methods, including chain-of-thought prompting, across multiple datasets. We are currently generalizing this framework to address more complex problems.

3 Future: From Foundation Models Towards Foundation World Models

A goal of my research is to enable intelligent agents that assist human users in interacting with real-world environments, anticipating consequences of decisions and suggesting high-reward actions. The recent and growing success of foundation models—more specifically, LLMs—offers a promising path towards this goal. These models retain valuable knowledge from training data, demonstrate promising reasoning abilities, and possess the capability to communicate with humans and incorporate their feedback. However, significant challenges still remain. Current LLMs exhibit crucial shortcomings, such as

- their training being constrained to a limited range of real-world interactions,
- limitations in accommodating the ever-growing experiences within their bounded context windows,
- and their unsatisfactory controllability, occasionally leading to offensive or hallucinatory output.

Over the next five years, my plan is to tackle these fundamental challenges.

3.1 Large Language Model + X

to leverage rich training signals

The first direction that I plan to explore is to embed LLMs within decision-making pipelines in real-world domains like robotics, healthcare, law, and finance. The goal is to leverage rich training signals, enabling the models to learn from a broad range of data and interactions. I am particularly interested in the synergy

of LLMs and robotics, with the goal of enabling effective and efficient human-robot collaboration. I have established collaboration with robotics experts at TTIC. In our recent work [2], we demonstrate that LLMs can learn environment dynamics and enhance multi-step planning capabilities of robots, surpassing strong baseline methods like Code-as-Policies [16]. Looking ahead, we plan to explore further improvements in LLMs, particularly in their abilities to learn complex world dynamics, by leveraging rich feedback from real-world interactions between robots and environments.

3.2 Inductive Learning *to handle unbounded experiences with bounded context windows*

Humans often condense their concrete experiences into abstract lessons, which then guide their decision-making in similar situations. My plan is to develop methods that enable LLMs to emulate human-like inductive learning and summarize concrete experiences into abstract guidelines. Here a concrete experience may be any form of interaction with the environment, ranging from simple cases of opening the refrigerator to complex tasks of winning a competitive game. A guideline is a general rule that applies to many experiences, such as “player 1 usually uses strategy X in this game.” As more experiences accumulate, the repository of guidelines will expand as well, albeit at a slower pace than the accumulation of experiences. Furthermore, the repository will be structured, allowing for effective and efficient retrieval of relevant guidelines for new experiences. Using the retrieved guidelines will require much less space within the context window compared to using the relevant previous experiences or the entire repository of guidelines.

3.3 Representation Analysis and Engineering *to improve controllability*

Recent research has found that the internal representations of deep neural networks can form semantically meaningful structures [17, 20] and can be used to control the output of the networks [15]. Particularly, our research [8] discovers the formation of linearly separable clusters in the internal representations of pretrained LLMs, where each layer exhibits a pattern of clusters useful for certain downstream tasks. Leveraging this phenomenon, we have developed a novel transfer learning method that only adapts certain suitable layers to a given task, significantly reducing computation cost [8]. Moving forward, I am intrigued by the potential of engineering the internal representations of LLMs to enhance their controllability. Conceptually, we may identify patterns of representations (e.g., clusters and subspaces of each layer) responsible for certain model behaviors (e.g., hallucination) and then modify the patterns.

3.4 Other Relevant Topics

I am also broadly interested in other research topics that aim to improve the fundamental abilities of LLMs. The topics include improving the abilities of LLMs in handling long documents and noisy data, improving their numerical and arithmetic reasoning capabilities, and reducing their reliance on task-specific human-crafted instructions and demonstrations.

Acknowledgments and Future Funding Plans

I have been fortunate to receive generous support from leading tech companies throughout my research journey. During my PhD, I was awarded a Bloomberg Data Science PhD Fellowship. In recent years, my work has been supported by a series of research gifts from Adobe and Ant Group. I am confident in receiving future support from these and other companies since my research aligns with their interests.

I have been actively pursuing the ideas proposed in §3 and have already established collaboration with experts in disciplines outside my primary focus, such as robotics and finance. My collaborators and I have obtained promising preliminary results. I will be soon prepared to consolidate these ideas and findings into funding proposals, targeting at suitable programs such as NSF CISE Core Programs. In addition, I am keen on partnering with other researchers and competing for major funding opportunities offered by NSF, DARPA, and other agencies. I believe that my expertise in event sequence modeling and natural language processing will bring significant value to the team.

References

Part I – My Relevant Preprints

- [1] Li Du, **Hongyuan Mei**, and Jason Eisner. “Autoregressive Modeling with Lookahead Attention”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12272* (2023).
- [2] Takuma Yoneda, Jiading Fang, Peng Li, Huanyu Zhang, Tianchong Jiang, Shengjie Lin, Ben Picker, David Yunis, **Hongyuan Mei**, and Matthew R. Walter. “Statler: State-Maintaining Language Models for Embodied Reasoning”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.17840* (2023).

Part II – My Relevant Refereed Publications

- [3] Chao Qu, Xiaoyu Tan, Siqiao Xue, Xiaoming Shi, James Zhang, and **Hongyuan Mei**. “Bellman Meets Hawkes: Model-Based Reinforcement Learning via Temporal Point Processes”. In: *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 2023.
- [4] Xiaoming Shi, Siqiao Xue, Kangrui Wang, Fan Zhou, James Y. Zhang, Jun Zhou, Chenhao Tan, and **Hongyuan Mei**. “Language Models Can Improve Event Prediction by Few-Shot Abductive Reasoning”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*. 2023.
- [5] Zhiyue Zhang, **Hongyuan Mei**, and Yanxun Xu. “Continuous-Time Decision Transformer for Healthcare Applications”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS)*. 2023.
- [6] Hongyu Zhao, Kangrui Wang, Mo Yu, and **Hongyuan Mei**. “Explicit Planning Helps Language Models in Logical Reasoning”. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. 2023.
- [7] William Hua, **Hongyuan Mei**, Sarah Zohar, Magali Giral, and Yanxun Xu. “Personalized Dynamic Treatment Regimes in Continuous Time: A Bayesian Joint Model for Optimizing Clinical Decisions with Timing”. In: *Bayesian Analysis* (2022).
- [8] Shuo Xie, Jiahao Qiu, Ankita Pasad, Li Du, Qing Qu, and **Hongyuan Mei**. “Hidden State Variability of Pretrained Language Models Can Guide Computation Reduction for Transfer Learning”. In: *Findings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (Findings of EMNLP)*. 2022.
- [9] Siqiao Xue, Xiaoming Shi, Y James Zhang, and **Hongyuan Mei**. “HYPRO: A Hybridly Normalized Probabilistic Model for Long-Horizon Prediction of Event Sequences”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*. 2022.
- [10] Chenghao Yang, **Hongyuan Mei**, and Jason Eisner. “Transformer Embeddings of Irregularly Spaced Events and Their Participants”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. 2022.
- [11] **Hongyuan Mei**, Guanghui Qin, Minjie Xu, and Jason Eisner. “Neural Datalog Through Time: Informed Temporal Modeling via Logical Specification”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*. 2020.
- [12] **Hongyuan Mei**, Tom Wan, and Jason Eisner. “Noise-Contrastive Estimation for Multivariate Point Processes”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*. 2020.
- [13] **Hongyuan Mei**, Guanghui Qin, and Jason Eisner. “Imputing Missing Events in Continuous-Time Event Streams”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*. 2019.
- [14] **Hongyuan Mei** and Jason Eisner. “The Neural Hawkes Process: A Neurally Self-Modulating Multivariate Point Process”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*. 2017.

Part III – Other References

- [15] Kenneth Li, Aspen K Hopkins, David Bau, Fernanda Viégas, Hanspeter Pfister, and Martin Wattenberg. “Emergent world representations: Exploring a sequence model trained on a synthetic task”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. 2023.
- [16] Jacky Liang, Wenlong Huang, F. Xia, Peng Xu, Karol Hausman, Brian Ichter, Peter R. Florence, and Andy Zeng. “Code as Policies: Language Model Programs for Embodied Control”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.07753* (2022).
- [17] Thomas McGrath, Andrei Kapishnikov, Nenad Tomašev, Adam Pearce, Martin Wattenberg, Demis Hassabis, Been Kim, Ulrich Paquet, and Vladimir Kramnik. “Acquisition of chess knowledge in alphazero”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)* (2022).
- [18] Siqi Liu and Milos Hauskrecht. “Event Outlier Detection in Continuous Time”. In: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*. 2021.
- [19] Alex Boyd, Robert Bamler, Stephan Mandt, and Padhraic Smyth. “User-Dependent Neural Sequence Models for Continuous-Time Event Data”. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*. 2020.
- [20] Vardan Papyan, XY Han, and David L Donoho. “Prevalence of neural collapse during the terminal phase of deep learning training”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)* (2020).
- [21] Alistair E. W. Johnson, Tom J. Pollard, Lu Shen, H. Lehman Li-wei, Mengling Feng, Mohammad Ghassemi, Benjamin Moody, Peter Szolovits, Leo Anthony Celi, and Roger G. Mark. “MIMIC-III, a Freely Accessible Critical Care Database”. In: *Scientific data* (2016).