

## THESIS INTRODUCTION

TIM LUCAS. JANUARY 13, 2016

### 1. DISCUSSION

#### 1.1. What I did.

- In this thesis I aimed to test the importance of population structure and density on pathogen diversity
- With a particular focus on bats
- Combining simulations and empirical studies.
- Identify that population structure does affect pathogen richness in wild bats.
- But found that invasion of new pathogens is probably not the mechanism.
- Clarified the relationships between population size and density, range size, colony size and population structure.
- And found that colony size is more important than density per se.
- Finally, created a method to more easily estimate bat population densities.

#### 1.2. How I did it (Chapters).

- (1)
  - I tested the hypothesis that population structure predicts viral richness in wild bats.
  - I used two measurements of population structure.
    - (a) A novel measure, number of subspecies. Largest dataset to date.
    - (b) Gene flow, dealing with issues of marker type and spatial scale
  - I used multivariate regression, appraised with information theory techniques.
  - I controlled for phylogeny.
  - I found that in both analyses, increased population structure predicts increased pathogen richness and is in best model.
- (2)
  - I modelled a multi-pathogen, metapopulation based on bat populations.
  - Testing the specific mechanism that population structure increases richness by enabling invasion of newly evolved pathogens.
  - I found that spatial structure, either by dispersal rate or topology, did not allow invasion.
- (3)
  - I clarified confusion on the relationships between group size, group number, density, population size and range size.
  - Using same model as Chapter 3 I tested whether it is in fact density or population size that matters.
  - I tested whether the important factor in increased density is group size or group number.
  - I found that it is in fact abundance and group size that matter much more than other factors.
- (4)
  - I aimed to collect data on bat density as lit. says this is important.
  - Discovered I needed a model.
  - Started with specific model for iBats, ended up writing general model.
  - I formulated a model that estimates density from acoustic sensors or camera traps.
  - I tested it using simulations.

- I found it to be precise and unbiased.

**1.3. What was congruent with the literature?**

**1.4. What was surprising?**

- Don't find high  $R_0$  leads to high richness.
- Find that colony size is more important than implying density is just proxy for group size.
- 

**1.5. What are the limitations to the study?**

**1.6. What are the implications for practice?**

- Global change and pop structure.
- Studies should more carefully consider density vs structure vs group size.
- Can more easily estimate bat density.

**1.7. What are the implications for research?**

**1.8. Furtherwork.**

- Examine more carefully the mechanisms for richness
- Examine multi host species more carefully
- Field test gREM