

# ET4394 Wireless Networking

## Paper Report

Group WN2

Hans Okkerman (4290453) and Pradhayini Ramamurthy(4180437)

March 31, 2018

## 1 Paper Details

This document is a report on the following paper:

Vikram Iyer , Vamsi Talla , Bryce Kellogg , Shyamnath Gollakota and Joshua R. Smith, *Inter-Technology Backscatter: Towards Internet Connectivity for Implanted Devices*, SIGCOMM '16, August 22-26, 2016, Florianopolis, Brazil (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2934872.2934894>).

## 2 Paper Summary

### 2.1 Overview

This paper introduces inter-technology backscatter, a new technique that would allow wireless communication across technologies such as Bluetooth, Zigbee and WiFi by backscattering signals received from one (E.g. Bluetooth) to the other (E.g. WiFi). The technique is targeted mainly at enabling communication between medical implants with severe power constraints and commodity devices such as mobile phones and smart watches to receive live sensor updates, but can also find application elsewhere. This has been demonstrated by three prototypes that use Bluetooth as the signal source: an active contact lens system, an implantable brain interface that backscatters WiFi signals, and a card to card communication setup that backscatters RF signals.

### 2.2 Proposed Technique

The difficulties that come from backscattering signals from one technology to another mainly come from their different physical layer specifications, e.g. bandwidth, coding and carrier frequency. The researchers attempt to solve this in several steps. First they use Bluetooth's advertisement channels to generate a constant tone suitable for backscattering by transmitting a constant stream of ones or zeros. This tone must be kept long enough to complete a WiFi transmission. Next, the carrier frequency is shifted to the correct channel by changing the complex impedance of the backscatter circuit with four different devices of 3pF, 1pF, open impedance and 2nH. By switching between these values the complex signal  $e^{j2\pi\Delta ft}$  is generated which is multiplied with the incoming Bluetooth tone to shift the carrier frequency. Finally, DBPSK and DQPSK modulation for 802.11b are achieved by multiplying the generated complex signal with the corresponding  $I(t)$  and  $Q(t)$  components, which can be done using the same impedances as before.

### 2.3 Evaluation and Conclusions

The efficacy of the proposed technique is evaluated in terms of the signal strengths and the packet error rate (PER). The evaluation environment is mainly focused in the expected usage range of the target (personal area networking applications) and on devices (TI Bluetooth device, Intel Link 5300 Wi-Fi card) that are representative of commodity hardware such as those on mobile phones. The signal measurements validate the creation of a single-tone Bluetooth signal and the backscatter of a WiFi signal that is sufficiently strong; WiFi RSSI of -70 with 4dBm Bluetooth signal at a distance of 1 foot between receiver, transmitter and backscatter device, to decode 2 Mbps 802.11b transmissions, with a PER of 30%. The three prototypes that are used to demonstrate this

technique and the measurements performed on them in in-vitro environments reinforce the efficacy of the proposed *interscatter* and its potential use-cases in low-power environments. Potential improvements include an improvement of the PER and throughput.

## 3 Assessment

### 3.1 Strengths

The paper is very well laid out. It is clear from the beginning what the research is about and what the design goal is. Difficulties and proposed solutions are clearly separated in bullet points and discussed separately. Every aspect of the design is covered sequentially and comes with the appropriate background. Several further research options to achieve better results are mentioned. Finally the conclusions are clear and valid based on the achieved results.

### 3.2 Weaknesses and Suggested Improvements

In this paper several weaknesses were found as well unfortunately. These weaknesses together with some proposed solutions for improvement are listed next:

- The paper does not discuss the influence of channel traffic introduced by other communicating devices on the Bit Error Rate. Given the low power characteristics of the backscatter device and the target applications (The smart lens system, for instance), there is likely to be significant channel interference and impact thereof.
- The experimental results from tests on the prototypes indicate significant signal attenuation due to the environment in which they are immersed. However, the use of lens solution and muscle tissue alone does not adequately substitute attenuation that can be caused by the human skull (in both cases). In other words, there are no set limits on the amount of signal attenuation that can be tolerated to achieve an acceptable signal strength and bit error rate.
- The largest part of the paper is dedicated to the System Design, in Section 2. The section explains the tackled issues with sufficient background information supporting each design choice. However, each sub-section has a different layout and a different organization of the issue, the solution, improvements and related information. In addition, references to information discussed earlier (from subsection *Bluetooth Versus Wi-Fi*, for instance), are done from scattered points and sometimes require a lookup of previous sections. A more uniform organization across sub-sections is recommended.
- The addition of block diagrams depicting the system overview would give a better overview of the system and how the individual blocks fit together. The same applies for the hardware design.
- Better correlation could be introduced between the Evaluation and Proof-of-concept Applications sections.
- References are not mentioned in chronological order. The first references mentioned are [16, 32, 39, 48], whereas [1] is only mentioned on page 7. Having this in chronological order would improve readability somewhat.