BY FENIL GAJJAR

KUBERNETES DAILY TASKS

KUBERNETES INGRESS TO GATEWAY API

- COMPEREHENSIVE GUIDE
- THEOYR + PRACTICAL TASKS
- REAL TIME SCENARIO TASKS
- END TO END DOC

Contact Us:

- 🔇 fenilgajjar.devops@gmail.com
- 🏮 github.com/Fenil-Gajjar



Kubernetes Ingress



Gateway API Migration

Real-World Implementation

& Best Practices 🚀



№ Welcome to the Ingress Gateway API Migration Journey!

Hello Engineers!

First of all — a massive thank you to all of you who've followed my technical documentation series so far ... Whether you've explored my breakdowns on Kubernetes, DevOps tools, or most recently, the deep-dive into the Gateway API — your support, engagement, and feedback have been incredible. It truly fuels my commitment to deliver more hands-on, production-level insights for real-world engineering problems.

Now, let's talk about this doc...

In this documentation, we're shifting gears into a highly practical and **impactful DevOps use case**:

Migrating from legacy Kubernetes Ingress to the powerful Gateway

API — all while using the NGINX Gateway Controller on a

Kops-managed Kubernetes cluster.

Here's what you'll uncover:

- V A clear understanding of why migrating to Gateway API is essential
- **Key precautions** before and during the migration process

- Multiple real-time scenario-based tasks showing actual YAMLs, configs, and routing flows
- In-depth implementation using NGINX
- **Troubleshooting techniques** you'll need in production
- And finally bulletproof best practices for operating Gateway API the right way in a real DevOps environment

Whether you're a **DevOps engineer, platform architect, or SRE**, this doc will guide you with clarity, context, and confidence to take your networking layer to the next level.

Built for the Real World — Not Just Theory

This isn't just another high-level comparison. It's a hands-on, YAML-heavy, scenario-backed deep dive, written from experience, tested on real workloads, and structured to **bridge the gap between theory and production reality**.

And the best part? It's built entirely with:

- Open-source tooling
- Real infra (via Kops)
- Practical, scalable, secure architecture decisions

★ Stay Tuned for More!

This is just one part of a much bigger series where I'll continue exploring:

So if this excites you — stick around, share your feedback, and keep learning with me.

Let's make Kubernetes more powerful, one layer at a time.

Migrating from Ingress to Gateway API: What Happens and Why It Matters

Background

Ingress has long been the standard for managing external access to services in Kubernetes. It's simple, widely adopted, and works well for basic use cases. However, as Kubernetes workloads and architectures grow more complex, Ingress falls short in areas like:

- Advanced traffic routing
- Extensibility
- Separation of concerns
- Cross-team collaboration

This is where **Gateway API** steps in — a Kubernetes-native, flexible, and extensible standard designed to **replace and enhance** Ingress for modern networking needs.



So What Happens When Most of Your Workloads Are Still in

Ingress?

1. Legacy Lock-in

You're tied to Ingress-specific annotations and behaviors, often vendor-dependent (e.g., NGINX, Traefik). This can make your workloads:

- Harder to port
- Less maintainable
- Less cloud-native

2. Limited Traffic Control

With Ingress, your routing capabilities are basic (host/path-based). If your architecture needs:

- Traffic splitting
- Header-based routing
- Weighted canary deployments ...you'll quickly hit a wall.

3. Poor Multi-Tenancy Support

Ingress doesn't support separation of roles well — you often end up mixing developer, platform, and security concerns in one big Ingress YAML. Gateway API introduces **GatewayClasses**, **Gateways**, and **Routes**, offering:

- Role separation
- Cleaner delegation
- Better RBAC control

4. Annotation Hell

Ingress relies heavily on annotations for anything advanced. Different Ingress controllers interpret these differently. This can lead to:

- Configuration drift
- Unexpected behavior
- Difficult troubleshooting

When You Migrate to Gateway API, You Get:

- Standardization across controllers
- Modern APIs built with extensibility in mind
- V Better security posture via route delegation
- More observability and debugging tools
- **Better compatibility** with service mesh patterns (e.g., Istio, Linkerd)

Realistic Migration Outcomes

When migrating existing Ingress-based workloads to Gateway API, **you're not just rewriting YAMLs**. You're:

- Re-architecting your traffic flow
- Redefining who owns what in your platform (Dev vs Ops)
- Potentially changing your ingress controller to one that supports Gateway
 API (e.g., istio, GKE Gateway Controller, Kong, Contour, NGINX Gateway)

Your workloads:

- Will need new Gateway API resource definitions (GatewayClass, Gateway, HTTPRoute, etc.)
- May need **testing and gradual rollout** to avoid downtime
- Should be validated for parity in behavior (what used to work in Ingress should behave the same in Gateway)

Why Migrate from Ingress to Gateway API?

As Kubernetes evolves and becomes the foundation for production workloads across teams and organizations, **Ingress is no longer enough**. While it served well for simple HTTP routing, today's infrastructure demands **more power, flexibility,** and **maintainability** — and that's where Gateway API comes in.

Let's break down why you should consider migrating:

1. Ingress Is Too Simple for Modern Use Cases

- Ingress only supports basic routing like host- and path-based routing.
- Advanced use cases (like header-based routing, traffic splitting, canary deployments) require controller-specific hacks or annotations.
- Gateway API natively supports these advanced routing rules, with first-class
 APIs.
 - Gateway API provides rich routing features natively.

12. Poor Extensibility in Ingress

 Ingress doesn't scale well when you need to extend behavior or introduce new traffic control mechanisms.

- You're stuck using non-portable annotations, which are controller-specific and error-prone.
 - Gateway API is built from the ground up to be extensible, supporting CRDs for custom filters, authentication, etc.

99 3. No Separation of Concerns in Ingress

- Ingress is a single resource controlled by both developers and platform teams.
- This creates conflicts, misconfigurations, and fragile pipelines.
 - Gateway API introduces a clean separation:
- GatewayClass Cluster-level admin config (infra team)
- Gateway Gateway definition (platform team)
- **HTTPRoute** Routing rules (application team)

This supports multi-tenancy and delegation, enabling clear ownership boundaries.

4. Better Security and RBAC Support

- In Ingress, anyone with access can modify any route.
- Gateway API allows fine-grained permissions platform teams manage the Gateway, devs manage their own routes.
 - More secure and auditable configurations, aligned with enterprise policies.

5. Standardized Observability & Status Reporting

- Ingress gives limited status reporting, and it's often controller-specific.
- Gateway API introduces a standardized and expressive status model, showing:
 - o Route health
 - Attachment status
 - Gateway readiness
 - Easier troubleshooting and monitoring.

6. Vendor-Neutral & Controller-Agnostic

- Ingress behavior can vary wildly between controllers (NGINX, Traefik, ALB, etc.).
- Gateway API aims to be vendor-neutral, with well-defined conformance tests and features across all compliant controllers.
 - Migrate once, avoid vendor lock-in.

7. Future-Proofing Your Cluster

- The Kubernetes community is actively developing Gateway API.
- Ingress is **not going away**, but it's not evolving either.
- Gateway API is where new innovation is happening (e.g., Mesh integration, gRPC support, richer APIs).
 - Adopting Gateway API aligns your infrastructure with **Kubernetes networking's future**.

Precautions and Considerations Before Migrating from Ingress to Gateway API

Migrating from Ingress to Gateway API is not a simple "search and replace" task. It's a **strategic shift** in how your applications handle traffic. To ensure a smooth and safe transition, here are the **key precautions and things to keep in mind** before and during the migration process.

1. Not All Ingress Controllers Support Gateway API (Yet)

Before starting the migration, verify that your ingress controller supports

Gateway API. Popular controllers like:

- NGINX (via nginx-gateway-fabric)
- Istio
- Contour
- Kong
- GKE Gateway Controller

...are adding support, but it might not be feature-complete or stable in your environment.

Action: Check compatibility of your current controller or plan to switch to one that supports Gateway API in production.

2. Gateway API Is Not a 1:1 Replacement

- Ingress and Gateway API have different resource models.
- A single Ingress may map to multiple Gateway API resources like Gateway, HTTPRoute, etc.
- Certain Ingress features (e.g., TLS termination, rewrite rules) must be
 explicitly re-implemented using Gateway filters or route-level settings.
 - **Action:** Design your new resource layout carefully before migration.

3. Feature Parity Isn't Always Guaranteed

Some advanced features or annotations from Ingress:

- May not be supported yet in Gateway API
- Or may behave differently across controllers

Example:

- Path rewrites in NGINX via annotations might require a custom Gateway
 filter in Gateway API.
 - Action: Test each route's behavior before and after migration to ensure parity.

4. Gradual Migration is Key — Don't "Lift and Shift"

- Avoid migrating everything at once.
- Instead, follow a phased rollout, such as:
 - Start with a single service or namespace
 - Use side-by-side deployment (Ingress + Gateway)
 - Validate with canary traffic or staging environments
 - **Action:** Plan for a progressive rollout strategy.

25. TLS, Auth, and Cert Management Needs Rethinking

- TLS settings in Gateway API are defined at the Gateway level, not on routes like in Ingress.
- Cert-manager integrations and ACME configurations may need changes.
 - Action: Revisit your TLS termination setup and confirm certs are correctly handled in Gateway API.

99 6. Define Clear Ownership for New Resources

Gateway API introduces separation of concerns:

- GatewayClass Cluster/network team
- Gateway Platform team
- HTTPRoute Application/dev team

If roles aren't clearly defined, confusion and access issues will occur.

Action: Define and communicate ownership responsibilities across teams.

7. Observability and Monitoring Need Updates

- If you use dashboards, alerts, or log parsing for Ingress behavior, these will need to adapt to:
 - o Gateway and Route status fields
 - New logs and metrics paths
 - Action: Update observability stack to reflect Gateway API semantics.

3. Update RBAC Rules

Your existing RBAC setup may allow access to Ingress objects, but not:

- GatewayClass
- Gateway
- HTTPRoute
 - Action: Review and update RBAC permissions to align with the new API structure.

9. Tooling and CI/CD Integration

- Your CI/CD pipelines may include Ingress YAMLs, testing logic, or validation scripts.
- These will all need updates to support Gateway API resources.
 - **Action:** Prepare your toolchain and pipelines for the new structure.

Step-by-Step: Migrating from Ingress to Gateway API (NGINX Controller on Kops)

This section provides a **real-world migration scenario**, starting from a basic Ingress resource and moving to a Gateway API setup, with NGINX Gateway Controller handling the traffic.

Target Audience: DevOps engineers managing their own Kops-based Kubernetes clusters.

1. Prerequisites

Before migration, ensure:

- You have a running Kubernetes cluster via Kops
- kubectl is configured and working
- You're using NGINX Gateway Controller (not classic NGINX Ingress controller)
- Gateway API CRDs are installed (we'll cover that below)

2. Install the NGINX Gateway Controller (Gateway API version)

Here's how you install the NGINX Gateway API controller in your cluster:

kubectl apply -k

"github.com/nginxinc/nginx-gateway-kubernetes/deploy/kuberne tes/overlays/gateway-api?ref=v1.1.0"

- This installs:
- Gateway API CRDs
- NGINX Gateway Controller
- Necessary RBAC and deployments

3. Original Ingress YAML Example

Here's a simple Ingress definition that exposes a backend service:

apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: Ingress

metadata:

```
name: my-app-ingress
  namespace: demo
  annotations:
    nginx.ingress.kubernetes.io/rewrite-target: /
spec:
  rules:
  - host: myapp.example.com
    http:
      paths:
      - path: /
        pathType: Prefix
        backend:
          service:
            name: my-app
            port:
              number: 80
```



4. Migrate to Gateway API Resources

You'll now define the GatewayClass, Gateway, and HTTPRoute to replace the Ingress.

a. Create a GatewayClass (NGINX-specific)

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: GatewayClass

metadata:

name: nginx

spec:

controllerName: nginx.org/gateway-controller

Apply it:

kubectl apply -f gatewayclass.yaml

V b. Create a Gateway Resource

This defines the load balancer entry point.

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: Gateway

```
metadata:
  name: nginx-gateway
  namespace: demo
spec:
  gatewayClassName: nginx
  listeners:
  - name: http
    protocol: HTTP
    port: 80
    hostname: "myapp.example.com"
    allowedRoutes:
      namespaces:
        from: Same
Apply it:
kubectl apply -f gateway.yaml
```

c. Create an HTTPRoute

This is equivalent to the Ingress rule — it defines how requests are routed.

```
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: HTTPRoute
metadata:
  name: my-app-route
  namespace: demo
spec:
  parentRefs:
  - name: nginx-gateway
  rules:
  - matches:
    - path:
        type: PathPrefix
        value: /
    backendRefs:
    - name: my-app
      port: 80
```

Apply it:

kubectl apply -f httproute.yaml

5. Test the Migration

After applying everything:

• Confirm Gateway and HTTPRoute status:

kubectl get gateway -n demo
kubectl get httproute -n demo

• Test access via DNS or by port-forwarding temporarily:

kubectl port-forward svc/nginx-gateway 8080:80 -n
nginx-gateway

curl -H "Host: myapp.example.com" http://localhost:8080/

6. Feature Matching: Validate Parity

You must verify that the new Gateway API route **behaves exactly as the old Ingress**:

- Are paths routed correctly?
- Are headers preserved?
- Is TLS termination working (if configured)?
- Do redirects or rewrites work as expected?

NGINX Gateway Controller is still maturing, so test critical paths carefully.

7. Decommission Old Ingress

Once you're confident everything works, clean up the old Ingress:

kubectl delete ingress my-app-ingress -n demo

Bonus: TLS Termination (Optional)

Want to terminate TLS at the Gateway level?

Update your Gateway like this:

listeners:

- name: https

protocol: HTTPS

port: 443

hostname: "myapp.example.com"

tls:

certificateRefs:

- kind: Secret

name: myapp-tls-secret

allowedRoutes:

namespaces:

from: Same

TLS secrets must exist in the same namespace as the Gateway.

What You Need to Have Before Migrating to Gateway API

Implementing this migration requires preparation at **cluster**, **tooling**, and **team** levels. Below is a detailed checklist to guide your readiness:

Technical Understanding of Gateway API Concepts

Before you write any YAMLs, your team should be familiar with key Gateway API components:

- GatewayClass Defines controller behavior (like a driver)
- Gateway Represents the actual load balancer
- HTTPRoute Defines routing rules for applications
- ParentRefs, BackendRefs, and Listeners How traffic flows and is routed
 - Action: Educate your team on Gateway API basics (docs, quickstart labs)

A Kops-Based Kubernetes Cluster Up and Running

You need a functioning cluster provisioned with Kops:

- Proper networking set up (VPC, subnets, DNS, etc.)
- Working kubectl configuration
- External DNS support if you're using domain names (e.g., myapp.example.com)
 - Action: Confirm your cluster is reachable and can expose public endpoints.

NGINX Gateway Controller Installed

Make sure you're using the **Gateway API-compatible version**, not the classic nginx-ingress controller.

Use this to install:

kubectl apply -k

"github.com/nginxinc/nginx-gateway-kubernetes/deploy/kuberne tes/overlays/gateway-api?ref=v1.1.0"

Check: It creates Deployment, Service, GatewayClass, and CRDs.

Gateway API CRDs Installed

These should be automatically installed with the NGINX controller, but you can also apply them separately from the Kubernetes Gateway API GitHub repo:

kubectl apply -f
https://github.com/kubernetes-sigs/gateway-api/releases/down
load/v1.0.0/standard-install.yaml

Check: Confirm CRDs like gateway.networking.k8s.io, httproutes.gateway.networking.k8s.io exist.

Existing Ingress Definitions That Need Migration

You should already have:

- Ingress manifests for services that expose HTTP(S) traffic
- Knowledge of how they behave: TLS, rewrites, headers, etc.

Action: Identify and export these manifests for review and translation.

TLS Secrets (If You're Using HTTPS)

- If your apps currently use HTTPS, you'll need:
 - Existing TLS secrets
 - o A plan to reuse them with Gateway listeners
 - Cert-manager setup (optional but recommended)
 - Action: Ensure your certs are valid and available in the right namespace.

Team Roles and RBAC Prepared

With Gateway API, roles should be separated:

- Platform team manages GatewayClass and Gateway
- Dev teams manage HTTPRoute
 - Action: Define who manages what. Update RBAC to reflect these responsibilities.

Tooling Adjustments (CI/CD, GitOps, etc.)

If you're using CI/CD pipelines:

- They might template or apply Ingress YAMLs
- You'll need to update those to handle Gateway API resources
 - Action: Plan for pipeline and Helm chart updates.

Monitoring and Debugging Tools That Understand Gateway API

Your logging, metrics, and dashboards should:

- Track Gateway and HTTPRoute status
- Visualize traffic flow and errors
- Alert on failed route attachment or invalid configs
 - Action: Integrate tools like:
- Prometheus (with custom metrics from controller)
- Grafana dashboards
- Gateway API status fields (.status.conditions)

A Safe Testing Environment

You should never migrate directly in production.

- Use a staging or test namespace
- Deploy services side-by-side (Ingress + Gateway)
- Compare responses, logs, and metrics

Action: Prepare a parallel testing environment for each route or service being migrated.

Real-Time Task: Migrating shop-app Ingress to Gateway API using NGINX Gateway Controller on Kops

Context

You manage a production Kubernetes cluster using **Kops** on AWS. The cluster hosts a frontend application called shop-app running in the production namespace. Currently, traffic to shop-app is managed through a standard **Ingress** resource using the **classic NGINX Ingress Controller**.

The traffic is exposed under the hostname shop.example.com, with **TLS termination** handled by a certificate issued via cert-manager.

Your objective is to **migrate this Ingress setup to the Gateway API**, leveraging the **NGINX Gateway Controller**, which supports Kubernetes-native Gateway resources like GatewayClass, Gateway, and HTTPRoute.

The migration must:

- Maintain TLS termination
- Preserve existing routing behavior
- Avoid downtime
- Be implemented in a **production-safe** and **repeatable** manner

X Step-by-Step Implementation

✓ Step 1: Review the Existing Ingress (Current State)

Before migration, extract the existing Ingress definition.

```
apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: Ingress
metadata:
  name: shop-app-ingress
  namespace: production
  annotations:
    nginx.ingress.kubernetes.io/rewrite-target: /
spec:
  tls:
  - hosts:
    - shop.example.com
    secretName: shop-tls
  rules:
  - host: shop.example.com
```

```
http:
  paths:
  - path: /
   pathType: Prefix
  backend:
    service:
    name: shop-app
    port:
    number: 80
```

Note: This Ingress provides HTTPS access to the shop-app service via NGINX Ingress Controller. The TLS certificate is stored in a secret named shop-tls.

☼ Step 2: Install NGINX Gateway Controller (Gateway API Edition)

You must use the version of NGINX that supports **Gateway API**, not the classic ingress controller.

Run the following command to install it:

```
kubectl apply -k
"github.com/nginxinc/nginx-gateway-kubernetes/deploy/kuberne
tes/overlays/gateway-api?ref=v1.1.0"
```

This installs:

• The NGINX Gateway controller Deployment

• Necessary CRDs (Gateway, GatewayClass, HTTPRoute, etc.)

• Service account, roles, and bindings

Verify the controller is running:

kubectl get pods -n nginx-gateway

Step 3: Create a GatewayClass

GatewayClass is the top-level abstraction that defines which controller handles which Gateway. In this case, you're assigning this to the NGINX Gateway Controller.

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: GatewayClass

metadata:

name: nginx

spec:

controllerName: nginx.org/gateway-controller

Apply it:

kubectl apply -f gatewayclass.yaml

Step 4: Create the Gateway Resource

This defines the load balancer endpoint and TLS configuration. It listens on shop.example.com using port 443 (HTTPS).

```
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
```

kind: Gateway

metadata:

name: shop-gateway

namespace: production

spec:

gatewayClassName: nginx

listeners:

- name: https

protocol: HTTPS

```
port: 443
hostname: "shop.example.com"
tls:
    certificateRefs:
    - kind: Secret
        name: shop-tls
allowedRoutes:
    namespaces:
    from: Same
```

Explanation:

- hostname: Ensures that only traffic for shop.example.com is accepted.
- tls.certificateRefs: Refers to the same TLS secret used in the old Ingress.
- allowedRoutes: Ensures only HTTPRoutes from the production namespace can bind.

Apply the file:

```
kubectl apply -f gateway.yaml
```

Step 5: Define the HTTPRoute

Now create the routing logic that replaces what was defined in the Ingress resource.

```
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: HTTPRoute
metadata:
  name: shop-route
  namespace: production
spec:
  parentRefs:
  - name: shop-gateway
  rules:
  - matches:
    - path:
        type: PathPrefix
        value: /
    backendRefs:
    - name: shop-app
      port: 80
```

Explanation:

- parentRefs.name: Links this route to the shop-gateway.
- matches.path.value: /: Captures all traffic like the previous Ingress.
- backendRefs: Sends traffic to the same service and port used by Ingress.

Apply the route:

kubectl apply -f httproute.yaml

Step 6: Validate the Migration

1. Verify Gateway & HTTPRoute status:

kubectl get gateway shop-gateway -n production kubectl get httproute shop-route -n production

Check .status.conditions[] for Accepted=True, Ready=True.

2. DNS / TLS Testing:

If you use an external DNS (like Route53), point shop.example.com to the Gateway Service's external IP:

kubectl get svc -n nginx-gateway

Use curl to validate:

```
curl -k --resolve shop.example.com:443:<EXTERNAL-IP>
https://shop.example.com/
```

Expected:

- Valid TLS certificate
- Response from the shop-app service

✓ Step 7: Cleanup the Ingress Resource

Once traffic is confirmed to be working with Gateway API:

kubectl delete ingress shop-app-ingress -n production

This finalizes the migration. All traffic is now served via Gateway API.



You've just performed a **real-world migration** of a live application from **Ingress to Gateway API** with the following outcomes:

| Component | Old (Ingress) | New (Gateway API) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Controller | NGINX Ingress | NGINX Gateway Controller |
| Resource | Ingress | Gateway, HTTPRoute |
| TLS Handling | secretName in Ingress | certificateRefs in Gateway |
| Routing Logic | paths in rules | matches and backendRefs |
| Namespace Scope | Flat | Explicit with allowedRoutes |

Real-Time Task: Migrating Multi-Path Ingress for inventory-app and admin-panel to Gateway API with NGINX Gateway Controller

Scenario

You manage a cluster where two frontend services — inventory-app and admin-panel — are exposed via a **single Ingress resource** on the domain portal.example.com.

The current structure:

- https://portal.example.com/inventory → routes to inventory-app service
- https://portal.example.com/admin → routes to admin-panel service

You are tasked with **migrating this multi-path Ingress to Gateway API**, while preserving:

- Path-based routing
- TLS termination
- Reuse of existing TLS cert (portal-tls)

• Clean separation between applications

Step-by-Step Migration to Gateway API

✓ Step 1: Review Existing Ingress Setup

```
apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: Ingress
metadata:
  name: portal-ingress
  namespace: production
  annotations:
    nginx.ingress.kubernetes.io/rewrite-target: / # ensure
root forwarding
spec:
  tls:
  - hosts:
    - portal.example.com
    secretName: portal-tls
  rules:
```

```
- host: portal.example.com
  http:
    paths:
    - path: /inventory
      pathType: Prefix
      backend:
        service:
          name: inventory-app
          port:
            number: 80
    - path: /admin
      pathType: Prefix
      backend:
        service:
          name: admin-panel
          port:
            number: 80
```

Step 2: Ensure NGINX Gateway Controller is Installed

Already installed from previous task:

kubectl get pods -n nginx-gateway

If not:

kubectl apply -k

"github.com/nginxinc/nginx-gateway-kubernetes/deploy/kuberne tes/overlays/gateway-api?ref=v1.1.0"

Step 3: Define GatewayClass (Skip if already applied)

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: GatewayClass

metadata:

name: nginx

spec:

controllerName: nginx.org/gateway-controller

Apply:

kubectl apply -f gatewayclass.yaml

Step 4: Create Gateway Resource (TLS Listener)

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1 kind: Gateway metadata: name: portal-gateway namespace: production spec: gatewayClassName: nginx listeners: - name: https protocol: HTTPS port: 443 hostname: "portal.example.com" tls: certificateRefs: - kind: Secret name: portal-tls allowedRoutes: namespaces:

```
Apply:
kubectl apply -f gateway.yaml
Step 5: Create HTTPRoute for /inventory
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: HTTPRoute
metadata:
  name: inventory-route
  namespace: production
spec:
  parentRefs:
  - name: portal-gateway
  rules:
  - matches:
    - path:
        type: PathPrefix
```

value: /inventory

from: Same

```
backendRefs:
    - name: inventory-app
      port: 80
Apply:
kubectl apply -f inventory-route.yaml
Step 6: Create HTTPRoute for /admin
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: HTTPRoute
metadata:
  name: admin-route
  namespace: production
spec:
  parentRefs:
  - name: portal-gateway
  rules:
  - matches:
    - path:
```

type: PathPrefix

value: /admin

backendRefs:

- name: admin-panel

port: 80

Apply:

kubectl apply -f admin-route.yaml

Step 7: Validate Routing

1. Verify resource status:

kubectl get gateway portal-gateway -n production
kubectl get httproute -n production

Check .status.conditions[] for Accepted=True.

2. Test each route:

```
curl -k --resolve portal.example.com:443:<GATEWAY-IP>
https://portal.example.com/inventory

curl -k --resolve portal.example.com:443:<GATEWAY-IP>
https://portal.example.com/admin
```

Expected result:

- Inventory and admin services respond independently
- TLS cert from portal-tls is valid

✓ Step 8: Remove Old Ingress

kubectl delete ingress portal-ingress -n production

Additional Notes

- If either /admin or /inventory require authentication, you can use
 Gateway filters later.
- You can assign each HTTPRoute to a different dev team, improving multi-tenancy.
- This pattern is scalable: just add more routes and point them to new services.

✓ Task Summary

| Арр | Route Path | HTTPRoute | Backend Service |
|---------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Inventory App | /inventory | inventory-route | inventory-app |
| Admin Panel | /admin | admin-route | admin-panel |

You have now successfully migrated a **multi-path TLS Ingress** to **modular, secure,** and scalable Gateway API resources, preserving all core behaviors while gaining future flexibility and team separation.

Real-Time Task: Migrate /api and /web Paths to Gateway API with Header-Based Routing, TLS, and Delegation

Scenario

You manage a **Kops-based production cluster** hosting a monolithic Ingress that routes:

- https://platform.example.com/api → api-service
- https://platform.example.com/web → frontend-service

The cluster is multi-team:

- The **Platform team** controls TLS, DNS, and external gateway exposure.
- The App teams own their respective services and routing logic (api-team, frontend-team).

You must migrate this setup to **Gateway API using NGINX Gateway Controller**, implementing:

- TLS termination
- Clean separation of concerns using allowedRoutes

- Header-based routing on /api for versioning (X-Api-Version: v1)
- Team-specific ownership of HTTPRoute

X Step-by-Step Migration

Step 1: Setup — Existing Ingress Definition (to be migrated)

apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: Ingress

metadata:
 name: platform-ingress

 namespace: platform
 annotations:
 nginx.ingress.kubernetes.io/rewrite-target: /
spec:
 tls:

- hosts:

- platform.example.com
secretName: platform-tls

rules:

```
- host: platform.example.com
  http:
    paths:
    - path: /api
      pathType: Prefix
      backend:
        service:
          name: api-service
          port:
            number: 80
    - path: /web
      pathType: Prefix
      backend:
        service:
          name: frontend-service
          port:
            number: 80
```



Step 2: Install the NGINX Gateway Controller (if not already)

kubectl apply -k "github.com/nginxinc/nginx-gateway-kubernetes/deploy/kuberne tes/overlays/gateway-api?ref=v1.1.0"

m Step 3: Create the GatewayClass (Controller Binding)

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: GatewayClass

metadata:

name: nginx

spec:

controllerName: nginx.org/gateway-controller

kubectl apply -f gatewayclass.yaml

Step 4: Create the Gateway (Managed by Platform Team)

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: Gateway

metadata:

```
name: platform-gateway
  namespace: platform
spec:
  gatewayClassName: nginx
  listeners:
  - name: https
    protocol: HTTPS
    port: 443
    hostname: "platform.example.com"
    tls:
      certificateRefs:
      - kind: Secret
        name: platform-tls
    allowedRoutes:
      namespaces:
        from: Selector
        selector:
          matchLabels:
            route-access: allowed
```

kubectl apply -f gateway.yaml

© Explanation:

- allowedRoutes.from: Selector gives control to selected namespaces.
- Only namespaces with label route-access=allowed can bind routes to this Gateway.
- TLS cert platform-tls is reused.

Step 5: Label Team Namespaces

kubectl label namespace api-team route-access=allowed
kubectl label namespace frontend-team route-access=allowed

Step 6: HTTPRoute for /api (Owned by API Team) — With Header Routing

Namespace: api-team

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: HTTPRoute

metadata:

name: api-route namespace: api-team spec: parentRefs: - name: platform-gateway namespace: platform rules: - matches: - path: type: PathPrefix value: /api headers: - name: X-Api-Version value: v1 backendRefs: - name: api-service port: 80

kubectl apply -f api-route.yaml -n api-team

Explanation:

- Traffic only routes to api-service if header X-Api-Version:
 v1 is present.
- This enables future versioning (e.g., v2 routes, filters, etc.)

Step 7: HTTPRoute for /web (Owned by Frontend Team)

```
Namespace: frontend-team
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: HTTPRoute
metadata:
  name: frontend-route
  namespace: frontend-team
spec:
  parentRefs:
  - name: platform-gateway
    namespace: platform
  rules:
  - matches:
    - path:
```

type: PathPrefix

value: /web

backendRefs:

- name: frontend-service

port: 80

kubectl apply -f frontend-route.yaml -n frontend-team

Step 8: Validation

1. Confirm all resources are **Accepted and Ready**:

kubectl get gateway -n platform
kubectl get httproute -A

2. Test via curl:

```
curl -k --resolve platform.example.com:443:<LB-IP>
https://platform.example.com/api \
   -H "X-Api-Version: v1"
```

curl -k --resolve platform.example.com:443:<LB-IP>
https://platform.example.com/web

You should receive valid responses from both apps.

Header-based routing should reject requests that don't match the expected version header.

√ Step 9: Cleanup Old Ingress

Once validated:

kubectl delete ingress platform-ingress -n platform

✓ Summary: What You Accomplished

| Feature | Implementation |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| TLS Termination | Managed by Gateway on port 443 |
| Path Routing | /api and /web via HTTPRoute |
| Header Routing | X-Api-Version: v1 on /api |
| Namespace Delegation | allowedRoutes via label selector |
| Team Ownership | Separate routes per namespace |
| NGINX Controller Used | NGINX Gateway Controller |

Real-Time Task: Blue-Green Deployment for payment-service Using Gateway API Weighted Routing

Scenario

You're managing the payment-service which currently runs version v1 in production under:

https://pay.example.com/

You're preparing to release a new version v2, deployed as a separate service payment-service-v2. The goal is to **migrate traffic from v1 to v2 gradually** without downtime.

Your current Ingress exposes payment-service-v1. You must now:

- Migrate this route to Gateway API
- Use weighted traffic splitting
- Route 90% to v1, 10% to v2
- Increase traffic over time until full migration
- Rollback if needed

X Full Implementation on Kops + NGINX Gateway

Controller

✓ Step 1: Current Ingress (Reference Only)

```
apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: Ingress
metadata:
  name: payment-ingress
  namespace: production
spec:
  tls:
  - hosts:
    - pay.example.com
    secretName: pay-tls
  rules:
  - host: pay.example.com
    http:
      paths:
      - path: /
```

```
pathType: Prefix
backend:
  service:
    name: payment-service-v1
    port:
      number: 80
```

✓ Step 2: Create Gateway and TLS Setup

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1 kind: Gateway metadata: name: payment-gateway namespace: production spec: gatewayClassName: nginx listeners: - name: https

protocol: HTTPS

port: 443

```
hostname: "pay.example.com"
    tls:
      certificateRefs:
      - kind: Secret
        name: pay-tls
    allowedRoutes:
      namespaces:
        from: Same
kubectl apply -f payment-gateway.yaml
✓ Step 3: Deploy v2 Alongside v1
You should already have:
kubectl get svc payment-service-v1 -n production
Now deploy:
apiVersion: v1
kind: Service
metadata:
```

```
name: payment-service-v2
  namespace: production
spec:
  selector:
    app: payment-service
    version: v2
  ports:
  - port: 80
    targetPort: 8080
And the backing deployment:
apiVersion: apps/v1
kind: Deployment
metadata:
  name: payment-v2
  namespace: production
spec:
  replicas: 2
  selector:
```

```
matchLabels:
      app: payment-service
      version: v2
  template:
    metadata:
      labels:
        app: payment-service
        version: v2
    spec:
      containers:
      - name: payment
        image: your-repo/payment:v2
        ports:
        - containerPort: 8080
Apply it:
kubectl apply -f payment-v2.yaml
```

Step 4: Create HTTPRoute With Weighted Routing

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1 kind: HTTPRoute metadata: name: payment-route namespace: production spec: parentRefs: - name: payment-gateway rules: - matches: - path: type: PathPrefix value: / backendRefs: - name: payment-service-v1 port: 80 weight: 90 - name: payment-service-v2

port: 80

weight: 10

Apply:

kubectl apply -f payment-route.yaml

® Now:

- 90% of traffic goes to payment-service-v1
- 10% of traffic goes to payment-service-v2

✓ Step 5: Validate Rollout

1. Test in browser or curl repeatedly:

curl -k --resolve pay.example.com:443:<LB-IP>
https://pay.example.com/

2. Log both services to confirm traffic is split.

kubectl logs -l app=payment-service,version=v1 -n production kubectl logs -l app=payment-service,version=v2 -n production

3. Adjust weights when confident:

backendRefs:

- name: payment-service-v1

port: 80

weight: 50

- name: payment-service-v2

port: 80

weight: 50

Eventually:

- name: payment-service-v1

port: 80

weight: 0

- name: payment-service-v2

port: 80

weight: 100

Apply each change with:

kubectl apply -f payment-route.yaml

Step 6: Rollback (if needed)

Simply restore weight to v1:

- name: payment-service-v1

port: 80

weight: 100

- name: payment-service-v2

port: 80

weight: 0

You can also redeploy previous version under v2 service if needed.

✓ Step 7: Remove Ingress

After complete migration and full traffic on Gateway API:

kubectl delete ingress payment-ingress -n production

Real-Time Task: Migrate Ingress with Multi-Tenant Subdomain Routing to Gateway API (Isolated Namespaces + TLS)

Scenario

Your company operates a **multi-tenant SaaS platform**. Each tenant gets a subdomain like:

- tenant1.example.com
- tenant2.example.com

Previously, a single **Ingress** routed based on hostname and forwarded traffic to services in each tenant's namespace.

You now need to migrate this to Gateway API, with:

- Subdomain-based routing (*.example.com)
- TLS termination for each tenant
- Each tenant managing its own routing logic (in isolated namespaces)
- Platform team owning and managing the Gateway (no tenant can modify it)
- DNS and TLS certs already handled via wildcard *.example.com cert

You will implement:

- One Gateway (owned by platform team)
- Tenant-specific HTTPRoute (per-namespace, isolated)
- allowedRoutes.from: Selector to strictly allow selected tenant namespaces
- TLS wildcard cert for *.example.com

X Step-by-Step Implementation

▼ Step 1: Pre-Migration Ingress Setup (Reference)

Namespace: platform

apiVersion: networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: Ingress

metadata:

name: multi-tenant-ingress

namespace: platform

spec:

tls:

```
- hosts:
  - "*.example.com"
  secretName: wildcard-cert
rules:
- host: tenant1.example.com
  http:
    paths:
    - path: /
      pathType: Prefix
      backend:
        service:
          name: tenant1-web
          port:
            number: 80
- host: tenant2.example.com
  http:
    paths:
    - path: /
      pathType: Prefix
```

```
backend:
          service:
            name: tenant2-web
            port:
              number: 80
✓ Step 2: Create GatewayClass (Controller Binding)
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: GatewayClass
metadata:
  name: nginx
spec:
  controllerName: nginx.org/gateway-controller
kubectl apply -f gatewayclass.yaml
```

▼ Step 3: Create the Shared Gateway (Owned by Platform)

Namespace: platform apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1 kind: Gateway metadata: name: tenant-gateway namespace: platform spec: gatewayClassName: nginx listeners: - name: https protocol: HTTPS port: 443 hostname: "*.example.com" tls: certificateRefs: - name: wildcard-cert kind: Secret allowedRoutes:

```
namespaces:
        from: Selector
        selector:
           matchLabels:
             allow-gateway-bind: "true"
    This allows only tenant namespaces with label
    allow-gateway-bind=true to bind routes.
kubectl apply -f tenant-gateway.yaml

✓ Step 4: Setup Tenant 1 Namespace and App

kubectl create ns tenant1
kubectl label ns tenant1 allow-gateway-bind=true
Then deploy the tenant's service:
apiVersion: v1
kind: Service
```

metadata:

```
name: tenant1-web
  namespace: tenant1
spec:
  selector:
    app: tenant1-web
  ports:
  - port: 80
    targetPort: 8080
And its Deployment:
apiVersion: apps/v1
kind: Deployment
metadata:
  name: tenant1-web
  namespace: tenant1
spec:
  replicas: 2
  selector:
    matchLabels:
```

```
app: tenant1-web
  template:
    metadata:
      labels:
        app: tenant1-web
    spec:
      containers:
      - name: web
        image: your-repo/tenant1-app:v1
        ports:
        - containerPort: 8080
Step 5: Tenant 1 HTTPRoute (Bound to Gateway)
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: HTTPRoute
metadata:
  name: tenant1-route
  namespace: tenant1
spec:
```

```
- name: tenant-gateway
   namespace: platform
 hostnames:
 - "tenant1.example.com"
 rules:
 - matches:
   - path:
      type: PathPrefix
      value: /
   backendRefs:
   - name: tenant1-web
     port: 80
kubectl apply -f tenant1-route.yaml
```

tenant 1 owns their route, platform team owns the gateway.

parentRefs:

Step 6: Repeat for Tenant 2

kubectl create ns tenant2
kubectl label ns tenant2 allow-gateway-bind=true

Create tenant2-web service and deployment (similar to tenant1), then:

apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1

kind: HTTPRoute

metadata:

name: tenant2-route

namespace: tenant2

spec:

parentRefs:

- name: tenant-gateway

namespace: platform

hostnames:

- "tenant2.example.com"

rules:

- matches:
 - path:

```
type: PathPrefix

value: /
backendRefs:
```

- name: tenant2-web

port: 80

kubectl apply -f tenant2-route.yaml

Step 7: Validate

```
curl -k --resolve tenant1.example.com:443:<LB-IP>
https://tenant1.example.com/

curl -k --resolve tenant2.example.com:443:<LB-IP>
https://tenant2.example.com/
```

Check route status:

kubectl get httproute -A

kubectl describe gateway tenant-gateway -n platform

✓ Step 8: Decommission Ingress

kubectl delete ingress multi-tenant-ingress -n platform

Real-Time Task: Migrate Authenticated Internal Apps to Gateway API with External OIDC Authentication Layer

Scenario

You have an internal dashboard service (internal-dashboard) exposed only to employees. Today, it's behind a basic Ingress protected by IP whitelisting. This approach is insufficient for:

- Remote employees
- Identity-based access
- Auditing requirements

Your goal:

- Migrate the internal-dashboard ingress to Gateway API
- Use an OIDC-compliant identity provider (e.g., Auth0, Google, Okta)
- Authenticate users using OAuth2 at the gateway layer (using NGINX Gateway Controller + external auth)

- Allow access only to users in a specific email domain
- Ensure secure TLS via Gateway API
- Use an external auth service for token validation

This pattern gives you **Zero Trust, identity-aware access** — without rewriting the app.

1 Implementation: Gateway API + External Auth + OIDC

✓ Step 1: Assumptions

- Domain: dashboard.example.com
- OIDC provider: Auth0 (could be any)
- External Auth service: oauth2-proxy
- TLS cert is already available as secret internal-tls in namespace platform
- Application is already deployed as internal-dashboard in internal namespace

▼ Step 2: Deploy OAuth2 Proxy (as External Auth)

Create the proxy in the security namespace:

kubectl create ns security

```
Sample Deployment:
apiVersion: apps/v1
kind: Deployment
metadata:
  name: oauth2-proxy
  namespace: security
spec:
  replicas: 1
  selector:
    matchLabels:
      app: oauth2-proxy
  template:
    metadata:
      labels:
        app: oauth2-proxy
```

```
spec:
      containers:
      - name: oauth2-proxy
        image: quay.io/oauth2-proxy/oauth2-proxy:v7.6.0
        args:
        - --provider=oidc
        - --oidc-issuer-url=https://<AUTH0-DOMAIN>/
        - --client-id=<CLIENT-ID>
        - --client-secret=<CLIENT-SECRET>
        - --cookie-secret=<COOKIE-SECRET>
        - --email-domain=example.com
        - --upstream=http://127.0.0.1:4180/
        - --http-address=0.0.0.0:4180
        ports:
        - containerPort: 4180
And expose it:
apiVersion: v1
```

kind: Service

```
metadata:
  name: oauth2-proxy
  namespace: security
spec:
  selector:
    app: oauth2-proxy
  ports:
  - port: 80
    targetPort: 4180
✓ Step 3: Create Gateway (HTTPS Listener)
In namespace platform:
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: Gateway
metadata:
  name: internal-gateway
  namespace: platform
spec:
  gatewayClassName: nginx
```

listeners: - name: https protocol: HTTPS port: 443 hostname: "dashboard.example.com" tls: certificateRefs: - name: internal-tls allowedRoutes: namespaces: from: Same

Step 4: Deploy the Internal App (if not already)

Namespace: internal apiVersion: v1

kind: Service

metadata:

name: internal-dashboard

namespace: internal

```
spec:
  selector:
    app: dashboard
  ports:
  - port: 80
    targetPort: 8080
▼ Step 5: HTTPRoute With External Authentication Filter
Namespace: internal
apiVersion: gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1
kind: HTTPRoute
metadata:
  name: internal-dashboard-route
  namespace: internal
spec:
  parentRefs:
  - name: internal-gateway
    namespace: platform
  hostnames:
```

```
- "dashboard.example.com"
rules:
- filters:
  - type: RequestAuthentication
    requestAuthentication:
      extensionRef:
        group: config.gateway.nginx.org
        kind: ExternalAuth
        name: oauth2-auth
  - type: RequestHeaderModifier
    requestHeaderModifier:
      add:
      - name: X-Forwarded-User
        value: "authenticated"
  backendRefs:
  - name: internal-dashboard
    port: 80
```

Step 6: Define the External Auth Policy (CustomResource)

This is NGINX-specific and handled via their CRD:

apiVersion: config.gateway.nginx.org/v1alpha1 kind: ExternalAuth metadata: name: oauth2-auth namespace: internal spec: request: service: name: oauth2-proxy port: 80 pathPrefix: / response: allowedStatusCodes: [200] headers: - name: X-Auth-Request-Email - name: X-Auth-Request-User

- This tells NGINX Gateway to:
 - Forward request to oauth2-proxy
 - Allow only if response status is 200
 - Pass identity headers to app

Step 7: Validate

Open browser:

https://dashboard.example.com

- 1. You'll be redirected to AuthO login.
- 2. On success, traffic reaches your dashboard.

Confirm user identity headers in app logs:

 $\hbox{X-Auth-Request-Email: user@example.com}\\$

Step 8: Migration Complete — Remove Ingress

kubectl delete ingress internal-dashboard-ingress -n
internal



Security Feature Implementation via Gateway API

OIDC Authentication oauth2-proxy external filter

Route-level Auth RequestAuthentication filter

TLS Full termination in Gateway

Identity Isolation Only example.com domain users allowed

Gateway Ownership Platform team retains listener control

Least Privilege Apps unaware of auth — Zero Trust pattern

Troubleshooting & Debugging Gateway API (NGINX Controller)

1. Validate Gateway API Resources

Check GatewayClass

kubectl get gatewayclass
kubectl describe gatewayclass nginx

✓ Make sure the controllerName matches nginx.org/gateway-controller.

Check Gateway

kubectl get gateways -A
kubectl describe gateway <gateway-name> -n <namespace>

Look for Address: field — it should contain a LoadBalancer
 IP/hostname.

If it's missing, the controller hasn't provisioned it yet.

2. Verify Gateway Address Assignment (LoadBalancer/IP)

kubectl get svc -n <nginx-gateway-namespace>

Look for the LoadBalancer service, such as:

LoadBalancer 34.120.XXX.XXX nginx-gateway

443:32443/TCP

If not showing:

- Check if cloud provider integration is configured (important for **Kops**)
- Check controller logs

📡 3. Validate HTTPRoute Binding

kubectl get httproute -A

kubectl describe httproute <route-name> -n <namespace>

Look for:

• ParentRefs: — should point to the correct Gateway

• Accepted: True — under status.parents.conditions

X If Accepted=False, reason will be shown (e.g., RefNotPermitted, NoMatchingParent)

4. TLS Troubleshooting

Check TLS Certificate Secret

kubectl get secret <secret-name> -n <namespace>
kubectl describe secret <secret-name> -n <namespace>

- Make sure it's type kubernetes.io/tls
- Validate base64 content manually if needed

Confirm Listener TLS Binding

kubectl get gateway <name> -n <namespace> -o yaml | grep
-A10 listeners

Look for:

• protocol: HTTPS

- certificateRefs
- hostname: "*.example.com" (or exact match)

3. Debug External Auth Filters

If using ExternalAuth (e.g., with oauth2-proxy):

Check Logs of Auth Proxy

kubectl logs -l app=oauth2-proxy -n security

Look for:

- 401/403 errors → may indicate failed OIDC auth
- Redirect loops → often cookie or upstream misconfig
- Invalid client ID/secret → misconfigured OIDC

Check Route Filter Status

kubectl describe httproute <name> -n <namespace>

Check filters section → ensure filter is recognized.

If it's not, you may be missing the CRD or plugin is not installed.

6. Debug Request Routing (Live Traffic)

Use curl with Host Header

```
curl -v https://<LB-IP>/ -H "Host: your.example.com"
```

Or resolve domain:

```
curl -v --resolve your.example.com:443:<LB-IP>
https://your.example.com/
```

Use this to test domain routing without needing real DNS set up

1 7. Events: First Place to Check for Failures

```
kubectl get events -A | grep -Ei
'gateway|httproute|error|fail'
```

This will reveal most binding failures, listener issues, or cert misconfigs.

8. Controller Logs (NGINX)

kubectl logs -l app=nginx-gateway -n <namespace>

Use -f for live view:

kubectl logs -f deployment/nginx-gateway -n <namespace>

Crucial for seeing why a Gateway or Route failed to bind or why certs aren't being picked up.

9. Clean up & Reapply for Debugging

Sometimes resources get stuck in Pending or Invalid.

Safe order to delete:

kubectl delete httproute <name> -n <ns>
kubectl delete gateway <name> -n <ns>
kubectl delete gatewayclass nginx

Then reapply with:

kubectl apply -f gateway.yaml

kubectl apply -f httproute.yaml

/ 10. Tools & Tips

Use **kubectl explain** to explore Gateway API fields:

kubectl explain gateway.networking.k8s.io/v1.HTTPRoute

• Use **kubectl api-resources** | **grep gateway** to verify CRDs are installed.

Visualize resource status:

kubectl get httproute -A -o wide

• Watch all gateway API resources:

watch "kubectl get gatewayclass, gateway, httproute -A"

Wrapping Up

Migrating from the traditional Ingress resource to the modern Gateway API is more than just a switch in APIs — it's a step toward scalable, secure, and production-grade traffic management in Kubernetes. With improved separation of responsibilities, richer routing capabilities, and better extensibility, Gateway API enables platform teams and application teams to collaborate efficiently while reducing operational risks.

In this doc, we explored:

- Why and when to migrate from Ingress to Gateway API
- Precautions and pre-requisites for a smooth migration
- Multiple real-world scenario-based tasks with NGINX Gateway Controller
- Troubleshooting insights and production-grade best practices
 - Whether you're building for high-scale microservices or zero-downtime blue-green deployments, Gateway API is the future of traffic control in Kubernetes.

What's Next?

Stay tuned! I'll be sharing more deep-dive Kubernetes docs like:

- Canary Deployments with Gateway API
- Multi-tenant Gateway setups
- Custom filters and security policies
- GitOps-based Gateway management
 ...and much more tailored to DevOps, SecOps, and Platform Engineering roles.

Thanks for reading and supporting this series.

Let's build infrastructure that's not just functional — but future-ready. 🗖 🛊 🌐

- Fenil Gajjar

"Real-world engineering beats theoretical perfection."