

FYS 4480 Sept 9

$$N[a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4^\dagger] = (-1)^P a_4^\dagger a_1 a_2 a_3 \\ = -1 \times a_4^\dagger a_1 a_2 a_3$$

$$N[x y z \dots w] = (-1)^P [\text{creation operators}] [\text{annihilation operators}]$$

Contraction

$$\overline{a_1 a_2^\dagger}$$

$$\langle 0 | a_1 a_2^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \delta_{12} | 0 \rangle \\ = \langle 0 | a_2^\dagger a_1 | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \overline{a_1 a_2^\dagger} + N[a_1 a_2^\dagger]$$

$$a_\alpha |0\rangle = 0 \quad a_\alpha^\dagger |0\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$$

$$\overline{a_1^\dagger a_2} = \overline{a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger} = \overline{a_1 a_2} = 0$$

4-operators

$$\langle 0 | a_1 a_2 a_3^\dagger a_4^\dagger | 0 \rangle$$

$$= N[a_1 a_2 a_3^\dagger a_4^\dagger]$$

$$+ \sum_{(1)} N[a_1 \overbrace{a_2 a_3^\dagger a_4^\dagger}]$$

$$+ \sum_{(2)} N[\overbrace{a_1 a_2} a_3^\dagger a_4^\dagger]$$

Theorem

$$\langle 0 | x y z \dots w | 0 \rangle$$

$$= x y z \dots w$$

$$= N[x y z \dots w]$$

$$+ \sum_{(1)} N[x y \overbrace{z \dots w}]$$

$$+ \sum_{(2)} N[\overbrace{x y} z \dots w]$$

$$+ \dots + \sum_{\left(\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]\right)} N[\overbrace{x y z \dots}^w]$$

$$= \underbrace{X Y Z \dots W}_{\text{bracketed}}$$

Example

$$H_I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \langle \alpha \beta | v | \gamma \delta \rangle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\gamma} a_{\delta}$$

$$\langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | H_I | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle =$$

$$\left(|\phi\rangle = |\alpha_1 \alpha_2\rangle = a_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \langle \alpha \beta | v | \gamma \delta \rangle \times$$

$$\langle 0 | a_{\alpha_2} a_{\alpha_1} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\gamma} a_{\delta} a_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle$$

$$a_{\alpha_2} a_{\alpha_1} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\gamma} a_{\delta} a_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger}$$

$$\left(\underbrace{\delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha} \delta_{\alpha_2 \beta}}_{\text{bracketed}} \quad \underbrace{\delta_{\alpha_2 \gamma} \delta_{\alpha_1 \delta}}_{\text{bracketed}} \right) \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | v | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle$$

$$+ \underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{bracketed}} \underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{bracketed}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha} \delta_{\alpha_2 \beta} - \delta_{\delta \alpha_1} \delta_{\gamma \alpha_2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | \nu | \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \rangle \right) \\
& + \overbrace{a_{\alpha_2} a_{\alpha_1} a_{\alpha}^\dagger a_{\beta}^\dagger} \overbrace{a_{\delta} a_{\gamma} a_{\alpha_1}^\dagger a_{\alpha_2}^\dagger} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc} -\delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha} \delta_{\alpha_1 \beta} & \delta_{\delta \alpha_2} \delta_{\gamma \alpha_1} \\ -\langle \alpha_2 \alpha_1 | \nu | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle \end{array} \right) \\
& + \overbrace{\delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha} \delta_{\alpha_1 \beta}} \overbrace{\delta_{\delta \alpha_1} \delta_{\gamma \alpha_2}} \\
& \left(\langle \alpha_2 \alpha_1 | \nu | \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \rangle \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | \nu | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle - \langle \alpha_2 \alpha_1 | \nu | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \underbrace{\langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | \nu | \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \rangle}_{\alpha_2 \leftrightarrow \alpha_1} + \underbrace{\langle \alpha_2 \alpha_1 | \nu | \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \rangle}_{\alpha_2 \leftrightarrow \alpha_1} \right] \\
& \quad \nu(1,2) = \nu(2,1) \\
& = \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | \nu | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle - \langle \alpha_2 \alpha_1 | \nu | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Wick's theorem

assume valid for N -operators, want it to be valid for $N+1$ operators.

Proof by induction.

Need a Lemma:

We have $N[xy\bar{z} \dots w]$
multiply with Ω

$$\begin{aligned} N[xy\bar{z} \dots w]\Omega &= \\ N[xy\bar{z} \dots w\Omega] &+ \\ \sum_{(i)\Omega} N[xy\bar{z} \dots w\Omega] & \end{aligned}$$

To show this note the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad N[a_1^+ a_2^+ \dots a_N^+] &= a_1^+ a_2^+ \dots a_N^+ \\ N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_N] &= a_1 a_2 \dots a_N \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The lemma is valid if

a is an annihilation operator

Since $N[x, y, z, \dots, w] a_z$
is normal ordered

$$= \langle \prod_N [q_1^+ \dots q_e^+] \prod_N [q_m \dots q_N] q_z$$

Second term

$$\underbrace{q_1 + q_2 + \dots + q_e}_{\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{q_n + q_j = 0}}} + \underbrace{q_m + q_n + \dots + q_N}_{\qquad\qquad\qquad} + q_Z$$

$(i' i')$ if $n[x y z \dots w]$ is

not normal ordered, then
normal ordering it brings
the product to the form

$$(-1)^p [q_1^+ \dots q_e^+] [q_m \dots q_N]$$

(10) if Ω is a creation operator

we only need to prove
the lemma if all

$\times y_3$ are annihilation operators since $\overline{a_n}^+ a_n^+ = 0$

(V) in (iv) we anti commute
 Ω through all $[xyz \dots w]$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_k] a_e^+ \\ = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_k a_e^+] \\ + \sum_{(i)} N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_k a_e^+] \end{aligned}$$

$$k=1$$

$$\begin{aligned} N[a_1] a_e^+ &= N[a_1 a_e^+] \\ &+ N[a_1 a_e^+] \\ &= -a_e^+ a_1 + \delta_{1e} \end{aligned}$$

if we have for $k=N$, this
 is valid, want to show
 that it is valid for $k=N+1$

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 \times N[a_1 \dots a_N] a_e^+ \\ = a_0 N[a_1 \dots a_N a_e^+] \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(+ \sum_{(i)} q_0 N [q_1 \dots q_N q_e^+] \right)$$

first term:

$$q_0 q_1 q_2 \dots q_N q_e^+ \\ = N [q_0 q_1 q_2 \dots q_N] q_e^+$$

2nd term

$$\frac{\sum_{(i)} q_0 N [q_1 \dots q_N q_e^+]}{=} \\ = \sum_{(i)} N [q_0 q_1 \dots q_N q_e^+]$$

$$q_0 N [q_1 \dots q_N q_e^+]$$

$$= (-)^N \underbrace{q_0 q_e^+}_{=} N [q_1 \dots q_N]$$

$$= (-)^N [N [q_0 q_e^+] + \overbrace{q_0 q_e^+}^+] N [q_1 \dots q_N]$$

$$N [q_0 q_e^+] = - N [q_e^+ q_0]$$

$$\overbrace{q_0 q_e^+}^+ N [q_1 \dots q_N] =$$

$$(-)^N N [q_1 q_2 \dots q_N q_e^+]$$

Bringing back into

$$a_0 N [a_1 \dots a_N a_e^+] =$$

$$= (-1)^{N+1} N [a_e^+ a_0 \dots a_N]$$

$$+ (-1)^{2N} N [a_0 a_1 \dots a_N a_e^+]$$

\Rightarrow

$$N [a_0 a_1 \dots a_N] a_e^+$$

$$= N [a_0 a_1 \dots a_e^+]$$

$$+ \sum_{(i)} N [a_0 a_1 \dots \overbrace{a_N a_e^+}^{\text{}}]$$

and concludes proof of
the Lemma

Wick's theorem

assume that holds

$$X \psi \bar{\psi} \dots W$$

with Lemma we can

show that it holds for

$$x, y, z, \dots, w, \Omega =$$

$$N [x y z \dots w \Omega]$$

$$+ \sum_{(1)\Omega} N [x y z \dots \overbrace{w \Omega}]$$

$$+ \sum_{(1)\neq\Omega} N [x y z \dots \overbrace{w \Omega}]$$

$$+ \sum_{(2)\Omega} N [\underbrace{x y z \dots}_{(2)} \overbrace{w \Omega}]$$

$$+ \sum_{(2)\neq\Omega} N [\underbrace{x y z \dots}_{(2)} \overbrace{w \Omega}]$$

⋮

$$+ \sum_{(\frac{N}{2})\Omega} N [\underbrace{x y z \dots}_{(\frac{N}{2})} \overbrace{w \Omega}]$$

$$+ \sum_{(\frac{N}{2})\neq\Omega} N [\underbrace{x y z \dots}_{(\frac{N}{2})} \overbrace{w \Omega}]$$

$$\sum_{(i)\Omega} + \sum_{(i)\neq\Omega} = \sum_{(i)}$$

=

$$N [\underbrace{x y z \dots}_{(1)} \overbrace{w \Omega}]$$

1