

An MLR potential energy function for polyatomic molecules

Nike Dattani*

*Harvard Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Atomic and Molecular Physics Division, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, and
McMaster University, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada,*

Yu Zhai†

Jilin University, Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, Changchun, Jilin, China.

We introduce an analytic potential energy function for polyatomic molecules which can be controlled to have the theoretically correct long-range and short-range behavior, and also has the favorable Morse-like properties near all local-minima.

The MLR (Morse/Long-range) function has been very successful for diatomic molecules (systems with one radial coordinate) [1–24]. Even for Van der Waals complexes, where the potential depends on one radial coordinate and one or many angular coordinates, it has been possible to build multi-dimensional MLR potential energy functions since for fixed values of all angular coordinates we can use the 1D-MLR to represent the energy dependence on the single radial coordinate [16, 25–29]. However the mathematics is much more complicated for systems with more than one radial coordinate and such systems are still commonly represented by splines (which are not analytic functions, do not extrapolate properly, and suffer from spurious oscillations between the points on which they are based). A very simple example of a system with two radial coordinates is CO_2 . We will focus our discussion on building a potential for CO_2 , and will generalize to systems with arbitrary numbers of radial and angular coordinates after.

One option we can take, is to just make every radially dependent variable in the 1D-MLR depend instead on two radial variables (r_1, r_2) (it is assumed that all C_m coefficients are DAMPED, because otherwise none of this would work):

* dattani.nike@fukui.kyoto.ac.jp

† yuzhai@mail.huiligroup.org

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_1, r_2) y_p(r_1, r_2)} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\beta(r_1, r_2) = \beta_{1,\infty} y_{p,1}(r_1) + (1 - y_{p,1}(r_1)) \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\beta,1}} \beta_i(y_{p,1}(r_1))^i \quad (2)$$

$$+ \beta_{2,\infty} y_{p,2}(r_2) + (1 - y_{p,2}(r_2)) \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\beta,1}} \beta_i(y_{p,2}(r_2))^i \quad (3)$$

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \beta = \beta_{1,\infty} + \beta(r_2) \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \beta = \beta_{2,\infty} + \beta(r_1) \quad (5)$$

$$\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \infty} \beta = \beta_{1,\infty} + \beta_{2,\infty} \quad (6)$$

$$= \beta_\infty \quad (7)$$

$$y_p = \frac{(y_{p,1} + y_{p,2})}{N_{\text{radial coordinates}}} \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} y_p = \frac{1 + y_{p,2}}{2} \quad (9)$$

$$\lim_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} y_p = \frac{1 + y_{p,1}}{2} \quad (10)$$

$$\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \infty} y_p = 1 \quad (11)$$

$$\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow 0} y_p = -1 \quad (12)$$

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} \beta(r_1, r_2) y_p(r_1, r_2) = \beta_{1,\infty} (1 + y_{p,2})/2 + \beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2 \quad (13)$$

$$\lim_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \beta(r_1, r_2) y_p(r_1, r_2) = \beta_{2,\infty} (1 + y_{p,1})/2 + \beta(r_1) (1 + y_{p,1})/2 \quad (14)$$

$$\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \infty} \beta(r_1, r_2) y_p(r_1, r_2) = \beta_{1,\infty} + \beta_{2,\infty} \quad (15)$$

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta(r_1, r_2) y_p(r_1, r_2)} = e^{-\beta_{1,\infty} (1 + y_{p,2})/2} e^{-\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2} \quad (16)$$

$$\lim_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta(r_1, r_2) y_p(r_1, r_2)} = e^{-\beta_{2,\infty} (1 + y_{p,1})/2} e^{-\beta(r_1) (1 + y_{p,1})/2} \quad (17)$$

$$\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\beta(r_1, r_2) y_p(r_1, r_2)} = e^{-\beta_{1,\infty}} e^{-\beta_{2,\infty}} \quad (18)$$

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta_{1,\infty} (1 + y_{p,2})/2} e^{-\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2} \right)^2 \quad (19)$$

$$\beta_{1,\infty} = \frac{2 \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e}}{u(r_{e,1})} \right)}{1 + y_{p,2}} \quad (20)$$

$$u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2}) = u(r_{e,1}) u(r_{e,2}) \text{ [enforced]} \quad (21)$$

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{u(r_{e,1}) u(r_{e,2})} \frac{u(r_{e,1})}{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e}} e^{-\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2} \right)^2 \quad (22)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e} u(r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2} \right)^2 \quad (23)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e}} f(r_2) \right)^2 \quad (24)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2} u(r_1, r_2)}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{D}_e}} f(r_2) + \frac{u^2(r_1, r_2)}{2\mathfrak{D}_e} f^2(r_2) \right) \quad (25)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - u(r_1, r_2) \sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e} f(r_2) + \frac{u^2(r_1, r_2) f^2(r_2)}{2} \quad (26)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) \frac{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e} e^{-\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2}}{u(r_{e,2})}}{r_1^6} - \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2) \frac{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e} e^{-\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2}}{u(r_{e,2})}}{r_1^8} \quad (27)$$

$$+ \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2)^2 \frac{e^{-2\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2}}{2u^2(r_{e,2})}}{r_1^{12}} + \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) C_{8,1}(r_2) \frac{e^{-2\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2}}{2u^2(r_{e,2})}}{r_1^{14}} + \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2)^2 \frac{e^{-2\beta(r_2) (1 + y_{p,2})/2}}{2u^2(r_{e,2})}}{r_1^{16}}$$

$$\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \infty} V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta_{1,\infty}} e^{-\beta_{2,\infty}} \right)^2 \quad (29)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{u(r_{e,1}) u(r_{e,2})} \frac{u(r_{e,1})}{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e}} \frac{u(r_{e,2})}{\sqrt{2\mathfrak{D}_e}} \right)^2 \quad (30)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{2\mathfrak{D}_e} \right)^2 \quad (31)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1, r_2)}{\mathfrak{D}_e} + \frac{u^2(r_1, r_2)}{4\mathfrak{D}_e^2} \right) \quad (32)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - u(r_1, r_2) + \frac{u^2(r_1, r_2)}{4\mathfrak{D}_e^2} \quad (33)$$

Eq. 33 looks almost exactly the same as in the 1D MLR case, which is promising, but:

1. Eq. 27 might not have the desired form,
2. Eq. 21 means that $u(r_1, r_2)$ is enforced to be $u(r_1)u(r_2)$ when at the global equilibrium – it should be damped so much that this is numerically irrelevant at the global equilibrium, but it is sub-ideal that the units are energy squared.

LET'S TRY AN ALTERNATIVE FORMULATION:

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1)}{u(r_{e,1})} e^{-\beta(r_1)y_p(r_1)} - \frac{u(r_2)}{u(r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)} \right)^2 \quad (34)$$

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow \infty} V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1)}{2\mathfrak{D}_e} - f(r_2) \right)^2 \quad (35)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1) + 2\mathfrak{D}_e f(r_2)}{\mathfrak{D}_e} + \left(\frac{u(r_1) + 2\mathfrak{D}_e f(r_2)}{2\mathfrak{D}_e} \right)^2 \right) \quad (36)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - u(r_1) + 2\mathfrak{D}_e f(r_2) + \frac{u^2(r_1) + 2\mathfrak{D}_e u(r_1) f(r_2) + 4\mathfrak{D}_e^2 f^2(r_2)}{4\mathfrak{D}_e} \quad (37)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - u(r_1) + 2\mathfrak{D}_e \frac{u(r_2)}{u(r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)} \quad (38)$$

$$+ \frac{u^2(r_1) + 2\mathfrak{D}_e u(r_1) \frac{u(r_2)}{u(r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)} + 4\mathfrak{D}_e^2 \frac{u^2(r_2)}{u^2(r_{e,2})} e^{-2\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)}}{4\mathfrak{D}_e} \quad (39)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2)}{r_1^6} - \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2)}{r_1^8} + 2\mathfrak{D}_e \frac{u(r_2)}{u(r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)} \quad (40)$$

$$+ \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2)^2 / 4\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^{12}} + \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) C_{8,1}(r_2) / 4\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^{14}} + \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2)^2 / 4\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^{16}} \quad (41)$$

$$+ \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) \frac{u(r_2)}{u(r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)}}{2r_1^6} + \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2) \frac{u(r_2)}{u(r_{e,2})} e^{-\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)}}{2r_1^8} + \mathfrak{D}_e \frac{u^2(r_2)}{u^2(r_{e,2})} e^{-2\beta(r_2)y_p(r_2)} \quad (42)$$

$$\lim_{(r_1, r_2) \rightarrow \infty} V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1)}{2\mathfrak{D}_e} - \frac{u(r_2)}{2\mathfrak{D}_e} \right)^2 \quad (43)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - \frac{u(r_1) + u(r_2)}{\mathfrak{D}_e} + \left(\frac{u(r_1) + u(r_2)}{2\mathfrak{D}_e} \right)^2 \right) \quad (44)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - u(r_1) - u(r_2) + \frac{u^2(r_1) + 2u(r_1)u(r_2) + u^2(r_2)}{4\mathfrak{D}_e} \quad (45)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e - \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2)}{r_1^6} - \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2)}{r_1^8} - \frac{C_{6,2}(r_1)}{r_2^6} - \frac{C_{8,2}(r_1)}{r_2^8} \quad (46)$$

$$+ \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2)^2 / 4\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^{12}} + \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) C_{8,1}(r_2) / 2\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^{14}} + \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2)^2 / 4\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^{16}} \quad (47)$$

$$+ \frac{C_{6,2}(r_1)^2 / 4\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_2^{12}} + \frac{C_{6,2}(r_1) C_{8,2}(r_1) / 2\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_2^{14}} + \frac{C_{8,2}(r_1)^2 / 4\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_2^{16}} \quad (48)$$

$$+ \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) C_{6,2}(r_1) / 2\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^6 r_2^6} + \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) C_{8,2}(r_1) / 2\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^6 r_2^8} + \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2) C_{6,2}(r_1) / 2\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^8 r_2^6} + \frac{C_{8,1}(r_2) C_{8,2}(r_1) / 2\mathfrak{D}_e}{r_1^8 r_2^8} \quad (49)$$

A potential issue with the second formulation is that for large r , there are a lot more r^6 terms that need to be cancelled out, but this should be possible to do, similar to the way we did it for C_6^{adj} , but now C_6^{adj} is a function of r_2 or r_1 :

$$C_{6,1}(r_2) \rightarrow C_{6,1}(r_2) - \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2) C_{6,2}(r_1)}{4\mathfrak{D}_e r_2^6} \quad (50)$$

$$C_{6,1}^{\text{adj}}(r_2) = C_{6,1}(r_2) \left(1 - \frac{C_{6,2}(r_1)}{4\mathfrak{D}_e r_2^6} \right). \quad (51)$$

Unfortunately this appears to be much harder than for the case of C_6^{adj} coming from C_3 . Here it is coming from C_6 itself, and not arising from a $u(r)^2$ term, but a term linear in $u(r)$. Essentially we have:

$$-u(r_1) - u(r_2) + u(r_1)^2 + u(r_1)u(r_2) + u(r_2)^2, \quad (52)$$

and while the squared terms are usually much smaller than the first two terms, the $u(r_1)u(r_2)$ term NEEDS to be removed some how because we have:

$$\frac{C_{6,1}(r_2)}{r_1^6} + \frac{C_{6,1}(r_2)C_{6,2}(r_1)}{r_1^6 r_2^6} \quad (53)$$

and no matter what we subtract from $C_{6,1}(r_2)$ in $u(r)$ will show up again in the $u(r_1)u(r_2)$ term.

WE THEREFORE TURN TO A POTENTIAL WHICH DOESN'T HAVE A QUADRATIC LONG-RANGE TERM (THE DELR)

We first try the second way (using a summation) because that turned out to be a lot easier to think about:

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \left(A_1 e^{-2\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} - B_1 e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} + A_2 e^{-2\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} - B_2 e^{-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} + \mathfrak{D}_e \right) - u(r_1, r_2) \quad (54)$$

$$A_i = \mathfrak{D}_{e,i} - u(r_{i,e}) - \left(\frac{\partial u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})}{\partial r_{e,i}} \right) / \beta_{i,0} \quad (55)$$

$$B_i = 2\mathfrak{D}_{e,i} - 2u(r_{i,e}) - \left(\frac{\partial u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})}{\partial r_{e,i}} \right) / \beta_{i,0} \quad (56)$$

$$V(r_1, r_2)|_{u(r_1, r_2)=0} = \left(\mathfrak{D}_{e,1} e^{-2\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} - 2\mathfrak{D}_{e,1} e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} + \mathfrak{D}_{e,2} e^{-2\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} - 2\mathfrak{D}_{e,2} e^{-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} \right) \quad (57)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_{e,1} \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} \right)^2 + \mathfrak{D}_{e,2} \left(1 - e^{-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} \right)^2, \quad (58)$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{e,i}$ is the \mathfrak{D}_e for the 1D potential along the $\{r_j = r_{j,e}\}_{j \neq i}$ slice. An immediate problem is that for a symmetric potetial, \mathfrak{D}_e should be $\mathfrak{D}_e = \mathfrak{D}_{e,1} = \mathfrak{D}_{e,2}$ but instead we have $\mathfrak{D}_e = \mathfrak{D}_{e,1} + \mathfrak{D}_{e,2}$.

We can therefore try the first way (making each function of r a function of two r 's):

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \left(A e^{-2\beta(r_1, r_2)((r_1-r_{1,e})+(r_2-r_{2,e}))} - B e^{-\beta(r_1, r_2)((r_1-r_{1,e})+(r_2-r_{2,e}))} \right) - u(r_1, r_2) \quad (59)$$

$$A = \mathfrak{D}_e - u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2}) - \left(\frac{\partial u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})}{\partial r_{e,1}} + \frac{\partial u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})}{\partial r_{e,2}} \right) / \beta_0 \quad (60)$$

$$B = 2\mathfrak{D}_e - 2u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2}) - \left(\frac{\partial u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})}{\partial r_{e,1}} + \frac{\partial u(r_{e,1}, r_{e,2})}{\partial r_{e,2}} \right) / \beta_0 \quad (61)$$

$$V(r_1, r_2)|_{u(r_1, r_2)=0} = \left(\mathfrak{D}_e e^{-2\beta(r_1, r_2)((r_1-r_{1,e})+(r_2-r_{2,e}))} - 2\mathfrak{D}_e e^{-\beta(r_1, r_2)((r_1-r_{1,e})+(r_2-r_{2,e}))} \right) \quad (62)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - e^{-\beta(r_1, r_2)((r_1-r_{1,e})+(r_2-r_{2,e}))} \right)^2. \quad (63)$$

A better way might be the following:

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \left(A e^{-2\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})-2\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} - B e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} \right) - u(r_1, r_2) \quad (64)$$

$$= \left(A e^{-2\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} e^{-2\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} - B e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} e^{-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} \right) - u(r_1, r_2) \quad (65)$$

$$= \left(A e^{-2\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} e^{-2\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} - B e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} e^{-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} \right) - u(r_1, r_2) \quad (66)$$

$$V(r_1, r_2)|_{u(r_1, r_2)=0} = \left(\mathfrak{D}_e e^{-2\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} e^{-2\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} - 2\mathfrak{D}_e e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} e^{-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} \right) \quad (67)$$

$$= \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1(r_1)(r_1-r_{1,e})} e^{-\beta_2(r_2)(r_2-r_{2,e})} \right)^2 \quad (68)$$

Of all candidates, Eq. 68 seems to be the best choice.

2D MORSE POTENTIAL

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1(r_1 - r_{1,e})}\right)^2 \left(1 - e^{-\beta_2(r_2 - r_{2,e})}\right)^2 \quad (69)$$

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \mathfrak{D}_e \left(1 - e^{-\beta_1(r_1 - r_{1,e})} e^{-\beta_2(r_2 - r_{2,e})}\right)^2 \quad (70)$$

The first one only becomes a Morse potential for r_2 when $r_1 \rightarrow \infty$, so the second one is better.

2D HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

$$V(r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(k_1 (r_1 - r_{1,e})^2 + k_2 (r_2 - r_{2,e})^2 \right) \quad (71)$$

- [1] R. J. Le Roy, Y. Huang, and C. Jary, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **125**, 164310 (2006).
- [2] R. J. L. Roy and R. D. E. Henderson, *Molecular Physics* **105**, 663 (2007).
- [3] H. Salami, A. J. Ross, P. Crozet, W. Jastrzebski, P. Kowalczyk, and R. J. Le Roy, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **126**, 194313 (2007).
- [4] A. Shayesteh, R. D. E. Henderson, R. J. Le Roy, and P. F. Bernath, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. A* **111**, 12495 (2007).
- [5] R. J. Le Roy, N. S. Dattani, J. A. Coxon, A. J. Ross, P. Crozet, and C. Linton, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **131**, 204309 (2009).
- [6] J. A. Coxon and P. G. Hajigeorgiou, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **132**, 094105 (2010).
- [7] A. Stein, H. Knöckel, and E. Tiemann, *The European Physical Journal D* **57**, 171 (2010).
- [8] L. Piticco, F. Merkt, A. A. Cholewinski, F. R. McCourt, and R. J. Le Roy, *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy* **264**, 83 (2010).
- [9] R. J. Le Roy, C. C. Haugen, J. Tao, and H. Li, *Molecular Physics* **109**, 435 (2011).
- [10] M. Ivanova, A. Stein, A. Pashov, A. V. Stolyarov, H. Knöckel, and E. Tiemann, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **135**, 174303 (2011).
- [11] N. S. Dattani and R. J. Le Roy, *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy* **268**, 199 (2011).
- [12] F. Xie, L. Li, D. Li, V. B. Sovkov, K. V. Minaev, V. S. Ivanov, A. M. Lyrya, and S. Magnier, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **135**, 024303 (2011).
- [13] T. Yukiya, N. Nishimiya, Y. Samejima, K. Yamaguchi, M. Suzuki, C. D. Boone, I. Ozier, and R. J. Le Roy, *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy* **283**, 32 (2013).
- [14] H. Knöckel, S. Rühmann, and E. Tiemann, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **138**, 094303 (2013).
- [15] M. Semczuk, X. Li, W. Gunton, M. Haw, N. S. Dattani, J. Witz, A. K. Mills, D. J. Jones, and K. W. Madison, *Physical Review A* **87**, 052505 (2013).
- [16] L. Wang, D. Xie, R. J. Le Roy, and P.-N. Roy, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **139**, 034312 (2013).
- [17] G. Li, I. E. Gordon, P. G. Hajigeorgiou, J. A. Coxon, and L. S. Rothman, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* **130**, 284 (2013).
- [18] W. Gunton, M. Semczuk, N. Dattani, and K. Madison, *Physical Review A* **88**, 062510 (2013).
- [19] V. V. Meshkov, A. V. Stolyarov, M. C. Heaven, C. Haugen, and R. J. LeRoy, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **140**, 064315 (2014).
- [20] N. S. Dattani, *physics.chem-ph*, arXiv:1408.3301 (2014).
- [21] J. A. Coxon and P. G. Hajigeorgiou, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* **151**, 133 (2015).
- [22] S.-D. Walji, K. M. Sentjens, and R. J. Le Roy, *The Journal of chemical physics* **142**, 044305 (2015).
- [23] N. S. Dattani, *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy* **311**, 76 (2015).
- [24] N. S. Dattani, L. N. Zack, M. Sun, E. R. Johnson, R. J. Le Roy, and L. M. Ziurys, *physics.chem-ph*, arXiv:1408.2276 (2014).
- [25] H. Li and R. J. Le Roy, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics : PCCP* **10**, 4128 (2008).
- [26] H. Li, P.-N. Roy, and R. J. Le Roy, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **133**, 104305 (2010).
- [27] Y. Tritzant-Martinez, T. Zeng, A. Broom, E. Meiering, R. J. Le Roy, and P.-N. Roy, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **138**, 234103 (2013).
- [28] H. Li, X.-L. Zhang, R. J. Le Roy, and P.-N. Roy, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **139**, 164315 (2013).
- [29] Y.-T. Ma, T. Zeng, and H. Li, *The Journal of chemical physics* **140**, 214309 (2014).