## Embedding quadratization gadgets for reduction by substitution

Nike Dattani\* Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

Consider the symmetric function:

$$b_1b_2b_3 + b_2b_3b_4 + b_3b_4b_1 + b_4b_1b_2 \tag{1}$$

We will  $\underline{re\text{-}use}$  auxiliary variables by pairing variables appropriately:

$$b_1b_2b_3 + b_2b_3b_4 + b_3b_4b_1 + b_4b_1b_2 \tag{2}$$

Here only 2 auxiliary variables are required in total. This is  $\underline{more}$  than BCR which requires only 1 auxiliary ( $\lceil \log k \rceil - 1$  auxiliary qubits for a degree k function in general). However, BCR is fully connected ( $K_5$ ) and Chau's graph ( $Ch_6$ ) for the RBS is not.  $K_5$  requires one auxiliary qubit on pegasus (see paper: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1901.07676.pdf). How many does  $Ch_6$  require?  $K_5$ can be embedded in Pegasus with a total of 6 qubits in a single cell. Can  $Ch_6$  do that?

Let us continue with all possible cubic terms, but with 5 variables instead of 4:

$$b_1b_2b_3 + b_2b_3b_4 + b_3b_4b_5 + b_4b_5b_1 + b_5b_1b_2 \tag{3}$$

Now 3 auxuliary variables are required in total:

$$b_1b_2b_3 + b_2c + b_3b_4b_5 + b_4b_5b_1 + b_5b_1b_2 \tag{4}$$

this means the graph will be  $Ch_8$  as opposed to  $K_7$  (2 auxiliary, 5 logical) in the case of BCR. My guess is that  $Ch_8$  (8 variables) is much easier to embed than  $K_7$  (7 variables).

Let us now try with n = 6 and k = 3:

$$b_1b_2b_3 + b_2b_3b_4 + b_3b_4b_5 + b_4b_5b_6 + b_5b_6b_1 + b_6b_1b_2$$

$$\tag{5}$$

$$= \frac{b_1 b_2 b_3 + b_2 b_3 b_4 + b_3 b_4 b_5 + b_4 b_5 b_6 + b_5 b_6 b_1 + b_6 b_1 b_2}{6}$$
(6)

We therefore only need auxiliaries, plus the 6 original logicals, meaning that we have  $Ch_9$ , compared to  $K_8$  for BCR.  $Ch_9$  definitely wins here!

## This analysis is staight-forward to contunue to larger n and k = 3.

Let's now look at n = 5 and k = 4:

$$b_1b_2b_3b_4 + b_2b_3b_4b_5 + b_3b_4b_5b_1 + b_4b_5b_1b_2 + b_5b_1b_2b_3. (7)$$

Here we will pair some variables again (2 auxiliaries):

$$b_1b_2b_3b_4 + b_2b_3b_4b_5 + b_3b_4b_5b_1 + b_4b_5b_1b_2 + b_5b_1b_2b_3$$
(8)

$$= b_{a_{12}}b_{a_{34}} + b_2b_{a_{34}}b_5 + b_{a_{34}}b_5b_1 + b_4b_5b_{a_{12}} + b_5b_{a_{12}}b_3$$

$$\tag{9}$$

<sup>\*</sup> n.dattani@cfa.harvard.edu

We cannot pair anymore variables, so we need 4 more auxiliaries. In total we have 6 auxiliaries plus 5 logical variables, meaning we have  $Ch_{11}$ . For BCR we have only 1 auxiliary variable, so it is  $K_6$  vs  $Ch_{11}$ .  $K_6$  probably wins because it only need 2 auxiliary qubits for pegasus on a single cell. It is impossible for  $Ch_{11}$  to work on a single cell.

Now let's look at n = 6 and k = 5:

$$b_1b_2b_3b_4b_5 + b_2b_3b_4b_5b_6 + b_3b_4b_5b_6b_1 + b_4b_5b_6b_1b_2 + b_5b_6b_1b_2b_3 + b_6b_1b_2b_3b_4.$$

$$\tag{10}$$

Let us introduce 3 auxuliuaries:

$$b_1b_2b_3b_4b_5 + b_2b_3b_4b_5b_6 + b_3b_4b_5b_6b_1 + b_4b_5b_6b_1b_2 + b_5b_6b_1b_2b_3 + b_6b_1b_2b_3b_4$$
 (11)

$$= b_{a_{12}} b_{a_{34}} b_5 + b_2 b_{a_{34}} b_{a_{56}} + b_{a_{34}} b_{a_{56}} b_1 + b_4 b_{a_{56}} b_{a_{12}} + b_{a_{56}} b_{a_{12}} b_3 + b_6 b_{a_{12}} b_{a_{34}}$$

$$\tag{12}$$

Let us now pair up some of the auxiliary qubits!

$$b_{a_{12}a_{34}}b_5 + b_2b_{a_{34}a_{56}} + b_{a_{34}a_{56}}b_1 + b_4b_{a_{56}a_{12}} + b_{a_{56}a_{12}}b_3 + b_6b_{a_{12}a_{34}}$$

This is now quadratic, with 6 total auxiliaries and 6 original logicals. We therefore have  $Ch_{12}$ , compared to  $K_8$  with BCR. It is my guess that  $Ch_{12}$  will win for Pegasus.  $K_8$  will not be easy at all.