

Embedding quadratization gadgets on Chimera and Pegasus graphs

Nike Dattani*

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

Nicholas Chancellor†

Durham University

We group all known quadratizations of cubic and quartic binary optimization problems into five and seven unique graphs respectively. We then perform a minor embedding of these graphs onto the well-known Chimera graph, and the brand new *Pegasus* graph. In cases where two or more graphs have a minor embedding with the same overhead in terms of auxiliary variables, we make recommendations for which gadgets are best to use for certain problems.

Discrete optimization problems are often naturally formulated in terms of minimizing some polynomial of degree > 2 , which is then ‘quadratized’ into a quadratic function which can be solved using standard algorithms for universal classical computers [1], using special-purpose classical annealers [2], or using quantum annealers [3]. With dozens of quadratization methods available [4], one should choose the best quadratization for a given problem, and for a given method for solving the problem.

When quadratizing a high-degree term, the resulting quadratic function (called the ‘gadget’) will have some connectivity between the binary variables (or bits, or qubits, herein referred to for convenience only as qubits) which can be represented by a graph in which vertices represent qubits and edges indicate when two different qubits appear together in a quadratic term. Since this graph incorporates no information about the linear terms, constant term, or the coefficients of the quadratic terms, many different gadgets have the same graph, therefore in this paper we will classify all known quadratization gadgets into categories according to their corresponding graph (herein called their ‘gadget graph’).

Gadget graphs for all known single cubic terms and for all known single quartic terms are given in Figure 1.

Gadget graphs tell us a lot about how costly the quadratic optimization problem will be, and those with larger connectivity tend to yield more difficult functions to optimize. Furthermore, some optimization methods only work if their corresponding graph has a certain connectivity, two examples of such connectivities being the

ones in D-Wave’s well-known Chimera graph [5], and in their very recently presented *Pegasus* graph, both shown in Fig. 2.

Any graph, can be mapped onto the Chimera or Pegasus graphs by minor-embedding [6], where the Chimera graph or the Pegasus graph is a graph minor of the

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

graph representing the problem that needs to be optimized. This often means that one binary variable in the quadratic optimization problem needs to be represented by two qubits instead of one, making the number of qubits needed to solve the original problem much larger than before, and sometimes completely impossible. For example a quartic function with 1000 binary variables has $\binom{1000}{3} > 166$ million possible cubic terms and $\binom{1000}{4} > 40$ billion possible quartic terms which have to be quadratized, and then minor-embedded. If our minimization method can only be applied for up to 50 billion qubits, we cannot afford for each quartic-to-quadratic gadget to require its own auxiliary qubit for minor-embedding.

We have provided minor-embeddings for all gadget graphs in Figure 1, for both Chimera and Pegasus. We note that

* n.dattani@cfa.harvard.edu

† nicholas.chancellor@durham.ac.uk