



versd@hr.nl
brojz@hr.nl

Real-Time Systems

RTS1

Minor Embedded Systems

Week 3

Cooperative Scheduling

Week 3: Cooperative Scheduling

Week 4: Pre-emptive Scheduling

Week 5: Using Free-RTOS + POSIX

Week 6: Schedulability Analyses, Priority Assignment

Scheduling

- Problem
- Goal
- Possible solution

Problem

- Multiple processes require CPU time
 - Some processes need it asap
 - Some processes just need to happen at some point in time
- Multiple processes require bandwidth
 - USB, Serial, SPI
 - Prioritization?

Goal

- Create a framework that'll ease (CPU) time management
- Easy to add new processes and to share resources


Cooperative Solution

REAL-TIME SYSTEMS

- Superloop 'scheduler'
 - SysTick
- Cooperative scheduler

Voorbeeld embedded system

REAL-TIME SYSTEMS



What is the biggest flaw with this architecture?


- NO control over time
 - Not deterministic
 - e.g. sample time of T and P depends on execution times
 - No response time promises
 - Wasting CPU cycles




T = temperature control
P = pressure control
S = screen update

Superloop Construct

- Simple, deterministic
- Fixed time scheduling using SysTick
- Sleep until next SysTick (save energy)




- Peripheral as part of the ARM CPU core
- Meant to be used by an OS
- Simple timer
 - 24 bits (16777216 ticks)
 - Own high priority interrupt
 - Has a 'reload' register




Superloop Construct

- Should we run every task every tick?




Voorbeeld embedded system

REAL-TIME SYSTEMS



Superloop Construct

- Unnecessarily runs all tasks every tick
- $\sum_t time < SysTick$ time
 - Limited amount of tasks
 - Blocking tasks will cause problems: `while (buttonIsPressed())`



'Complex' Cooperative Scheduler



REAL-TIME SYSTEMS

Cooperative:

- Task finishes
- then transfers control back to the scheduler
- No fights over concurrent use of hardware
- Perfect for small amount of tasks
- Easy to maintain
- Adaption to simple version:
 - Each task gets its own period (e.g. 400 SysTicks)
 - Each task could have a priority, state, etc

Difference

REAL-TIME SYSTEMS



- Tasklist
 - Structure (struct) for each task
 - Ordered by priority
 - Only execute task when ready
- Use SysTick to determine which task is READY
- Use main loop to execute all ready tasks.
- Sleep until next SysTick

Interim : Function pointer

Syntax

```
void func(int);
```

```
void (*pointerNaarFunc)(int);
```

To run

```
pointerNaarFunc = &func;  
(*pointerNaarFunc)(42);
```

To run (alternative)

```
pointerNaarFunc = func;  
pointerNaarFunc(42);
```

Suspending a task

Implementing a delay using SysTick

- Change state to WAITING
- Initialize a counter, or add to the existing period
- Decrement the counter each tick
- When reached zero, put into ready mode

The process of selecting the task to execute next

- What if 3 tasks are READY at the same time?
- Which one will be selected first?

Scheduling algorithms

- FIFO (Round robin)
- Priority based

FIFO – Scheduling Algorithm

Tasks are run in order of task-creation

- Add most important tasks first
- Add less important tasks later

Pro

- Easy!
- No overhead in selecting

Con

- Fixed solution, pre-determined at compile time
- Tasks created run-time are always last

Priority based – Scheduling Algorithm

REAL-TIME SYSTEMS

Use 'priority' number over position in task list

- Highest priority task goes first of all READY tasks

Pro

- Ability to work with more tasks
- Possible to change priority real time
- Most demanding tasks run first

Cons

- Means either sorting a list or traversing it
 - Increases scheduling time

Next week

REAL-TIME SYSTEMS

Pre-emptive scheduling