# Non-monogenic Division Fields of Elliptic Curves

Hanson Smith

University of Colorado, Boulder

#### **Table of contents**

- 1. Motivation and Background
- 2. Results
- 3. Proof Ingredients and Ideas
- 4. Further Questions

# Motivation and Background

Consider 
$$\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^*$$
.

Consider 
$$\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^*$$
.

The  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion points are the roots of unity, the solutions to  $x^n - 1$ .

Consider  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^*$ .

The  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion points are the roots of unity, the solutions to  $x^n - 1$ .

One way to study the  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion points is to look at the  $n^{\text{th}}$   $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion field,  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})[n]) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ , where  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.

Consider  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^*$ .

The  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion points are the roots of unity, the solutions to  $x^n-1$ .

One way to study the  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion points is to look at the  $n^{\text{th}}$   $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion field,  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})[n]\right) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ , where  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity. We will use *torsion field* and *division field* interchangeably.

What does  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  look like?

What does  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  look like?

The discriminant is a power of n, so primes dividing n are the only ramified primes.

What does  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  look like?

The discriminant is a power of n, so primes dividing n are the only ramified primes.

The residue class degree of a prime p not dividing n is the least positive integer f such that  $p^f \equiv 1 \mod n$ .

What does  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  look like?

The discriminant is a power of n, so primes dividing n are the only ramified primes.

The residue class degree of a prime p not dividing n is the least positive integer f such that  $p^f \equiv 1 \mod n$ .

The ring of integers is  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ .

You can think of an elliptic curve E as the solutions to an equation of the form  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ .

You can think of an elliptic curve E as the solutions to an equation of the form  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ .

Elliptic curves look like this.



You can think of an elliptic curve E as the solutions to an equation of the form  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ .

Elliptic curves look like this.



One reason that elliptic curves are special is the points of an elliptic curve form an abelian group.

If K is a number field then,  $E(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times E(K)_{tors}$ .

If K is a number field then,  $E(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times E(K)_{tors}$ .

The integer r is called the rank and  $E(K)_{tors}$  is called the torsion subgroup.

If K is a number field then,  $E(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times E(K)_{tors}$ .

The integer r is called the rank and  $E(K)_{tors}$  is called the torsion subgroup.

We denote the *n*-torsion points of an elliptic curve E by E[n]. Over  $\mathbb C$  one has  $E(\mathbb C)[n]\cong \mathbb Z/n\mathbb Z\times \mathbb Z/n\mathbb Z$ .

If K is a number field then,  $E(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times E(K)_{tors}$ .

The integer r is called the rank and  $E(K)_{tors}$  is called the torsion subgroup.

We denote the *n*-torsion points of an elliptic curve E by E[n]. Over  $\mathbb C$  one has  $E(\mathbb C)[n]\cong \mathbb Z/n\mathbb Z\times \mathbb Z/n\mathbb Z$ .

We will be looking at the *n*-torsion fields of an elliptic curve:  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ .

The only ramified primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  are the primes dividing n and the primes dividing the discriminant of E.

The only ramified primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  are the primes dividing n and the primes dividing the discriminant of E.

Let  $a_p$  be the trace of Frobenius at p, let  $b_p$  be the index  $[\mathfrak{O}_K : \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)]$ , and write  $\Delta_{\operatorname{End}}$  for the discriminant of  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)$ . Consider now the matrix

$$\sigma_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{p} + b_{p}\delta_{\mathsf{End}}}{2} & b_{p} \\ \frac{b_{p}(\Delta_{\mathsf{End}} - \delta_{\mathsf{End}})}{4} & \frac{a_{p} - b_{p}\delta_{\mathsf{End}}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\delta_{\text{End}}=0,1$  according to whether  $\Delta_{\text{End}}\equiv 0,1$  modulo 4.

The only ramified primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  are the primes dividing n and the primes dividing the discriminant of E.

Let  $a_p$  be the trace of Frobenius at p, let  $b_p$  be the index  $[\mathfrak{O}_K : \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)]$ , and write  $\Delta_{\operatorname{End}}$  for the discriminant of  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)$ . Consider now the matrix

$$\sigma_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{p} + b_{p}\delta_{\mathsf{End}}}{2} & b_{p} \\ \frac{b_{p}(\Delta_{\mathsf{End}} - \delta_{\mathsf{End}})}{4} & \frac{a_{p} - b_{p}\delta_{\mathsf{End}}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\delta_{\mathsf{End}} = 0, 1$  according to whether  $\Delta_{\mathsf{End}} \equiv 0, 1$  modulo 4.

Duke and Tóth: Suppose n is prime to p. When reduced modulo n, the matrix  $\sigma_p$  yields a global representation of the Frobenius class over p in  $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(E[n])/\mathbb{Q})$ .

The only ramified primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  are the primes dividing n and the primes dividing the discriminant of E.

Let  $a_p$  be the trace of Frobenius at p, let  $b_p$  be the index  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)]$ , and write  $\Delta_{\operatorname{End}}$  for the discriminant of  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)$ . Consider now the matrix

$$\sigma_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_{p} + b_{p}\delta_{\mathsf{End}}}{2} & b_{p} \\ \frac{b_{p}(\Delta_{\mathsf{End}} - \delta_{\mathsf{End}})}{4} & \frac{a_{p} - b_{p}\delta_{\mathsf{End}}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\delta_{\mathsf{End}} = 0, 1$  according to whether  $\Delta_{\mathsf{End}} \equiv 0, 1$  modulo 4.

Duke and Tóth: Suppose n is prime to p. When reduced modulo n, the matrix  $\sigma_p$  yields a global representation of the Frobenius class over p in  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[n])/\mathbb{Q})$ . In particular, the order of  $\sigma_p$  modulo n is the residue class degree of p in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ .

Motivating question: Can I write the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(E[n])}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ ?

Motivating question: Can I write the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(E[n])}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ ?

In other words, when is  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  monogenic?

Motivating question: Can I write the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(E[n])}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ ?

In other words, when is  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  monogenic?

As we've seen, all  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion fields are monogenic.

Motivating question: Can I write the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(E[n])}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ ?

In other words, when is  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  monogenic?

As we've seen, all  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  torsion fields are monogenic.

Gonzáles-Jiménez and Lozano-Robledo show that  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  coincides with  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  sometimes. In particular when n=2,3,4, and 5 this can happen.

### Results

#### Main Result

There are a lot of torsion fields  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  that are not monogenic

#### Main Result

# There are a lot of torsion fields $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ that are not monogenic

#### Theorem (Smith)

If E is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whose reduction at the prime 2 has trace of Frobenius  $a_2$  and such that, for one of the n listed on the following slide, the Galois representation

$$\rho_{E,n}: \mathsf{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[n])/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

is surjective. Then  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  is not monogenic. Moreover, 2 is an essential discriminant divisor of  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ .

#### Results for p = 2

a <sub>2</sub>	$\sigma_2$	non-monogenic <i>n</i>
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -14 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$	11
-1	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -14 \\ 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$	11, 23
2	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	5, 13, 15, 17, 41, 51, 65, 85, 91, 105, 117, 145, 195, 205, 255, 257, 273, 315, 455, 565, 585, 771, 819
-2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$	5, 13, 15, 17, 41, 51, 65, 85, 91, 105, 117, 145, 195, 205, 255, 257, 273, 315, 455, 565, 585, 771, 819

**Table 1:** Using the splitting of 2 in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  to show non-monogeneity for n < 1000.

#### **Results for** p = 3

a <sub>3</sub>	$\sigma_3$	non-monogenic n
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -33 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$	5, 40
-1	$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -33 \\ 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$	5, 23, 40
2	$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -18 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$	<b>4</b> , 11, <b>22</b> , 136, 272
-2	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -18 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$	<b>4, 22</b> , 136, 272
3	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	7, 14, 28, 52, 56, 91, 104, 182, 259, 266, 364, 518, 532, 703, 728, 949
-3	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$	7, 14, 28, 52, 56, 91, 104, 182, 259, 266, 364, 518, 532, 703, 728, 949

**Table 2:** Using the splitting of 3 in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  to show non-monogeneity for n < 1000.

#### Results for p = 5

	b <sub>5</sub>	_	
a <sub>5</sub>	D5	$\sigma_5$	non-monogenic n
1	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -95 \\ 1 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$	11, 28, 56
-1	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 9 & -95 \\ 1 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$	28, 56
2	1	[9 −68] 1 −7]	0
-2	1	[7 −68] 1 −9]	Ø
2	2	5 -10 2 -3	4, 8, 48
-2	2	[3 −10 2 −5]	4, 8, 48
3	1	[7 −33] 1 −4]	3, 18, 24, 36, 72
-3	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -33 \\ 1 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$	3, 18, 24, 36, 72
4	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -5 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	8, 48
-4	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -5 \\ 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$	8, 48

**Table 3:** Using the splitting of 5 in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  to show non-monogeneity for n < 1000.

**Proof Ingredients and Ideas** 

#### **Dedekind and Kummer**

**Theorem (Dedekind building on work of Kummer)** Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of f. If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime that does not divide  $[\mathfrak{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ , the the factorization of p in  $\mathfrak{O}_L$  mirrors the factorization of f modulo p.

#### **Dedekind and Kummer**

Theorem (Dedekind building on work of Kummer)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of f. If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime that does not divide  $[\mathcal{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ , the the factorization of p in  $\mathcal{O}_L$  mirrors the factorization of f modulo p. That is,

$$f(x) \equiv \phi_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots \phi_r(x)^{e_r}$$
 and  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ .

#### **Dedekind and Kummer**

Theorem (Dedekind building on work of Kummer)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of f. If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime that does not divide  $[\mathcal{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ , the the factorization of p in  $\mathcal{O}_L$  mirrors the factorization of f modulo p. That is,

$$f(x) \equiv \phi_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots \phi_r(x)^{e_r}$$
 and  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ .

Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 8$ .

## **Dedekind and Kummer**

Theorem (Dedekind building on work of Kummer)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of f. If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime that does not divide  $[\mathfrak{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ , the the factorization of p in  $\mathfrak{O}_L$  mirrors the factorization of f modulo p. That is,

$$f(x) \equiv \phi_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots \phi_r(x)^{e_r}$$
 and  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ .

Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 8$ . SageMath:

$$2 = \left(\frac{\alpha^2 + \alpha}{2} + 1\right) \left(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 3\right) \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 + 5\alpha}{2} + 4\right).$$

## **Dedekind and Kummer**

Theorem (Dedekind building on work of Kummer)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of f. If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime that does not divide  $[\mathcal{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ , the the factorization of p in  $\mathcal{O}_L$  mirrors the factorization of f modulo p. That is,

$$f(x) \equiv \phi_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots \phi_r(x)^{e_r}$$
 and  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ .

Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 8$ . SageMath:

$$2 = \left(\frac{\alpha^2 + \alpha}{2} + 1\right) \left(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 3\right) \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 + 5\alpha}{2} + 4\right).$$

I need to find f(x) with root  $\theta$  so that  $2 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]$ .

#### **Dedekind and Kummer**

Theorem (Dedekind building on work of Kummer)

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic and irreducible and let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of f. If  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime that does not divide  $[\mathcal{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$ , the the factorization of p in  $\mathcal{O}_L$  mirrors the factorization of f modulo p. That is,

$$f(x) \equiv \phi_1(x)^{e_1} \cdots \phi_r(x)^{e_r}$$
 and  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ .

Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 8$ . SageMath:

$$2 = \left(\frac{\alpha^2 + \alpha}{2} + 1\right) \left(\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 3\right) \left(\frac{3\alpha^2 + 5\alpha}{2} + 4\right).$$

I need to find f(x) with root  $\theta$  so that  $2 \nmid [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]$ . In particular, f needs to split into 3 distinct linear factors modulo 2...

Suppose E is an elliptic curve with  $a_2 = 1$ .

Suppose E is an elliptic curve with  $a_2 = 1$ .

The discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius,  $x^2 - x + 2$ , is -7.

Suppose E is an elliptic curve with  $a_2 = 1$ .

The discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius,  $x^2 - x + 2$ , is -7.

Letting  $\pi$  denote the Frobenius endomorphism of E over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we have  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E)=\mathbb{Z}[\pi]=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)}.$ 

Suppose E is an elliptic curve with  $a_2 = 1$ .

The discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius,  $x^2 - x + 2$ , is -7.

Letting  $\pi$  denote the Frobenius endomorphism of E over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we have  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E)=\mathbb{Z}[\pi]=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)}.$ 

Combining all this information, we see Duke and Tóth's matrix representing  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  is

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8/2 & (-7 \cdot 8)/4 \\ 1 & -6/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -14 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose *E* is an elliptic curve with  $a_2 = 1$ .

The discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius,  $x^2 - x + 2$ , is -7.

Letting  $\pi$  denote the Frobenius endomorphism of E over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we have  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(E)=\mathbb{Z}[\pi]=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\pi)}.$ 

Combining all this information, we see Duke and Tóth's matrix representing  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$  is

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8/2 & (-7 \cdot 8)/4 \\ 1 & -6/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -14 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote the order of  $\sigma_2$  modulo n by ord  $(\sigma_2, n)$ . This is the residue class degree of 2 in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ .

Generically, we expect the degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to be  $|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|$ .

Generically, we expect the degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to be  $|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|$ .

Thus 2 will split into  $\frac{|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)}$  primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ .

Generically, we expect the degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to be  $|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|$ .

Thus 2 will split into 
$$\frac{|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)}$$
 primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ .

The number of irreducible polynomials of degree m in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d\mid m}p^{d}\mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right).$$

Generically, we expect the degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  to be  $|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|$ .

Thus 2 will split into  $\frac{|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)}$  primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ .

The number of irreducible polynomials of degree m in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is  $\frac{1}{m}\sum_{n}p^d\mu\left(\frac{m}{d}\right)$ .

With Dedekind's Theorem in mind, we compare  $\frac{|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)}$  and

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)} \sum_{d \mid \operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)} 2^d \mu \left( \frac{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)}{d} \right).$$

If the number of irreducible polynomial of degree  $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$  is less than  $\frac{|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)}$ , then 2 must divide the index of any monogenic order in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(E[n])}$ .

If the number of irreducible polynomial of degree  $\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$  is less than  $\frac{|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})|}{\operatorname{ord}(\sigma_2,n)}$ , then 2 must divide the index of any monogenic order in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(E[n])}$ .

We find that  $\sigma_2$  has order 10 modulo 11. We compute that 2 splits into 1320 primes in  $\mathbb{Q}(E[11])$ , but there are only 99 irreducible polynomials of degree 10 in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ .

Thus if E is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $a_2=1$  and with  $[\mathbb{Q}(E[11]):\mathbb{Q}]=|\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})|$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}(E[11])$  is not monogenic.

**Further Questions** 

# **Further Questions**

Abelian varieties?

## **Further Questions**

Abelian varieties?

Analogs of the this presentation hold when A is a simple, ordinary abelian variety such that  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \nu]$ .

#### Thank You

Thank you for listening. Please send me an email at hanson.smith@colorado.edu if you have any questions that aren't answered here.