# Homework3 / Back propagation for functions approximation

李豪韋 (HW-Lee) ID 103061527

### Overview

In concepts of linear filtering for line fitting, we know that linear filtering can general learn a model linearly mapping input sets to desired output sets, but cannot deal with non-linear relation very well without lifting functions. (e.g. we cannot make the model infinitely approach the quadratic function without adding  $x^2$  as a feature.) Therefore, an idea of cascading multiple stages of neural layers which contains several neurons as a learning system is suggested. Theoretically, it will work because the model complexity is increased as we apply more neurons or more layers, and this project is aimed at verifying its feasibility and discussing some issues of implementation during this work.

For purposes of easily usability and highly flexibility for programming/simulation, I constructed a simple framework consisting of some objects needed in a neural network. (e.g. Neurons, Neural Layers, Neural Nets, and Learning System) Because of limited pages of the report, only the relatively important(or the most important) part will be described in the report, and the more detailed information of the framework is publicly available on https://github.com/HW-Lee/2015-NN-Homeworks.

## Implementation

#### 1. Objects and Functions

- Node is a point with a certain value, used for calling value with reference.
- **Neuron** is an unit in a neural net with some arithmetic functions and several I/O **Nodes**.
- NeuralLayer/NeuralNet is a container consisting of a couple of Neurons/NeuralLayers.
- TrainingSystem is a learning system controlling how to change the weights of a net when training instances are given.




Figure 1: Structure of a Neuron

Figure 2: Structure of a NeuralNet with a hidden layer




Figure 3: The operation flow between a **Neural Net** and a **Training System**: 1) a number of instances will be stored in the buffer and sent one-by-one, 2) the difference between output value and desired value will be generated, 3) the **Neural Net** and the difference will be sent to algorithm processor, 4) algorithm will get an appropriate learning rate from learning rate determining function with the error, 5) update the new weights of the **Neural Net**, and then 6) repeat the process until the buffer is empty.

#### 2. Process

- Feed an instance and compute the error
- Compute all local gradients  $\delta$ 's
- Update all weights based on outputs of each stage and local gradients




Figure 4: Process of back propagation algorithm: a more detailed demo of "Training Algorithm" block in the Figure 3

## Results

## Discussion

- 1. Does initialization matter?
- 2. Does randomization matter?
- 3. How does the learning rate affect the system's behavior?
- 4. Does the system always converge to the same set of weights or does the system sometimes 'get stuck' in a sub-optimal region in the weight space?
- 5. How well does your system learn a different function, say  $y = \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)$ ,  $y = \sin(kx)$ , y = |x|, and  $y = \sqrt{|x|}$ ?
- 6. Continuing from Q3, does it help to increase the number of hidden neurons if the system suggested from the assignment sheet does not learn a function well?

## Additional Discussion: Why does it (multi-layer) work?

1. Describe the sigmoid function, says generally **logistic function**, in another way.

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\phi^{(i)}(x_0)}{i!} (x - x_0)^i = \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i (x - x_0)^i$$

$$\text{, where } a_i = \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x_0)}{i!}, \ \phi^{(n)}(x) = \phi^{(n-1)}(x)(1 - 2\phi(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} C_i^{n-1}\phi^{(n-1-i)}(x)\phi^{(i)}(x) \ \forall n > 2$$

And we can also obtain that:

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = \phi^{(n-1)}(x)(1 - 2\phi(x)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} C_i^{n-1}\phi^{(n-1-i)}(x)\phi^{(i)}(x) < 2^{n-1} < n! \text{ when } n \text{ is greater than } 2$$

- $\implies$  If n is sufficiently large, the expansion can even perfectly fit the closed form curve for any x and any  $x_0$ . Therefore, we can simply assume  $x_0 = 0$  without loss of generality.
- 2. Under the structure constructed with a hidden layer with m hidden neurons.

For every hidden neuron:

$$z_{i}^{(1)} = \phi(y_{i}^{(1)}) = \phi(w_{1i}^{(1)} + w_{2i}^{(1)}x) \approx \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k}(w_{1i}^{(1)} + w_{2i}^{(1)}x)^{k}, \text{ for some } N < \infty, \text{ and } x_{0} = 0$$

$$= b_{i0} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{ik}x^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{i0} & \cdots & b_{iN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ x^{N} \end{pmatrix} \implies z^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{10} & \cdots & b_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{m0} & \cdots & b_{mN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ x^{N} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$y^{(2)} = z^{(1)T} \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ w_{m1}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & x^{N} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{0} \\ \vdots \\ c_{N} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} c_{k}x^{k} \approx f(x)$$

$$\implies \begin{pmatrix} b_{10} & \cdots & b_{m0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{1N} & \cdots & b_{mN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_{11}^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ w_{m1}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} c_{0} \\ \vdots \\ c_{N} \end{pmatrix}$$