(a)

The results seem quiet smiliar.

The heterogeneity is not significant.

(b)

The data is cross-sectional in part (a), using OLS to estimate.

In part (b), the data is panel data. The individual-specific effect may exist.

(c)

The coefficient of EXPER shows the most difference.

```
(d)
   H0: u_i = 0 (no individual-specific effects)
   H1: u_i \neq 0 (individual-specific effects)
   df = (N-1, N(T-1)-K) = (715,711)
   1% critical value: 1.190575
   => reject H0, there exist individual -specific effects.
(e)
   Without cluster-robust standard errors:
       Assumes that no correlation between observations.
   With cluster-robust standard errors:
        There are within-group correlations.
   SOUTH are substantially different, and the robust one is larger.
```

(a)

```
Estimate Std. Error
                                   t value
                                              Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 437.7642527 1.3462212 325.1800198 0.000000e+00
small
            5.8228158 0.9893333 5.8855960 4.189826e-09
aide
                      0.9529935
                                0.8581768 3.908306e-01
      0.8178369
tchexper 0.4924687 0.0695551
                                7.0802669 1.610506e-12
boy
       -6.1564214 0.7961282
                                 -7.7329526 1.232255e-14
white_asian 3.9058095 0.9536072 4.0958264 4.264330e-05
freelunch -14.7713371 0.8902481 -16.5923825 1.965023e-60
```

Yes, students perform better when they are in small classes.

No, teacher's aide doesn't improve scores.

Yes, students of more experienced teachers score higher.

Yes, student's sex or race make a difference.

(b)

```
Estimate Std. Error t-value Pr(>|t|)
small 6.4902305 0.91296175 7.108984 1.312946e-12
aide 0.9960875 0.88169306 1.129744 2.586318e-01
tchexper 0.2855668 0.07084451 4.030895 5.629160e-05
boy -5.4559412 0.72758937 -7.498654 7.439670e-14
white_asian 8.0280192 1.53565617 5.227745 1.777245e-07
freelunch -14.5935724 0.88000649 -16.583483 2.362112e-60
```

No, the conclusions havn't chaged.

(c)

F test for individual effects

```
data: readscore \sim small + aide + tchexper + boy + white_asian + freelunch F = 16.698, df1 = 78, df2 = 5681, p-value < 2.2e-16 alternative hypothesis: significant effects
```

Reject H0, it suggests that school fixed effects are significant.

(a)

```
Coefficients:
```

```
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
INCOMED 0.02975 0.02922 1.018 0.312
```

2.5 % 97.5 % INCOMED -0.02841457 0.08790818