

- 10.2 The labor supply of married women has been a subject of a great deal of economic research. Consider the following supply equation specification

$$HOURS = \beta_1 + \beta_2 WAGE + \beta_3 EDUC + \beta_4 AGE + \beta_5 KIDSL6 + \beta_6 NWIFEINC + e$$

where $HOURS$ is the supply of labor, $WAGE$ is hourly wage, $EDUC$ is years of education, $KIDSL6$ is the number of children in the household who are less than 6 years old, and $NWIFEINC$ is household income from sources other than the wife's employment.

- Discuss the signs you expect for each of the coefficients.
- Explain why this supply equation cannot be consistently estimated by OLS regression.
- Suppose we consider the woman's labor market experience $EXPER$ and its square, $EXPER^2$, to be instruments for $WAGE$. Explain how these variables satisfy the logic of instrumental variables.
- Is the supply equation identified? Explain.
- Describe the steps [not a computer command] you would take to obtain IV/2SLS estimates.

$$c. \hat{WAGE} = \beta_1' + \beta_2' EXPER + \beta_3' EXPER^2 + e'$$

$EXPER, EXPER^2$ do not direct effect $HOURS$. & $cov(EXPER, e) = cov(EXPER^2, e) = 0$

& $EXPER, EXPER^2$ are strongly correlated with $WAGE$

d. Endogeneity \Rightarrow inconsistent

e. ① estimate first stage regression

$$\hat{WAGE} = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 EXPER + \hat{\beta}_3 EXPER^2$$

② replace \hat{WAGE} in the original regression, and apply the OLS estimation

a. $WAGE$ β_2 \oplus wage \uparrow 激励更多劳动

$EDUC$ β_3 \otimes 可能因教育进入职场
也可因教育高 \rightarrow 劳动减少

AGE β_4 \oplus 可能因年龄 \uparrow \rightarrow 因健康... \downarrow

$KIDSL6$ β_5 \ominus 小孩越多 越没时间工作

$NWIFEINC$ β_6 \ominus 越多 其他收入越多 工作时间越少

b. endogeneity

$$cov(WAGE, e) \neq 0$$

10.3 In the regression model $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$, assume x is endogenous and that z is a valid instrument. In Section 10.3.5, we saw that $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$.

- Divide the denominator of $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$ by $\text{var}(z)$. Show that $\text{cov}(z, x) / \text{var}(z)$ is the coefficient of the simple regression with dependent variable x and explanatory variable z , $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$. [Hint: See Section 10.2.1.] Note that this is the first-stage equation in two-stage least squares.
- Divide the numerator of $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$ by $\text{var}(z)$. Show that $\text{cov}(z, y) / \text{var}(z)$ is the coefficient of a simple regression with dependent variable y and explanatory variable z , $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$. [Hint: See Section 10.2.1.]
- In the model $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$, substitute for x using $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$ and simplify to obtain $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$. What are π_0 , π_1 , and u in terms of the regression model parameters and error and the first-stage parameters and error? The regression you have obtained is a **reduced-form** equation.
- Show that $\beta_2 = \pi_1 / \theta_1$.
- If $\hat{\pi}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_1$ are the OLS estimators of π_1 and θ_1 , show that $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 / \hat{\theta}_1$ is a consistent estimator of $\beta_2 = \pi_1 / \theta_1$. The estimator $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 / \hat{\theta}_1$ is an **indirect least squares** estimator.

a.

$$x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$$

$$\rightarrow E(x) = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 E(z)$$

$$x - E(x) = \theta_1 (z - E(z)) + v$$

$$(z - E(z))(x - E(x)) = \theta_1 (z - E(z))^2 + v(z - E(z))$$

$$E[(z - E(z))(x - E(x))] = \theta_1 E[(z - E(z))^2]$$

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{var}(z)}$$

b.

$$y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$$

$$\rightarrow E(y) = \pi_0 + \pi_1 E(z)$$

$$y - E(y) = \pi_1 (z - E(z)) + u$$

$$(z - E(z))(y - E(y)) = \pi_1 (z - E(z))^2 + u(z - E(z))$$

$$E[(z - E(z))(y - E(y))] = \pi_1 E[(z - E(z))^2]$$

$$\pi_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{var}(z)}$$

c.

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e \\
 &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 (r_1 + \theta_1 z + v) + e \\
 &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 r_1 + \beta_2 \theta_1 z + \beta_2 v + e \\
 \tau_0 &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 r_1 \\
 \tau_1 &= \beta_2 \theta_1 \\
 u &= \beta_2 v + e
 \end{aligned}$$

d.

$$\tau_1 = \beta_2 \theta_1 \quad \beta_2 = \frac{\tau_1}{\theta_1}$$

e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\theta}_1 &= \frac{\widehat{\text{cov}}(z, y)}{\widehat{\text{var}}(z)} = \frac{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})^2} \\
 \hat{\tau}_1 &= \frac{\widehat{\text{cov}}(z, y)}{\widehat{\text{var}}(z)} = \frac{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})^2} \\
 \hat{\beta}_2 &= \frac{\hat{\tau}_1}{\hat{\theta}_1} = \frac{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(x_i - \bar{x})} = \frac{\widehat{\text{cov}}(z, y)}{\widehat{\text{cov}}(z, x)}
 \end{aligned}$$

→ It is IV estimator

$$\therefore \widehat{\text{cov}}(z, y) \xrightarrow{p} \text{cov}(z, y)$$

$$\widehat{\text{cov}}(z, x) \xrightarrow{p} \text{cov}(z, x)$$

$$\therefore \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\widehat{\text{cov}}(z, y)}{\widehat{\text{cov}}(z, x)} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{cov}(z, x)} = \beta_2$$