

10.2 The labor supply of married women has been a subject of a great deal of economic research. Consider the following supply equation specification

$$HOURS = \beta_1 + \beta_2 WAGE + \beta_3 EDUC + \beta_4 AGE + \beta_5 KIDSL6 + \beta_6 NWIFEINC + e$$

where *HOURS* is the supply of labor, *WAGE* is hourly wage, *EDUC* is years of education, *KIDSL6* is the number of children in the household who are less than 6 years old, and *NWIFEINC* is household income from sources other than the wife's employment.

- Discuss the signs you expect for each of the coefficients.
- Explain why this supply equation cannot be consistently estimated by OLS regression.
- Suppose we consider the woman's labor market experience *EXPER* and its square, *EXPER*², to be instruments for *WAGE*. Explain how these variables satisfy the logic of instrumental variables.
- Is the supply equation identified? Explain.
- Describe the steps [not a computer command] you would take to obtain IV/2SLS estimates.

a. $\beta_2 > 0, \beta_3 > 0, \beta_4 > 0, \beta_5 < 0, \beta_6 < 0$

b. 存在內生性 (Endogeneity), 因 *HOURS*、*WAGE* 應由市場供需提供均衡, 是交互作用關係

c. *EXPER* 通常與 *WAGE* 存在正向關係

EXPER 不應與工作時數存在相關性 (3年、1年員工皆需工作8hr)

d. 內生性問題 (*WAGE*) 存在工具變數 (*EXPER*, *EXPER*²) 可解決
若無法解決則 supply equation 不成立

e. (1) $\hat{WAGE} = \hat{\beta}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 EXPER + \hat{\beta}_3 EXPER^2$

(2) 將 \hat{WAGE} 代替 *WAGE* 放入 supply equation

10.3 In the regression model $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$, assume x is endogenous and that z is a valid instrument. In Section 10.3.5, we saw that $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$.

- Divide the denominator of $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$ by $\text{var}(z)$. Show that $\text{cov}(z, x) / \text{var}(z)$ is the coefficient of the simple regression with dependent variable x and explanatory variable z , $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$. [Hint: See Section 10.2.1.] Note that this is the first-stage equation in two-stage least squares.
- Divide the numerator of $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$ by $\text{var}(z)$. Show that $\text{cov}(z, y) / \text{var}(z)$ is the coefficient of a simple regression with dependent variable y and explanatory variable z , $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$. [Hint: See Section 10.2.1.]
- In the model $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$, substitute for x using $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$ and simplify to obtain $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$. What are π_0 , π_1 , and u in terms of the regression model parameters and error and the first-stage parameters and error? The regression you have obtained is a **reduced-form** equation.
- Show that $\beta_2 = \pi_1 / \theta_1$.
- If $\hat{\pi}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_1$ are the OLS estimators of π_1 and θ_1 , show that $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 / \hat{\theta}_1$ is a consistent estimator of $\beta_2 = \pi_1 / \theta_1$. The estimator $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 / \hat{\theta}_1$ is an **indirect least squares** estimator.

a. $\text{Cov}(z, v) = 0 \Rightarrow E(zv) - E(z) \cdot E(v) = 0$

where $E(v) = 0$, thus $E(zv) = 0$

$E(x) = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 E(z) \dots \textcircled{1} \quad \textcircled{2} - 0 \cdot E(z)$

$E(xz) = \gamma_1 \cdot E(z) + \theta_1 E(z^2) \Rightarrow E(xz) - E(x) \cdot E(z) = \theta_1 [E(z^2) - E(z)^2]$

$\dots \textcircled{2} = \text{Cov}(z, x) = \theta_1 \cdot \text{Var}(z)$

$\Rightarrow \theta_1 = \frac{\text{Cov}(z, x)}{\text{Var}(z)}$

b. $\text{Cov}(z, u) = 0$,

where $E(u) = 0 \Rightarrow E(zu) = 0$

$E(y) = \pi_0 + \pi_1 E(z)$
 $E(zy) = \pi_0 \cdot E(z) + \pi_1 E(z^2) \Rightarrow E(zy) - E(y) \cdot E(z) = \pi_1 [E(z^2) - E(z)^2]$
 $\Rightarrow \pi_1 = \frac{\text{Cov}(z, y)}{\text{Var}(z)}$

c. $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2(\gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v) + e = (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \gamma_1) + (\beta_2 \theta_1) z + (\beta_2 v + e)$
 $= \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$

d. recall a. and b. that $\theta_1 = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, X)}{\text{Var}(Z)}$, $\pi_1 = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, Y)}{\text{Var}(Z)}$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, Y)}{\text{Cov}(Z, X)} = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, Y) / \text{Var}(Z)}{\text{Cov}(Z, X) / \text{Var}(Z)} = \frac{\pi_1}{\theta_1} \neq$$

e.

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(x_i - \bar{x})} = \frac{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y}) / \sum (z_i - \bar{z})^2}{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(x_i - \bar{x}) / \sum (z_i - \bar{z})^2}$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\pi}_1}{\hat{\theta}_1} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\pi_1}{\theta_1} \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_2 \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\pi_1}{\theta_1}$$