

5.31 Each morning between 6:30 AM and 8:00 AM Bill leaves the Melbourne suburb of Carnegie to drive to work at the University of Melbourne. The time it takes Bill to drive to work (*TIME*), depends on the departure time (*DEPART*), the number of red lights that he encounters (*REDS*), and the number of trains that he has to wait for at the Murrumbeena level crossing (*TRAINS*). Observations on these variables for the 249 working days in 2015 appear in the file *commute5*. *TIME* is measured in minutes. *DEPART* is the number of minutes after 6:30 AM that Bill departs.

- a. Estimate the equation

$$TIME = \beta_1 + \beta_2 DEPART + \beta_3 REDS + \beta_4 TRAINS + e$$

Report the results and interpret each of the coefficient estimates, including the intercept β_1 .

- b. Find 95% interval estimates for each of the coefficients. Have you obtained precise estimates of each of the coefficients?
- c. Using a 5% significance level, test the null hypothesis that Bill’s expected delay from each red light is 2 minutes or more against the alternative that it is less than 2 minutes.
- d. Using a 10% significance level, test the null hypothesis that the expected delay from each train is 3 minutes against the alternative that it is not 3 minutes.
- e. Using a 5% significance level, test the null hypothesis that Bill can expect a trip to be at least 10 minutes longer if he leaves at 7:30 AM instead of 7:00 AM, against the alternative that it will not be 10 minutes longer. (Assume other things are equal.)
- f. Using a 5% significance level, test the null hypothesis that the expected delay from a train is at least three times greater than the expected delay from a red light against the alternative that it is less than three times greater.
- g. Suppose that Bill encounters six red lights and one train. Using a 5% significance level, test the null hypothesis that leaving Carnegie at 7:00 AM is early enough to get him to the university on or before 7:45 AM against the alternative that it is not. [Carry out the test in terms of the expected time $E(TIME|X)$ where **X** represents the observations on all explanatory variables.]
- h. Suppose that, in part (g), it is imperative that Bill is not late for his 7:45 AM meeting. Have the null and alternative hypotheses been set up correctly? What happens if these hypotheses are reversed?

a. $TIME = 20.8701 + 0.3681 \times DEPART + 1.5219 \times REDS + 3.0237 \times TRAINS$

Call: lm(formula = time ~ depart + reds + trains, data = commute5)					
Residuals:					
Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max	
-18.4389	-3.6774	-0.1188	4.5863	16.4986	
Coefficients:					
	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)	
(Intercept)	20.8701	1.6758	12.454	< 2e-16	***
depart	0.3681	0.0351	10.487	< 2e-16	***
reds	1.5219	0.1850	8.225	1.15e-14	***
trains	3.0237	0.6340	4.769	3.18e-06	***

Signif. codes:	0	***	0.001	***	0.01
			**	0.05	.
				0.1	'
					1
Residual standard error: 6.299 on 245 degrees of freedom					
Multiple R-squared: 0.5346, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5289					
F-statistic: 93.79 on 3 and 245 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16					

截距 (Intercept) : $\beta_1 = 20.8791$
當 $depart = 0$ (即早上 6:30 出發) 、 $reds = 0$ (沒有紅燈) 、 $trains = 0$ (沒有火車) 時，預計的通勤時間是 20.8791 分鐘。

$depart$ 的係數 : $\beta_2 = 0.3681$
在遇見的紅燈數($reds$)、遇見的火車數($trains$)不變的情況下，出發時間每晚一分鐘 (即從 6:30 開始的每增加一分鐘) ，通勤時間預計增加 0.3681 分鐘。

$reds$ 的係數 : $\beta_3 = 1.5219$
在出發時間($depart$)、遇見的火車數($trains$)不變的情況下，每多遇到一個紅燈，通勤時間預計增加 1.5219 分鐘。

$trains$ 的係數 : $\beta_4 = 3.0237$
在出發時間($depart$)、遇見的火車數($reds$)不變的情況下，每多遇到一輛火車，通勤時間預計增加 3.0237 分鐘。

b.	<code>> confint(model, level = 0.95)</code>		
	2.5 %	97.5 %	
(Intercept)	17.5694018	24.170871	
depart	0.2989851	0.437265	
reds	1.1574748	1.886411	
trains	1.7748867	4.272505	

$depart$ 和 $reds$ 的估計相對精確，信賴區間較窄，標準誤較小。
截距的估計也較為精確，但信賴區間相對較寬。
 $trains$ 的估計相對最不精確，信賴區間寬度大，標準誤較高。

c. $H_0: \beta_3 \geq 2$ $H_1: \beta_3 < 2$
 $\alpha = 0.05$ ， $df = 249 - 4 = 245$ ， $t_{critical} = -1.6511$
 $t = (1.5219 - 2) / 0.1850 = -2.5843 < -1.6511 = t_{critical}$ ，落在拒絕域。
拒絕 H_0 ，表示在 5% 顯著水準下，有足夠的證據表明，每個紅燈的預期延誤時間小於 2 分鐘。

```
> qt(0.05, df = 245)
[1] -1.651097
```

d. $H_0: \beta_4 = 3$ $H_1: \beta_4 \neq 3$
 $\alpha = 0.1$ ， $df = 249 - 4 = 245$ ， $t_{critical} = \pm 1.6511$
 $t = (3.0237 - 3) / 0.6340 = 0.0374 \in [-1.6511, 1.6511]$ ，未落在拒絕域。
無法拒絕 H_0 ，表示在 10% 顯著水準下，沒有足夠的證據表明每輛火車的預期延誤時間不等於 3 分鐘。換句話說，火車平均延誤時間可能是 3 分鐘。

```
> qt(1-0.1/2, df = 245)
[1] 1.651097
```

e. 通勤時間的預期變化為： $\Delta TIME = \beta_2 \times \Delta depart = \beta_2 \times 30$
問題假設通勤時間至少增加 10 分鐘，即： $30 \times \beta_2 \geq 10$ ，簡化後： $\beta_2 \geq 1/3 \approx 0.3333$
 $H_0: \beta_2 \geq 0.3333$ $H_1: \beta_2 < 0.3333$
 $\alpha = 0.05$ ， $df = 249 - 4 = 245$ ， $t_{critical} = -1.6511$
 $t = (0.3681 - 0.3333) / 0.0351 = 0.9915 > -1.6511$ ，未落在拒絕域。
無法拒絕 H_0 ，表示在 5% 顯著水準下，沒有足夠的證據表明如果 Bill 在 7:30 出發而不是 7:00 出發，通勤時間增加不到 10 分鐘。換句話說，數據支持通勤時間可能至少增加 10 分鐘。

```
> qt(0.05, df = 245)
[1] -1.651097
```

f. 問題檢驗火車的預期延誤時間是否至少是紅燈預期延誤時間的三倍，即： $\beta_4 \geq 3 \times \beta_3$
 $H_0: \beta_4 - 3 \times \beta_3 \geq 0$ $H_1: \beta_4 - 3 \times \beta_3 < 0$
 $\alpha = 0.05$ ， $df = 249 - 4 = 245$ ， $t_{critical} = -1.6511$
 $b_4 - 3 \times b_3 = 3.0237 - 3 \times 1.5219 = 3.0237 - 4.5657 = -1.542$
 $Var(b_4 - 3 \times b_3) = Var(b_4) + 9 \times Var(b_3) + 2 \times 1 \times (-3) \times Cov(b_4, b_3)$
 $= 0.4019709090 + 9 \times 0.0342390502 - 6 \times (-0.0006481936) = 0.7140115224$
 $Std. Error = \sqrt{0.7140115224} \approx 0.8450$
 $t = (-1.542 - 0) / 0.8450 = -1.8249 < -1.6511$ ，落在拒絕域。
拒絕 H_0 ，表示在 5% 顯著水準下，有足夠的證據表明，火車的預期延誤時間小於紅燈預期延誤時間的三倍。

<code>> vcov(model)</code>	(Intercept)	depart	reds	trains
(Intercept)	2.808171830	-0.0260985055	-0.2690250770	0.0010777876
depart	-0.026098505	0.0012321419	0.0004557753	-0.0104185104
reds	-0.269025077	0.0004557753	0.0342390502	-0.0006481936
trains	0.001077788	-0.0104185104	-0.0006481936	0.4019709090

g. $E(TIME | X) = \beta_1 + 30 \times DEPART + 6 \times REDS + 1 \times TRAINS$
 $H_0: E(TIME | X) \leq 45$ $H_1: E(TIME | X) > 45$
 $\alpha = 0.05$ ， $df = 249 - 4 = 245$ ， $t_{critical} = 1.6511$
 $t = -1.725964 < 1.6511$ ，未落在拒絕域。
無法拒絕 H_0 ，表示在 5% 顯著水準下，沒有足夠的證據表明 Bill 無法在 7:45 之前到達墨爾本大學。

h. 考慮到「Bill 必須不遲到」的關鍵要求，我們應該反轉假設：
 $H_0: E(TIME | X) \geq 45$ $H_1: E(TIME | X) < 45$
 $\alpha = 0.05$ ， $df = 249 - 4 = 245$ ， $t_{critical} = -1.6511$
 $t = -1.725964 < -1.6511$ ，落在拒絕域。
拒絕 H_0 ，表示在 5% 顯著水準下，有足夠的證據表明 Bill 能在 7:45 之前到達墨爾本大學。
反轉假設後，檢驗結果仍支持 Bill 能在 7:45 之前到達