

C11Q01

(a)

$$y_2 = \alpha_2(\alpha_1 y_2 + e_1) + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + e_2$$

$$(1 - \alpha_2 \alpha_1) y_2 = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \alpha_2 e_1 + e_2$$

$$\pi_1 = \beta_1 / (1 - \alpha_2 \alpha_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_2 = \beta_2 / (1 - \alpha_2 \alpha_1)$$

$$v_2 = (\alpha_2 e_1 + e_2) / (1 - \alpha_2 \alpha_1) \Rightarrow y_2 \text{ will be correlated with } e_1, \text{ as long as } \alpha_2 \neq 0.$$

(b)

π_1 and π_2 , since they are the coefficient of the exogenous variables.

(c)

equation 1, parameter α_1 . Because 2IVs(> 2-1) omitted from equation 1.

C11Q01

(d)

The conditions express that the error term v_2 in part(a) are uncorrelated with the exogenous variables.

(e)

OLS:
$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_{2i} - \pi_1 x_{i1} - \pi_2 x_{i2})^2$$

first derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \pi_1} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i1} (y_{2i} - \pi_1 x_{i1} - \pi_2 x_{i2})^2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \pi_2} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N x_{i2} (y_{2i} - \pi_1 x_{i1} - \pi_2 x_{i2})^2 = 0$$

This two conditions are consistent with the conditions required by MOM.

C11Q01

(f)

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_{i1} (y_{2i} - \pi_1 x_{i1} - \pi_2 x_{i2}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N x_{i2} (y_{2i} - \pi_1 x_{i1} - \pi_2 x_{i2}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3 - 1\pi_1 - 0\pi_2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi_1 = 3$$

$$4 - 0\pi_1 - 0\pi_2 = 0 \quad \pi_2 = 4$$

(g)

Because \hat{y}_2 is estimated by exogenous variables, it should be uncorrelated with the error term e_1 .

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{y}_{i2} (y_{i1} - \alpha_1 y_{i2}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{y}_{i2} y_{i1} / \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{y}_{i2} y_{i2}$$

C11Q01

(h)

2nd stage regression equation: $y_1 = \alpha_1 \hat{y}_{i2} + e_1$

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_1 - \alpha_1 \hat{y}_{i2})^2$$

first derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \alpha_1} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{y}_{i2} (y_1 - \alpha_1 \hat{y}_{i2}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{y}_{i2} y_{i1} / \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{y}_{i2} y_{i2} \quad \text{consistent with the result in part (g).}$$

C11Q16

(a)

$$Q = \beta_1 + \beta_2(Q - e_{di} - \alpha_1)/\alpha_2 + \beta_3 W + e_{si} \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 P + e_{di} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 P + \beta_3 W + e_{si}$$

$$\theta_1 = (\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2) / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_2 = \alpha_2 \beta_3 / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$v_2 = (\alpha_2 e_{si} + \beta_2 e_{di}) / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$\pi_1 = (\beta_1 + \alpha_1) / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_2 = \beta_3 / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$v_1 = (e_{si} - e_{di}) / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

(b)

Demand can be solved (identified)

Supply can't be solved

C11Q16

(c)

$$5 = (\alpha_2\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_2)/(\alpha_2 - \beta_2) = \alpha_2(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)/(\alpha_2 - \beta_2) + \alpha_1(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)/(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$2.4 = (\beta_1 - \alpha_1)/(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$0.5 = \alpha_2\beta_3 / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$1 = \beta_3 / (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_2 = 0.5, \alpha_1 = 3.8$$

(d)

fitted values for P: 4.4 5.4 3.4 3.4 5.4

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	3.800	6.481	0.586	0.599
P_hat	0.500	1.443	0.346	0.752

C11Q17

(a)

eq1 : 2 IVs(W_{2t}, P_{t-1})

eq2 : 2 IVs(P_{t-1}, K_{t-1})

eq3 : 2 IVs($E_{t-1}, TIME_t$)

total: 5 IVs

Yes, we do.

We have an adequate number of Ivs to estimate each equation.

(b)

eq1 : 2 endo, 2 exo

eq2 : 1 endo, 2 exo

eq3 : 1 endo, 2 exo

This condition is satisfied for each equation.

C11Q17

(c)

$$W_{1t} = \pi_1 + \pi_2 W_{2t} + \pi_3 P_{t-1} + \pi_4 K_{t-1} + \pi_5 E_{t-1} + \pi_6 TIME_t$$

(d)

Perform regression using the 1st stage equation :

$$W_{1t} = \pi_1 + \pi_2 W_{2t} + \pi_3 P_{t-1} + \pi_4 K_{t-1} + \pi_5 E_{t-1} + \pi_6 TIME_t$$

$$P_t = \theta_1 + \theta_2 W_{2t} + \theta_3 P_{t-1} + \theta_4 K_{t-1} + \theta_5 E_{t-1} + \theta_6 TIME_t$$

Perform regression using the estimates and 2nd stage equation:

$$CN_t = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 (\hat{W}_{1t} + W_{2t}) + \alpha_3 \hat{P}_t + \alpha_4 P_{t-1}$$

(e)

No, the coefficient is the same, but the t-value isn't, because the standard error in the hand calculation(2SLS) is incorrect compared to the IVREG.