10.2 The labor supply of married women has been a subject of a great deal of economic research. Consider the following supply equation specification

 $HOURS = \beta_1 + \beta_2 WAGE + \beta_3 EDUC + \beta_4 AGE + \beta_5 KIDSL6 + \beta_6 NWIFEINC + e$

where *HOURS* is the supply of labor, *WAGE* is hourly wage, *EDUC* is years of education, *KIDSL6* is the number of children in the household who are less than 6 years old, and *NWIFEINC* is household income from sources other than the wife's employment.

- a. Discuss the signs you expect for each of the coefficients.
- b. Explain why this supply equation cannot be consistently estimated by OLS regression.
- **c.** Suppose we consider the woman's labor market experience *EXPER* and its square, *EXPER*², to be instruments for *WAGE*. Explain how these variables satisfy the logic of instrumental variables.
- d. Is the supply equation identified? Explain.
- e. Describe the steps [not a computer command] you would take to obtain IV/2SLS estimates.
- (G) β、 (wage): 預期為正. 工資越高、勞動供給應增加 β、 (EDuc): 預期為正. 教育程度越高. 預期工資越高. 勞動供給應增加
 - β4 (AGE): 可能是負. 年輕時 勞動供給可能較高 β5 (KIOS Lb): 預期為負. 家中自占 歲以下 小 旅 需 照 顧. 可能減少 碎女 勞動 供給
 - B. (NWIFEINY):預期為負.家庭其他收入越高.經濟壓力小,可能減少勞動供給
- (b) Q為可能有遺漏衰數偏誤,如工作經驗是工作能力可能會同時影響 WAGE 和 HOURS . 追放內生性問題
- (c) 相関性: EXPER 和 EXPER 和 工資相関.

 外生性: EXPER 和 EXPER R 虚图 WAGE 影響 Hours,
 且與該差項零相関 (com(2i.vi)=0)
- (d) 瓜烏 软件有兩個工具菱散 (EXPER, EXPERT) 可以估計 1個 內生 菱數 (WAGE), 所以 identified

四第一階段四歸:

用工具复数 (EXPER, EXPEX) 和其他外生复数 四龄 WAGE. 得到估計值 WAGE

第一門段追解。

用第一階般預測的WAGE、及其他外生复数去迴歸 HOURS

CHIO Q3

ખ

- 10.3 In the regression model $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$, assume x is endogenous and that z is a valid instrument. In Section 10.3.5, we saw that $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y)/\text{cov}(z, x)$.
 - a. Divide the denominator of $\beta_2 = \cos(z, y)/\cos(z, x)$ by $\operatorname{var}(z)$. Show that $\cos(z, x)/\operatorname{var}(z)$ is the coefficient of the simple regression with dependent variable x and explanatory variable z, $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$. [Hint: See Section 10.2.1.] Note that this is the first-stage equation in two-stage least squares.
 - **b.** Divide the numerator of $\beta_2 = \cos(z, y)/\cos(z, x)$ by $\sin(z)$. Show that $\cos(z, y)/\sin(z)$ is the coefficient of a simple regression with dependent variable y and explanatory variable z, $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$. [*Hint*: See Section 10.2.1.]
 - c. In the model $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$, substitute for x using $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$ and simplify to obtain $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$. What are π_0 , π_1 , and u in terms of the regression model parameters and error and the first-stage parameters and error? The regression you have obtained is a **reduced-form** equation.
 - **d.** Show that $\beta_2 = \pi_1/\theta_1$.
 - e. If $\hat{\pi}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_1$ are the OLS estimators of π_1 and θ_1 , show that $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1/\hat{\theta}_1$ is a consistent estimator of $\beta_2 = \pi_1/\theta_1$. The estimator $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1/\hat{\theta}_1$ is an **indirect least squares** estimator.

(9)
$$E[x] = (1 + 0, E[2]) = 1 \times - E(x) = 0, (2 - E[2]) + V$$

(1) $\Re (2 - E[2])$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\left(X-\mathbb{E}\left(X\right)\right)\right]=0,\ \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)V$$

$$\theta_{1} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\mathcal{E} - \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{E}\right)\right)\left(X - \mathbb{E}\left(X\right)\right)\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\mathcal{E} - \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{E}\right)\right)\left(X - \mathbb{E}\left(X\right)\right)\right)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\mathcal{E} - \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{E}\right)\right)\left(X - \mathbb{E}\left(X\right)\right)\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\mathcal{E} - \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{E}\right)\right)\right)}$$

E(y) = 7a + 7i, E(z) = y - E(y) = 7i, (z - E(z)) + 1 (x + (z - E(z))

$$T_{1} = \frac{E((3-E(3))(3-E(3)))}{E((3-E(3))^{2})} = \frac{Con(3.3)}{Con(3.3)}$$

Solving
$$T_1 = \beta_1 \theta_1$$
, we have $\beta_2 = \frac{T_1}{\theta_1}$

Then
$$\underline{\Gamma(2-\overline{2})(3-\overline{3})}$$

$$\underline{\Gamma(2-\overline{2})}(x-\overline{x})$$

$$\underline{\Gamma(2-\overline{2})}(x-\overline{x})$$

$$\underline{\Gamma(2-\overline{2})}(x-\overline{x})$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(z-\bar{z})(\lambda-\bar{x})/\nu}{\Gamma(z-z)(\bar{x}-\bar{x})/\nu} = \frac{\Gamma(z-\bar{z})(\bar{x}-\bar{x})/\nu}{(\bar{x}-\bar{x})/\nu}$$

$$\beta_{1} = \frac{\hat{\chi_{1}}}{\hat{\theta_{1}}} = \frac{\hat{\chi_{1}}}{\hat{\chi_{1}}} = \frac{\hat{\chi_{1}}}{\hat{\chi_{1}}$$