Note 
$$\sum_{i=n-\overline{Y}}^{i=n-\overline{Y}}$$
 =  $\sum_{i=n-\overline{Y}}^{i=n-\overline{Y}}$  =  $\sum_{i=n-\overline{Y}}^{i=n-\overline{Y}}$ 

Note Ixi=n·x

作の提出り=  $\frac{\int X_i \cdot X_i - \int X_i \cdot X_i \cdot X_i}{\int X_i \cdot X_i} = \frac{\int X_i \cdot X_i \cdot X_i}{\int X_i \cdot X_i} = \frac{\int X_i \cdot X_i \cdot X_i}{\int X_i \cdot X_i}$ 

 $Cov(b_1,b_2|X) = 6^2 \frac{\overline{\chi}}{n \overline{\chi}(-(\overline{\chi}\chi))^2} = 6^2 \frac{n \cdot \overline{\chi}}{n \cdot [\overline{\chi}(-n\overline{\chi})^2]} = 6^2 \frac{\overline{\chi}}{\overline{\chi}(\chi(-\overline{\chi}^2))}$   $\cdots \quad \text{Equation}$  2.66

5.3 Consider the following model that relates the percentage of a household's budget spent on alcohol WALC to total expenditure TOTEXP, age of the household head AGE, and the number of children in the household NK.

$$WALC = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(TOTEXP) + \beta_3 NK + \beta_4 AGE + e$$

This model was estimated using 1200 observations from London. An incomplete version of this output is provided in Table 5.6.

## TABLE 5.6 Output for Exercise 5.3

Dependent Variable: WALC Included observations: 1200				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	1.4515	2.2019		0.5099
ln(TOTEXP)	2.7648		5.7103	0.0000
NK		0.3695	-3.9376	0.0001
AGE	-0.1503	0.0235	-6.4019	0.0000
R-squared	Mean dependent var			6.19434
S.E. of regression		S.D. dependent var		6.39547
Sum squared resid	46221.62			

- a. Fill in the following blank spaces that appear in this table.
  - i. The *t*-statistic for  $b_1$ .
  - ii. The standard error for  $b_2$ .
  - iii. The estimate  $b_3$ .
  - iv.  $R^2$ .
  - v. Ĝ.

$$i - t_{b_1} = \frac{1.4515}{2.2019} = 0.6592$$
,  $ii$ ,  $SE_{b_2} = \frac{2.9648}{5.903} = 0.4842$ 

111. 
$$\beta_3 = 3.9376 \times 0.3695 = -1.4552$$
, iv.  $TSS = 6^{2} (N-1) = 6.3954) \times 1199 = 18954.74$   
V. S.E. =  $\sqrt{\frac{46221.62}{1196}} = \sqrt{\frac{386.65}{1196}} = 6.22$ 
 $R^2 = 1 - \frac{35R}{755} = 1 - \frac{46221.62}{48934.94} = 0.0555$ 

- **b.** Interpret each of the estimates  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$ , and  $b_4$ .
- b. LnCTOTEXP) 每上升 , WALC 增加 2.7648% 家庭的孩子數增加 1, WALC 降低 -1.4552% -家文主的年龄上升 1, WALC降低 -0.1503%

**c.** Compute a 95% interval estimate for  $\beta_4$ . What does this interval tell you?

每當一家文主年龄上升1,在95%的修心水滩入下,WALC管开降(因圆腊答co)

d. Are each of the coefficient estimates significant at a 5% level? Why

Test the hypothesis that the addition of an extra child decreases the mean budget share of alcohol by 2 percentage points against the alternative that the decrease is not equal to 2 percentage points. Use a 5% significance level.

Ho: 
$$\beta_3 = 2$$
, Ha:  $\beta_3 + 2$   

$$t = \frac{-1.452(2)}{0.3695} = 1.414, \quad t_{0.025,196} \approx 1.96, \quad |t| \leq 1.96 \Rightarrow \text{ Do not Reject Ho}$$

在95%你水鄉無起證據義明的十一2,

(a)

beta2、beta3為正,因為價格應該會根據品質(QUAT)以及需求(QUANT)上漲 beta4可能為負因人們開始逐漸意識到毒品的危險導致購買需求減少,價格也降低或市政府以 及警方開始加強取締的力度。

(b)QUANT之係數為負,可能是因為交易量越多被抓的風險越高,使價格降低。

```
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 90.84669 8.58025 10.588 1.39e-14 ***
           -0.05997
                      0.01018 -5.892 2.85e-07 ***
quant
qual
                      0.20326 0.572 0.5700
           0.11621
trend
           -2.35458
                      1.38612 -1.699
                                       0.0954 .
(c)約有51%價格的變化可以被此回歸解釋
> summary_model <- summary(model)</pre>
> r_squared <- summary_model$r.squared</p>
> r_squared
[1] 0.50965
(d)H0:銷售量與價格為正相關, Ha:銷售量與價格為負相關
[1] -0.05996979
> quant_p_value
[1] 2.85072e-07
> if (quant_p_value / 2 < 0.05 && quant_coef < 0) {</pre>
+ cat ("拒絕 HO:存在負相關關係,即銷售量越大,價格越低。\n")
+ } else {
+ cat("未能拒絕 HO: 沒有足夠的證據支持銷售量與價格之間存在負相關關係。\n")
拒絕 HO: 存在負相關關係,即銷售量越大,價格越低。
(e)H0:品質與價格無關:Ha:品質與價格有相關性
> if (gual_p_value < 0.05 && gual_coef > 0) {
   cat("拒絕 HO: 可卡因品質對價格有顯著影響,且品質越好價格越高。\n")
+ } else {
   cat("未能拒絕 HO: 沒有足夠證據表明品質對價格有顯著影響。\n")
+ }
未能拒絕 HO: 沒有足夠證據表明品質對價格有顯著影響。
```

(f)每年價格變化為-2.3546, 可能是因為政府的鄭彩改變, 增加毒品的管制或者是民眾意識到 毒品所帶來的嚴重損害。造成毒品交易較低、價格降低。

```
> trend_coef <- summary(model)$coefficients["trend", "Estimate"]</pre>
> trend_coef
[1] -2.354579
```