

412707009 林華舒

11.1.

$$a. y_2 = \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 y_2 + e_1) + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + e_2$$

$$\Rightarrow y_2 = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1} x_1 + \frac{\beta_2}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1} x_2 + \frac{\alpha_2 \cdot e_1 + e_2}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1}$$

$$= \pi_1 x_1 + \pi_2 x_2 + v_2, \text{ where } \pi_1 = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1}, \pi_2 = \frac{\beta_2}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1}$$

$$v_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 \cdot e_1 + e_2}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1}$$

$$\text{Corr}(y_2, e_1) = \text{Cov}(y_2, e_1) / \sigma_{y_2} \sigma_{e_1} = \text{Cov}(y_2, e_1) / \sigma_{e_1} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{e_1}}{\sigma_{y_2}} = \frac{\alpha_2}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{e_1}}{\sigma_{y_2}} \neq 0$$

b. Since both equations contain endogenous variables (y_1, y_2). The OLS estimator won't be consistent.

c. There are 2 equations, so there must be $2 - 1 = 1$ variable be omitted to make the equation identified, in equation (1), there are 2 variables absent \Rightarrow identified, while equation have no variable absent. Therefore, only $y_1 = \alpha_1 y_2 + e_1$ is identified.

d. Combine two equations we have $E(x_{i1} \cdot v_{i2} | x_1, x_2) = E(x_{i2} \cdot v_{i2} | x_1, x_2) = 0$
replace v_{i2} by $\frac{\alpha_2 \cdot e_{i1} + e_{i2}}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1}$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_2}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1} \cdot E(x_{i1} \cdot e_{i1} | x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1} \cdot E(x_{i1} \cdot e_{i2} | x_1, x_2) = 0 \\ \frac{\alpha_2}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1} \cdot E(x_{i2} \cdot e_{i1} | x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot \alpha_1} \cdot E(x_{i2} \cdot e_{i2} | x_1, x_2) = 0 \end{cases}$$

while $E(x_{ik} \cdot e_{i1} | x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0 = E(x_{ik} \cdot e_{i2} | x_1, \dots, x_k)$

makes x_1, x_2 consistent

e. OLS: $\min \sum (y_2 - \pi_1 x_1 - \pi_2 x_2)^2$ by F.O.C. $\begin{cases} -2 \cdot \sum x_1 (y_2 - \pi_1 x_1 - \pi_2 x_2) = 0 \\ -2 \cdot \sum x_2 (y_2 - \pi_1 x_1 - \pi_2 x_2) = 0 \end{cases}$
are equivalent to 2 equations in part (d)

f. Equations in part d $\begin{cases} \sum x_1 y_2 - \pi_1 \sum x_1^2 - \pi_2 \sum x_1 x_2 = 0 \\ \sum x_2 y_2 - \pi_1 \sum x_2 x_1 - \pi_2 \sum x_2^2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \pi_1 + 0\pi_2 = 3 \\ \Rightarrow \pi_1 = 3 \\ 0\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 4 \\ \Rightarrow \pi_2 = 4 \end{cases}$

g. $\sum \hat{y}_2 (y_1 - \alpha_1 y_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \frac{\sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot y_1}{\sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot y_2}$, plug $\hat{y}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 x_1 + \hat{\pi}_2 x_2$ into it

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_1 = \frac{\sum (\hat{\pi}_1 \cdot x_1 + \hat{\pi}_2 \cdot x_2) y_1}{\sum (\hat{\pi}_1 \cdot x_1 + \hat{\pi}_2 \cdot x_2) y_2} = \frac{3 \sum (x_1 y_1) + 4 \sum (x_2 y_1)}{3 \sum (x_1 y_2) + 4 \sum (x_2 y_2)} = \frac{3 \cdot 12 + 4 \cdot 3}{3 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 4} = \frac{18}{25}$$

This is consistent because we have $y_1 = \alpha_1 y_2 + e_1$, and the moment condition of (y_2, e_1) make $\hat{\alpha}_1$ consistent

h. $\hat{v}_2 = y_2 - \hat{y}_2 \Rightarrow \hat{y}_2 = y_2 - \hat{v}_2$, $\hat{\alpha}_1, 2SLS = \frac{\sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot y_1}{\sum \hat{y}_2^2}$, so we need

to prove $\sum \hat{y}_2^2 = \sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot y_2 \Rightarrow \sum \hat{y}_2 (y_2 - \hat{y}_2) = \sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot y_2$

$$\Rightarrow \sum \hat{y}_2 y_2 - \sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot \hat{y}_2 = \sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot y_2$$

note that $\sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot \hat{y}_2 = 0$

if $\text{cor}(\hat{y}_2, \hat{y}_2) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \sum \hat{y}_2 y_2 - 0 = \sum \hat{y}_2 \cdot y_2 \quad \#$$

11.1b.

$$a. Q_i = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 P_i + e_{di} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 P_i + \beta_3 W_i + e_{si}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_i = \frac{\beta_1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} + \frac{\beta_3}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} W_i + \frac{e_{di} + e_{si}}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} = \pi_1 + \pi_2 W + V_i$$

$$Q_i = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 (\pi_1 + \pi_2 W + V_i) + e_{di}$$

$$= (\alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2}) + \frac{\alpha_2 \beta_3}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} W_i + (e_{di} + \frac{\alpha_2(e_{di} + e_{si})}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2})$$

$$= \theta_1 + \theta_2 W_i + V_2$$

b. Only Demand Equation is "identified" because $M \neq 2$, and there is zero variable being omitted in Supply equation, which require at least $2-1=1$ variable being omitted to make equation "identified" $\Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ can be solved

$$c. 5 + 0.5 W = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 (2.4 + W) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2.4\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 = 5 \\ \alpha_2 = 0.5 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = 3.8, \alpha_2 = 0.5$$

$$d. \hat{P} = 2.4 + W, \bar{\hat{P}} = 4.4, \bar{Q} = 6$$

W	\hat{P}	$\hat{P} - \bar{\hat{P}}$	$Q - \bar{Q}$	$(\hat{P} - \bar{\hat{P}})^2$	$(\hat{P} - \bar{\hat{P}}) \cdot (Q - \bar{Q})$	
2	4.4	0	-2	-2	0	$Q_i = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \hat{P} + e_i$
3	5.4	1	0	0	1	$\alpha_2 = \frac{\sum (\hat{P}_i - \bar{\hat{P}})(Q_i - \bar{Q})}{\sum (\hat{P}_i - \bar{\hat{P}})^2}$
1	3.4	-1	3	3	1	
1	3.4	-1	-3	-3	1	$= \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$
3	5.4	1	2	2	1	
Sum 10	22	0	0	4	2	

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_1 = \bar{Q} - \alpha_2 \bar{\hat{P}}$$

$$= 6 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 4.4 = 3.8$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{Q} = 3.8 + 0.5 \hat{P}$$

11.17.

a. $M=8$, Endogenous $=8$, Exogenous $=8$, at least $8-1=7$ variable should be omitted to make equation identified.

Consumption: 1 variable included, 11 omitted \Rightarrow identified

Investment: 4 " 12 " \Rightarrow identified

Wage: 4 " 11 $\checkmark \Rightarrow$ identified

b. consumption: 2 endogenous variables included and exclude 5 exogenous

Investment: 1 " \checkmark 5 \checkmark

Wage: 1 \checkmark 5 \checkmark

\Rightarrow all satisfied

c. $W_{it} = \pi_1 + \pi_2 A_{it} + \pi_3 W_{it} + \pi_4 X_{it} + \pi_5 TIME_{it} + \pi_6 P_{t-1} + \pi_7 K_{t-1} + \pi_8 E_{it-1}$

d. from (c), we get \hat{W}_{it} , and apply same method to obtain \hat{P}_t , then regress CN_t by OLS with \hat{W}_{it} and \hat{P}_t

e. Coefficient will be the same, but t-values won't.