

$$(2.7) \quad b_2 = \frac{\mathbb{I}(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\mathbb{I}(x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

(2.8)
$$b_1 = \bar{q} - b_2 \bar{x}$$

Let
$$k = \lambda$$

$$Y_{i} = b_{1} + b_{2} X_{i} + \varepsilon_{i} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{1} \\ 1 & X_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{N} \end{bmatrix} \quad Y_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{1} \\ Y_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ Y_{N} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{then} \quad X'X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & X_{N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & X_{N} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{1} \\ 1 & X_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{N} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{1} \\ 1 & X_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{N} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{|Y_{1}|^{2}} - (X_{1})^{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{1}^{2} & -X_{1}^{2} \\ -X_{1}^{2} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{where } p^1 = \frac{\ln x \, \chi_{1, -}^2 - (xx_1)_x}{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1} \frac{1$$

$$b_{i} = \frac{xx_{i}^{2}xY_{i} - xx_{i}xx_{i}Y_{i}}{y_{i}x_{i}^{2} - (xx_{i})^{2}} \qquad b_{i} = \bar{y} - b_{x}\bar{x} = \frac{\bar{y}[x(x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}] - \bar{x}x(x_{i} - \bar{x})(y_{i} - \bar{y})}{x(x_{i} - \bar{x})(y_{i} - \bar{y})} = \frac{y_{x}x_{i}y_{i} - xx_{i}xy_{i}}{y_{x}x_{i}^{2} - (xx_{i})^{2}} = \frac{y_{x}x_{i}y_{i} - xx_{i}xy_{i}}{y_{x}x_{i}^{2} - (xx_{i})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{y}\left[x(x_i^2 + \lambda x_i \bar{x} + \bar{x}^2)\right] - \bar{x}\left[x(x_{i+1} - \bar{y}x_{i+1} - \bar{x}y_{i+1} + \bar{x}\underline{9})\right]}{x(x_{i+1} - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{y}xx_1^2 + 2x_1\bar{x}\bar{y} + \bar{y}\bar{x}^2 + \bar{x}x_1y_1 + x_1\bar{x}\bar{y} + \bar{x}^2y_1 + \bar{x}^2\bar{y}}{x(x_1 - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{u \, x^{x_{1}} \, \cdot \, \cdot \, (x \, x^{x_{1}})_{x}}{x \, (x^{x_{1}} \, \cdot \, x_{1})_{x}}}{\frac{x \, (x^{x_{1}} \, \cdot \, x_{2})_{x}}{x \, (x^{x_{1}} \, \cdot \, x_{2})_{x}}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{u \, x_{1}^{x_{1}} \, - \, u \, x_{2}^{x_{2}} \, \cdot \, - \, u \, x_{2}^{x_{2}} \, x_{2}^{x_{2}}}{x \, (x^{x_{1}} \, \cdot \, x_{2}^{x_{2}})_{x}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 \, x_{1}^{x_{1}} \, - \, x_{1}^{x_{2}} \, x_{2}^{x_{2}} \, - \, x_{1}^{x_{2}} \, x_{2}^{x_{2}} \, x_{2}^{x_{2}} \, x_{2}^{x_{2}} \, x_{2}^{x_{2}} \, x_{2}^{x_{2}}}$$

$$\emptyset$$
 2. (2.14) $Var(b, |\pi) = \nabla^{\Delta} \left[\frac{1\pi_1^{\Delta}}{N\Sigma (\pi_0^{\lambda}, \bar{\pi})^{\Delta}} \right]$

$$(2.16) \quad \operatorname{Cov}(b_1, b_2 | x) = \sigma^{2} \left[\frac{-\bar{x}}{x (x_1 - \bar{y})^{2}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\left[\frac{1}{A_{2}(-\underline{x})}, \frac{1}{A_{2}^{*}(-1x^{2})}, \frac{1}{A_{2}^{*}(-1x^{2})}, \frac{1}{A_{2}^{*}(-1x^{2})}\right]}{\left[\frac{1}{A_{2}(-\underline{x})}, \frac{1}{A_{2}(-1x^{2})}, \frac{1}{A_{2}(-1x^{2})}, \frac{1}{A_{2}(-1x^{2})}\right]}$$

$$= \alpha_{2} \frac{\lambda x x_{2}^{*} - (2xt)}{1} \left[\frac{-x x^{2}}{1 x^{2}}, \frac{\lambda}{-x x^{2}}\right]$$

$$Aut (p) = A_{2} (X, x)_{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\chi(\lambda^{\dagger} - \underline{\chi})_{\gamma}}{\Delta_{\gamma}(-\underline{\chi})_{\gamma}} & \frac{\chi(\lambda^{\dagger} - \underline{\chi})_{\gamma}}{\Delta_{\gamma}(-\underline{\chi})_{\gamma}} \\ \frac{\lambda(\lambda^{\dagger} - \underline{\chi})_{\gamma}}{\Delta_{\gamma}(-\underline{\chi})_{\gamma}} & \frac{\lambda(\lambda^{\dagger} - \underline{\chi})_{\gamma}}{\Delta_{\gamma}(-\underline{\chi})_{\gamma}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{7}^{31} & \Delta_{7}^{37} \\ \Delta_{7}^{11} & \Delta_{7}^{17} \end{bmatrix}$$

where
$$\sigma_{11}^{2} = Var(b, |x)$$

$$\sigma_{22}^{2} = Var(b_{2}|x)$$

5.3 Consider the following model that relates the percentage of a household's budget spent on alcohol WALC to total expenditure TOTEXP, age of the household head AGE, and the number of children in the household NK.

$$WALC = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(TOTEXP) + \beta_3 NK + \beta_4 AGE + e$$

This model was estimated using 1200 observations from London. An incomplete version of this output is provided in Table 5.6.

TABLE 5.6 **Output for Exercise 5.3**

Dependent Variable: WALC Included observations: 1200				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
С	1.4515	2.2019		0.5099
ln(TOTEXP)	2.7648		5.7103	0.0000
NK		0.3695	-3.9376	0.0001
AGE	-0.1503	0.0235	-6.4019	0.0000
R-squared		Mean dependent var		6.19434
S.E. of regression		S.D. dependent var		6.39547
Sum squared resid	46221.62			

- a. Fill in the following blank spaces that appear in this table.
 - i. The *t*-statistic for b_1 .
 - ii. The standard error for b_2 .
 - iii. The estimate b_3 .
 - iv. R^2 .
 - v. ĉ.
- **b.** Interpret each of the estimates b_2 , b_3 , and b_4 .
- c. Compute a 95% interval estimate for β_4 . What does this interval tell you?
- **d.** Are each of the coefficient estimates significant at a 5% level? Why?
- Test the hypothesis that the addition of an extra child decreases the mean budget share of alcohol by 2 percentage points against the alternative that the decrease is not equal to 2 percentage points. Use a 5% significance level.

i.
$$t \circ f \ b_1 = \frac{1.4515}{2.2019} = 0.6592$$

ii. $5e \circ f \ b_2 = \frac{2.7648}{5.7103} = 0.4842$

iii. $b_3 = 0.3695 \times (-3.9376) = -1.4549$

iv. $R^2 = 1 - \frac{65E}{557} = 1 - \frac{46321.62}{4941.5418} = 0.0575$
 $557 = 6.39547^2 (1200-1) = 49041.5418$

v. $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \sqrt{\frac{55E}{N-k}} = \sqrt{\frac{76331.62}{1200-4}} = 6.217$

b.

b.

b.

b.

b.

children will increase the share of alcohol expenditure by approximately 2.765 units, and the others condition is held.

bs = -1.45494

increase in # of children will decrease the share of alcohol expenditure by 1.45494 units, and the others

increase in I year of age will decrease the share of

alcohol expenditure by 0.1503 units, and the others

condition is held

condition is held.

b4 = -0.1503

b.

C1 = [-0.1503 - 1.96 (0.0235), -0.1503 + 1.96 (0.0235)] = [-0.1964, -0.1042]

increase in I year of age the share of the alcohol expenditure is estimated decrease by an amount between 0.1042 & 0.1964 units

- Except the intercept, all coefficient estimates are significantly different from 0 at 5% level. (; p-values < 0.05)
- $t = \frac{-1.4515 + \lambda}{1.425} = 1.495 < 1.96$. We fail to reject Ho since there's no evidence to say that the additional of an extra children leads to a decline in the alcohol expenditure is different from 2 % H1: B3 + -2
- 5.23 The file cocaine contains 56 observations on variables related to sales of cocaine powder in northeastern California over the period 1984-1991. The data are a subset of those used in the study Caulkins, J. P. and R. Padman (1993), "Quantity Discounts and Quality Premia for Illicit Drugs," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 88, 748-757. The variables are

PRICE = price per gram in dollars for a cocaine saleQUANT = number of grams of cocaine in a given sale QUAL = quality of the cocaine expressed as percentage purity TREND = a time variable with 1984 = 1 up to 1991 = 8Consider the regression model

$$PRICE = \beta_1 + \beta_2 QUANT + \beta_3 QUAL + \beta_4 TREND + e$$

- What signs would you expect on the coefficients β_2 , β_3 , and β_4 ?
- Use your computer software to estimate the equation. Report the results and interpret the coefficient estimates. Have the signs turned out as you expected?
- What proportion of variation in cocaine price is explained jointly by variation in quantity, quality, and time?
- d. It is claimed that the greater the number of sales, the higher the risk of getting caught. Thus, sellers are willing to accept a lower price if they can make sales in larger quantities. Set up H_0 and H_1 that would be appropriate to test this hypothesis. Carry out the hypothesis test.
- Test the hypothesis that the quality of cocaine has no influence on expected price against the alternative that a premium is paid for better-quality cocaine.
- What is the average annual change in the cocaine price? Can you suggest why price might be changing in this direction?

a. We expect B2 is negative because as # of grams increase, the price should increase. B3 is positive because the purer cocaine leads the higher price. 134 would depand on the demand & supply over the time. Fixed demand & increasing supply lead fall in price, fixed supply & increasing demand lead rise in

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) 10.588 1.39e-14 *** -5.892 2.85e-07 *** 90.84669 8.58025 (Intercept) -0.05997 0.01018 quant qual trend -2.354581.38612 -1.6990.0954 . Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 20.06 on 52 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.5097, Adjusted R-squared: 0 F-statistic: 18.02 on 3 and 52 DF, p-value: 3.806e-08 PRICE = 90. 84669 - 0. 05997 QUANT+0 11621 QUAL - 2. 35458 TREND

As quantity increase I unit, the price will decrease by 0.03977, and the others condition is held. increase I unit, the price will increase by 0.11621, and the others condition is held-As quality increase I year, the price will decrease by -3.35458, and the others condition is held-As time

All the signs are same as expected.

C. R = 0. 5097	
	$t = -5.892 < t_{(0.05, 54)} = -1.6936$, we fail to reject Ho, means that sellers are willing to accept a lower price if they can make
H1: B2 < 0	Sales in larger quantities.
	t= 0.572 < t (0.95,54) = 1.6736, we fail to reject Ho, means that we can't conclude that a premium is paid for better quality cocaine.
B _a > 0	
f. Ave. annual cha	inge in cocaine price is -2.35458 = Dq., the possible reason for decreasing price is the development of improved technology for producing.