

# HW0421

**10.2** The labor supply of married women has been a subject of a great deal of economic research. Consider the following supply equation specification

$$HOURS = \beta_1 + \beta_2 WAGE + \beta_3 EDUC + \beta_4 AGE + \beta_5 KIDSL6 + \beta_6 NWIFEINC + e$$

where *HOURS* is the supply of labor, *WAGE* is hourly wage, *EDUC* is years of education, *KIDSL6* is the number of children in the household who are less than 6 years old, and *NWIFEINC* is household income from sources other than the wife's employment.

## 10.5 Exercises

- a. Discuss the signs you expect for each of the coefficients.
  - b. Explain why this supply equation cannot be consistently estimated by OLS regression.
  - c. Suppose we consider the woman's labor market experience *EXPER* and its square, *EXPER*<sup>2</sup>, to be instruments for *WAGE*. Explain how these variables satisfy the logic of instrumental variables.
  - d. Is the supply equation identified? Explain.
  - e. Describe the steps [not a computer command] you would take to obtain IV/2SLS estimates.
- 10.3** In the regression model  $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$ , assume  $x$  is endogenous and that  $z$  is a valid instrument. In Section 10.3.5, we saw that  $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$ .
- a. Divide the denominator of  $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$  by  $\text{var}(z)$ . Show that  $\text{cov}(z, x) / \text{var}(z)$  is the coefficient of the simple regression with dependent variable  $x$  and explanatory variable  $z$ ,  $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$ . [Hint: See Section 10.2.1.] Note that this is the first-stage equation in two-stage least squares.
  - b. Divide the numerator of  $\beta_2 = \text{cov}(z, y) / \text{cov}(z, x)$  by  $\text{var}(z)$ . Show that  $\text{cov}(z, y) / \text{var}(z)$  is the coefficient of a simple regression with dependent variable  $y$  and explanatory variable  $z$ ,  $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$ . [Hint: See Section 10.2.1.]
  - c. In the model  $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$ , substitute for  $x$  using  $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + v$  and simplify to obtain  $y = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + u$ . What are  $\pi_0$ ,  $\pi_1$ , and  $u$  in terms of the regression model parameters and error and the first-stage parameters and error? The regression you have obtained is a **reduced-form** equation.
  - d. Show that  $\beta_2 = \pi_1 / \theta_1$ .
  - e. If  $\hat{\pi}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_1$  are the OLS estimators of  $\pi_1$  and  $\theta_1$ , show that  $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 / \hat{\theta}_1$  is a consistent estimator of  $\beta_2 = \pi_1 / \theta_1$ . The estimator  $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 / \hat{\theta}_1$  is an **indirect least squares** estimator.

## 10.2

a.

- $\beta_2$  (WAGE)：正向，因為工資越高，工作的誘因增加，勞動供給小時數上升。
- $\beta_3$  (EDUC)：正向，教育程度越高，通常意味著潛在工資越高，勞動參與也越高。
- $\beta_4$  (AGE)：可能是正向或負向，年齡增加經驗增加，但年紀過大也可能減少工時。
- $\beta_5$  (KIDSL6)：負向，家中有小孩（尤其是6歲以下）會增加照顧責任，可能減少工時。
- $\beta_6$  (NWIFEINC)：負向，家庭其他收入越高，降低了工作必要性，勞動供給減少。

b.

- WAGE 是內生的 (endogenous)，因為工資可能受未觀察到的個人特徵 (如能力、動機) 影響，這些特徵也同時影響工時。這會造成 OLS 估計的偏誤。

c.

- 使用 EXPER (經驗) 與  $\text{EXPER}^2$  (經驗平方) 作為 WAGE 的工具變數是合理的：
  - 相關性 (relevance)：工作經驗會影響工資。
  - 外生性 (exogeneity)：假設經驗本身不直接影響工時，只是透過工資影響工時。

d.

- 是的，這個模型是可識別的 (identified)，因為有足夠的工具變數 ( $\text{EXPER}$  和  $\text{EXPER}^2$ ) 來對應內生變數 (WAGE)，且工具變數數量不小於內生變數數量 (兩個工具對應一個內生變數)。

e.

- 取得 IV/2SLS 估計量的步驟：
  1. 第一階段回歸：用工具變數 ( $\text{EXPER}$ ,  $\text{EXPER}^2$ ) 去回歸 WAGE，取得 WAGE 的預測值 ( $\widehat{\text{WAGE}}$ )。
  2. 第二階段回歸：以  $\widehat{\text{WAGE}}$ 、EDUC、AGE、KIDSL6、NWIFEINC 為解釋變數，回歸 HOURS。
  3. 使用這個回歸的結果來估計各係數。

---

## 10.3

a.

- 將  $\beta_2 = \frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{cov}(z, x)}$ ，把分母  $\text{cov}(z, x)$  除以  $\text{var}(z)$ ，可得：

$$\frac{\text{cov}(z, x)}{\text{var}(z)} = \theta_1 \frac{\text{cov}(z, x)}{\text{var}(z)} = \theta_1$$

這是以  $z$  為解釋變數、 $x$  為被解釋變數的簡單回歸中的係數，這是第一階段回歸。

b.

- 將  $\frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{var}(z)}$  除以  $\frac{\text{cov}(z, x)}{\text{var}(z)}$ ，可得：

$$\frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{var}(z)} = \pi_1 \frac{\text{cov}(z, x)}{\text{var}(z)} = \pi_1$$

這是以  $z$  為解釋變數、 $y$  為被解釋變數的簡單回歸中的係數，這是另一個回歸。

c.

- 用  $x = \gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + \nu$  代入  $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$ ，得：

$$y = \beta_1 + \beta_2(\gamma_1 + \theta_1 z + \nu) + e = (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \gamma_1) + \beta_2 \theta_1 z + (\beta_2 \nu + e)$$

$$y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \gamma_1 + \beta_2 \theta_1 z + (\beta_2 \nu + e)$$

設：

$$\tau_0 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \gamma_1, \tau_1 = \beta_2 \theta_1, u = \beta_2 \nu + e$$

$$\tau_0 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \gamma_1, \tau_1 = \beta_2 \theta_1, u = \beta_2 \nu + e$$

所以新的「簡化方程式」（reduced-form equation）是：

$$y = \tau_0 + \tau_1 z + u$$

d.

- 因為：

$$\beta_2 = \pi_1 \theta_1, \beta_2 = \frac{\pi_1}{\theta_1}, \beta_2 = \theta_1 \pi_1$$

所以用 OLS 分別估計  $\pi_1$  和  $\theta_1$  的估計量  $\hat{\pi}_1$  和  $\hat{\theta}_1$ ，那麼：

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 \hat{\theta}_1 \quad \hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{\hat{\pi}_1}{\hat{\theta}_1}$$

這個估計量是 consistent（一致的），這種方法叫做間接最小平方法（indirect least squares, ILS）。