

# HW 0324 111700027 柯育忻

1. Let  $k=2$ , and  $Y_i = b_1 + b_2 X_i + e_i$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$ ,  $b_1 = \bar{Y} - b_2 \bar{X}$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_1 \\ 1 & X_2 \\ 1 & X_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_n \end{bmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow X'X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ X_1 & X_2 & \dots & X_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum X_i \\ \sum X_i & \sum X_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X'Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ X_1 & X_2 & \dots & X_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum Y_i \\ \sum X_i Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum X_i^2 & -\sum X_i \\ -\sum X_i & n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } b = (X'X)^{-1}(X'Y) = \frac{1}{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum X_i^2 & -\sum X_i \\ -\sum X_i & n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum Y_i \\ \sum X_i Y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum X_i^2 \sum Y_i - \sum X_i \sum X_i Y_i \\ -\sum X_i \sum Y_i + n \sum X_i Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{n \sum X_i Y_i - \sum X_i \sum Y_i}{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} = \frac{\sum X_i Y_i - \frac{\sum X_i \sum Y_i}{n}}{\sum X_i^2 - \frac{(\sum X_i)^2}{n}} = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum X_i^2 \sum Y_i - \sum X_i \sum X_i Y_i}{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} = \frac{\bar{Y} n \sum X_i^2 - n \bar{X} \cdot \sum X_i Y_i}{n \sum X_i^2 - n \bar{X}^2} = \frac{\sum X_i^2 \sum Y_i - \sum X_i \bar{X} \bar{Y}}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} - \bar{X} \frac{\sum X_i Y_i - n \bar{X} \bar{Y}}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$= \bar{Y} - b_2 \bar{X}$$

$$2. \text{var}(b) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum X_i^2 & -\sum X_i \\ -\sum X_i & n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum X_i^2 & -\sum X_i \\ -\sum X_i & n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2 \sum X_i^2}{n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} & \frac{\sigma^2 (-\sum \bar{X})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \\ \frac{\sigma^2 (-\sum \bar{X})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} & \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{therefore: } \text{var}(b_1 | X) = \sigma_{11}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 \sum X_i^2}{n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$\text{var}(b_2 | X) = \sigma_{22}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$\text{cov}(b_1, b_2 | X) = \sigma_{12}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2 (-\sum \bar{X})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

# 5.3

a. (i)  $t_{stat} = \frac{1.4515}{2.2019} = 0.6592$

(ii)  $se(b_2) = \frac{2.7648}{5.7103} = 0.4842$

(iii)  $b_3 = 0.3695 \times (-3.9376) = -1.4549$

(iv)  $SST = 6.39549 (1200 - 1) = 49041.5418$

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{46221.62}{49041.5418} = 0.0595$$

(v)  $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{46221.62}{1200 - 4}} = 6.217$

b.  $b_2 = 2.7648$  = /unit increase in total expenditure will lead to 2.7648 unit increase on share of alcohol expenditure. when other factors are held.

$b_3 = -1.45494$  = /unit increase in number of children will lead to 1.4549 unit decrease on share of alcohol expenditure. when other factors are held.

$b_4 = -0.1503$  = /unit increase in age of household will lead to 0.1503 unit decrease on share of alcohol expenditure. when other factors are held.

c.  $CI = [-0.1503 - 1.96 \times 0.0235, -0.1503 + 1.96 \times 0.0235] = [-0.1964, -0.1042]$

There is a 95% confidence that increase 1 year of age the share of alcohol expenditure is estimated decrease between -0.1964 with -0.1042.

d. Except for the intercept

All coefficient are significantly different from 0 at 5% level.

e.  $H_0: \beta_3 = -2$

$H_1: \beta_3 \neq -2$ ,  $t = \frac{-1.4515 + 2}{0.3695} = 1.475 < 1.96$

$\Rightarrow$  do not reject  $H_0$ . There is no sufficient evidence to say that the decrease is different from 2%

# 5.24

- a.  $\beta_2$  is negative, because larger quantity will make price lower  
 $\beta_3$  is positive, because larger quality will make price larger  
 $\beta_4$  is not sure, because increasing supply leads fall in price and increasing demand leads fall in price

b.

Residuals:	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-43.479	-12.014	-3.743	13.969	43.753
Coefficients:	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	90.84669	8.58025	10.588	1.39e-14	***
quant	-0.05997	0.01018	-5.892	2.85e-07	***
qual	0.11621	0.20326	0.572	0.5700	
trend	-2.35458	1.38612	-1.699	0.0954	.
---					
Signif. codes:	0 '***'	0.001 '**'	0.01 '*'	0.05 '.'	0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error:	20.06	on 52 degrees of freedom			
Multiple R-squared:	0.5097,	Adjusted R-squared:	0.4814		
F-statistic:	18.02	on 3 and 52 DF,	p-value:	3.806e-08	

quantity increase / unit  $\Rightarrow$  price decrease 0.05997 with other factor is held.  
quality increase / unit  $\Rightarrow$  price increase 0.11621 with other factor is held.  
time increase / unit  $\Rightarrow$  price decrease 2.35458 with other factor is held.  
 $\Rightarrow$  All the signs are same as expected.

$$\widehat{PRICE} = 90.84669 - 0.05997QUANT + 0.11621QUAL - 2.3478TREND + e$$

c.  $R^2 = 0.5097$

d.  $H_0: \beta_2 \geq 0$

$H_1: \beta_2 < 0$ ,  $t = -5.892 < \text{查表 } t_{0.05, 54} = -1.6736$

$\Rightarrow$  reject  $H_0$ , there is sufficient evidence to indicate that quality gets larger and the price will be lower

if  

```
> qt(0.05, df = 54)
[1] -1.673565
> qt(0.95, df = 54)
[1] 1.673565
```

e.  $H_0: \beta_3 \leq 0$

$H_1: \beta_3 > 0$ ,  $t = 0.572 < \text{查表 } t_{0.95, 54} = 1.6736$

$\Rightarrow$  do not reject  $H_0$ , there is no sufficient evidence to show that quality gets larger the price will be larger

f. average = -2.3548

it may because the development of technology.