

HW Q1 / Q2 =

* 試證當 $k=2$ 時， b 和 u_2 提到關於 b_1, b_2 的公式
及抽樣分配結果相同

$$Y = X\beta + e$$

$\because k=2$



其中 Y 為 $N \times 1$ 之 vector , 且 $X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{12} \\ 1 & X_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{N2} \end{pmatrix}$

$$X \quad N \times K$$

$$\beta \quad K \times 1$$

$$e \quad N \times 1$$

$$X^T X = \left(\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ X_{11} & X_{21} & \cdots & X_{N1} \end{matrix} \right) \left(\begin{matrix} 1 & X_{12} \\ 1 & X_{22} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{N2} \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \left(\begin{matrix} N & \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2} & \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2}^2 \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$(X^T X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2}^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2})^2} \left(\begin{matrix} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2} & -\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i2} & N \end{matrix} \right)$$

↓
即行列式 = 0

$$X'Y = \left(\begin{matrix} 1 \\ X_{11} & X_{12} & \cdots & X_{1N} \end{matrix} \right) \left(\begin{matrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \left(\begin{matrix} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} y_i \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}(X'Y) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left(\begin{matrix} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} N \end{matrix} \right) \left(\begin{matrix} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} y_i \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta} \left(\begin{matrix} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} y_i \\ - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i + N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} y_i \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\text{且 } \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\Delta} \left(\begin{matrix} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} N \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\text{因此} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} b_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} y_i \right) \\ b_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i + N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{1i} y_i \right) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Var}(b_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ir}^2 \\ \text{Var}(b_r) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} N \end{array} \right.$$

$$\hat{b} \sim N(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2 \left(\frac{\sum x_{ir}^2}{N} \right))$$

- 5.3 Consider the following model that relates the percentage of a household's budget spent on alcohol $WALC$ to total expenditure $TOTEXP$, age of the household head AGE , and the number of children in the household NK .

$$WALC = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(TOTEXP) + \beta_3 NK + \beta_4 AGE + e$$

This model was estimated using 1200 observations from London. An incomplete version of this output is provided in Table 5.6.

II

N

TABLE 5.6 Output for Exercise 5.3

Dependent Variable: $WALC$				
Included observations: 1200				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	1.4515	2.2019		0.5099
$\ln(TOTEXP)$	2.7648		5.7103	0.0000
NK		0.3695	-3.9376	0.0001
AGE	-0.1503	0.0235	-6.4019	0.0000
R-squared		Mean dependent var		6.19434
S.E. of regression		S.D. dependent var		6.39547
Sum squared resid	46221.62	<u>= SSE</u>		

- Fill in the following blank spaces that appear in this table.
 - The t -statistic for b_1 .
 - The standard error for b_2 .
 - The estimate b_3 .
 - R^2 .
 - $\hat{\sigma}$.
- Interpret each of the estimates b_2 , b_3 , and b_4 .
- Compute a 95% interval estimate for β_3 . What does this interval tell you?
- Are each of the coefficient estimates significant at a 5% level? Why?
- Test the hypothesis that the addition of an extra child decreases the mean budget share of alcohol by 2 percentage points against the alternative that the decrease is not equal to 2 percentage points. Use a 5% significance level.

a.

$$(i) t_1 = \frac{1.4515}{2.2019} = 0.6592$$

$$(ii) SE(b_2) = \frac{2.7648}{5.7103} = 0.4842$$

$$(iii) \hat{b}_3 = -3.9376 \times 0.3695 = -1.4558$$

$$(iv) \text{先算 } TSS = (6.39547)^2 \times (1200 - 1) = 49,024.9$$

$$\text{再算 } SSE = 46,221.62$$

$$\Rightarrow R^2 = 1 - \frac{46,221.62}{49,024.9} = 0.0513$$

$$(v) \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{MSE} = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{n-K}} = \sqrt{\frac{46,221.62}{1,200-4}} = 6.216$$

b.

$b_2 = 2.7648$: 表示家庭總支出每增加 1%，酒精支出占比
會增加 2.7648 百分点

$b_3 = -1.4558$: 表示每多一個小孩，家庭酒精支出占比
減少 1.46 百分点

$b_4 = -0.1503$: 表示家庭負責人年平均齡增加，酒精支出
占比減少 0.1503 百分点

c.

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\beta}_4 = -0.1503 \\ SE(\hat{\beta}_4) = 0.0235 \end{cases}$$

在 $N=1,200$ 下，適用大樣本，採用 Z 尾數

$$C.I. = \hat{\beta}_4 \pm Z_{0.025} \times SE(\hat{\beta}_4)$$

$$= -0.1503 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0235$$

$$= (-0.1963, -0.1043)$$

解釋：我們有 95% 的信心認為，家庭負責人年齡

對酒精支出占收入的影響係數降低

-0.1963 到 -0.1043 之間

d.

在 $\alpha = 0.05$ 下，

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ 的 } p\text{-value} = 0.5099 > 0.05 \Rightarrow \text{不顯著} \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \text{ 的 } p\text{-value} = 0.0000 < 0.05 \Rightarrow \text{顯著} \\ \hat{\beta}_3 \text{ 的 } p\text{-value} = 0.0001 < 0.05 \Rightarrow \text{顯著} \\ \hat{\beta}_4 \text{ 的 } p\text{-value} = 0.0000 < 0.05 \Rightarrow \text{顯著} \end{array} \right.$$

\Rightarrow 除常數項以外，皆顯著

e.

即 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_0: \beta_3 = -2 \\ H_a: \beta_3 \neq -2 \end{array} \right.$

② $\alpha = 0.05$

③ $Z = \frac{\hat{\beta}_3 - (-2)}{SE(\hat{\beta}_3)}$ (\because 大樣本下)

④ $Z_1 = \frac{-1.4558 - (-2)}{0.3695} = 1.4725$

⑤ $Z_1 = 1.4725 < Z_{0.025} = 1.96$

\therefore do not reject H_0 .

\Rightarrow 即在 $\alpha = 0.05$ 下，無法否定 NK 假設 = 2，即
無法否定每多一位孩子会使支出減少 2 個百分點

5.23 The file *cocaine* contains 56 observations on variables related to sales of cocaine powder in northeastern California over the period 1984–1991. The data are a subset of those used in the study Caulkins, J. P. and R. Padman (1993), “Quantity Discounts and Quality Premia for Illicit Drugs,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 748–757. The variables are

PRICE = price per gram in dollars for a cocaine sale

QUANT = number of grams of cocaine in a given sale

QUAL = quality of the cocaine expressed as percentage purity

TREND = a time variable with 1984 = 1 up to 1991 = 8

Consider the regression model

$$PRICE = \beta_1 + \beta_2 QUANT + \beta_3 QUAL + \beta_4 TREND + e$$

- a. What signs would you expect on the coefficients β_2 , β_3 , and β_4 ?
- b. Use your computer software to estimate the equation. Report the results and interpret the coefficient estimates. Have the signs turned out as you expected?
- c. What proportion of variation in cocaine price is explained jointly by variation in quantity, quality, and time?
- d. It is claimed that the greater the number of sales, the higher the risk of getting caught. Thus, sellers are willing to accept a lower price if they can make sales in larger quantities. Set up H_0 and H_1 that would be appropriate to test this hypothesis. Carry out the hypothesis test.
- e. Test the hypothesis that the quality of cocaine has no influence on expected price against the alternative that a premium is paid for better-quality cocaine.
- f. What is the average annual change in the cocaine price? Can you suggest why price might be changing in this direction?

已知迴歸模型為：

$$PRICE = \beta_1 + \beta_2 QUANT + \beta_3 QUAL + \beta_4 TREND + e$$

a.

- β_2 (QUANT) : 預期為負。根據經濟學常理，大宗購買有折扣 (quantity discount)。
- β_3 (QUAL) : 預期為正。品質越好，價格越高 (quality premium)。
- β_4 (TREND) : 不確定。可能隨時間上升 (通膨/需求上升) 或下降 (執法更嚴、技術進步)，視情況而定。

b.

```

Call:
lm(formula = price ~ quant + qual + trend, data = cocaine)

Residuals:
    Min      1Q  Median      3Q     Max 
-43.479 -12.014  -3.743  13.969  43.753 

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)    
(Intercept) 90.84669   8.58025 10.588 1.39e-14 ***
quant       -0.05997   0.01018 -5.892 2.85e-07 ***
qual        0.11621   0.20326  0.572  0.5700    
trend       -2.35458   1.38612 -1.699  0.0954 .  
---
Signif. codes:  0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

Residual standard error: 20.06 on 52 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.5097,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.4814 
F-statistic: 18.02 on 3 and 52 DF,  p-value: 3.806e-08

```

模型在 R 跑出來的結果:

- β_2 (QUANT) : 和預期相同為負且顯著，表示大宗購買有折扣。
- β_3 (QUAL) : 和預期相同為正但不顯著 (p-value 大於預設的顯著水準 0.05)
- β_4 (TREND) : 模型結果係數為負但不顯著。表示隨時間上升下通膨/需求下降 (執法更嚴、技術進步)。

c.

即求 **R²=0.50965**

代表「由數量、品質、趨勢」共同解釋的價格變異比例。

d.

左尾檢定：是否販售數量越多，價格越低

假設設定：

- $H_0: \beta_2=0$ (數量對價格無影響)
- $H_1: \beta_2<0$ (數量越多，價格越低)

得到 p-value= 1.42536e-07 << 顯著水準 0.05

拒絕 H_0 ，表示數量越多，價格越低。

e.

雙尾檢定：品質對價格是否有影響

假設設定：

- $H_0: \beta_3=0$ (品質無影響)
- $H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0$ (品質對價格有影響)

得到 $p\text{-value} = 0.569992 >$ 顯著水準 0.05

不拒絕 H_0 ，表示無法否定品質無影響。

f.

計算 β_4 (TREND 的係數) = -2.354579

該係數表示每年 (TREND 單位 = 1 年) 價格變化的金額。如果為正，則是每年價格平均上升的金額；反之則是下降。

價格下降可能有以下幾個原因：

1. 供給增加： 生產者或走私增加，市場供給變多，導致價格下降。
2. 執法更嚴： 增加交易風險，轉向規模化、低價銷售以減少曝光風險。
3. 品質下降： 為了應對執法與成本，部分交易品質變差，拉低整體售價。
4. 競爭加劇： 更多供應者進入市場，價格競爭加劇。
5. 需求結構改變： 若消費者轉向其他藥物，對可卡因的需求下降，價格下滑。

The coefficient of trend is approximately **-1.10**, indicating that the average cocaine price **decreased by \$1.10 per gram each year** during 1984–1991.

This downward trend may be explained by increased market competition, greater law enforcement pressure, or increased supply from trafficking sources.