

11.1 Our aim is to estimate the parameters of the simultaneous equations model

$$y_1 = \alpha_1 y_2 + e_1$$
  
 $y_2 = \alpha_2 y_1 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + e_2$ 

We assume that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are exogenous and uncorrelated with the error terms  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .

- **a.** Solve the two structural equations for the reduced-form equation for  $y_2$ , that is,  $y_2 = \pi_1 x_1 + \pi_2 x_2 + v_2$ . Express the reduced-form parameters in terms of the **structural parameters** and the reduced-form error in terms of the structural parameters and  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . Show that  $y_2$  is correlated with  $e_1$ .
- b. Which equation parameters are consistently estimated using OLS? Explain.
- c. Which parameters are "identified," in the simultaneous equations sense? Explain your reasoning.

a. 
$$y_2 = a_2(a_1y_2+e_1) + \beta_1x_1+\beta_2x_2+e_2$$

$$= y_2 = \frac{\beta_1}{|-a_2\cdot a_1|} x_1 + \frac{\beta_2}{|-a_2\cdot a_1|} x_2 + \frac{a_2\cdot e_1+e_2}{|-a_2\cdot a_1|}$$

$$= \tau_1x_1 + \tau_2x_2+v_2, \text{ where } \tau_1 = \frac{\beta_1}{|-a_2a_1|}, \tau_2 = \frac{\beta_2}{|-a_2\cdot a_1|}, x_2 = \frac{\alpha_2\cdot e_1e_2}{|-a_2\cdot a_1|}$$

$$Corr(y_2,e_1) = 6y_2\cdot 6e_1\cdot Cov(y_2,e_1) = 6y_2\cdot 6e_1\cdot \frac{\alpha_2}{|-a_2\cdot a_1|} \cdot 6e_1 \neq 0$$
b. Since both equation contain endogenous variable (y<sub>1</sub> & y<sub>2</sub>),

The OLS estimator won't be consistent.

C. There are 2 equations, so there must be 2-1=1 variable be omitted to make the equation identified, in equation (1), there are 2 Variables absent => identified, while equation have no variable absent. Therefore, only 31=3132+6; is identified.

**d.** To estimate the parameters of the reduced-form equation for  $y_2$  using the method of moments (MOM), which was introduced in Section 10.3, the two moment equations are

$$N^{-1} \sum x_{i1} (y_2 - \pi_1 x_{i1} - \pi_2 x_{i2}) = 0$$
  
$$N^{-1} \sum x_{i2} (y_2 - \pi_1 x_{i1} - \pi_2 x_{i2}) = 0$$

Explain why these two moment conditions are a valid basis for obtaining consistent estimators of

the reduced-form parameters. e. Are the MOM estimators in part (d) the same as the OLS estimators? Form the sum of squared errors function for  $y_2 = \pi_1 x_1 + \pi_2 x_2 + v_2$  and find the first derivatives. Set these to zero and show

that they are equivalent to the two equations in part (d). Using  $\sum x_{i1}^2 = 1$ ,  $\sum x_{i2}^2 = 1$ ,  $\sum x_{i1}x_{i2} = 0$ ,  $\sum x_{i1}y_{1i} = 2$ ,  $\sum x_{i1}y_{2i} = 3$ ,  $\sum x_{i2}y_{1i} = 3$ ,  $\sum x_{i2}y_{2i} = 4$ , and the two moment conditions in part (d) show that the MOM/OLS estimates of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are  $\hat{\pi}_1 = 3$  and  $\hat{\pi}_2 = 4$ .

d. Combine two equations we have E(XiI·V2/Xi,X12) = E(Xi2·V2/XiX)

replace  $\sqrt{2}$  by  $\frac{a_2 - e_1 + e_2}{1 - a_2 - a_1}$  we have  $\int \frac{dx}{|-ax\cdot a|} \cdot E(x_{i1} \cdot e_{i} | x_{1}, x_{2}) + \frac{1}{|-ax\cdot a|} E(x_{i1} \cdot e_{i} | x_{1}, x_{2}) = 0$   $\int \frac{dx}{|-ax\cdot a|} \cdot E(x_{i2} \cdot e_{i} | x_{1}, x_{2}) + \frac{1}{|-ax\cdot a|} \cdot E(x_{i2} \cdot e_{i} | x_{1}, x_{2}) = 0$ 

While E(Xik.e1 | X1...XK) = 0 = E(Xik. e2 | X1...XK) makes  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  consistent  $-2 \cdot \Sigma \chi_1(\lambda_2 - \pi_1 \chi_1 - \pi_2 \chi_2) = 0$ OJS: min  $\Sigma(\lambda_2 - \pi_1 \chi_1 - \pi_2 \chi_2)^2$  by F.ac.  $-2 \cdot \Sigma \chi_2(\lambda_2 - \pi_1 \chi_1 - \pi_2 \chi_2) = 0$ 

are equivalent to 2 equations in partla

equations in part d.  $\begin{cases} \sum \chi | \chi_2 - \pi_1 \cdot \sum \chi |^2 - \pi_2 \cdot \sum \chi_1 | \chi_2 = 0 \\ \sum \chi_2 | \chi_2 - \pi_1 \cdot \sum \chi_2 | \chi_1 - \pi_2 \cdot \sum \chi_2 |^2 = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \pi_1 + 0 \pi_2 = 3 \\ \Rightarrow \pi_1 = 3 \\ \text{ot } 1 + \pi_2 = 4 \end{cases}$ 

**g.** The fitted value  $\hat{y}_2 = \hat{\pi}_1 x_1 + \hat{\pi}_2 x_2$ . Explain why we can use the moment condition  $\sum \hat{y}_{i2}(y_{i1} - \alpha_1 y_{i2}) = 0$  as a valid basis for consistently estimating  $\alpha_1$ . Obtain the IV estimate of  $\alpha_1$ .

**h.** Find the 2SLS estimate of  $\alpha_1$  by applying OLS to  $y_1 = \alpha_1 \hat{y}_2 + e_1^*$ . Compare your answer to that in

part (g).

$$\frac{9.}{\sum_{1}^{2}(y_{1}-\alpha_{1}y_{2})=0} \Rightarrow \chi_{1} = \frac{\frac{11.11}{\sum_{1}^{2}(y_{1})}}{\sum_{1}^{2}(y_{1}-\alpha_{1}y_{2})} = \frac{11.11}{\sum_{1}^{2}(y_{1})} = \frac{11.11}{\sum_{1}^{2}(y_$$

have  $y_1 = d_1y_2 + e_1$ , and the moment This is consistent because we Condition of (1/2, e) make a consistent

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_$$

Demand: 
$$Q_i = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 P_i + e_{di}$$
, Supply:  $Q_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 P_i + \beta_3 W_i + e_{si}$ 

where Q is the quantity, P is the price, and W is the wage rate, which is assumed exogenous. Data on these variables are in Table 11.7.

TABL	E 11.7	Data for Exercise 11.16
Q	P	W
4	2	2
6	4	3
9	3	1
3	5	1
8	8	3

- a. Derive the algebraic form of the reduced-form equations,  $Q = \theta_1 + \theta_2 W + v_2$  and  $P = \pi_1 + \pi_2 W + v_1$ , expressing the reduced-form parameters in terms of the structural parameters.
- b. Which structural parameters can you solve for from the results in part (a)? Which equation is "identified"?
- c. The estimated reduced-form equations are  $\hat{Q} = 5 + 0.5W$  and  $\hat{P} = 2.4 + 1W$ . Solve for the identified structural parameters. This is the method of **indirect least squares**.
- d. Obtain the fitted values from the reduced-form equation for P, and apply 2SLS to obtain estimates of the demand equation.

$$\Rightarrow P_i = \frac{\beta_1 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} + \frac{\beta_3}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2} W_i + \frac{e_{di} + e_{Si}}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} = \pi_1 + \pi_2 W + Y_1$$

= 
$$\left(\alpha_1 + \frac{\alpha_2(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2}\right) + \frac{\alpha_2 - \beta_3}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2} w_i + \left(e_{d_i} + \frac{\alpha_2(e_{d_i} + e_{s_i})}{\alpha_2 - \beta_2}\right) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w_i + v_2$$

Demand Equation is "identified" because M=2, and there is zero variable being omitted in Supply equation, which require at least 2+=1 variable being omitted to make equation "identified"  $\Rightarrow x_1, x_2$  can be solved

 $\Rightarrow \hat{Q}_1 = \overline{Q} - d_2 \hat{\beta} = 6 \frac{1}{5} \cdot 4.4$   $\Rightarrow \hat{Q} = 3.8 + 0.5 p$ 

C.

d.

## 11.17 Example 11.3 introduces Klein's Model I.

- **a.** Do we have an adequate number of IVs to estimate each equation? Check the necessary condition for the identification of each equation. The necessary condition for identification is that in a system of M equations at least M-1 variables must be omitted from each equation.
- of M equations at least M 1 variables must be omitted from each equation.
  b. An equivalent identification condition is that the number of excluded exogenous variables from the equation must be at least as large as the number of included right-hand side endogenous variables. Check that this condition is satisfied for each equation.
- c. Write down in econometric notation the first-stage equation, the reduced form, for  $W_{1t}$ , wages of workers earned in the private sector. Call the parameters  $\pi_1, \pi_2, ...$
- d. Describe the two regression steps of 2SLS estimation of the consumption function. This is not a question about a computer software command.e. Does following the steps in part (d) produce regression results that are identical to the 2SLS
- **e.** Does following the steps in part (d) produce regression results that are identical to the 2SLS estimates provided by software specifically designed for 2SLS estimation? In particular, will the *t*-values be the same?

$$CN_t = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 (W_{1t} + W_{2t}) + \alpha_3 P_t + \alpha_4 P_{t-1} + e_{1t} \quad (11.17)$$

$$I_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 P_t + \beta_3 P_{t-1} + \beta_4 K_{t-1} + e_{2t}$$
 (11.18)

$$W_{1t} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 E_t + \gamma_3 E_{t-1} + \gamma_4 TIM E_t + e_{3t}$$
 (11.19)

a. 
$$M=8$$
. Endogenous = 8, Exogenous = 8, at least  $8-1=7$  variable should be omitted to make equation identified.

d. from (c), we get wit, and apply sume method to obtain Pt, then regress CNt by OLS with with and Pt Coefficient will be the same, but t-values won't.