

$$b_2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$b_1 = \bar{y} - b_2 \bar{x}$$

Let $k=2$

$$Y_i = b_1 + b_2 X_i + e_i$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix} \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X'X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum x_i \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_i^2 & -\sum x_i \\ -\sum x_i & n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X'Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum y_i \\ \sum x_i y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b = (X'X)^{-1}(X'Y) = \frac{1}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_i^2 & -\sum x_i \\ -\sum x_i & n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum y_i \\ \sum x_i y_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sum x_i \sum y_i - \sum x_i \sum y_i y_i \\ -\sum x_i \sum y_i + n \sum x_i y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{-\sum x_i y_i + n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} = \frac{-n \bar{x} \bar{y} + n \sum x_i y_i}{n \sum x_i^2 - n \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - n \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \sum x_i \bar{y} - \bar{x} \sum x_i y_i + n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2n \bar{x}^2 + n \bar{x}^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum x_i^2 y_i - \sum x_i \sum x_i y_i}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} = \frac{\sum x_i^2 (\bar{y}) - (n \bar{x}) \sum x_i y_i}{n \sum x_i^2 - n^2 \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\sum x_i^2 \bar{y} - \bar{x} \sum x_i y_i}{\sum y_i^2 - n \bar{x}^2}$$

Equation (2.8) : $b_1 = \bar{y} - b_2 \bar{x}$

$$b_1 = \bar{y} - \frac{\sum x_i y_i - n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - n \bar{x}^2}, \bar{x} = \bar{y} - \frac{\bar{x} \sum x_i y_i - n \bar{x}^2 \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - n \bar{x}^2}$$

$$= \frac{\bar{y} \sum x_i^2 - \bar{y} n \bar{x}^2 - \bar{x} \sum x_i y_i + n \bar{x}^2 \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - n \bar{x}^2}$$

相等

2.

$$\text{var}(b_1|x) = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{\sum x_i^2}{N \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

$$\text{var}(b_2|x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\text{cov}(b_1, b_2|x) = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{-\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

$$\text{Var}(b) = \sigma^2 (x'x)^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma^2 \times \frac{1}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_i^2 & -\sum x_i \\ -\sum x_i & N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2 \sum x_i^2}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} & \frac{-\sigma^2 \sum x_i}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \\ \frac{-\sigma^2 \sum x_i}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} & \frac{\sigma^2 N}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Cov(b₁, b₂|x) Var(b₂|x)

$$\text{Var}(b_1|x) = \frac{\sigma^2 \sum x_i^2}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2}$$

$$= \sigma^2 \left[\frac{\sum x_i^2}{N \sum x_i^2 - N \bar{x}^2} \right] = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{\sum x_i^2}{N \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

$$\text{Var}(b_2|x) = \frac{\sigma^2 N}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 N}{N \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\text{cov}(b_1, b_2|x) = \frac{-\sigma^2 \sum x_i}{N \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} = \frac{-\sigma^2 N \bar{x}}{N \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{-\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

- 5.3 Consider the following model that relates the percentage of a household's budget spent on alcohol $WALC$ to total expenditure $TOTEXP$, age of the household head AGE , and the number of children in the household NK .

$$WALC = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(TOTEXP) + \beta_3 NK + \beta_4 AGE + e$$

This model was estimated using 1200 observations from London. An incomplete version of this output is provided in Table 5.6.

TABLE 5.6 Output for Exercise 5.3

Dependent Variable: $WALC$				
Included observations: 1200				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	1.4515	2.2019		0.5099
$\ln(TOTEXP)$	2.7648		5.7103	0.0000
NK		0.3695	-3.9376	0.0001
AGE	-0.1503	0.0235	-6.4019	0.0000
R-squared		Mean dependent var		6.19434
S.E. of regression		S.D. dependent var		6.39547
Sum squared resid	46221.62			

- Fill in the following blank spaces that appear in this table.
 - The t -statistic for b_1 .
 - The standard error for b_2 .
 - The estimate b_3 .
 - R^2 .
 - $\hat{\sigma}$.
- Interpret each of the estimates b_2 , b_3 , and b_4 .
- Compute a 95% interval estimate for β_4 . What does this interval tell you?
- Are each of the coefficient estimates significant at a 5% level? Why?
- Test the hypothesis that the addition of an extra child decreases the mean budget share of alcohol by 2 percentage points against the alternative that the decrease is not equal to 2 percentage points. Use a 5% significance level.

$$a. i. t^* := \frac{b_1}{se(b_1)} := \frac{1.4515}{2.2019} = 0.6592$$

$$ii. se(b_1) = \frac{b_1}{t^*} = \frac{1.4515}{5.7103} = 0.4842$$

$$iii. b_3 = t^* \cdot se(b_3) = 0.3695 \times -3.9376 = -1.4579$$

$$iv. S_y = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}, SST = (n-1) S_y^2$$

$$SST = (1200-1) \times 6.39547^2 = 49041.5418$$

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} = 1 - \frac{46221.62}{49041.5418} \approx 0.0575$$

$$v. \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{N-K}} = \sqrt{\frac{46221.62}{1196}} = 6.217$$

b.

b_2 : 其它变量不变下，TOTEXP 增加 1%，WALC 增加 0.029161 percentage point

b_3 : 每增加 1 个孩子，WALC 增加 1.4579

b_4 : AGE 增加 1 年，WALC 增加 0.1503

c.

$$-0.1503 \pm t_{0.975, 1196} \text{ SE}(b_1) = [-0.1964, -0.1042]$$

AGE 增加 1 + 95% IIN 会 等于 $-0.1964 \sim -0.1042$ percentage points

d. except intercept, all coefficient estimates are significant, $\therefore p\text{-value} < 0.05$

e. $H_0: \beta_3 = 2$

$H_a: \beta_3 \neq 2$

$$t^* = \frac{-1.4549 - (-2)}{0.3695} = 1.475 < 1.96 = t_{0.975}$$

$\Rightarrow H_0$ reject H_0

5.23 The file *cocaine* contains 56 observations on variables related to sales of cocaine powder in northeastern California over the period 1984–1991. The data are a subset of those used in the study Caulkins, J. P. and R. Padman (1993), “Quantity Discounts and Quality Premiums for Illicit Drugs,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 748–757. The variables are

PRICE = price per gram in dollars for a cocaine sale

QUANT = number of grams of cocaine in a given sale

QUAL = quality of the cocaine expressed as percentage purity

TREND = a time variable with 1984 = 1 up to 1991 = 8

Consider the regression model

$$PRICE = \beta_1 + \beta_2 QUANT + \beta_3 QUAL + \beta_4 TREND + e$$

- a. What signs would you expect on the coefficients β_2 , β_3 , and β_4 ?
- b. Use your computer software to estimate the equation. Report the results and interpret the coefficient estimates. Have the signs turned out as you expected?
- c. What proportion of variation in cocaine price is explained jointly by variation in quantity, quality, and time?
- d. It is claimed that the greater the number of sales, the higher the risk of getting caught. Thus, sellers are willing to accept a lower price if they can make sales in larger quantities. Set up H_0 and H_1 that would be appropriate to test this hypothesis. Carry out the hypothesis test.
- e. Test the hypothesis that the quality of cocaine has no influence on expected price against the alternative that a premium is paid for better-quality cocaine.
- f. What is the average annual change in the cocaine price? Can you suggest why price might be changing in this direction?

d. $H_0: \beta_2 \geq 0$

$H_1: \beta_2 < 0$

$$t^* = -5.89 < t_{0.05, 52} = -1.6747$$

\Rightarrow reject H_0

a. $\beta_2: -$

$\beta_3: +$

$\beta_4: X$

b. Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	90.84669	8.58025	10.588	1.39e-14 ***
quant	-0.05997	0.01018	-5.892	2.85e-07 ***
qual	0.11621	0.20326	0.572	0.5700
trend	-2.35458	1.38612	-1.699	0.0954 .

Signif. codes: 0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

Residual standard error: 20.06 on 52 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.5097, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4814

F-statistic: 18.02 on 3 and 52 DF, p-value: 3.806e-08

yes

c. 50.97%

e. $H_0: \beta_3 \leq 0$

$H_1: \beta_3 > 0$

$$t^* = 0.572 < t_{0.05, 52} = 1.6749$$

\Rightarrow not reject H_0

f.

$$-2.3548$$

, Supply increase, technological improvements