15.6 Using the NLS panel data on N = 716 young women, we consider only years 1987 and 1988. We are interested in the relationship between ln(WAGE) and experience, its square, and indicator variables for living in the south and union membership. Some estimation results are in Table 15.10.

TABLE 15.10

Estimation Results for Exercise 15.6

	(1) OLS 1987	(2) OLS 1988	(3) FE	(4) FE Robust	(5) RE
C	0.9348	0.8993	1.5468	1.5468	1.1497
	(0.2010)	(0.2407)	(0.2522)	(0.2688)	(0.1597)
EXPER	0.1270	0.1265	0.0575	0.0575	0.0986
	(0.0295)	(0.0323)	(0.0330)	(0.0328)	(0.0220)
EXPER ²	-0.0033	-0.0031	-0.0012	-0.0012	-0.0023
	(0.0011)	(0.0011)	(0.0011)	(0.0011)	(0.0007)
SOUTH	-0.2128	-0.2384	-0.3261	-0.3261	-0.2326
	(0.0338)	(0.0344)	(0.1258)	(0.2495)	(0.0317)
UNION	0.1445	0.1102	0.0822	0.0822	0.1027
	(0.0382)	(0.0387)	(0.0312)	(0.0367)	(0.0245)
N	716	716	1432	1432	1432

(standard errors in parentheses)

- a. The OLS estimates of the In(WAGE) model for each of the years 1987 and 1988 are reported in columns (1) and (2). How do the results compare? For these individual year estimations, what are you assuming about the regression parameter values across individuals (heterogeneity).
- b. The ln(WAGE) equation specified as a panel data regression model is

$$ln(WAGE_{ii}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 EXPER_{ii} + \beta_3 EXPER_{ii}^2 + \beta_4 SOUTH_{ii}$$
$$+ \beta_2 UNION_{ii} + (\mu_i + e_i)$$

(XR15.6)

- Explain any differences in assumptions between this model and the models in part (a).

 Column (3) contains the estimated fixed effects model specified in part (b). Compare these estimates with the OLS estimates. Which coefficients, apart from the intercepts, show the most difference?

 The Estatistic for the null bycorbesis that there are no individual differences equation (15.20).
- d. The F-statistic for the null hypothesis that there are no individual differences, equation (15.20), is 11.68. What are the degrees of freedom of the F-distribution if the null hypothesis (15.19) is true? What is the 1% level of significance critical value for the test? What do you conclude about the null hypothesis.
- e. Column (4) contains the fixed effects estimates with cluster-robust standard errors. In the context of this sample, explain the different assumptions you are making when you estimate with and without cluster-robust standard errors. Compare the standard errors with those in column (3). Which ones are substantially different? Are the robust ones larger or smaller?
- (a) 結果在1987年01988 局 見一致华里、 且 SE 相似
 - 于可能不具是贺夏曼和
- (6) 岩菱取存和時間相関可 3日
- (4) 最大时不同:300叶夏秋之保积從一0.21岁夏成
 - -0.3261 及 -0.1133

