

**5.23** The file *cocaine* contains 56 observations on variables related to sales of cocaine powder in northeastern California over the period 1984–1991. The data are a subset of those used in the study Caulkins, J. P. and R. Padman (1993), “Quantity Discounts and Quality Premia for Illicit Drugs,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 748–757. The variables are

*PRICE* = price per gram in dollars for a cocaine sale  
*QUANT* = number of grams of cocaine in a given sale  
*QUAL* = quality of the cocaine expressed as percentage purity  
*TREND* = a time variable with 1984 = 1 up to 1991 = 8  
 Consider the regression model

$$PRICE = \beta_1 + \beta_2 QUANT + \beta_3 QUAL + \beta_4 TREND + e$$

- a. What signs would you expect on the coefficients  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ , and  $\beta_4$ ?
- b. Use your computer software to estimate the equation. Report the results and interpret the coefficient estimates. Have the signs turned out as you expected?
- c. What proportion of variation in cocaine price is explained jointly by variation in quantity, quality, and time?
- d. It is claimed that the greater the number of sales, the higher the risk of getting caught. Thus, sellers are willing to accept a lower price if they can make sales in larger quantities. Set up  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  that would be appropriate to test this hypothesis. Carry out the hypothesis test.
- e. Test the hypothesis that the quality of cocaine has no influence on expected price against the alternative that a premium is paid for better-quality cocaine.
- f. What is the average annual change in the cocaine price? Can you suggest why price might be changing in this direction?

a.

預期所有係數除了 beta2 皆為正，數量越多價格越低(beta2)，品質越高價格越高(beta3)，因有通膨，所以價格隨時間會越來越貴(beta4)。

b.

```
Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-43.479 -12.014  -3.743  13.969  43.753

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  90.84669     8.58025   10.588 1.39e-14 ***
quant       -0.05997     0.01018   -5.892 2.85e-07 ***
qual         0.11621     0.20326    0.572  0.5700
trend       -2.35458     1.38612   -1.699  0.0954 .
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Trend 的係數我們預估錯誤，價格隨時間越來越低。

當所有變數為 0 時 price 為 90.84669

每上升一單位的 quant 會使 price 下降 0.05997

每上升一單位的 qual 會使 price 上升 0.11621

每上升一單位的 trend 會使 price 下降 2.35458

但結果只有 beta1 與 beta2 為顯著

c.

Residual standard error: 20.06 on 52 degrees of freedom  
Multiple R-squared: 0.5097, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4814  
F-statistic: 18.02 on 3 and 52 DF, p-value: 3.806e-08

可卡因價格的變異中有 50.97% (即  $R^2 = 0.5097$ ) 可以由數量 (QUANT)、品質 (QUAL) 和時間 (TREND) 共同解釋。

d.

- 原假設 ( $H_0$ ):  $\beta_2 \geq 0$  這表示交易量 (QUANT) 對價格沒有負向影響，或者有正向影響 (即交易量增加時，價格不下降或上升)。
- 對立假設 ( $H_1$ ):  $\beta_2 < 0$   
這表示交易量 (QUANT) 增加時，價格下降，符合題目中的說法 (賣家願意以較低價格出售較大數量的可卡因以降低風險)。

這是一個單尾檢驗 (左尾)，因為我們關心  $\beta_2$  是否顯著小於 0。

由前面所得資訊可知  $t = -5.892$ ,  $se = 0.01018$ ,  $coefficient = -0.05997$

P 值為  $1.39e-14$ ，單尾為  $7e-15 < 0.05$ ，因此我們拒絕原假設  $H_0$ 。

e.

原假設 ( $H_0$ ):  $\beta_3 = 0$

對立假設 ( $H_1$ ):  $\beta_3 \neq 0$

T 值為 0.572，P 值為  $0.57 > 0.05$ ，無法拒絕  $H_0$

f.

平均每年下降 2.35458，推測原因為隨著執法力度加強，賣家面臨更高的被抓風險。這種風險可能會增加交易成本 (例如需要更多賄賂、更隱秘的運輸方式)，從而推高價格。