

5.6 Suppose that, from a sample of 63 observations, the least squares estimates and the corresponding estimated covariance matrix are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \widehat{\text{cov}}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 1 \\ -2 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using a 5% significance level, and an alternative hypothesis that the equality does not hold, test each of the following null hypotheses:

- $\beta_2 = 0$
- $\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 = 5$
- $\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 4$

(a.)

1. $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$ against $H_1: \beta_2 \neq 0$.

2. $\varphi = \frac{3 - 0}{\sqrt{4}} = 1.5 \sim t_{0.025}(60)$

3. $RR = \left\{ \varphi \geq 1.96 \text{ or } \varphi \leq -1.96 \right\}.$ 3 + 16 - 8

4. $\varphi \notin RR$, not reject H_0 , can't conclude that $\beta_2 \neq 0$.

(b.) $SE(b_1 + 2b_2) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(b_1) + 4\text{Var}(b_2) + 4\text{cov}(b_1, b_2)} = \sqrt{3 + 4 \times 4 + 4 \times -2} = 3.3166.$

1. $H_0: \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 = 5$ against $H_1: \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 \neq 5$

2. $\varphi = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 + 2\hat{\beta}_2 - 5}{3.3166} = \frac{2 + 6 - 5}{3.3166} = 0.9045 \sim t_{0.025}(60)$

3. $RR = \left\{ \varphi \geq 1.96 \text{ or } \varphi \leq -1.96 \right\}.$ 10 + 4 + 2

4. $\varphi \notin RR$, not reject H_0 , can't conclude that $\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 = 5$

(c.) $SE(b_1 - b_2 + b_3) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(b_1) + \text{Var}(b_2) + \text{Var}(b_3) - 2\text{cov}(b_1, b_2) + 2\text{cov}(b_1, b_3) - 2\text{cov}(b_2, b_3)}$
 $= \sqrt{3 + 4 + 3 - 2 \times -2 + 2 \times 1 - 2 \times 0} = 4$

1. $H_0: \beta_1 - \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 4$ against $H_1: \beta_1 - \beta_2 + \beta_3 \neq 4$ 2. $RR: \left\{ \varphi \geq 1.96 \text{ or } \varphi \leq -1.96 \right\}.$

2. $\varphi = \frac{2 - 3 - 1 - 4}{4} = \frac{-6}{4} = -1.5 \sim t_{0.025}(60)$ 4. $\varphi \notin RR$, can't conclude that $\beta_1 - \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 4$.