

HW 0324 Q1

$$Y = \beta X + e, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{1,2} & \dots & X_{1,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n,2} & \dots & X_{n,k} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad e \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I), \quad Y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$$

When $k=2$, $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_{1,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n,2} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$$

$$X'X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ X_{1,2} & \dots & X_{n,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N & \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} & \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X'X = \frac{1}{N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \right)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 & -\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X'Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ X_{1,2} & \dots & X_{n,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \right)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 & -\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \\ -\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} & N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \right)^2} \left[\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 \sum_{i=1}^N y_i - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} y_i \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i + N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} y_i \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum X_i^2 \sum y_i - \sum X_i \sum X_i y_i}{N \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \\ \frac{N \sum X_i y_i - \sum X_i \sum y_i}{N \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum X_i^2 \sum y_i - \sum X_i \sum X_i y_i}{N \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \\ \frac{\sum X_i y_i - \frac{\sum X_i \sum y_i}{N}}{\sum X_i^2 - \frac{(\sum X_i)^2}{N}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum y_i}{N} - \frac{\sum X_i}{N} \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \\ \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Cov}(b_1, b_2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \right)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 & - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2} & N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Var}(b_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^N X_{i,2}^2 \quad \text{Var}(b_2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\Delta} N$$

$$\tilde{b} \sim N\left(\hat{\beta}, \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sum X_{i,2}^2}{N} \\ \frac{1}{N} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

Q5.3

a. i. $\varphi = \frac{b_1}{SE(b_1)} = \frac{1.4515}{2.2019} = 0.6592$

ii. $SE(b_2) = \frac{2.7648}{5.7103} = 0.4842$

iii. $b_3 = \frac{-3.9376}{0.3695} = -1.4558$

iv. $R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} = 1 - \frac{46221.62}{6.39547^2 (1200-1)} = 0.0573$

v. $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{MSE} = \sqrt{\frac{46,221.62}{1200-4}} = 6.216$

b.

$b_2 = 2.7648 = \frac{\partial \text{WALC}}{\partial \text{TOTEXP}} \cdot \frac{\text{TOTEXP}}{\text{TOTEXP}}$, each 1% increase in home expenditure will raise 2.7648% of household's spending on alcohol.

$b_3 = -1.4558$, each 1 more kid will drop 1.46% of household's spending on alcohol.

$b_4 = -0.1503$, each 1 year old increase in household age will drop 0.1503% of household's spending on alcohol.

c.

$$t = \frac{b_4}{SE(b_4)} = \frac{-0.1503}{0.0235} \stackrel{A}{\sim} N(0,1)$$

$$95\% \text{ CI for } \beta_4 = [b_4 \pm Z_{0.025} SE(b_4)]$$

$$= -0.1503 \pm 1.96 \times 0.0235$$

$$= [-0.1963, -0.1043]$$

We are 95% confident that the percentage change of spending on alcohol caused by the 1 unit change of household age won't fall out of the range of $[-0.1963, -0.1043]$

d. $\alpha = 0.05$,

$p\text{-}v_1 > 0.05$ insignificant, $p\text{-}v_2, p\text{-}v_3, p\text{-}v_4 < 0.05$ significant

e. $H_0: \beta_3 = -2$ against $\beta_3 \neq -2$

$$\varphi = \frac{b_3 - (-2)}{SE(b_3)} \stackrel{A}{\sim} N(0,1)$$

$$RR = \{ \varphi \mid |\varphi| > 1.96 \}, \varphi^* = 1.475 \notin RR$$

Under 5% significance, we cannot reject that $\beta_3 = -2$.

Q23.

a. β_2 : - (Whole sale) β_3 : + (quality premium)

β_4 : ? (Inflation, Regulation restriction)

b.

Call:
lm(formula = price ~ quant + qual + trend, data = dat
a)

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-43.479	-12.014	-3.743	13.969	43.753

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	90.84669	8.58025	10.588	1.39e-14
quant	-0.05997	0.01018	-5.892	2.85e-07
qual	0.11621	0.20326	0.572	0.5700
trend	-2.35458	1.38612	-1.699	0.0954

(Intercept)	***
quant	***
qual	
trend	

shift

$\beta_2 = -0.06$ is negative
and significant

$\beta_3 = 0.11$ is positive
and insignificant

$\beta_4 = -2.3$ is negative
and insignificant

c. $R^2 = 0.50965 \rightarrow 51\%$ of total variation can be jointly decided by the variations of quantity, quality and time.

d. $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$ against $H_1: \beta_2 < 0$

p-value = $1.475e-01$ is significant under each level of significance.

e. $H_0: \beta_3 = 0$ against $H_1: \beta_3 \neq 0$

p-value = $0.51 > 0.5$ is insignificant under $\alpha = 5\%$.

f. $\frac{\Delta \text{Price}}{\Delta \text{Time}} = \beta_4 = -2.3549$

Potential reasons are:

1. Smuggling, $S \uparrow$, $P \downarrow$
2. Restriction \uparrow , risk \uparrow , $D \downarrow$, $P \downarrow$