

Let $K=2$, show that (b1, b2) in p. 29 of slides in Ch 5 reduces to the formula of (b1, b2) in (2.7) - (2.8)

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,K} \\ 1 & x_{2,2} & \cdots & x_{2,K} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{N,2} & \cdots & x_{N,K} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{N,2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b = (X'X)^{-1}(X'Y).$$

$$b = (X'X)^{-1}(X'Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} N & \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N x_i & \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2) - (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 & -\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \\ -\sum_{i=1}^N x_i & N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2) - (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^N y_i - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i) \\ N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^N y_i - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i)}{N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2) - (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i)^2} \\ \frac{N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i) - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \sum_{i=1}^N y_i}{N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2) - (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i)^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \bar{y} - \bar{x} (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i)}{N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2) - N\bar{x}^2} \\ \frac{N(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i) - N\bar{x} N\bar{y}}{N\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - N\bar{x}^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \bar{x} (\sum_{i=1}^N x_i y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - N\bar{x}^2} \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i y_i) - N\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - N\bar{x}^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{y} - b_2 \bar{x} \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b_2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad (2.7)$$

$$b_1 = \bar{y} - b_2 \bar{x} \quad (2.8)$$

$$b_2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum (x_i y_i - \bar{x} y_i - \bar{y} x_i + \bar{x} \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i^2 - 2\bar{x} x_i + \bar{x}^2)} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \bar{x} N \bar{y} - \bar{y} N \bar{x} + N \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2\bar{x} N \bar{x} + N \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - N \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - N \bar{x}^2}$$

$$b_1 = \bar{y} - b_2 \bar{x} = \bar{y} - \left(\frac{\sum x_i y_i - N \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - N \bar{x}^2} \right) \bar{x} = \frac{(\sum x_i^2) \bar{y} - N \bar{x} \bar{y} - (\sum x_i y_i) \bar{x} + N \bar{x}^2 \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - N \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\bar{y} \sum x_i^2 - \bar{x} \sum x_i y_i}{\sum x_i^2 - N \bar{x}^2}$$

Let $K=2$, show that $\text{cov}(b_1, b_2)$ in p. 30 of slides in Ch 5 reduces to the formula of in (2.14) - (2.16).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{var}(b) &= \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} N & \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N x_i & \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\
 &= \sigma^2 \frac{1}{N \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \right)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 & -\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \\ -\sum_{i=1}^N x_i & N \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2 \sum x_i^2}{N \sum x_i^2 - (N\bar{x})^2} & \frac{-\sigma^2 \sum x_i}{N \sum x_i^2 - (N\bar{x})^2} \\ \frac{-\sigma^2 \sum x_i}{N \sum x_i^2 - (N\bar{x})^2} & \frac{\sigma^2 N}{N \sum x_i^2 - (N\bar{x})^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma^2 \sum x_i^2}{N \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \frac{-\sigma^2 \sum x_i}{N \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\ \frac{-\sigma^2 \sum x_i}{N \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \frac{\sigma^2 N}{N \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\nearrow \text{Var}(b_1 | X)$ $\text{cov}(b_1, b_2 | X)$
 \uparrow
 $\text{cov}(b_1, b_2 | X)$ $\text{var}(b_2 | X)$

$$\left(\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \sum (x_i^2 - 2\bar{x}x_i + \bar{x}^2) = \sum x_i^2 - 2\bar{x}N\bar{x} + N\bar{x}^2 = \sum x_i^2 - N\bar{x}^2 \right)$$

$$\text{var}(b_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{\sum x_i^2}{N \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right] \quad (2.14)$$

$$\text{var}(b_2 | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\text{cov}(b_1, b_2 | \mathbf{x}) = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{-\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right] \quad (2.16)$$

5.3 Consider the following model that relates the percentage of a household's budget spent on alcohol $WALC$ to total expenditure $TOTEXP$, age of the household head AGE , and the number of children in the household NK .

$$WALC = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(TOTEXP) + \beta_3 NK + \beta_4 AGE + e$$

This model was estimated using 1200 observations from London. An incomplete version of this output is provided in Table 5.6.

TABLE 5.6 Output for Exercise 5.3

Dependent Variable: $WALC$				
Included observations: 1200				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	1.4515	2.2019	0.6592	0.5099
$\ln(TOTEXP)$	2.7648	0.4842	5.7103	0.0000
NK	-1.4549	0.3695	-3.9376	0.0001
AGE	-0.1503	0.0235	-6.4019	0.0000
R-squared	0.0575	Mean dependent var		6.19434
S.E. of regression		S.D. dependent var		6.39547
Sum squared resid	46221.62			

a. Fill in the following blank spaces that appear in this table.

- The t -statistic for b_1 .
- The standard error for b_2 .
- The estimate b_3 .
- R^2 .
- $\hat{\sigma}$.

$$a. (i) t_{b_1} = \frac{1.4515}{2.2019} \doteq 0.6592$$

$$(ii) se(b_2) = \frac{2.7648}{5.7103} \doteq 0.4842$$

$$(iii) \hat{b}_3 = -3.9376 \times 0.3695 \doteq -1.4549$$

$$(iv) R^2 = 1 - \frac{46,221.62}{(6.39547)^2 \times (1200 - 1)} \doteq 0.0575$$

$$(v) \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum \hat{e}_i^2}{n - K}} = \sqrt{\frac{46,221.62}{1200 - 4}} \doteq 6.2167$$

b. Interpret each of the estimates b_2 , b_3 , and b_4 .

b_2 : 在其他變數保持不變下, 當家庭總支出增加 1% 時, 酒類支出佔總支出的百分比將增加 0.027648 個百分點。

b_3 : 在其他變數保持不變下, 當家庭多一名孩童, 酒類支出佔總支出的百分比將降低 1.4549 個百分點。

b_4 : 在其他變數保持不變下, 當戶主年齡增加一歲時, 酒類支出佔總支出的百分比將降低 0.1503 個百分點。

c. Compute a 95% interval estimate for β_4 . What does this interval tell you?

$$-0.1503 - 1.96 \times 0.0235 = -0.1964$$

$$-0.1503 + 1.96 \times 0.0235 = -0.1042$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{The 95\% interval estimate} = [-0.1964, -0.1042]$$

有 95% 的信心水準, 真正母體的 β_4 會落在這個區間,

且這個區間意味著, 若戶主年齡增加 1 歲, 則估計酒類支出在總支出中所占的比率會下降 0.1042 至 0.1964 個百分點。

d. Are each of the coefficient estimates significant at a 5% level? Why?

除了截距項外, 所有的迴歸係數估計在 5% 的顯著水準下都和零顯著不同, 因為它們的 p 值都小於 0.05。

e. Test the hypothesis that the addition of an extra child decreases the mean budget share of alcohol by 2 percentage points against the alternative that the decrease is not equal to 2 percentage points. Use a 5% significance level.

$$H_0: \beta_3 = -2$$

$$H_1: \beta_3 \neq -2$$

$$t = \frac{-1.4549 - (-2)}{0.3695} = 1.4752 < 1.96 \Rightarrow \text{無法拒絕 } H_0$$

($t_{0.975, 1196}$)

因此沒有足夠證據顯示, 家庭多一名小孩對酒類支出佔比所造成的降低幅度和 2 個百分點有顯著差異。(沒有證據接受 H_1)

5.23 The file *cocaine* contains 56 observations on variables related to sales of cocaine powder in northeastern California over the period 1984–1991. The data are a subset of those used in the study Caulkins, J. P. and R. Padman (1993), “Quantity Discounts and Quality Premia for Illicit Drugs,” *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 748–757. The variables are

PRICE = price per gram in dollars for a cocaine sale

QUANT = number of grams of cocaine in a given sale

QUAL = quality of the cocaine expressed as percentage purity

TREND = a time variable with 1984 = 1 up to 1991 = 8

Consider the regression model

$$PRICE = \beta_1 + \beta_2 QUANT + \beta_3 QUAL + \beta_4 TREND + e$$

a. What signs would you expect on the coefficients β_2 , β_3 , and β_4 ?

β_2 : 預期為負號, 因隨著每筆交易克數增加, 每克價格應該會降低, 因為量大會有折扣的現象。

β_3 : 預期為正, 隨 cocaine 的純度愈高, 價格就愈高。

β_4 : 符號取決於需求與供給在時間上的變動情況。
⊙ 當需求固定, 但供給增加, 則價格會下降。

b. Use your computer software to estimate the equation. Report the results and interpret the coefficient estimates. Have the signs turned out as you expected?

```
Call:
lm(formula = price ~ quant + qual + trend, data = cocaine)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-43.479 -12.014  -3.743  13.969  43.753

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  90.84669    8.58025   10.588 1.39e-14 ***
quant       -0.05997    0.01018   -5.892 2.85e-07 ***
qual         0.11621    0.20326    0.572  0.5700
trend       -2.35458    1.38612   -1.699  0.0954 .
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 20.06 on 52 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.5097,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.4814
F-statistic: 18.02 on 3 and 52 DF,  p-value: 3.806e-08
```

$$PRICE = 90.8467 - 0.06 QUANT + 0.1162 QUAL - 2.3546 TREND$$

$\beta_2 = -0.06$ ⊙ 表示在其他條件不變下, 當數量增加 1 單位, 平均價格會下降 0.06

$\beta_3 = 0.1162$ ⊙ 表示在其他條件不變下, 當純度提高 1 單位時, 平均價格會上升 0.1162

$\beta_4 = -2.3546$ ⊙ 表示在其他條件不變下, 時間每增加 1 年, 平均價格就會降低 2.3546,
依供給的成長速度快於需求的成長速度。

⇒ All 係數都符合預期。

- c. What proportion of variation in cocaine price is explained jointly by variation in quantity, quality, and time?

$$R^2 = 0.5097$$

- d. It is claimed that the greater the number of sales, the higher the risk of getting caught. Thus, sellers are willing to accept a lower price if they can make sales in larger quantities. Set up H_0 and H_1 that would be appropriate to test this hypothesis. Carry out the hypothesis test.

$$H_0: \beta_2 \geq 0 \quad H_1: \beta_2 < 0$$

$$t = -5.892 \quad | -5.892 | > 1.675 (t_{(10.95, 52)})$$

拒絕 H_0 , 接受 H_1 , 代表若能一次成交較大數量的交易, 賣方願意接受較低的價格。

- e. Test the hypothesis that the quality of cocaine has no influence on expected price against the alternative that a premium is paid for better-quality cocaine.

$$H_0: \beta_3 \leq 0 \quad H_1: \beta_3 > 0$$

$t = 0.572 < t_{(10.95, 52)} = 1.675 \Rightarrow$ 無法拒絕 H_0 , 代表沒有充分證據顯示較高的 cocaine 純度會得到額外的價格溢價。

- f. What is the average annual change in the cocaine price? Can you suggest why price might be changing in this direction?

$\beta_4 = -2.3546 \Rightarrow$ 若在其他條件不變下, 時間每增加1年, 平均價格就會降低2.3546 (From 1984-1991)

因此代表 The average annual change in the cocaine price is -2.3546.

\Rightarrow 價格下降的可能原因之一, 可能是因隨著時間技術進步, 因此供給者能在相同時間內產出更多 cocaine, 導致供給大於需求, 故平均價格隨時間下降。